

1 **Title: Remodeling of the Cardiovascular Circulation in Fetuses of Diabetic**  
2 **Mothers: A Fetal Computational Model Analysis**

3

4 Aparna Kulkarni<sup>1\*</sup> M.B,B.S MD, Patricia Garcia – Cañadilla<sup>2,3\*</sup> PhD, Abdullah  
5 Khan<sup>1</sup> MD, Jose Miguel Lorenzo<sup>1</sup> RDCS, Karen Beckerman<sup>4</sup> MD, Brenda  
6 Valenzuela-Alcaraz<sup>3</sup> MD, Monica Cruz-Lemini<sup>3</sup> MD, Olga Gomez<sup>3</sup> MD, Eduard  
7 Gratacos<sup>3</sup> MD, Fatima Crispi<sup>3</sup> MD, Bart Bijmens<sup>2,5</sup> PhD

8 <sup>1</sup> Division of Pediatric Cardiology, Bronx Lebanon Hospital Center, Bronx, New  
9 York, USA; <sup>2</sup>PhySense, DTIC, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain;

10 <sup>3</sup>BCNatal - Barcelona Center for Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine (Hospital  
11 Clínic and Hospital Sant Joan de Deu), Fetal i+D Fetal Medicine Research  
12 Center, Institut Clinic de Ginecologia, Obstetricia i Neonatologia, IDIBAPS,  
13 CIBER-ER, University of Barcelona, Spain; <sup>4</sup> Department of Obstetrics, Bronx  
14 Lebanon Hospital Center, Bronx, New York, USA; <sup>5</sup>ICREA, Barcelona, Spain

15 \*AK and PC contributed equally to this study and thus share first authorship.

16 **Address for correspondence:** Aparna Kulkarni, M.B,B.S M.D. Bronx Lebanon  
17 Hospital Center, 1650 Grand Concourse, Bronx, New York, USA 100457. Email:

18 [aparnapat@yahoo.com](mailto:aparnapat@yahoo.com)

19 **Abstract**

20 **Aims:** Myocardial structural and functional abnormalities are known to occur in  
21 fetuses of maternal diabetes mellitus (FMDM) and in their offspring. The main  
22 aim of this investigation was to explore the cardiovascular circulatory patterns in  
23 FMDM using a validated lumped computational model of the cardiovascular  
24 system.

25 **Methods:** This was a multi-institutional study involving FMDM compared to  
26 fetuses of maternal controls (FC). Fetal echocardiographic Doppler data from left  
27 and right ventricular outflow tracts, aortic isthmus, middle cerebral and umbilical  
28 arteries were fitted into a validated fetal circulation computational model to  
29 estimate patient-specific placental and vascular properties. Non-parametric  
30 comparisons were made between resistances, compliances and flows in the  
31 brain and placenta in FMDM and FC.

32 **Results:** Data from 23 FMDM and 31 FC were fitted into the model. In FMDM,  
33 compared to FC, placental relative resistance was lower ( $0.59 \pm 0.50$  versus  
34  $0.91 \pm 0.41$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ) with higher brain relative resistance ( $2.36 \pm 1.65$  versus  
35  $1.60 \pm 0.85$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ). Middle cerebral artery flow was lower in FMDM than FC  
36 ( $0.12 \pm 0.14$  vs.  $0.27 \pm 0.21$  ml/min;  $p = 0.04$ ) with a lower cerebral-placental flow  
37 ratio. Combined stroke volume was lower in FMDM ( $3.65 \pm 2.05$  ml) than FC  
38 ( $4.97 \pm 2.45$  ml) ( $p = 0.04$ ).

39 **Conclusions:** Blood flow is redistributed in FMDM to the placenta, away from the  
40 brain. This alteration may play a role in the postnatal health of these fetuses.

41 **Key words:** Fetus; Maternal Diabetes Mellitus; Computational model;  
42 Resistance; Compliance  
43 **Abbreviations:** MDM – Maternal diabetes mellitus; FMDM – Fetuses of mothers  
44 with diabetes mellitus; FC – Fetuses of control mothers; EFW – Estimated fetal  
45 weight; UA – Umbilical artery; MCA – Middle cerebral artery; LVOT – Left  
46 ventricular outflow tract; RVOT – Right ventricular outflow tract; CCO- Combined  
47 cardiac output; VTI – Velocity time integral; vol – Volume; SV – stroke volume

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

48 **Introduction**

49 Significant short and long-term morbidities have been known to occur in the  
50 offspring of mothers with diabetes mellitus. There is a five-fold increase in the risk  
51 of congenital heart disease in fetuses of mothers with diabetes mellitus (FMDM);  
52 they also have a higher incidence of a reversible hypertrophic cardiomyopathy  
53 and subclinical myocardial dysfunction.[1-3] Maternal diabetes mellitus (MDM)  
54 has been linked to fetal macrosomia, fetal growth restriction (FGR), and fetal and  
55 neonatal demise.[4] There also may be other lasting effects in these offspring  
56 including a propensity for neurological deficits, obesity, diabetes, hypertension  
57 and cardiovascular events later in life.[4-6]

