POWER-CANCELLATION OF CW-COMPLEXES WITH FEW CELLS

IRENE LLERENA

Dedicated to Pere Menal, in memoriam

Abstract	
	In this paper, we use the fact that the rings of integer matrices
	have the power-substitution property in order to obtain a power-
	cancellation property for homotopy types of CW-complexes with
	one cell in dimensions 0 and 4n and a finite number of cells in
	dimension 2n.

Introduction

Cancellation in homotopy is closely related to the genus. Recall that the genus of a homotopy type X is the set of all homotopy types Y such that the p-localizations of X and Y are homotopy equivalent for all primes $p: X_p \simeq Y_p$ [9]. If X and Y are in the same genus, we shall write $X \sim Y$. All the known examples of non-cancellation occur for homotopy types in the same genus. A relevant result in this line is the following.

Theorem 1 (Wilkerson [12]). Let X, Y, W be nilpotent co-H-complexes with finitely generated homology. Then

i)
$$X \lor Y \simeq X \lor W$$
 implies $Y \sim W$, and ii) $\bigvee^k X \simeq \bigvee^k Y$ implies $X \sim Y$.

In [10] Mislin characterized the genus of nilpotent co-H-spaces with finitely generated homology in the following way

$$X \sim Y$$
 if and only if $X \lor \bigvee S^{n_i} \simeq Y \lor \bigvee S^{m_j}$,

where the dimensions of the spheres are determined by the homotopy groups of X and Y. A similar result had been obtained by Molnar [11]

for CW-complexes with two cells, $S^n \cup_f e^m$, with attaching map f of finite order in $\pi_{m-1}(S^n)$. See also [7].

In [1] Bokor develops matricial methods in order to deal with spaces $S^{2n} \cup_f e^{4n}$ such that the attaching map is of infinite order. This lead him to prove the following.

Theorem 2 (Bokor [1]). Let

$$X_i = S^{2n} \cup_{f_i} e^{4n}, \quad Y_i = S^{2n} \cup_{g_i} e^{4n}, \quad i = 1, ..., H.$$

Suppose that f_i, g_j represent elements of infinite order in $\pi_{4n-1}(\bigvee^k S^{2n})$ if and only if $i \leq h$ and $j \leq h'$. Then

$$\bigvee^H X_i \simeq \bigvee^H Y_j$$

if and only if

- i) h = h'
- ii) $\bigvee_{h+1}^{H} X_i \simeq \bigvee_{h+1}^{H} Y_i$
- iii) There exists a permutation τ of $\{1, \ldots, h\}$ such that $X_i \simeq Y_{\tau(i)}$ for all i < h.

In [8] we tried to extend Bokor's result to complexes with one cell in dimensions 0 and 4n and k cells in dimension 2n. For $n \geq 2$, the result turned out not to be true. However, if we restrict ourselves to the p-local case, the theorem holds. The proof uses a technical lemma that is also used in the proof of a cancellation property for the ring $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ of matrices over the p-localization \mathbb{Z}_p of the ring \mathbb{Z} ([4, Th.2]). For our purpose, we state the following version of the main theorem in [8].

Theorem 3. Let

$$X_i = \bigvee^k S^{2n} \cup_{f_i} e^{4n}, \quad Y_i = \bigvee^k S^{2n} \cup_{g_i} e^{4n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, H.$$

Suppose that f_i, g_j represent elements of infinite order in $\pi_{4n-1}(\bigvee^k S^{2n})$ if and only if $i \leq h$ and $j \leq h'$. Then

$$\bigvee^{H} X_{i} \sim \bigvee^{H} Y_{i}$$

if and only if

i)
$$h = h'$$

ii) $\bigvee_{H}^{h} X_{i} \sim \bigvee_{H}^{h} Y_{i}$
iii) $\bigvee_{H}^{h} X_{i} \sim \bigvee_{H}^{h} Y_{i}$

In this paper we use a property of the rings $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{Z})$, the (left) power-substitution property, to prove the following theorems.

Theorem I. Let

$$X = \bigvee^k S^n \cup_f e^m \,, \quad Y = \bigvee^k S^n \cup_g e^m \,.$$

Assume that f, g are suspension elements of finite order in $\pi_{m-1}(\bigvee^n S^n)$. Then if $X \sim Y$, there is a positive integer t such that

$$\bigvee^t X \simeq \bigvee^t Y.$$

Observe that the converse holds by Theorem 1.

