#### 1 **RATE OF CHANGE FOR THE THERMAL ADAPTED INVERSIONS IN**

#### 2 DROSOPHILA SUBOBSCURA

- Goran Zivanovic<sup>1</sup>, Conxita Arenas<sup>2</sup> and Francesc Mestres<sup>3,4</sup> 3
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics, Institute for Biological Research õSinisa Stankovicö. 4
- University of Belgrade, Serbia. 5
- <sup>2</sup> Departament de Genètica, Microbiologia i Estadística. Secció Estadística. Universitat 6
- 7 de Barcelona. Barcelona (Spain).
- <sup>3</sup> Departament de Genètica, Microbiologia i Estadística. Secció Genètica Biomèdica, 8
- 9 Evolució i Desenvolupament. Universitat de Barcelona. Barcelona (Spain)
- <sup>4</sup> IRBio ó Institut de Recerca de la Biodiversitat. Universitat de Barcelona. Barcelona 10 (Spain).
- 11
- Corresponding author: 12
- Francesc Mestres (fmestres@ub.edu) 13
- Departament de Genètica, Microbiologia i Estadística. Secció Genètica Biomèdica, 14
- 15 Evolució i Desenvolupament.
- 16 Facultat de Biologia. Universitat de Barcelona
- 17 Av. Diagonal, 643
- 08028 ó Barcelona (Spain) 18
- 19 ORCID NUMBER: FM 0000-0002-9073-4862

### 21 Abstract

The changes of chromosomal inversion polymorphism composition of Drosophila 22 subobscura in samples from Apatin (Serbia) were studied in a 24-years interval (1994-23 24 2018). The variation was significant for all autosomes and directional, increasing the inversions considered as -warmø whereas those reported as -coldødecreased. 25 26 Furthermore, the Chromosomal Thermal Index (CTI), which allows studying the 27 thermal adaptation of the whole karyotype increased significantly in that period of time. These results were in agreement with the indicators of global warming in Apatin: a 28 trend to increase of the mean, maximum and minimum (this latter even significant) 29 temperatures, and an erratic pattern of rainfall (also usual in global warming). The 30 31 deviations from the Wright-Fisher model of genetic drift were used to consider the possible effect of migration or selection as evolutionary factors responsible for the 32 change in inversion frequencies. To quantify approximately the rate of change in the 33 34 frequencies, for each kind of inversions (-coldø -warmøand -non-thermal adaptedø), the difference in frequency between the Apatin samples obtained in 1994 and 2018 was 35 computed and then it was divided by the number of years elapsed. This rate was always 36 higher (from twice as many as thirty times more depending on the autosome) for 37 38 thermal adapted inversions (-coldøor -warmø) than the -non-thermaløadapted. From this study, it could be concluded that the chromosomal inversions of D. subobscura could 39 40 change (in composition and frequencies) in a predictable direction and a rather  $\pm$  apidø rhythm to adapt to the global warming scenario. 41

42

Keywords Chromosomal inversions, adaptation, selection, temperature, global
warming, evolutionary rate

## 45 Introduction

46 Chromosomal inversions were described for the first time more than one century ago and their adaptive potential was demonstrated by Dobzhansky in a large number of 47 observations and experiments (Dobzhansky 1970), including his outstanding series of 48 49 papers on the Genetics of natural populations (the whole compilation can be found in Lewontin et al. 1981). He and his team worked with Drosophila pseudoobscura, a 50 species distributed in the New World with a rich inversion polymorphism commonly 51 52 only in the third chromosome, although occasionally inversions in other chromosomes have been observed (Powell 1992, 1997). At the same time in Europe, researchers who 53 worked in evolutionary genetics used another species of the same genus, D. subobscura. 54 55 In its karyotype, this species presented a large number of inversions and different 56 combinations of them in the same chromosome (the so-called arrangements, although 57 we will use only the word õinversionsö in this paper to simplify) (Krimbas 1992, 1993). This karyotype is composed by four large acrocentric autosomes (named E, J, U and O), 58 the acrocentric sexual chromosome A (=X) and one dot, the only one without 59 60 inversions. The D. subobscura chromosomes A, E, J, U, O and dot correspond to the Muller elements A, C, D, B, E and F, respectively (Powell 1997). In the Palearctic 61 region, the frequencies of thirteen inversions presented significant correlation with 62 63 latitude, and this result could be attributed to selective processes producing adaptations 64 or to historic events (Krimbas and Loukas 1980; Krimbas 1992). The American colonization by D. subobcura (the species was first detected in 1978 in South America 65 and later in 1982 in North America, Prevosti et al. 1988) gave a clear answer to this 66 interesting question, the generation of latitudinal clines and in the same sense than those 67 observed in the Palearctic region, both in South and North America, in a short period of 68 time (in less than five years) strongly supported the hypothesis of selection as the main 69

mechanism producing these clines (Prevosti et al. 1985, 1988, 1989). Moreover in the
American continent, several inversions presented significant latitudinal clines although
they were associated with lethal genes (Mestres et al. 1990, 1992, 1995, 2001).
Although migration could be a response of the organisms to the environmental
conditions, only selection is adaptive. Therefore, natural selection was powerful and fast
producing this particular adaptive and geographical distribution of inversions in
America.