58 Current knowledge of the underlying mechanism of disease in FMDM suggests a  
59 combination of chemical, molecular and epigenetic influences on the fetus and  
60 placenta.[4, 7-9] Animal studies have shown that fetuses of hyperglycemic dams  
61 have reduced pancreatic  $\beta$  cell mass and reduced expression of insulin like  
62 growth factor.[10] Increased villous stromal capillarization and concentration of  
63 endogenous nucleoside adenosine (a potent vasodilator and anti-inflammatory  
64 agent) are seen in the placentae of FMDM.[11, 12] Rodent experiments have  
65 noted lower number of nephrons in the neonatal kidneys of MDM.[4] It is likely  
66 that these alterations in the fetal organ systems are associated with circulatory  
67 adaptations in FMDM. Computational modeling of the fetal circulation allows  
68 assessment of the relevant parameters non-invasively and in their natural  
69 environment in human fetuses.

70 A lumped model of the fetal circulation was created, validated and explored in  
71 FGR fetuses (implemented in Simulink, MATLAB 2013b, The MathWorks Inc.,  
72 Natick, MA).[13, 14] This model provides a good non-invasive approximation of  
73 the fetal circulation to study hemodynamic changes induced by abnormal growth  
74 conditions. Alterations in fetal hemodynamics (predominantly flows) can be  
75 assessed non-invasively in clinical practice by localized Doppler measurements.  
76 However, computational models have the advantage of providing a more global  
77 view on hemodynamics as well as allowing the quantification of circulatory  
78 parameters that are currently not measurable non-invasively, such as pressures  
79 and vascular or organ properties like resistance and compliance. We applied this  
80 model to FMDM and compared them to normal fetal controls to understand if  
81 there were circulatory remodeling patterns in FMDM. This is a pilot study with an  
82 exploratory hypothesis that the circulatory systems in FMDM and FC may be  
83 different.

## 84 **Methods**

### 85 **Study Population**

86 This was a cross-sectional multi-institutional case control study of 54 fetuses, 23  
87 FMDM) and 31 fetuses of control mothers (FC). The cases were enrolled from  
88 2013 to 2016; these were compared to normal fetal controls (FC) recruited from  
89 2012 to 2016. Of the 23 FMDM, 18 were recruited at Bronx Lebanon  
90 Hospital Center, Bronx, New York (Center 1), the remaining 5 were enrolled at  
91 Barcelona Center for Fetal and Neonatal Medicine (Center 2). Of the 31 FC, 9  
92 were enrolled at Center 1 and the remaining at Center 2. All mothers were

93 referred for standard of care clinical indications.[15] Fetuses with arrhythmias,  
94 congenital heart disease, known genetic and chromosomal abnormalities, and  
95 multiple gestations were excluded. Singleton fetuses of mothers with DM and  
96 with structurally normal hearts without hypertrophy were included as cases, if the  
97 mothers agreed to participate and signed an informed consent. Cardiac  
98 hypertrophy was assessed based on gestational age and previously published  
99 nomograms.[16] Singleton fetuses of mothers without DM, with structurally and  
100 functionally normal hearts, and with the following additional inclusion criteria were  
101 included as FC:

102 a) Estimated fetal weight within the 10th and 90th percentiles.

103 b) No history of medical, surgical or obstetric complications.

104 Gestational age was based on the beginning of the last menstrual period and  
105 verified by sonographic measurement of the crown-rump length in early  
106 pregnancy. The Institutional Review Boards at both institutions approved the  
107 study protocols.

108 Estimated fetal weight (EFW) was calculated from the biparietal diameter, head  
109 and abdominal circumference, and femur length using the Hadlock formula.[17]

110 Umbilical artery (UA) Doppler was evaluated in a free loop of the umbilical cord.

111 Middle cerebral artery (MCA) Doppler was measured in a transverse view of the  
112 fetal skull at the level of its origin from the circle of Willis.[18] Aortic isthmus (Aoi)

113 flow velocity was recorded either in a sagittal view of the fetal thorax with a clear

114 visualization of the aortic arch or in a cross section of the fetal thorax at the level

115 of the 3-vessel and trachea view. Pulse wave Doppler velocity waveforms of the

116 left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) were obtained in the 5-chamber view and of  
117 the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) were obtained from the short axis of the  
118 fetal heart in sagittal section. Doppler tracings were recorded with the sample  
119 volume positioned just proximal to the valve in the center of the vessel. The angle  
120 of insonation between the vessel and the Doppler beam was kept as close as  
121 possible to  $0^\circ$  and always below  $30^\circ$ . Diameters of the aortic and pulmonary  
122 artery valves were measured in frozen real-time magnified images during systole  
123 by the leading edge-to-edge method.[19]

