

CAIXA 81.49

UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA

FACULTAT DE MATEMÀTIQUES

ON POLYNOMIAL BOUNDS FOR THE KOSZUL  
HOMOLOGY OF CERTAIN MULTIPLICITY SYSTEMS

by

J.-L. GARCIA ROIG

BIBLIOTECA DE LA UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA



0701570651

1

PRE-PRINT N.º 34

ENERO 1986



ON POLYNOMIAL BOUNDS FOR THE KOSZUL  
HOMOLOGY OF CERTAIN MULTIPLICITY SYSTEMS



J.-L. GARCIA ROIG

§1. Introduction In this paper  $R$  will be a commutative Noetherian local ring.

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in R$  a multiplicity system on  $M$ , which means that  $\text{length}_R(M/IM) < \infty$ , where  $I$  is the  $R$ -ideal generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ . We will tacitly assume that no  $x_i$  is a unit, for, otherwise the functions to be considered in what follows would be all zero.

It is well-known that the function  $n \mapsto \text{length}_R(M/I^n M)$  is polynomial of degree equal to the dimension  $d$  of  $M$ , for  $n$  large ( $d$  is necessarily less than or equal to  $r$ ).

As  $I^{rn} \subseteq (x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n)R \subseteq I^n$ , we see that the function  $n \mapsto \text{length}_R(M/(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n)M)$  is bounded above and below by polynomial functions in  $n$  of degree  $d$ . However  $M/(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n)M$  is just the zeroth homology module of the Koszul complex  $K(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M)$ , so part of the above assertion says that the function  $n \mapsto \text{length}_R H_0 K(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M)$  is bounded above by a degree  $d$  polynomial in  $n$ .

In this paper we prove that a similar statement is true for the higher homology modules of the Koszul com-

plex, i.e., that  $\text{length}_{R_1} K(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M)$  is bounded above by a polynomial in  $n$  of degree  $d$ , for any  $i \geq 0$ .

I am indebted to Dr. D. Kirby for his helpful suggestions.

§2. The higher Koszul homology modules. We start with two technical lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $x_1, \dots, x_r, y$  be elements of  $R$ . Then, for any  $i \geq 0$ ,

$$\text{length}_{R_1} K(x_1 y, x_2, \dots, x_r | M) \geq \text{length}_{R_1} K(x_1, \dots, x_r | M).$$

Remark. It is not necessary for the lengths involved to be finite.

Proof. The inequality follows from the exact sequence (cf.

[4] p.IV-2)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \frac{H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | M)}{x_1 H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | M)} \longrightarrow H_1 K(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r | M) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow (0 : x_1)_{H_{i-1} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | M)} \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding one for  $H_1 K(x_1 y, x_2, \dots, x_r | M)$ , by observing that both  $(0 : x_1)_{H_{i-1} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | M)} \subset$

$$(0 : x_1 y)_{H_{i-1} K(x_2, \dots, x_r | M)} \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | M) \supseteq x_1 y H_1 K(x_2, \dots, x_r | M). \quad \#$$

Lemma 2. If  $x$  is a non-zero-divisor on  $M$ , we have

$$\text{length}_{\mathbb{R}} H_1 K(y_1, \dots, y_r | \frac{M}{x^n M}) \leq n \cdot \text{length}_{\mathbb{R}} H_1 K(y_1, \dots, y_r | \frac{M}{xM}).$$

Proof. Apply the functor  $H_1 K(y_1, \dots, y_r | *)$  to the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{M}{x^{n-1}M} \xrightarrow{\cdot x} \frac{M}{x^n M} \rightarrow \frac{M}{xM} \rightarrow 0,$$

which is exact because  $x$  is  $M$ -regular, and use induction on  $n$ . #

Next, the goal of this paper.

Theorem 3. Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{R}$ -module of dimension  $d$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{R}$  a multiplicity system on  $M$ . Then, for each  $i > 0$ , the function

$$n \longmapsto \text{length}_{\mathbb{R}} H_1 K(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M)$$

is bounded above by a polynomial in  $n$  of degree not greater than  $d$ .