77 The chromosomal inversion polymorphism of *D. subobscura* proved also to be adaptive to climatic change. Orengo and Prevosti (1996) pioneered this research trying 78 79 to answer this interesting biological question: what is the response of selection acting on 80 inversions in front to climatic change? Later, other investigators working with this species confirmed their results and added more information to this fundamental and 81 82 very current issue (Rodríguez-Trelles and Rodríguez 1998; Solé et al. 2002; Balanyà et 83 al. 2004, 2006, 2009; Rezende et al. 2010; Orengo et al. 2016; Galludo et al. 2018). We focused to analyze this evolutionary problem in another area of the Palearctic region, 84 the Balkans. It has the particularity that it is a relatively isolated zone by distinct 85 mountain ranges and is considered a refuge for animals and plants during the last 86 glaciation (Taberlet et al. 1998; Hewitt 1999, 2000; Heckel et al. 2005; Alexandri et al. 87 2012; Kindler et al. 2018). Therefore, these conditions would allow studying singular 88 patterns of evolution that could be different from those of other Palearctic areas. We 89 studied different aspects of the adaptation of chromosomal inversions to global warming 90 91 in distinct Balkan populations, such as the variations of inversion frequencies over time (for each chromosome or for the whole karyotype using the *CTI* index), the influence of 92 several meteorological variables on these changes, the effect of inbreeding on thermal 93 94 adaptation, etc. (Zivanovic and Mestres 2010; Zivanovic et al. 2012, 2014a, 2014b,

2015, 2016; Arenas et al. 2018). However, one question still remained open on this 95 96 evolutionary topic: what is the rate of change in frequency of thermal adapted inversions due to natural selection? To obtain an answer was the main goal of the 97 98 present research. For this purpose, we have analyzed again the inversion polymorphism of the Serbian population of Apatin, previously studied in 1994 (Zivanovic et al. 2002), 99 to compare the changes in frequencies of both polymorphisms. Additionally, we had the 100 results from a small collection obtained in the years 2008 and 2009 (Zivanovic and 101 102 Mestres 2011), which allowed us to have a middle point in the 24 years elapsed between 1994 and 2018 and therefore to observe the variation pattern of inversions. 103

# 104 Materials and Methods

### 105 Fly sampling and preparation of chromosomes

Drosophila subobscura individuals from Apatin were collected on 18<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> June 106 107 2018 in the same place and month as the samples obtained in 1994, 2008 and 2009 108 (Zivanovic et al. 2002; Zivanovic and Mestres 2011). Flies were collected using 40 fermenting apple baits, from 6 a.m. to 8 a.m. and from 5 p.m. to 8 p.m. The sampling 109 110 site was a poplar (*Populus alba*) forest located in a swampy zone at the left bank of 111 Danube river (45°40øN, 19° 00øE), near the town of Apatin about 200 km NW of Belgrade. This region is known as Upper Danube wetland area, which is included in the 112 113 Ramsar List (list of wetlands of international importance). It is usually flooded producing a very humid habitat where the impact produced by the human being is small. 114 Samples from 2008 and 2009 were small because they were obtained in only one day 115 116 (Zivanovic and Mestres 2011). For this reason, they have been grouped and named 2008 + 2009.117

Wild males and sons of wild females were crossed in individual vials with virgin 118 119 females from the Kussnacht reference strain, which was homokaryotypic for the 120 standard arrangements in all five chromosomes (A, E, J, U and O). Third instar larvae from the F<sub>1</sub> were dissected, and polytene chromosomes were stained and squashed in 121 122 aceto-orcein solution. Chromosomal inversions were identified using the chromosomal maps of Kunze-Mühl and Müller (1958) and Krimbas (1993). To obtain the karyotypes 123 with a probability higher than 0.99, at least eight larvae were analyzed from the progeny 124 125 of each cross. All crosses were carried out at 18°C, 60% relative humidity and 12h/12h light/dark cycle. Finally, chromosomal inversions were classified as  $\div C\phi$ (cold adapted), 126 127  $-W\phi$ (warm adapted) and  $-N\phi$ (non-thermal adapted) following the criterion first introduced by Menozzi and Krimbas (1992), and verified by Rego et al. (2010) and 128 129 Arenas et al. (2018). 130 Climatic information and statistical analysis 131 132 Meteorological data from Apatin (mean, maximum and minimum temperatures, 133 insolation, and rainfall) were provided by the Serbian Republic Hydrometeorological Service. The information recorded for the period 1994-2018 was used in our analysis. 134 For the different samples from Apatin, the Index of Free Recombination (IFR) proposed 135 136 by Carson (1955) was used to estimate the degree of chromosomal inversion polymorphism and the Chromosomal Thermal Index (CTI) was computed to measure 137 the thermal adaptation of the whole karyotype (Arenas et al. 2018). This index is 138 139 defined by the expression:

140

141 where , and represent the total number of  $\div$ warmø,  $\div$ coldø and  $\div$ thermally 142 adaptedø chromosomes ( = + ). To test the hypothesis of equality in *CTI* 

= ------.

indexes a scored statistical test was also developed (for complete information on thisstatistic and the associated test see Arenas et al. 2018).

145	Fisherøs exact test was computed to compare the differences in chromosomal
146	inversion frequencies between samples from different years. This test was also used to
147	study the deviations from H-W expectations for the karyotypes obtained in 2018
148	collection. In all cases, the R function <i>fisher.test</i> was used and the corresponding $p$
149	values were obtained by means of a bootstrap (100,000 runs). In the case of multiple
150	comparisons, the FDR (False Discovery Rate) correction was applied according to
151	Benjamini and Hochberg (1995). In all analyses, p-values lower than 0.05 were
152	considered to be statistically significant.
153	Furthermore, to test whether the changes in the chromosomal inversions were
154	due to drift or to a deterministic factor (migration or selection) an analysis was carried
155	out using the Wright-Fisher model (Crow and Kimura 1970). According to it, the
156	expected mean frequency in generation $t$ , , given the initial mean frequency $p_0$ is,
157	= ,
158	and the variance is estimated by,
159	= 1- 1- 1- ,
160	which depends on the initial frequency, the number of generations $(t)$ and the effective
161	population size $(N)$ . In natural populations of <i>D. subobscura</i> , the number of generations
162	per year was estimated as five (Begon 1976; Mestres et al. 2001). With regard to N, for
163	Balkan and other central Palearctic populations in the peak of the species expansion (as
164	it is the case of the June samples from Apatin) a proper estimate would be 10,000
165	individuals (Begon 1977; Begon et al. 1980; Mestres and Serra 1991; Zivanovic et al.

166 2007; Araúz et al. 2009; Kurbalija-Novicic et al. 2013).