#### 124 **Lumped Model of Fetal Circulation**

125 Details of the fetal lumped computational model and its validation have been  
126 published previously.[13, 14] A brief description is provided here. The electrical  
127 equivalent model of the different compartments of the fetal circulation was  
128 constructed using two main building blocks of the arterial segments and  
129 peripheral vascular beds. The arterial segments were configured to include the  
130 local resistance of blood due to blood viscosity that was modeled with a resistor,  
131 the arterial compliance was modeled with a capacitor and the blood inertia was  
132 modeled with an inductor. The peripheral vascular bed was constructed based on  
133 a three-element Windkessel model. The simplified fetal circulation was modeled  
134 as a set of 19 arterial segments and 12 vascular beds as described  
135 previously.[13] The amount of blood flow that was distributed towards different  
136 fetal areas, including the brain, the placenta and the coronary arteries, was  
137 calculated as the percentage of combined cardiac output (CCO). For the  
138 purposes of this study, both FMDM and FC Doppler data were fitted to the

139 validated model. Physical dimensions of all arterial segments were calculated  
140 relative to the expected value from gestational age of the fetus using previously  
141 published equations.[13, 14] Changes in length and diameter of the fetal arterial  
142 segments, vascular bed resistances and compliances were scaled as a function  
143 of the EFW too, as described in previous publications from this group and  
144 reference data.[14, 20] The patient-specific model fitting was done by means of  
145 an optimization algorithm in which a set of 13 parameters were estimated  
146 automatically by minimizing the difference of model-based and measured flow  
147 waveforms in the study cohort. Statistical comparisons were made from the  
148 simulation outputs between FMDM and FC to assess differences.

#### 149 **Statistical Analysis**

150 Descriptive data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Kolmogorov-  
151 Smirnov test were conducted in all variables to test for normality. Two-tailed t-test  
152 comparisons were made for normally distributed data and Mann-Whitney U test  
153 was used for non-parametric data comparisons. All tests of statistical significance  
154 were two-sided and a p value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered significant. Linear  
155 regression analysis was performed in FMDM and FC groups for some key  
156 parameters to determine the effects of gestation age on the variables (Table 4).  
157 All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 9.4.

158

#### 159 **Results**

160 Data from 23 FMDM and 31 FC were used to create a personalized fetal  
161 circulation computational model. The baseline characteristics in the two groups



162 are detailed in table 1. The median gestational age (weeks) was similar in FMDM  
163 and FC. Overall, in the FMDM, 17 mothers were controlled on insulin (10 mothers  
164 had type 2 DM, 4 had type 1 DM, 3 had gestational DM), 4 on oral medications  
165 (all mothers had gestational diabetes) and 2 were controlled on diet alone (2 had  
166 gestational DM). Mean maternal BMI in FMDM group was significantly higher  
167 than the FC group. Two mothers in the FMDM group had additional co-  
168 morbidities of chronic hypertension, three mothers had pre-pregnancy  
169 hypothyroidism and one mother had genetic prothrombin deficiency. None of the  
170 mothers in the FC group had additional co-morbidities. The estimated fetal  
171 weights (grams) were similar between the two groups. All fetuses were born full  
172 term (>37 weeks gestation), except for one born premature at 31 weeks  
173 gestation in the FMDM group and one in the FC group at 30 weeks 6 days. Birth  
174 weights were also similar in both groups.

175 Table 2 shows the results of the hemodynamic parameters that were measured  
176 and modeled from the echocardiographic data. There were significant differences  
177 in the baseline parameters for the velocity time integrals (VTI) of the left and right  
178 ventricular outflow tracts (LV\_VTI: VTI of left ventricular outflow tract Doppler,  
179 RV\_VTI: VTI of right ventricular outflow tract Doppler) measured from the  
180 recorded fetal Doppler echocardiograms between the two groups. Right  
181 ventricular stroke volume (RVSV) and total stroke volume were lower in FMDM;  
182 the differences in left ventricular stroke volume (LVSV), RVSV and SV between  
183 FMDM and FC became more apparent beyond 22 weeks (Figure 1A, 1B and  
184 1C).