Theorem II. Let

$$X_i = \bigvee^k S^{2n} \cup_{f_i} e^{4n} , \quad Y_i = \bigvee^k S^{2n} \cup_{g_i} e^{4n} , \quad i = 1, \ldots, H.$$

Assume that f_i, g_j represent elements of infinite order in $\pi_{4n-1}(\bigvee^k S^{2n})$ if and only if $i \leq h$, $j \leq h'$. Then if

$$\bigvee^{H} X_{i} \simeq \bigvee^{H} Y_{i}$$

we have h = h' and there exist positive integers s, t_1, \ldots, t_h and a permutation τ of $\{1, \ldots, h\}$ such that

$$\bigvee^{t_i} X_i \simeq \bigvee^{t_i} Y_{\tau(i)}$$

for all $i \leq h$, and

$$\bigvee_{h+1}^{s} (\bigvee_{h+1}^{H} X_{i}) \simeq \bigvee_{h+1}^{s} (\bigvee_{h+1}^{H} Y_{i}).$$

Power-substitution

A ring E satisfies the "(left) power-substitution property" if given xa+b=1 in E there exist a positive integer t and a matrix $T\in \mathcal{M}_t(E)$ such that I_ta+Tb is an unit in $\mathcal{M}_t(E)$. Here I_t is the identity $t\times t$ matrix. All subrings of the rationals $\mathbb Q$ and in particular $\mathbb Z$, as well as all the matrix rings $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb Z)$, $n\geq 1$, satisfy power-substitution ([5, (2.9) and (3.4)]). So, given

$$XA + B = I_n$$

in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, there exist a positive integer t and a matrix $T \in \mathcal{M}_{nt}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$I_t \otimes A + T(I_t \otimes B)$$

is invertible. Here & denotes the Kronecker product

$$I_t \otimes A = egin{pmatrix} A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A & \cdots & 0 \\ dots & dots & dots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A \end{pmatrix}$$

Power-substitution implies power-cancellation in the following sense. If A, B, C are right R-modules and $\operatorname{End}_R(A)$ has the power-substitution property, then $A \oplus B \cong A \oplus C$ implies $B^t \cong C^t$ for some positive integer t.

Proof of Theorem I

Since f and g are suspension elements, they represent elements in $\bigoplus_k \pi_{m-1}(S^n) \subset \pi_{m-1}(\bigvee^k S^n)$. So, f and g are determined by column matrices x(f) and x(g) with entries in $\pi_{m-1}(S^n)$. It was shown in [7] that the mapping cones of f and g, X and Y, are in the same genus if and only if there exists an integer matrix A such that

$$x(g) = Ax(f)$$

and $(\det A, l) = 1$, where l denotes the order of f.

Let B be an integer matrix such that $AB = \det A I_k$, and take r, s such that $r \det A + sl = 1$. Since \mathbb{Z} has the power-substitution property, there exist an integer c and a matrix C such that

$$I_c \det A + C l$$
 is invertible.

Then

$$I_k \otimes (I_c \det A) + (I_k \otimes C l) = (I_c \otimes B)(I_c \otimes A) + (I_k \otimes C l) = V$$

is invertible and, by the power-substitution property of $\mathcal{M}_{ck}(\mathbb{Z})$, we obtain an integer d and a matrix D such that

$$I_d \otimes (I_c \otimes A) + D(I_d \otimes V^{-1}(I_k \otimes C l)) = U$$

has an inverse. So applying power-substitution once more, we obtain an invertible matrix of the form

$$Q = I_e \otimes (I_{cd} \otimes A) + E(I_e \otimes U^{-1}D(I_d \otimes V^{-1}(I_k \otimes C l))) = I_t \otimes A + T l$$
 where $t = cde$ and $T = E(I_e \otimes U^{-1}D(I_d \otimes V^{-1}(I_k \otimes C)))$.

 $Qx(f \lor \dots \lor f) = Q \begin{pmatrix} x(f) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & x(f) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x(f) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Ax(f) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & Ax(f) & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & A_{n}(f) \end{pmatrix} = x(g \lor \dots \lor g).$

Hence

$$\bigvee^t X \simeq \bigvee^t Y. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem II

First of all, recall that the homotopy type of each $X_i = \bigvee^n S^{2n} \cup_{f_i} e^{4n}$ is determined by the $k \times k$ integer matrix $H(f_i)$ of the associated Hilton-Hopf quadratic form and one one-column matrix $x(\sum f_i)$, the entries of which are suspension elements in $\pi_{4n}(S^{2n+1})$ [1]. Moreover, $\bigvee^H X_i \simeq \bigvee^H Y_i$ if and only if there exists a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\bigvee^{H} S^{4n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{1} \vee \cdots \vee f_{H}} \bigvee^{H} (\bigvee^{k} S^{2n})$$

$$\emptyset \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \varphi$$

$$\bigvee^{H} S^{4n-1} \xrightarrow{g_{1} \vee \cdots \vee g_{H}} \bigvee^{H} (\bigvee^{k} S^{2n})$$

with θ and φ homotopy equivalences. Let $\theta_{i,j}$ be the degree of

$$S^{4n-1} \xrightarrow{in_j} \bigvee^H S^{4n-1} \xrightarrow{\vartheta} \bigvee^H S^{4n-1} \xrightarrow{pr_i} S^{4n-1}.$$