Finally, in order to have an approximate estimate, although not accurate, of the rate of change in the frequencies of chromosomal inversions, we have simply calculated, for each kind of inversions, the difference in frequency between the Apatin samples obtained in 2018 and 1994, and then it was divided by the number of years elapsed. Although it is a rough approximation it is useful for the aim of comparison between the different chromosomes. The unit obtained for this procedure is the variation in frequency *per* year.

174

# 175 **Results and Discussion**

Nowadays, there is evidence that unequivocally shows that climate change is a fact 176 177 (IPCC 2014) and a high consensus on the hypothesis of global warming exists among most scientists studying this topic (Cook et al. 2016). This is considered one of the most 178 serious problems that affect our planet (Ripple et al. 2017). However, for analyzing 179 180 whether the chromosomal polymorphism from Apatin changed over time according to global warming expectations, it is essential first to assess the magnitude of this climate 181 182 change in that location, that it is not under the direct influence of human activities 183 (Supplementary Table 1 and Figure 1). In Apatin, the temperatures recorded from 1994 to 2018 showed a trend to increase (Tmean: slope = 0.0405, t = 1.0396, p-value = 184 185 0.3093; Tmax: slope = 0.0313, t = 0.6331, p-value = 0.5329) and it was even significant 186 for Tmin (slope = 0.0736, t = 2.7506, *p*-value = 0.0114). Therefore, in only 24 years 187 temperatures increased, in agreement with global warming expectations. The insolation 188 remained rather stable over time (slope = 60.1012, t = 60.1014, p-value = 0.9201), and rainfall showed an irregular pattern, also a common effect of global warming. 189

190	The chromosomal polymorphism obtained in the present sample from Apatin,
191	with those from previous collections, is presented in Table 1. From a descriptive point
192	of view, the warm adapted $U_{\underline{1+8}+\underline{2}}$ , $E_{\underline{1+2+9+12}}$ inversions began to be found in 2008+2009
193	collection and increased in frequency over time. The warm inversion $O_{3+4+8}$ , was
194	detected for the first time in 2018. When comparing the chromosomal frequencies
195	between 1994 and 2018 and after carrying out the FDR correction, the differences in A
196	chromosome were not significant ( $p$ -value = 0.2637), but they were significant for the
197	others (J chromosome, $p$ -value = 7.320e-05; U chromosome, $p$ -value = 0.0124; E
198	chromosome, $p$ -value = 0.0124; O chromosome, $p$ -value = 0.0124). Considering all five
199	chromosomes together the difference in chromosomal composition is significant (p-
200	value = $0.0010$ ). This comparison over years can also be computed considering only
201	÷coldøand ÷warmøadapted inversions. Only the A chromosome was not significant (p-
202	value = 0.2927), whereas the other chromosomes were significant (J chromosome $p$ -
203	value = 7.320e-05; U chromosome <i>p</i> -value = 1.823e-10; E chromosome <i>p</i> -value =
204	9.444e-15; O chromosome $p$ -value = 1.4387e-06). To analyze whether these changes
205	could be attributed to a deterministic evolutionary factor (migration or selection) the
206	deviations from the Wright-Fisher model are presented in Table 2. Likely, three thermal
207	adapted inversions (U <sub>1+8+2</sub> , E <sub>1+2+9+12</sub> and O <sub>3+4+8</sub> ) reached Apatin by migration, because it
208	is considered that inversion arisen only once in natural populations (Krimbas 1993;
209	Powell 1997). Moreover, migration and gene flow have been reported in D. subobscura
210	natural populations (Latorre et al. 1992; Krimbas 1993, Pascual et al. 2001; Zivanovic
211	et al. 2007; Pegueroles et al. 2013). When the computation was applicable, analyzing
212	the remaining thermal adapted inversions, out of 12 of them 10 presented a frequency
213	out of the interval defined by $p_{exp}$ (the 2018 expected frequency) multiplied by two
214	times the standard deviation generated by the model. Thus, a deviation from the model

expectations is observed in most cases. Although migration has to be considered, 215 216 selection likely presents a preponderant role, because in all D. subobscura populations so far analyzed (from both, Palearctic region and America) the inversions which change 217 218 over time are usually the same, and their changes in frequency are in the same direction (Orengo and Prevosti 1996; Rodríguez-Trelles and Rodríguez 1998; Solé et al. 2002; 219 Balanyà et al. 2004, 2006, 2009; Zivanovic and Mestres 2010, 2011; Rezende et al. 220 221 2010; Zivanovic et al. 2012, 2014b, 2015; Orengo et al. 2016). Apatin population is not 222 an exception, and -warmøinversions increase in frequency, whereas -coldødecrease (Table 1). A particular case was the A1 inversion defined as ÷coldøadapted and 223 224 presenting a particular distribution from SE (high frequency) to NW (low frequency) in the Palearctic region (Krimbas 1993). In this study, its frequency did not change over 225 time and did not deviate from the prediction of genetic drift model. Almost absence of 226 227 frequency change for this inversion was also detected in a five years study in Barcelona 228 (Galludo et al. 2018), indicating a particular behavior that deserves to be studied in 229 future researches. Finally, two inversions described as not related with thermal 230 adaptation  $(U_{1+2+6} \text{ and } E_8)$  also deviate from Wright-Fisher expectations. In the Palearctic region, they showed a particular distribution. The  $U_{1+2+6}$  is most frequent in 231 232 Greece, but decreasing its abundance in concentric circles towards the periphery. On the 233 other hand, the E<sub>8</sub> presents a similar pattern but centered in Anatolia, where it is most abundant (Krimbas 1992, 1993). 234

235	The values for the CTI index were 60.347, 60.025 and 0.342 for the Apatin
236	samples of 1994, 2008+2009 and 2018, respectively. The differences were significant
237	when comparing 1994 <i>vs.</i> 2018 (test = 610.924; <i>p</i> -value = 1e-05), and 2008+2009 <i>vs.</i>
238	2018 (test = $63.865$ ; <i>p</i> -value = 1e-04). These <i>CTI</i> results corroborate the change in
239	abundance of thermal adapted inversions in accordance with global warming and are in

agreement with results obtained from other Palearctic populations, including those fromthe Balkans (Arenas et al. 2018).