185 The results of the fitted organ and vessel parameters from the fetal  
186 cardiovascular lumped model have been presented in Table 3. There was  
187 redistribution of blood flow away from the brain toward the placenta in FMDM.  
188 There was an increase in model-based brain resistance ( $R_{\text{brain}}/R_{\text{brain}0}$ ) (FMDM  
189  $2.36 \pm 1.66$ , FC  $1.60 \pm 0.85$ ,  $p$  0.03) (Table 3) with associated lower MCA blood  
190 volume ( $MCA\_vol/SV$ ) (Figure 2A, Table 2) in FMDM compared to FC. Aortic  
191 isthmus blood volume ( $AoI\_vol/SV$ ) (Figure 2B) was higher in FMDM (Table 2).  
192 Model-based placental resistance ( $R_{\text{plac}}/R_{\text{plac}0}$ ) was significantly lower in  
193 FMDM compared to FC ( $0.59 \pm 0.5$  vs.  $0.91 \pm 0.41$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ) (Table 3) with  
194 associated increased UA blood volume ( $UA\_vol/SV$ ) (Table 2). Thus, compositely  
195 there the cerebral placental blood volume ratio ( $MCA\_vol/UA\_vol$ ) was lower in  
196 FMDM group (Table 2) ( $0.23 \pm 0.20$  vs.  $0.46 \pm 0.34$ ;  $p$  0.05).  
197 Model-based diameters of the cerebral arteries were significantly smaller  
198 compared to controls whereas aortic diameters were higher and umbilical arteries  
199 remained unchanged (Table 3, figure 3). No vessels or organ compliances were  
200 significantly altered. Pressures estimates by the model were not different at any  
201 location. No significant differences in the variables were noted when data was  
202 reevaluated after exclusion of FDM with maternal DM control on diet alone or  
203 after excluding FDM with maternal hypertension. No significant correlation was  
204 found between  $R_{\text{plac}}/R_{\text{plac}0}$  ( $R^2 = 1.3$ ,  $p = 0.95$ ) or  $R_{\text{brain}}/R_{\text{brain}0}$  ( $R^2 = 0.0234$ ,  
205  $p = 0.49$ ) to HgbA1c levels.  $R_{\text{plac}}/R_{\text{plac}0}$  was noted to increase with increasing  
206 GA as is seen in all pregnancies ( $R^2 =$ ,  $p$ ) and no significant change was noted in  
207  $R_{\text{brain}}/R_{\text{brain}0}$  with GA ( $R^2 =$ ,  $p$ ).

208

209 **Discussion**

210 The present investigation assessed circulatory remodeling in FMDM as  
211 compared to FC using a validated lumped model of the fetal circulation. Our key  
212 findings from the model are that placental resistance decreases in FMDM, (while  
213 compliance remains similar), and that cerebral resistance increases  
214 concomitantly. Consequently, there is a redistribution of blood flow predominantly  
215 towards the placenta, and diminished blood flow to the brain with concurrently  
216 with decreased SV.

217 Morphological changes such as vascular anomalies, increased placental  
218 thickness and weight have commonly been seen in placentae of women with  
219 DM.[7, 21] A higher release of cytokines, such Tumor Necrosis Factor- $\alpha$ , an  
220 upregulation of inflammation related genes, increased concentration of  
221 vasodilator endogenous nucleoside adenosine and increased vascular  
222 endothelial growth factor involvement have been noted in these placentae.[7, 11,  
223 12] Increased size, vascularization and vasodilatation in FMDM placentae  
224 support the decrease in placental resistance noted in our study and the resulting  
225 alterations in uterine artery flow. Interestingly, no change in placental compliance  
226 was found suggesting the absence of fibrosis of tissue damage altering vessel  
227 and tissue elasticity.

228 In this study, we have shown that blood flow to the brain in FMDM is altered with  
229 higher brain resistance, lower MCA flow and lower relative cerebral placental  
230 blood volume. It is likely that these changes contribute to the functional and

231 developmental neurological abnormalities in FMDM that are seen in postnatal  
232 life. Electroencephalograms performed on neonates of MDM have been  
233 described to have features suggestive of abnormal development of brain function  
234 that correlate to maternal diabetes control.[22] Abnormal visual evoked  
235 potentials, lower cognitive scores and lower gross and fine motor achievements  
236 as well as higher attention deficits are seen in children born to MDM.[6, 23]  
237 We noted decreased SV in FMDM compared to FC. In a previous publication, we  
238 have noted a subclinical decrease in myocardial deformation in FMDM that  
239 further supports this finding.[3] There have been limited publications that evaluate  
240 CO in MDM. Previous fetal MCA and UA Doppler studies have not been able to  
241 demonstrate any changes in FMDM likely due to their limited and focused  
242 evaluations.[24, 25]  
243 The EFW in FMDM were comparable to FC. It is speculative if other circulatory  
244 abnormalities may be seen in FMDM who are large for gestational age or have  
245 evidence of intra-uterine growth retardation. The circulatory abnormalities in  
246 IUGR have been well characterized. A fascinating observation in this report was  
247 that, from 22 weeks GA, there seemed to a different trend in the change in  
248 circulatory parameters with GA between FMDM and FC (Figures 2-4). It is  
249 unknown if these alterations are a continuum of ongoing processes from the first  
250 trimester or if this GA represents a critical tipping point when the changes  
251 become irreversible.  
252 The observed decrease in stroke volume (and to a lesser extent cardiac output)  
253 is either related to myocardial dysfunction or to decreased demand from the

254 peripheral organs. Given that there is no evidence of pressure overload and that  
255 the enlarged placenta, with increased flow, likely increases oxygenation and  
256 nutrition, a decreased demand is most likely. Interestingly, this seems to go  
257 together with a trend of a blunted decrease in organ resistances/diameters (in our  
258 model, the brain and coronaries, from literature, possibly the kidneys as well),  
259 ultimately resulting in the decreased organ flow as clearly illustrated in the brain  
260 in FMDM and potentially predisposing them to post-natal problems when  
261 oxygenation and nutrition normalizes.

