# Specific Complaints of the Global Domains of an **Integrated Hierarchical Model of Psychopathology**



Fusté, A., Ruiz, J., & Rodríguez, L.G. Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychobiology



afuste@ub.edu

#### **Objectives**

Verify which 'Specific Problems' (SP) of the Restructured Form of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 (MMPI-2-RF; Ben-Porath & Tellegen, 2008; 2009) are associated with each one of the four broad domains (Internalizing, Externalizing, Detachment, and Thought Disorders) of the Integrated Hierarchical Model of Psychopathology proposed (Markon, 2010; Fusté et al., 2012; Ruiz et al., 2013; 2018).

The clinical sample was comprised of 377 outpatients (55.7% women) aged 18 to 73 years old (M = 37.8; SD = 11.5) who were attending various psychiatric and psychology centers from Barcelona (Catalonia, Spain). They were all administered the MCMI-III (Millon, Davis, & Millon, 1997; 2007) and MMPI-2-RF (Ben-Porath & Tellegen, 2008; 2009) as part of their psychological assessment process.

Method

Results We performed zero-order correlations between each one of the four domains and the specific problems scales. Given that the significance of product moment correlations is an effect size measure (Meyer et al., 2002), the interpretation of relationships was made not only on the basis of statistical significance but also in terms of their magnitude. We, therefore, chose to emphasize only those correlations that reached or exceeded a large effect size (r > .50; Cohen, 1988) (Table 1).

Table 1. Zero-order correlations between each one of the four domains and the specific problems scales. Correlations  $\geq$  .50 are shown in bold type. All correlations  $\geq$  .50 are significant at p < .001

	MLS	GIC	HPC	NUC	COG	SUI	HLP	SFD	NFC	STW	AXY	ANP	BRF	MSF	JCP	SUB	AGG	ACT	FML	IPP	SAV	SHY	DSF
Internalizing	.66	.46	·55	.67	•75	.63	.58	.71	.66	•59	.67	.56	.50	.26	.12	.21	.48	•59	.51	.12	.26	.46	·39
Externalizing	.20	.19	.13	-35	.40	-42	-33	.38	.32	.29	.24	.52	.17	06	.60	.58	.65	.50	.40	14	.03	.21	.26
Detachment	-51	.14	.23	.15	.36	•35	.48	•57	.56	.27	.22	.06	.19	.16	13	04	00	04	.19	•57	•59	.64	-35
Though Disorders	.25	.24	.31	.46	.51	-49	.40	.40	-39	.32	.41	.42	.30	.11	-34	.32	•57	0.51	-43	11	.18	.32	.42

Next, we conducted several multiple regression analysis to examine how much of the factor variance could predict each set of specific problems. The collinearity was analyzed and the Durbin-Watson test was applied to determinate the possible inter-correlation among residuals.

F1: Interna	F1: Internalizing        tive variables      ΔR²      β      p        mplaints (COG)      .562      .136      .000        GFD)      .119      .140      .000        (1)      .079      .164      .000        mess (ANP)      .037      .117      .000					
Predictive variables	$\Delta R^2$	β	р			
Cognitive complaints (COG)	.562	.136	.000			
Self-doubt (SFD)	.119	.140	.000			
Anxiety (AXY)	.079	.164	.000			
Anger Proneness (ANP)	.037	.117	.000			
Suicidal Ideation (SUI)	.029	.150	.000			
Neurological complaints (NUC)	.021	.124	.000			
Inefficacy (NFC)	.015	.108	.000			
Disaffiliativeness (DSF)	.009	.078	.000			
Stress/Worry (STW)	.008	.100	.000			
Head Pain Complaints (HPC)	.004	.098	.000			
A June J D	00.					

F3: Detachment								
Predictive variables	$\Delta R^2$	β	Р					
Shyness (SHY)	.404	.222	.000					
Interpersonal Passivity (IPP)	.129	.215	.000					
Social Avoidance (SAV)	.093	.253	.000					
Self-Doubt (SFD)	.061	.179	.000					
Malaise (MLS)	.027	.208	.000					
Activation (ACT)	.023	183	.000					
Help/Hopelessness (HLP)	.021	.132	.000					
Adjusted	R <sup>2</sup> = .753							

The Internalizing domain (F1) is explained in an 88.1% by a combination of Internalizing and Somatic problems scales, with the Anxiety and Suicidality scales with higher weight. The analysis of collinearity reveals a low Tolerance for the Cognitive complaints (T= .43) and for Neurological complaints (T = .44). This could be explained by the high correlations between Cognitive complaints (COG) and NUC (r= .58), NFC (r= .57), SFD (r= .56) and ANX (r= .52). The Neurological complaints scale also correlates with high magnitude with HPC (r= .59) and COG (r= .58).