The karyotypes observed in 2018, with those from previous collections in the 242 243 same population, are shown in Table 3. The 2018 sample did not present a significant 244 deviation from HardyóWeinberg equilibrium for any of the chromosomes (J 245 chromosome *p*-value = 1; U chromosome *p*-value = 0.9703; E chromosome *p*-value = 246 0.9901; O chromosome p-value = 1) or when considering all of them together (p-value = 1). Therefore, inversions seem to combine at random to constitute the genotypes of 247 the next generations. The IFR index decreased between 1994 and 2018 ( $84.62 \pm 1.55$ 248 249 and  $81.83 \pm 0.91$ , respectively), indicating a small increase in the amount of inversion 250 polymorphism (Krimbas 1992). Finally, when comparing the karyotypic composition between 1994 and 2018, it changed significantly for all chromosomes (J chromosome, 251 p-value = 0.0040; U chromosome, p-value = 0.0099; E chromosome, p-value = 0.0099; 252 253 O chromosome, p-value = 0.0099) and also for the whole karyotype (p-value = 0.0010). 254 All these results are in agreement with previous studies from this species showing that inversion chromosomal polymorphism changes according to global warming 255 expectations (Orengo and Prevosti 1996; Rodríguez-Trelles and Rodríguez 1998; Solé 256 257 et al. 2002; Balanyà et al. 2004, 2006, 2009; Rezende et al. 2010; Zivanovic and Mestres 2011; Zivanovic et al. 2012, 2015; Orengo et al. 2016; Arenas et al. 2018). 258

In the field of biological evolution, there are some interesting questions that are interrelated, how intense is the effect of natural selection and therefore what is the rate of the evolutionary change? For quantitative traits, many measures were developed (Haldane 1949; Gingerich 1983; Hendry and Kinnison 1999), but there are not for the changes in inversion frequencies. Although it is a rather inaccurate measure, only for the aim of comparison we have computed a simple rate of change for the inversion

265 frequencies. The results obtained when considering the inversions as -coldø -warmøand 266 inon-thermaløadapted are presented in Table 4. With the exception of the A chromosome, which shows a particular behavior for this trait (Zivanovic et al. 2015; 267 268 Galludo et al. 2018), all thermal adapted inversions (-coldøor -warmø) from the other chromosomes (J, U, E and O) changed in a rather similar rate (considering the absolute 269 270 value), but higher than the -non-thermaløadapted. In particular and considering the absolute values for the U, E and O chromosomes, it is interesting the comparison by 271 272 simply dividing the rate of -coldøor -warmøadapted inversion by that from the -nonthermaløadapted. For the U chromosome the values obtained are 3.9974 and 2.9872, 273 274 when using the -coldøand -warmøadapted inversions, respectively. The ratio of the 275 division was not so large for the E chromosome (3.1229 and 2.1244, respectively), and the largest values were obtained for the O chromosome (33.4474 and 32.4474). 276 277 Moreover, using the small sample from 2008+2009 as an approximate middle point of 278 the whole period studied (Table 4), it is possible to observe that depending on the 279 chromosome, the rhythm of change of the thermal adapted inversions (-coldøor -warmø) 280 is first #fastø and later #slowø(U chromosome) or vice versa (J and E chromosomes) or even rather constant (O chromosome). Likely, the small and random fluctuations 281 observed for the inon-thermaløadapted inversions would be due to genetic drift. 282

When considering microevolution (in the scale of short periods of years), the inversion chromosomal polymorphism seems to react very fast to changes in the environmental conditions. The supergenes present at this level of genetic variability could be an excellent and available substrate on which natural selection would easily act. In *D. subobscura* for instance, significant changes in frequency for the U chromosomal inversions according to global warming expectations were reported on a period of only seven years (Zivanovic et al. 2015). Significant variations were

290 commonly observed in periods of 20 to 30 years, in both Palearctic and American 291 populations (Solé et al. 2002, Balanyà et al. 2004, 2006, 2009). Another outstanding observation was the rapid formation (in few years) of latitudinal clines in the North and 292 293 South American regions colonized by this species, and in the same sense that those described in the Palearctic region long time ago (reviewed in Prevosti et al. 1988, 1989). 294 295 However, this was not the case for quantitative traits in the same species, which seemed 296 to respond slower than chromosomal inversions to the action of natural selection 297 (Pegueroles et al. 1995; Huey et al. 2000; Gilchrist et al. 2001). Probably, their genetic architecture and the distinct relation between genotype and phenotype would be the 298 299 responsible of this different adaptive behavior.

300 In summary our analysis, although preliminary, gives new insights in the knowledge of the potentiality of organisms to adapt to the general problem of global 301 302 warming. The chromosomal inversion polymorphism of D. subobscura is able to 303 change over time (in composition and frequencies) in front of this alteration of the 304 environment. Furthermore, this shift is rather predictable, because we could know quite accurately which inversions would be involved, in which direction and in which rate 305 306 (+rapidø) would change to adapt to the global warming scenario. As a conclusion, this 307 research provides valuable information on the adaptive capacity of organisms given the 308 anthropogenic activities responsible of the climate change in our World.