262 The present fetal circulation model does not account for changes that may occur  
263 because of other alterations in fetal milieu such as chemical and inflammatory  
264 markers and genetic influences in FMDM. However, since we used patient  
265 specific data to build the model and its boundary conditions (GA, EFW, heart  
266 rate, Doppler velocities and valve radius) to estimate the specific hemodynamic  
267 parameters variation for each individual fetus, we believe this provides a  
268 reasonable estimate of the circulatory adaptations in FMDM. Limitations of the  
269 model have been discussed in a previous publication.[14] The changes described  
270 in this study may not be applicable to all trimesters of pregnancy in FMDM.

271 Additionally, most mothers in the FDM group were well controlled. It is likely that  
272 some changes in the FDM were blunted because of the adequate glucose control  
273 in the mothers; it is speculative that the results may vary in the setting of  
274 inadequate maternal diabetes control. Despite these significant limitations, the  
275 novel application of these emerging methods suggests the potential for future  
276 applications in prospective studies.

277

278 This study provides a comprehensive evaluation of the circulatory remodeling in  
279 FMDM using patient specific computational modeling. Increased cerebral  
280 resistance and decreased placental resistance contribute to the reversal of CPR  
281 that is unique to FMDM. The prognostic impact of these findings is unclear at the  
282 present time, however, we believe this study is utilitarian to future investigations.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

283 **Acknowledgements:** None

284 A.K designed the study, collected data and wrote the manuscript. PGC designed  
285 the study, analyzed the data and edited the manuscript. ABK, JML, KB, BVL,  
286 MCL, OG researched data and aided in data collection. EG contributed to  
287 discussion. FC collected data and reviewed/edited manuscript. BB reviewed  
288 data, reviewed/edited manuscript.

289 **Funding:** The funders had no role in study design; in the collection, analysis and  
290 interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the  
291 article for publication. This study was partly supported by Ministerio de Economía  
292 y Competitividad (TIN2014-52923-R); Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI11/01709,  
293 PI12/00801, PI14/00226, PI15/00263; PI15/00130) integrados en el Plan  
294 Nacional de I+D+I y cofinanciados por el ISCIII-Subdirección General de  
295 Evaluación y el Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER) “Otra manera  
296 de hacer Europa”; the EU-FP7 for research, technological development and  
297 demonstration under grant agreement VP2HF (no611823); The Cerebra  
298 Foundation for the Brain Injured Child (Carmarthen, UK); AGAUR 2014 SGR  
299 grant nº 928; additionally the research leading to these results has received  
300 funding from “la Caixa” Foundation. P.G.C. was supported by the Programa de  
301 Ayudas Predoctorales de Formación en investigación en Salud (FI12/00362)  
302 from the Instituto Carlos III, Spain. B.V.A. was supported by Programa de Ayudas  
303 Postdoctorales from Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca [grant  
304 number: 2013FI\_B 00667].

305 **References**

- 306 [1] M.T. Donofrio, A.J. Moon-Grady, L.K. Hornberger, J.A. Copel, M.S. Sklansky,  
307 A. Abuhamad, B.F. Cuneo, J.C. Huhta, R.A. Jonas, A. Krishnan, S. Lacey, W.  
308 Lee, E.C. Michelfelder, Sr., G.R. Rempel, N.H. Silverman, T.L. Spray, J.F.  
309 Strasburger, W. Tworetzky, J. Rychik, Y. American Heart Association Adults With  
310 Congenital Heart Disease Joint Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular  
311 Disease in the, C.o.C.S. Council on Clinical Cardiology, Anesthesia, C. Council  
312 on, N. Stroke, Diagnosis and treatment of fetal cardiac disease: a scientific  
313 statement from the American Heart Association, *Circulation* 129(21) (2014) 2183-  
314 242.
- 315 [2] H.P. Gutgesell, M.E. Speer, H.S. Rosenberg, Characterization of the  
316 cardiomyopathy in infants of diabetic mothers, *Circulation* 61(2) (1980) 441-50.
- 317 [3] A. Kulkarni, L. Li, M. Craft, M. Nanda, J.M. Lorenzo, D. Danford, S. Kutty,  
318 Fetal Myocardial Deformation in Maternal Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity,  
319 *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International*  
320 *Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* (2016).
- 321 [4] U. Simeoni, D.J. Barker, Offspring of diabetic pregnancy: long-term outcomes,  
322 *Seminars in fetal & neonatal medicine* 14(2) (2009) 119-24.
- 323 [5] J.C. Bunt, P.A. Tataranni, A.D. Salbe, Intrauterine exposure to diabetes is a  
324 determinant of hemoglobin A(1)c and systolic blood pressure in pima Indian  
325 children, *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 90(6) (2005)  
326 3225-9.