The matrix $(\theta_{ij}) = \theta$ characterizes the homotopy class of θ . Let now ϕ_{ij} be the matrix of

$$\bigvee^k S^{2n} \xrightarrow{in_j} \bigvee^H (\bigvee^k S^{2n}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \bigvee^H (\bigvee^k S^{2n}) \xrightarrow{pr_i} \bigvee^k S^{2n} \, .$$

The homotopy commutativity of the previous diagram is equivalent to the following condition [1], [8].

where ϕ_{ji}^t is the transpose of ϕ_{ji} .

We know that f_i is of finite order if and only if $H(f_i)=0$. Hence, $\theta_{ji}=0$ for $j\leq h'$, i>h. Thus, $\det\theta=\pm 1$ implies $h\geq h'$ and by symmetry h=h'.

Now write the matrices of ϑ and φ in the form

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_1 & 0 \\ \Theta_3 & \Theta_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 & \Phi_2 \\ \Phi_3 & \Phi_4 \end{pmatrix} \; .$$

They are invertibles. Thus

$$C_1\Phi_1 + C_2\Phi_3 = I$$
 $C_3\Phi_2 + C_4\Phi_4 = I$

where $\begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{pmatrix} = \phi^{-1}$. By the power-substitution property of $\mathcal{M}_{kh}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k(H-h)}(\mathbb{Z})$, we can find positive integers r,s and matrices R,S such that

$$A = I_r \otimes \Phi_1 + R(I_r \otimes C_2 \Phi_3)$$

$$B = I_s \otimes \Phi_4 + S(I_s \otimes C_3 \Phi_2)$$

are invertibles. Consider the diagrams

$$\bigvee_{\zeta} (\bigvee_{\zeta} S^{4n-1}) \xrightarrow{r} \bigvee_{\zeta} (\bigvee_{\zeta} f_{i}) \xrightarrow{r} \bigvee_{\zeta} (\bigvee_{\zeta} (\bigvee_{\zeta} S^{2n})) \\
\downarrow_{\alpha} \\
\bigvee_{\zeta} (\bigvee_{\zeta} S^{4n-1}) \xrightarrow{r} \bigvee_{\zeta} (\bigvee_{\zeta} g_{i}) \xrightarrow{r} \bigvee_{\zeta} (\bigvee_{\zeta} (\bigvee_{\zeta} S^{2n}))$$

and

$$\bigvee_{h=1}^{s} (\bigvee_{h=1}^{H} S^{4n-1}) \xrightarrow{\bigvee_{h=1}^{s} (\bigvee_{h=1}^{H} f_{i})} \bigvee_{h=1}^{s} (\bigvee_{h=1}^{H} (\bigvee_{h=1}^{k} S^{2n}))$$

$$\downarrow_{h=1}^{s} \bigvee_{h=1}^{H} \bigvee_{h=1}^{k} (\bigvee_{h=1}^{k} g_{i})$$

$$\bigvee_{h=1}^{s} \bigvee_{h=1}^{H} \bigvee_{h=1}^{k} (\bigvee_{h=1}^{k} S^{2n}))$$

where ζ , ξ , α and β are homotopy equivalences with matrices $I_r \otimes \Theta_1$, $I_s \otimes \Theta_4$, A and B respectively. By checking the matricial conditions mentioned above (*), we can see that these diagrams are homotopy commutative. (See [8] for the details in a quite similar case). So, these diagrams induce homotopy equivalences

$$\bigvee^r(\bigvee^h X_i) \simeq \bigvee^r(\bigvee^h Y_i)$$
 and $\bigvee^s(\bigvee^H X_i) \simeq \bigvee^s(\bigvee^H Y_i)$

We may now assume that all the given spaces X_i and Y_i , $i=1,\ldots,H$, have attaching maps of infinite order. Let r be the maximum rank of the matrices $H(f_i)$ and $H(g_i)$ and assume that the rank of $H(g_1)$ is r. Since $\det\theta=\pm 1$, $\theta_{1l}\neq 0$, for some l and, hence, $\phi_{1l}H(f_l)\phi_{1l}^t=\theta_{1l}H(g_1)$ has rank r. Thus $rk\,H(f_l)=r$. Now, from the rest of the matricial conditions (*), one gets $\theta_{jl}=0$ if $j\neq 1$, and $\theta_{1l}=\pm 1$. For simplicity we suppose l=1.