309

Acknowledgements We want to dedicate this research to Prof. A. Prevosti on the occasion of the centenary of his birth (1919ó2019). We especially thank the comments and suggestions of the anonymous reviewers who clearly improved the earlier version of the manuscript. This research was financially supported by grants from the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia (grant number 173025), the Ministerio de

Economía y Competitividad, Spain (CTM2017-88080 AEI/FEDER, UE) and the Generalitat de

316 Catalunya, Spain (2017SGR 1120 and 2017SGR 622).

318	Compliance with ethical standards
319	Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
320	
321	References
322	Alexandri P, Triantafyllidis A, Papakostas S, Chatzinikos E, Platis P, Papageorgiou N et
323	al. (2012) The Balkans and the colonization of Europe: the post-glacial range
324	expansion of the wild boar, Sus scrofa. J. Biogeogr. 39:7136723
325	Arenas C, Zivanovic G, Mestres F (2018) Chromosomal Thermal Index: a
326	comprehensive way to integrate the thermal adaptation of Drosophila subobscura
327	whole karyotype. Genome 61:73678
328	Araúz P, Mestres F, Pegueroles C, Arenas C, Tzannidakis G, Krimbas CB, Serra L
329	(2009) Tracking the origin of the American colonization by Drosophila
330	subobscura: genetic comparison between Eastern and Western Mediterranean
331	populations. J Zool Syst Evol Res 47:25-34
332	Balanyà J, Huey RB, Gilchrist GW, Serra L (2009) The chromosomal polymorphism of
333	Drosophila subobscura: a microevolutionary weapon to monitor global change.
334	Heredity 103:3646367
335	Balanyà J, Oller JM, Huey RB, Gilchrist GW, Serra L (2006) Global genetic change
336	tracks global climate warming in Drosophila subobscura. Science 313:177361775

337	Balanyà J, Solé E, Oller JM, Sperlich D, Serra L (2004) Long-term changes in the					
338	chromosomal inversion polymorphism of Drosophila subobscura. II. European					
339	populations. J Zool Syst Evol Res 42:1916201					

Begon M (1976) Temporal variations in the reproductive condition of *Drosophila* 

341 *obscura* Fallén and *D. subobscura* Collin. Oecologia 23:31647

- Begon M (1977) The effective size of a natural *Drosophila subobscura* population.
  Heredity 38:13-18
- Begon M, Krimbas CB, Loukas M (1980). The genetics of *Drosophila subobscura*

populations. XV. Effective size of a natural population estimated by three
independent methods. Heredity 45:3356350.

- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and
  powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B Methodol 57:2896300

349 Carson HL (1955) The genetic characteristics of marginal populations of *Drosophila*.

Cold Spring Harbor Symp Quant Biol 20:276 287

- 351 Cook J, Oreskes N, Doran PT et al. (2016) Consensus on consensus: a synthesis of
- 352 consensus estimates on human-caused global warming. Environ Res Lett 11: Article353 ID 048002
- 354 Crow JF, Kimura M (1970) An introduction to population genetics theory. Harper &
  355 Row Publishers, New York
- 356 Dobzhansky Th (1970) Genetics of the Evolutionary process. Columbia University
  357 Press, New York

358	Galludo M, Canals J, Pineda-Cirera L, Esteve C, Rosselló M, Balanyà J, Arenas C,
359	Mestres F (2018) Climatic adaptation of chromosomal inversions in Drosophila
360	subobscura. Genetica 146:4336441
361	Gingerich PD (1983) Rates of evolution: Effects of time and temporal scaling. Science
362	222:1596161
363	Gilchrist GW, Huey RB, Serra L (2001) Rapid evolution of wing size cline in

364 Drosophila subobscura. Genetica 112-113:2736286

- Haldane JBS (1949) Suggestions as to quantitative measurement of rates of Evolution.
  Evolution 3:51656
- 367 Heckel G, Burri R, Fink S, Desmet JF, Excoffier L (2005) Genetic structure and

368 colonization processes in European populations of the common vole, *M. arvalis*.
369 Evolution 59:2231ó2242

370 Hendry AP, Kinnison MT (1999) Perspective: The pace of modern life: measuring rates

of contemporary microevolution. Evolution 53:163761653

Hewitt GM (1999) Post-glacial re-colonization of European biota. Biol J Linn Soc
68:876112

Hewitt GM (2000) The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. Nature 405:9076913

- Huey RB, Gilchrist GW, Carlson ML, Berrigan D, Serra L (2000) Rapid evolution of a
- 376 geographic cline in size in an introduced fly. Science 287:3086309
- 377 IPCC (2014) Climate Change 2014. Synthesis Report. In: Core Writing Team, Pachauri
- 378 RK, Meyer LA (eds) Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth

379	Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC,
380	Geneva, Switzerland
381	Kindler C, Graciá E, Fritz U (2018) Extra-Mediterranean glacial refuges in barred and
382	common grass snakes (Natrix helvetica, N. natrix). Sci Rep 8:1821
383	Krimbas CB (1992) The inversion polymorphism of Drosophila subobscura. In:
384	Krimbas CB, Powell JR (ed) Drosophila inversion polymorphism. CRC Press,
385	Boca Raton (FL), USA, pp 1276220
386	Krimbas CB (1993) Drosophila subobscura. Biology, Genetics and inversion
387	polymorphism. Verlag Dr. Kovac, Hamburg, Germany
388	Krimbas CB, Loukas M (1980) The inversion polymorphism of Drosophila subobscura.
389	Evol Biol 12:1636234
390	Kunze-Mühl E, Müller E (1958) Weitere Untersuchungen uber die chromosomale
391	Struktur und die naturlichen Strukturtypen von Drosophila subobscura.
392	Chromosoma 9:559 570
393	Kurbalija-Novicic Z, Jelic M, Savic T, Savic-Veselinovic M, Dimitrijevic D, Jovanovic
394	M et al. (2013) Effective population size in Drosophila subobscura: ecological
395	and molecular approaches. J of Biol Res 19:65674
396	Latorre A, Hernandez C, Martinez D, Castro JA, Ramón M, Moya A (1992) Population
397	structure and mitochondrial DNA gene flow in Old World populations of
398	Drosophila subobscura. Heredity 68:15624
399	Lewontin RC, Moore JA, Provine WB, Wallace B (eds.) (1981) Dobzhankyøs genetics
400	of natural populations IóXLIII. Columbia University Press, New York