- 327 [6] A. Ornoy, A. Wolf, N. Ratzon, C. Greenbaum, M. Dulitzky,  
328 Neurodevelopmental outcome at early school age of children born to mothers  
329 with gestational diabetes, *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 81(1) (1999) F10-4.
- 330 [7] L. Wedekind, L. Belkacemi, Altered cytokine network in gestational diabetes  
331 mellitus affects maternal insulin and placental-fetal development, *J Diabetes*  
332 *Complications* 30(7) (2016) 1393-400.
- 333 [8] S. Perrone, A. Santacroce, A. Picardi, G. Buonocore, Fetal programming and  
334 early identification of newborns at high risk of free radical-mediated diseases,  
335 *World J Clin Pediatr* 5(2) (2016) 172-81.
- 336 [9] C. Salomon, K. Scholz-Romero, S. Sarker, E. Sweeney, M. Kobayashi, P.  
337 Correa, S. Longo, G. Duncombe, M.D. Mitchell, G.E. Rice, S.E. Illanes,  
338 Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Is Associated With Changes in the Concentration  
339 and Bioactivity of Placenta-Derived Exosomes in Maternal Circulation Across  
340 Gestation, *Diabetes* 65(3) (2016) 598-609.
- 341 [10] P. Serradas, L. Goya, M. Lacorne, M.N. Gangnerau, S. Ramos, C. Alvarez,  
342 A.M. Pascual-Leone, B. Portha, Fetal insulin-like growth factor-2 production is  
343 impaired in the GK rat model of type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes* 51(2) (2002) 392-7.
- 344 [11] S. Akarsu, M. Bagirzade, S. Omeroglu, B. Buke, Placental vascularization  
345 and apoptosis in Type-1 and gestational DM, *The journal of maternal-fetal &*  
346 *neonatal medicine : the official journal of the European Association of Perinatal*  
347 *Medicine, the Federation of Asia and Oceania Perinatal Societies, the*  
348 *International Society of Perinatal Obstet* (2016) 1-6.

349 [12] L. Sobrevia, R. Salsoso, T. Saez, C. Sanhuesa, F. Pardo, A. Leiva, Insulin  
350 therapy and fetoplacental vascular function in gestational diabetes mellitus, *Exp*  
351 *Physiol* 100(3) (2015) 231-8.

352 [13] P. Garcia-Canadilla, F. Crispi, M. Cruz-Lemini, S. Triunfo, A. Nadal, B.  
353 Valenzuela-Alcaraz, P.A. Rudenick, E. Gratacos, B.H. Bijns, Patient-specific  
354 estimates of vascular and placental properties in growth-restricted fetuses based  
355 on a model of the fetal circulation, *Placenta* 36(9) (2015) 981-9.

356 [14] P. Garcia-Canadilla, P.A. Rudenick, F. Crispi, M. Cruz-Lemini, G. Palau, O.  
357 Camara, E. Gratacos, B.H. Bijns, A computational model of the fetal circulation  
358 to quantify blood redistribution in intrauterine growth restriction, *PLoS Comput*  
359 *Biol* 10(6) (2014) e1003667.

360 [15] M. American Institute of Ultrasound in, AIUM practice guideline for the  
361 performance of fetal echocardiography, *Journal of ultrasound in medicine : official*  
362 *journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine* 32(6) (2013) 1067-82.

363 [16] C. Firpo, J.I. Hoffman, N.H. Silverman, Evaluation of fetal heart dimensions  
364 from 12 weeks to term, *The American journal of cardiology* 87(5) (2001) 594-600.

365 [17] F.P. Hadlock, R.B. Harrist, Y.P. Shah, D.E. King, S.K. Park, R.S. Sharman,  
366 Estimating fetal age using multiple parameters: a prospective evaluation in a  
367 racially mixed population, *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 156(4)  
368 (1987) 955-7.

369 [18] D. Arduini, G. Rizzo, Normal values of Pulsatility Index from fetal vessels: a  
370 cross-sectional study on 1556 healthy fetuses, *Journal of perinatal medicine*  
371 18(3) (1990) 165-72.

372 [19] C. Schneider, B.W. McCrindle, J.S. Carvalho, L.K. Hornberger, K.P.  
373 McCarthy, P.E. Daubeney, Development of Z-scores for fetal cardiac dimensions  
374 from echocardiography, *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official*  
375 *journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology*  
376 26(6) (2005) 599-605.

377 [20] G. Pennati, R. Fumero, Scaling approach to study the changes through the  
378 gestation of human fetal cardiac and circulatory behaviors, *Annals of biomedical*  
379 *engineering* 28(4) (2000) 442-52.

380 [21] A. Ramos, F. Caimari, I.M. Pujol, A. Garcia-Patterson, G. Ginovart, J.M.  
381 Adelantado, R. Corcoy, In women with gestational diabetes mellitus factors  
382 influencing growth have a larger effect on placental weight than on birth weight,  
383 *European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology* 202 (2016)  
384 60-5.