The Externalizing domain (F2) is explained mainly by Aggression, Behavioral problems, and Substance abuse scales in an 69.9%. The specific problems Juvenile Conduct Problems (JCP) and Substance Abuse (SUB) are the best predictors of this domain. Problems of collinearity were not detected.

F2: Externalizing								
Predictive variables	ΔR <sup>2</sup>	β	р					
Aggression (AGG)	.419	.190	.000					
uvenile Conduct Problems (JCP)	.147	.331	.000					
Substance Abuse (SUB)	.058	.234	.000					
Anger Proneness (ANP)	.045	.170	.000					
Self-doubt (SFD)	.026	.134	.000					
Activation (ACT)	.009	.078	.001					

Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> = .699

F4: Though Disorders									
Predictive variables	ΔR <sup>2</sup>	β	р						
Aggression (AGG)	.329	.236	.000						
Cognitive complaints (COG)	.108	.127	.010						
Disaffiliativeness (DSF)	.067	.211	.000						
Activation (ACT)	.021	.089	.039						
Malaise (MLS)	.018	225	.000						
Shyness (SHY)	.014	.141	.001						
Juvenile Conduct Problems (JCP)	.012	.129	.000						
Suicidal Ideation (SUI)	.011	.140	.001						
Head Pain Complaints (HPC)	.011	.124	.004						
Adjusted R2	82								

The Detachment domain (F3) is mainly explained in an 75.3% by Interpersonal problems (Shyness, Interpersonal passivity, and Social avoidance), and Internalizing problems (Self-doubt & Help/Hopelessness). Feelings of Malaise are also significant of this domain. No problems of collinearity. The Thought Disorders domain (F4) is explained in an 58.3% by a mixture of Externalizing (Aggression and Activation), Somatic/Cognitive (Malaise), and Interpersonal (Disaffiliativeness) scales. Once again, as in F1 the Cognitive complaints scale presents a low Tolerance (T=.43), maybe because it correlates with Activation ACT (r= .51), Suicidal Ideation (SUI) (r= .49), and Head Pain Complaints (HPC) (r= .43).

#### Conclusions

The specific complaints of the first three domains are consistent with their content, which supports the relationship between the complementary scales of Specific Problems (SP) and the Restructured Clinical (RC) Scales. The domain of the Thought Disorders (TD), instead, seems to be characterized by the heterogeneity of the complaints. However, such specific complaints are also consistent with the broad domain of 'Psychoticism' (Disaffiliativeness, Shyness, Aggression) of which TD are a specific part (evaluated with the RC6 and the RC8 scales).





ESSPD 5<sup>th</sup> International Congress on Borderline Personality Disorder and Allied Disorders 27 - 29 September 2018 | Sitges/Barcelona, Spain





## Specific Complaints of the Global Domains of an Integrated Hierarchical Model of Psychopathology

Ruiz, J., Fusté, A., & Rodríguez, L.G.

Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychobiology, Section of Personality, Assessment and Psychological Treatment, University of Barcelona (UB)



### References

- Ben-Porath, Y.S., & Tellegen, A. (2009). Inventario Multifásico de Personalidad de Minnesota-2 Reestructurado. Madrid, TEA ediciones (Original: Ben-Porath, Y.S., & Tellegen, A. (2008). MMPI-2-RF Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 Restructured Form. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.).
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Fusté, A., Ruiz, J., Santamaria, P., Cardenal, V., & Sanchez-López, M.P. (2012). Análisis de la convergencia entre los síndromes clínicos y los trastornos de la Personalidad evaluados con el MCMI-III y el MMPI-2-RF. IX Congreso Nacional de Trastornos de Personalidad. Zaragoza, España.
- Markon, K.E., (2010). Modeling psychopathology structure: a symptom level analysis of Axis I and II disorders. *Psychological Medicine*, 40, 273-288.
- Meyer, G.J., Finn, S.E., Eyde, L.D., Kay, G.G., Dies, R.R., Eisman, E.J., et al. (2002). Amplifying issues related to psychological testing and assessment. *American Psychologist*, *57*, 140-165.
- Millon, T., Davis, R.D., & Millon, C. (2007). Inventario Clínico Multiaxial de Millon-III.
  Madrid, TEA ediciones. (Original: Millon, T., Davis, R.D., & Millon, C. (1997).
  MCMI-III. Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory-III. Manual. Minneapolis, MN: NCS Pearson, Inc.).
- Ruiz, J., Fusté, A., Ferrando, P.J., & García, E. (2013). Confirmatory factor analysis of an integrated model of psychopathology assessed with MMPI-2-RF and MCMI-III. ISSID, Barcelona, Spain.
- Ruiz, J., Fusté, A., & Rodríguez, G. (2018). Integrated Hierarchical Model of Clinical Syndromes & Personality Pathology. Poster presented at the 5<sup>th</sup> International Congress on Borderline Personality Disorder and Allied Disorders. Sitges, Barcelona, Spain.