- 401 Menozzi P, Krimbas CB (1992) The inversion polymorphism of *D. subobscura* 402 revisited: Synthetic maps of gene arrangement frequencies and their
- 403 interpretation. J Evol Biol 5:6256641
- 404 Mestres F, Serra, L (1991) Lethal allelism in *Drosophila subobscura*: difficulties in the
- 405 estimation of certain population parameters. Z zool Syst Evolut-forsch 29:264-279
- 406 Mestres F, Pegueroles G, Prevosti A, Serra L (1990) Colonization of America by *D*.
- 407 *subobscura*: lethal genes and the problem of the O<sub>5</sub> inversion. Evolution 44:18236
  408 1836
- 409 Mestres F, Balanyà J, Segarra C, Prevosti A, Serra L (1992) Colonization of America
- by *D. subobscura*: analysis of the O<sub>5</sub> inversions from Europe and America and
  their implications for the colonizing process. Evolution 46:156461568
- Mestres F, Serra L, Ayala FJ (1995) Colonization of the Americas by *D. subobscura*:
  lethal-gene allelism and association with chromosomal arrangements. Genetics
  140:129761305
- 415 Mestres F, Balanyà J, Arenas C, Solé E, Serra L (2001) Colonization of America by D.
- 416 *subobscura*: heterotic effect of chromosomal arrangements revealed by the
- 417 persistence of lethal genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:916769170
- 418 Orengo DJ, Prevosti A (1996) Temporal changes in chromosomal polymorphism of
- 419 *Drosophila subobscura* related to climatic changes. Evolution 50:134661350
- 420 Orengo DJ, Puerma E, Aguadé M (2016) Monitoring chromosomal polymorphism in
   421 *Drosophila subobscura* over 40 years. Entomol Sci 19:2156221
- 422 Pascual M, Aquadro CF, Soto V, Serra L (2001) Microsatellite variation in colonizing
  423 and Palearctic populations of *Drosophila subobscura*. Mol Biol Evol 18:7316740

424	Pegueroles C, Aquadro CF, Mestres F, Pascual M (2013) Gene flow and gene flux
425	shape evolutionary patterns of variation in Drosophila subobscura. Heredity
426	110:520-529
427	Pegueroles G, Papaceit M, Quintana A, Guillén A, Prevosti A, Serra L (1995) An
428	experimental study of evolution in progress: clines for quantitative traits in
429	colonizing and Palearctic populations of Drosophila. Evol Ecol 9:4536465
430	Powell JR (1992) Inversion polymorphism in Drosophila pseudoobscura and
431	Drosophila persimilis. In Krimbas CB, Powell JR (eds) Drosophila inversion
432	polymorphism. CRC Press, Boca Raton (FL), USA, pp 73ó126
433	Powell JR (1997) Progress and prospects in Evolutionary Biology. The Drosophila
434	model. Oxford University Press, New York
435	Prevosti A, Ribó G, Serra L, Aguadé M, Balañá J, Monclús M, Mestres F (1988)
436	Colonization of America by Drosophila subobscura: Experiment in natural
437	populations that supports the adaptive role of chromosomal inversion
438	polymorphism. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85:559765600
439	Prevosti A, Serra L, Aguadé M, Ribó G, Mestres F, Balañá J, Monclús M (1989)
440	Colonization and establishment of the Palearctic species Drosophila subobscura
441	in North and South America. In: Fontdevila A (ed) Evolutionary Biology of
442	transient unstable populations. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 1146129
443	Prevosti A, Serra L, Ribó G, Aguadé M, Sagarra E, Monclús M, García MP (1985) The
444	colonization of Drosophila subobscura in Chile. II. Clines in the chromosomal
445	arrangements. Evolution 39:838ó844

446	Rego C, Balanyà J, Fragata I, Matos M, Rezende EL, Santos M (2010) Clinal patterns
447	of chromosomal inversion polymorphisms in Drosophila subobscura are partly
448	associated with thermal preferences and heat stress resistance. Evolution
449	64:3856397
450	Rezende EL, Balanyà J, Rodríguez-Trelles F, Rego C, Fragata I, Matos M et al. (2010)
451	Climate change and chromosomal inversions in Drosophila subobscura. Clim Res
452	43:1036114
453	Ripple WJ, Wolf C, Newsome TM et al. (2017) World scientistsøwarning to Humanity:
454	A second notice. BioScience 67:102661028
455	Rodríguez-Trelles F, Rodríguez MA (1998) Rapid microevolution and loss of
456	chromosomal diversity in Drosophila in response to climate warming. Evol Ecol
457	12:8296838
458	Solé E, Balanyà J, Sperlich D, Serra L (2002) Long-term changes in the chromosomal
459	inversion polymorphism of Drosophila subobscura. I. Mediterranean populations
460	from Southwestern Europe. Evolution 56: 830ó835
461	Taberlet P, Fumagalli L, Wust-Saucy A-G, Cosson JF (1998) Comparative
462	phylogeography and postglacial colonization routes in Europe. Mol Ecol 7:4536
463	464
464	Zivanovic G, Mestres F (2010) Viabilities of Drosophila subobscura homo- and
465	heterokaryotypes at optimal and stress temperatures. I. Analysis over several
466	years. Hereditas 147:70 81

467	Zivanovic G, Mestres F (2011) Changes in chromosomal polymorphism and global
468	warming: The case of Drosophila subobscura from Apatin (Serbia). Genet Mol
469	Biol 34:4896495

- 470 Zivanovic G, Andjelkovic M, Marinkovic D (2002) Chromosomal inversion
- 471 polymorphism of *Drosophila subobscura* from south-eastern part of Europe. J
- 472 Zool Syst Evol Res 40:201ó204
- Zivanovic G, Arenas C, Mestres F (2007) The genetic structure of Balkan populations
  of *Drosophila subobscura*. Hereditas 144:1206128
- 475 Zivanovic G, Arenas C, Mestres F (2012) Short- and long-term changes in
- 476 chromosomal inversion polymorphism and global warming: *Drosophila*

477 *subobscura* from the Balkans. Isr J Ecol Evol 58:289ó311

- Zivanovic G, Arenas C, Mestres F (2014a) Inbreeding and thermal adaptation in *Drosophila subobscura*. Genome 57:4816488
- 480 Zivanovic G, Arenas C, Mestres F (2014b) Inversion polymorphism in two Serbian
- 481 natural populations of *Drosophila subobscura*: analysis of long term changes.
- 482 Russ. J. Genet. 50:638ó644
- 483 Zivanovic G, Arenas C, Mestres F (2015) Medium-term changes in Drosophila
- 484 *subobscura* chromosomal inversion polymorphism: a possible relation with global
- 485 warming? J Genet 94:3436346
- 486 Zivanovic G, Arenas C, Mestres F (2016) Individual inversions or their combinations:
- 487 which is the main selective target in a natural population of *Drosophila*
- 488 subobscura? J Evol Biol 29:657ó664

- **Table 1** Frequencies of chromosomal arrangements of *D. subobscura* in Apatin for the
- 490 month of June from three different periods (1994, 2008+2009 and 2018).