385 [22] J.R. Castro Conde, N.L. Gonzalez Gonzalez, D. Gonzalez Barrios, C.  
386 Gonzalez Campo, Y. Suarez Hernandez, E. Sosa Comino, Video-EEG  
387 recordings in full-term neonates of diabetic mothers: observational study, *Arch*  
388 *Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 98(6) (2013) F493-8.

389 [23] M. Brinciotti, A. Napoli, A. Mittica, O. Bitterman, M. Matricardi, Cortical  
390 evoked potentials in children of diabetic mothers, *Exp Diabetes Res* 2011 (2011)  
391 640535.

392 [24] W.C. Leung, H. Lam, C.P. Lee, T.T. Lao, Doppler study of the umbilical and  
393 fetal middle cerebral arteries in women with gestational diabetes mellitus,

394 Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology : the official journal of the International  
395 Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 24(5) (2004) 534-7.

396 [25] M. Shabani Zanjani, R. Nasirzadeh, S.M. Fereshtehnejad, L. Yoonesi Asl,  
397 S.A. Alemzadeh, S. Askari, Fetal cerebral hemodynamic in gestational diabetic  
398 versus normal pregnancies: a Doppler velocimetry of middle cerebral and  
399 umbilical arteries, Acta Neurol Belg 114(1) (2014) 15-23.

400

401

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

402 **Figure legends:**

403 **Figure 1:** Regression plots illustrating left ventricular stroke volume (LVSV)(1A),  
404 right ventricular stroke volume (RVSV) (1B) and total stroke volume (SV) (1C) as  
405 a function of gestation age (GA)

406

407 **Figure 2:** Regression plots illustrating middle cerebral artery blood volume  
408 (MCA\_vol)(2A) and aortic isthmus blood volume (AoI\_vol) (2B) as a function of  
409 gestation age (GA)

410

411 **Figure 3:** Regression plots illustrating the modelled vessel diameters (relative to  
412 the expected value for gestational age (GA) from literature) and their changes  
413 with GA: A: aortic diameter (Ao\_diam); B: cerebral artery diameter (cA\_diam); C:  
414 umbilical artery diameter (uA\_diam)

415 **Table 1:** Baseline maternal, fetal and neonatal characteristics in diabetic and  
 416 control groups

	Diabetic group (n=23)	Control group (n=31)	P value
Gestational age at time of fetal echocardiogram (weeks)	26.8±3.8	28.1±4.1	0.24
Hemoglobin A1c	6.06±0.8%	-	-
Maternal BMI	32.5±7.4	23.8±4.02	<0.001
Estimated fetal weights (grams)	1164±683	1371±688	0.58
Birth weights (grams)	3411±554	3240±556	0.27

417  
 418

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

419 **Table 2:** Comparisons of (modeled and measured) flow related parameters  
 420 between fetuses of mothers with diabetic mellitus (FMDM) and fetal controls (FC)

	Variable	FMDM (n=23)	FC (n=31)	p value
Heart	<b>LV_VTI (cm)</b>	1.57 ± 0.96	2.19 ± 1.23	0.058 <sup>§</sup>
	<b>RV_VTI (cm)</b>	2.08 ± 1.14	2.78 ± 1.35	0.069 <sup>§</sup>
	<b>LV_SV (ml)</b>	1.57 ± 0.96	2.19 ± 1.23	0.058 <sup>§</sup>
	<b>RV_SV (ml)</b>	2.08 ± 1.14	2.78 ± 1.35	0.05*
	<b>SV (ml)</b>	3.65 ± 2.05	4.97 ± 2.45	0.04*
	<b>RCO (ml/min)</b>	303 ± 165	391 ± 183	0.07
	<b>LCO (ml/min)</b>	228 ± 138	307 ± 160	0.076 <sup>§</sup>
	<b>CCO (ml/min)</b>	531 ± 295	698 ± 326	0.06
	<b>HR</b>	147 ± 9	142 ± 10	0.05*
	<b>MCA_VTI (cm)</b>	6.44 ± 2.04	7.09 ± 2.17	0.48
Brain	<b>MCA_vol (ml)<sup>‡</sup></b>	0.12 ± 0.14	0.27 ± 0.21	0.01* <sup>§</sup>
	<b>MCA_vol/SV (%)<sup>‡</sup></b>	5.79 ± 3.94	9.08 ± 5.06	0.01* <sup>§</sup>
Aorta	<b>AoI_VTI (cm)</b>	11.6 ± 2.07	11.29 ± 2.62	0.82
	<b>AoI_vol (ml)<sup>‡</sup></b>	0.81 ± 0.48	0.78 ± 0.44	0.82
	<b>AoI_vol/SV (%)<sup>‡</sup></b>	23.07 ± 8.93	16.8 ± 5.83	< 0.01* <sup>§</sup>
Placenta	<b>UA_VTI (cm)</b>	10.57 ± 2.53	11.38 ± 3.71	0.86
	<b>UA_vol (ml)<sup>‡</sup></b>	0.64 ± 0.56	0.56 ± 0.27	0.54
	<b>UA_vol/SV (%)<sup>‡</sup></b>	34.1 ± 15.94	25.32 ± 11.7	0.01* <sup>§</sup>
	<b>MCA_vol/UA_vol<sup>‡</sup></b>	0.23 ± 0.20	0.46 ± 0.34	0.01* <sup>§</sup>