Let us write the matrices of ϑ and φ in the form

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} & \Theta_3 \\ 0 & \Theta_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \Phi_2 \\ \Phi_3 & \Phi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let
$$\phi^{-1}=egin{pmatrix} C_1&C_2\\C_3&C_4 \end{pmatrix}$$
 . Thus
$$C_1\phi_{11}+C_2\Phi_3=I\quad \text{and}\quad C_3\Phi_2+C_4\Phi_4=I\,.$$

As before, we can find invertible matrices of the form

$$A = I_r \otimes \phi_{11} + R(I_r \otimes C_2 \Phi_3)$$
 and $B = I_s \otimes \Phi_4 + S(I_s \otimes C_3 \Phi_2)$.

Consider homotopy commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{c}
\stackrel{r}{\bigvee} S^{4n-1} & \stackrel{r}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{r}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} S^{2n}) \\
\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \alpha \\
\downarrow r \\
\downarrow V S^{4n-1} & \stackrel{r}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{r}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} S^{2n}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} S^{4n-1}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} S^{4n-1}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} S^{4n-1}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} S^{4n-1}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} S^{4n-1}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} S^{4n-1}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{H}{\bigvee} (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i} F_{i}) \\
\downarrow (\bigvee_{i} F_{i} F_{i}$$

where ζ , ξ , α and β are homotopy equivalences with matrices $\theta_{11}I_r$, $I_s\otimes\Theta_4$, A and B respectively. These diagrams induce homotopy equivalences

$$\bigvee^r X_1 \simeq \bigvee^r Y_1$$
 and $\bigvee^s (\bigvee^H_2 X_i) \simeq \bigvee^s (\bigvee^H_2 Y_i)$.

This, by induction, completes the proof of Theorem II.

Remark. The following question naturally arises in the study of cancellation: is $X \sim Y$ equivalent to $\bigvee_t X \simeq \bigvee_t Y$? (See [6] for an algebraic result of this kind). Theorem I and Theorem 1 give a positive answer for some co-H-spaces with few cells. For mapping cones X and Y of

elements of infinite order in $\pi_{4n-1}(\bigvee^k S^{2n})$, it follows from [8] Theorem 1 that $\bigvee^t X \simeq \bigvee^t Y$ implies $X \sim Y$. In this case, however, the converse is not always true. If, for instance, f and g are such that

$$H(f)=egin{pmatrix} 2p & 0 \ 0 & 2q \end{pmatrix}$$
 , $H(g)=egin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \ 0 & 2pq \end{pmatrix}$, $\sum f=0$ and $\sum g=0$,

where $p \neq q$ are prime integers, then $X \sim Y$ and $X \not\simeq Y$ (see [2]). But, since H(f) and H(g) are of maximum rank, it follows from [8] Theorem 2 that $\bigvee_t X \not\simeq \bigvee_t Y$ for all integers t.

References

- BOKOR, I., On genus and cancellation in homotopy, Israel J. of Math. 73 (1991), 361-379.
- BOKOR, I., On the connection between the topological genus of certain polyhedra and the algebraic genus of their Hilton-Hopf quadratic forms, Publicacions Matemàtiques 34 (1990), 323-333.
- 3. BOKOR, I., On mapping cones of suspension elements of finite order in the homotopy group of a wedge of spheres, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- 4. Evans, E. G., Krull-Schmidt and cancellation over local rings, Pac. J. of Math. 46, no. 1 (1973), 115-121.
- 5. GOODEARL, K. R., Power-cancellation of group and modules, Pac. J. of Math. 64, no. 2 (1976), 387-411.
- 6. Guralnick, R. M., The genus of a module, J. of Number Theory 18 (1984), 169-177.
- 7. LLERENA, I., Wedge cancellation and genus, Preprint.
- LLERENA, I., Wedge cancellation of certain mapping cones, Comp. Math. 81 (1992), 1-17.
- 9. MISLIN, G., "The genus of an H-space," Lect. Notes in Math. 249, Springer, 1971, pp. 75-83.
- 10. Mislin, G., Cancellation properties of H-spaces, Comm. Math. Helv. 49 (1974), 195-200.

- 11. MOLNAR, E. A., Relation between wedge cancellation and localization for complexes with two cells", *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 3 (1973), 141–158.
- 12. WILKERSON, C., Genus and cancellation, Topology 14 (1975), 29-36.

Departament d'Àlgebra i Geometria Facultat de Matemàtiques Universitat de Barcelona Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585 08007 Barcelona SPAIN

Rebut el 21 d'Octubre de 1991