		1994		2008+2009		2018	
Chrom. arrangement	Thermal adapt.	n	%	n	%	n	%
A <sub>st</sub>	С	25	50.0	10	50.0	34	37.8
$A_1$	С	21	42.0	9	45.0	42	46.7
$A_2$	W	4	8.0	1	5.0	14	15.5
Total		50		20		90	
$\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{st}}$	С	39	39.0	15	37.5	27	15.0
$\mathbf{J}_1$	W	61	61.0	25	62.5	153	85.0
Total		100		40		180	
$U_{st}$	С	52	52.0	11	27.5	26	14.4
$\mathbf{U}_1$	Ν	1	1.0	0	0	0	0
$U_{\underline{1}+\underline{2}}$	W	23	23.0	19	47.5	77	42.8
$U_{\underline{1+2+6}}$	Ν	24	24.0	7	17.5	62	34.4
$U_{\underline{1+8}+\underline{2}}$	W	0	0	3	7.5	15	8.3
Total		100		40		180	

$E_{st}$	С	70	70.0	25	62.5	36	20.0
E <sub>1+2</sub>	Ν	2	2.0	0	0	12	6.7
E <sub>1+2+9</sub>	W	11	11.0	6	15.0	65	36.1
$E_{\underline{1+2+9+12}}$	W	0	0	2	5.0	16	8.9
$E_8$	Ν	17	17.0	7	17.5	51	28.3
Total		100		40		180	
O <sub>st</sub>	С	61	61.0	16	40.0	55	30.5
$O_6$	Ν	6	6.0	0	0	0	0
O <sub>22</sub>	Ν	0	0	1	2.5	0	0
O <u>3+4</u>	W	23	23.0	16	40.0	83	46.1
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{1}}$	W	8	8.0	2	5.0	21	11.7
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{2}}$	Ν	2	2.0	0	0	0	0
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{6}}$	Ν	0	0	0	0	8	4.4
O <u>3+4+8</u>	W	0	0	0	0	5	2.8
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{22}}$	Ν	0	0	5	12.5	8	4.4
Total		100		40		180	

492 n = number of chromosomes.

493 With regard to thermal adaptation +Cø, +Wø and +Nø stand for +coldø, +warmø and +non-

494 thermaløadapted, respectively. This classification was obtained following the criterion

495 of Menozzi and Krimbas (1992) and Rego et al. (2010).

496

498	Table 2 The Fisher-Wright expectations for the D. subobscura inversion chromosomal
499	frequencies from Apatin. The variables are: $p_0$ (initial frequencies observed in 1994),
500	$p_{exp}$ (expected frequencies in 2018 according to the model) and $p_{obs}$ (observed
501	frequencies in 2018). SD stands for standard deviation calculated according to the
502	model. The values of $p_{obs}$ are presented in bold when they are not included in the
503	interval $p_{exp} \pm 2 * SD$ .

Chrom. arrang.	Thermal adapt.	$p_0$	$p_{exp}$	2*SD	$p_{exp} \pm 2*SD$	$p_{obs}$
A <sub>st</sub>	С	0.50	0.50	0.08	[0.42, 0.58]	0.38
$A_1$	С	0.42	0.42	0.08	[0.34, 0.50]	0.47
$A_2$	W	0.08	0.08	0.04	[0.04, 0.12]	0.16
$\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{st}}$	С	0.39	0.39	0.08	[0.31, 0.47]	0.15
$J_1$	W	0.61	0.61	0.08	[0.53, 0.69]	0.85
$\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{st}}$	С	0.52	0.52	0.08	[0.44, 060]	0.14
$U_1$	Ν	0.01	0.01	0.02	[-0.01, 0.03]	0.00
$U_{\underline{1}+\underline{2}}$	W	0.23	0.23	0.07	[0.16, 0.30]	0.43
U <u>1+2+6</u>	Ν	0.24	0.24	0.07	[0.17, 0.31]	0.34
$U_{\underline{1+8}+\underline{2}}$	W	0.00	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.08
E <sub>st</sub>	С	0.70	0.70	0.07	[0.63, 0.77]	0.20
E <sub>1+2</sub>	Ν	0.02	0.02	0.02	[0.00, 0.04]	0.07
E <sub>1+2+9</sub>	W	0.11	0.11	0.05	[0.06, 0.16]	0.36
$E_{\underline{1+2+9+12}}$	W	0.00	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.09
$E_8$	Ν	0.17	0.17	0.06	[0.11, 0.23]	0.28

O <sub>st</sub>	С	0.61	0.61	0.08	[0.53, 0.69]	0.31
$O_6$	Ν	0.06	0.06	0.04	[0.02, 0.10]	0.00
O <sub>22</sub>	Ν	0.00	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
O <u>3+4</u>	W	0.23	0.23	0.07	[0.16, 0.30]	0.46
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{1}}$	W	0.08	0.08	0.04	[0.04, 0.12]	0.12
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{2}}$	Ν	0.02	0.02	0.02	[0.00, 0.04]	0.00
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{6}}$	Ν	0.00	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.04
O <u>3+4+8</u>	W	0.00	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.03
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{22}}$	Ν	0.00	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.04

506 With regard to thermal adaptation ÷Cø, ÷Wø and ÷Nø stand for ÷coldø, ÷warmø and ÷non-507 thermalø adapted, respectively.

N/A = not applicable.

- **Table 3** Frequencies of chromosomal karyotypes of *D. subobscura* in Apatin for the
- 512 month of June from three different years (1994, 2008+2009 and 2018).