421 LV\_VTI: Velocity time integral (VTI) of left ventricular outflow tract Doppler,  
422 RV\_VTI: VTI of right ventricular outflow tract Doppler, LV\_SV: Left ventricular  
423 stroke volume, RV\_SV: Right ventricular stroke volume, SV: Stroke volume,  
424 RCO: Right ventricular cardiac output, LCO: Left Ventricular cardiac output,  
425 CCO: Combined left and right ventricular cardiac output, HR: Heart rate  
426 MCA\_VTI: VTI of middle cerebral artery Doppler, MCA\_vol: Middle cerebral  
427 artery blood volume/heartbeat, AoI\_VTI: VTI of aortic isthmus Doppler, AoI\_vol:  
428 Aortic isthmus blood volume/heartbeat, UA\_VTI: VTI of umbilical arterial Doppler,  
429 UA\_vol: Umbilical Artery blood volume/heartbeat , ‡ Modeled variables,  
430 \*Significant  $p \leq 0.05$ , § not-normally distributed.  
431

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT



432 **Table 3:** Comparisons of the modeled vessel diameters, organ resistances and  
 433 compliances between fetuses of mothers with diabetic mellitus (FMDM) and fetal  
 434 controls (FC)

Variable	FMDM (n=23)	FC (n=31)	p value
<b>Rplac/Rplac0</b>	0.59 ± 0.5	0.91 ± 0.41	<0.01* <sup>§</sup>
<b>Cplac/Cplac0</b>	1.54±0.78	2.12±1.35	0.07
<b>Rbrain/Rbrain0</b>	2.36 ± 1.66	1.60 ± 0.85	0.03*
<b>Cbrain/Cbrain0</b>	0.43±0.46	0.40±0.25	0.74
<b>RcorA/RcorA0</b>	1.64 ± 0.60	1.65 ± 1.10	0.09 <sup>§</sup>
<b>D_Aorta/D_Aorta0</b>	1.19 ± 0.25	1.07 ± 0.14	0.02* <sup>§</sup>
<b>C_Aort/C_Aorta0</b>	2.49±0.90	2.69±1.12	0.48
<b>D_cerA/D_cerA0</b>	0.73 ± 0.25	1.00 ± 0.33	< 0.01* <sup>§</sup>
<b>C_cerA/C_cerA0</b>	1.04±1.26	0.75±0.67	0.28
<b>D_UA/D_UA0</b>	1.18 ± 0.32	1.10 ± 0.19	0.35
<b>C_UA/CUA0</b>	1.87±1.14	1.80±0.84	0.80

435 Rplac: Placental resistance, Cplac: Placental compliance, Rbrain: Brain  
 436 resistance, Cbrain: Brain compliance, RcorA: Coronary arteries resistance,  
 437 D\_Aorta: Aorta diameter, C\_Aorta: Aorta compliance, D\_cerA: Cerebral arteries  
 438 diameter, C\_cerA: Cerebral arteries compliance, D\_UA: Umbilical arteries  
 439 diameter, C\_UA: Umbilical arteries compliance, \* Significant  $p \leq 0.05$ , <sup>§</sup> not-  
 440 normally distributed.

441 **Table 4: Linear Regression Analysis of Fetuses of Mothers with Diabetes**  
 442 **Mellitus (FMDM) and Fetal Controls (FC)**

Variable	R <sup>2</sup> FMDM (n=23)	R <sup>2</sup> FC (n=31)
<b>Rplac/Rplac0</b>	0.055	0.188
<b>Rbrain/Rbrain0</b>	0.024	0.095
<b>RcorA/RcorA0</b>	0.099	0.528
<b>LV_SV</b>	0.615	0.753
<b>RV_SV</b>	0.707	0.783
<b>MCA_vol</b>	0.395	0.596
<b>Aol_vol</b>	0.412	0.467
<b>UA_vol</b>	0.314	0.422
<b>CCO</b>	0.685	0.849

443 Rplac: Placental resistance, Rbrain: Brain resistance, RcorA: Coronary arteries  
 444 resistance, LV\_SV: Left ventricular stroke volume cardiac output, RV\_SV: Right  
 445 ventricular stroke volume, MCA\_vol: Middle cerebral artery blood  
 446 volume/heartbeat, Aol\_vol: Aortic isthmus blood volume/heartbeat, UA\_vol:  
 447 Uterine Artery blood volume/heartbeat, CCO: Combined left and right ventricular  
 448 cardiac output.