	1994		2008+2009		2018	
Karyotypes	n	%	n	%	n	%
$J_{st}/J_{st}$	9	18.0	2	10.0	2	2.2
$J_{st}/J_1$	21	42.0	11	55.0	23	25.5
$\mathbf{J}_1/\mathbf{J}_1$	20	40.0	7	35.0	65	72.2
Total	50		20		90	
$U_{st}/U_{st}$	17	34.0	0	0	4	4.4
$U_{st}\!/U_{\underline{1}+\underline{2}}$	10	20.0	7	35.0	10	11.1
$U_{\text{st}}\!/U_{\underline{1+2+6}}$	8	16.0	2	10.0	6	6.7
$U_{st}\!/U_{\underline{1+8}+\underline{2}}$	0	0	2	10.0	2	2.2
$U_1/U_{\underline{1}+\underline{2}}$	1	2.0	0	0	0	0
$U_{\underline{1}+\underline{2}}/U_{\underline{1}+\underline{2}}$	3	6.0	4	20.0	19	21.1
$U_{\underline{1}+\underline{2}}/U_{\underline{1+2+6}}$	6	12.0	3	15.0	23	25.5
$U_{\underline{1}+\underline{2}}/U_{\underline{1+8}+\underline{2}}$	0	0	1	5.0	6	6.7
$U_{\underline{1+2+6}}/U_{\underline{1+2+6}}$	5	10.0	1	5.0	13	14.4
$U_{\underline{1+2+6}}/U_{\underline{1+8}+\underline{2}}$	0	0	0	0	7	7.8
Total	50		20		90	

$E_{st}/E_{st}$	29	58.0	6	30.0	8	8.9
$E_{\text{st}}/E_{\underline{1+2}}$	1	2.0	0	0	1	1.1
$E_{\text{st}}/E_{\underline{1+2+9}}$	8	16.0	6	30.0	9	10.0
$E_{\text{st}}\!/E_{\underline{1+2+9+12}}$	0	0	2	10.0	1	1.1
$E_{\text{st}}/E_8$	3	6.0	5	25.0	9	10.0
$E_{1+2}/E_{1+2+9}$	0	0	0	0	4	4.4
$E_{1+2}/E_8$	1	2.0	0	0	7	7.8
$E_{\underline{1+2+9}}/E_{\underline{1+2+9}}$	2	4.0	0	0	14	15.5
$E_{\underline{1+2+9/}}E_{\underline{1+2+9+12}}$	0	0	0	0	9	10.0
$E_{1+2+9}/E_8$	5	10.0	0	0	15	16.7
$E_{\underline{1+2+9+12}}/E_{\underline{1+2+9+12}}$	0	0	0	0	1	1.1
$E_{\underline{1+2+9+12}}/E_8$	0	0	0	0	4	4.4
$E_{8}/E_{8}$	1	2.0	1	5.0	8	8.9
Total	50		20		90	
$O_{st}/O_{st}$	22	44.0	2	10.0	9	10.0
$O_{st}/O_6$	4	8.0	0	0	0	0
$O_{st}/O_{22}$	0	0	1	5.0	0	0
$O_{st}/O_{\underline{3+4}}$	7	14.0	9	45.0	23	25.5
$O_{st}\!/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{1}}$	4	8.0	1	5.0	7	7.8
$O_{st}\!/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{2}}$	2	4.0	0	0	0	0
$O_{st}\!/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{6}}$	0	0	0	0	1	1.1
$O_{st}/O_{\underline{3+4+8}}$	0	0	0	0	3	3.3
$O_{st}\!/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{22}}$	0	0	1	5.0	3	3.3
$O_6/O_{3+4}$	1	2.0	0	0	0	0

$O_6\!/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{1}}$	1	2.0	0	0	0	0
$O_{\underline{3+4}}/O_{\underline{3+4}}$	7	14.0	2	10.0	19	21.1
$O_{\underline{3+4}}/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{1}}$	1	2.0	0	0	12	13.3
$O_{\underline{3+4}}/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{6}}$	0	0	0	0	4	4.4
$O_{3+4}/O_{3+4+8}$	0	0	0	0	2	2.2
$O_{3+4}/O_{3+4+22}$	0	0	3	15.0	4	4.4
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{1}}/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{1}}$	1	2.0	0	0	0	0
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{1}}/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{6}}$	0	0	0	0	2	2.2
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{1}}/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{22}}$	0	0	1	5.0	0	0
$O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{6}}/O_{\underline{3+4}+\underline{22}}$	0	0	0	0	1	1.1
Total	50		20		90	

n = number of chromosomes.

Table 4 Variation in frequency for the ÷coldø, ÷warmøand ÷non-thermaløinversions and
arrangements of *D. subobscura* in the June samples of 1994, 2008+2009 and 2018 from
Apatin. In the last column, the rate of change is presented.

Chromosomes	1994	2008+2009 2018 Rate		Rate
A Cold	0.920	0.950 0.845 ó0.		ó0.00313
A Warm	0.080	0.059	0.156	0.00317
J Cold	0.390	0.375	0.150	ó0.01000
J Warm	0.610	0.625	0.850	0.01000
U Cold	0.520	0.275	0.144	ó0.01567
U Warm	0.230	0.550	0.511	0.01171
U Non-thermal	0.250	0.175	0.344	0.00392
E Cold	0.700	0.625	0.200	ó0.02083
E Warm	0.110	0.200	0.450	0.01417
E Non-thermal	0.190	0.175	0.350	0.00667
O Cold	0.610	0.400	0.305	ó0.01271
O Warm	0.310	0.450	0.606	0.01233
O Non-thermal	0.080	0.150	0.089	0.00038

*Rate* stands for the difference in frequency between the samples obtained in 2018 and1994, and then divided by the number of years elapsed.

- 522 Chromosomal inversions were classified as :Coldø :Warmøand :Non-thermalø(non-
- thermal adapted) following the criterion of Menozzi and Krimbas (1992) and Rego et al.
- 524 (2010).
- 525
- 526

527
-----