Proof. By induction on  $d$ , the case  $d=0$  being trivial.

Assume  $d > 0$ . As  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is a multiplicity system on  $M$ ,

$$(x_1, \dots, x_r) \mathbb{R} \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{P \in \text{Ass}(M) \\ P \text{ non-maximal}}} P.$$

Thus, by Theorem 124 of [1], there exist  $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$  in  $\mathbb{R}$

such that  $x = x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_r x_r \notin \bigcup_{\substack{P \in \text{Ass} M \\ P \text{ non-maximal}}} P$ . In particular

$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(0 : x^n) < d$  for all  $n$ , since



$$\text{Ass}(0:x^n) = \text{AssHom}(\mathbb{R}/x^n \mathbb{R}, M) = V(x^n) \cap \text{Ass} M = V(x) \cap \text{Ass} M,$$

and if  $P \in \text{Supp}(M)$  has coheight  $d$ , then  $x \notin P$ .

Now, from the expression for  $x$ , we observe that  $(x_1, \dots, x_r) \mathbb{R} = (x, x_2, \dots, x_r) \mathbb{R}$ , so that  $x, x_2, \dots, x_r$  is also a multiplicity system on  $M$  and that  $x^{rn} = \mu_1 x_1^n + \dots + \mu_r x_r^n$  for some  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r$  (depending on  $n$ ). But we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell H_1 K(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M) &\leq \ell H_1 K(\mu_1 x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | M) = \\ &= \ell H_1 K(x^{rn}, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | M), \end{aligned}$$

the inequality by virtue of lemma 1 and the equality due to the fact that the invertible matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \mu_2 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mu_r & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

together with its exterior powers establish an isomorphism between  $K.(x^{rn}, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | M)$  and  $K.(\mu_1 x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | M)$  and so it is enough to prove the theorem with  $x_1$  replaced by  $x^r$ .

Take  $t$  large enough so that in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow 0:x^{rt} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M / (0:x^{rt}) = \bar{M} \longrightarrow 0$$

$x^r$  be  $\bar{M}$ -regular. From the Koszul homology sequence

$$H_1 K(x^{rn}, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | 0:x^{rt}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\longrightarrow H_1 K(x_1^{rn}, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | M) \longrightarrow H_1 K(x_1^{rn}, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | \bar{M})$$

we have, for  $n \geq t$ ,

$$eH_1 K(x_1^{rn}, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | M) \leq$$

$$\leq eH_1 K(x_1^{rn}, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | O : x^{rt} / M) + eH_1 K(x_1^{rn}, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | \bar{M}).$$

The first summand on the right is bounded by induction by a polynomial in  $n$  of degree at most  $d-1$ . As to the second, since  $x$  is  $\bar{M}$ -regular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} eH_1 K(x_1^{rn}, x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | \bar{M}) &= eH_1 K(x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | \bar{M} / x_1^{rn} \bar{M}) \quad (\text{by [3]p.368}) \\ &\leq n \cdot H_1 K(x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | \bar{M} / x_1^{rn} \bar{M}) \quad (\text{by lemma 2}), \end{aligned}$$

and as  $\dim(\bar{M} / x_1^{rn} \bar{M}) < \dim(\bar{M}) = \dim(M)$ , by induction also,

$eH_1 K(x_2^n, \dots, x_r^n | \bar{M} / x_1^{rn} \bar{M}) \leq$  polynomial in  $n$  of degree strictly less than  $d$ . This concludes the proof. #

Remarks. 1. In case  $r=d$ , for instance, if  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  is a system of parameters for  $M$ , then a stronger result is obtained from [2]. There it is proved that  $eH_1 K(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M)$  is bounded by a polynomial in  $n$  of degree at most  $r-1$ . So the importance of the theorem above becomes clear when  $r$  takes large values.

2. A proof by double induction on  $d$  and  $r$  can be given to theorem 3 if we bear in mind that [2] ensures the case  $r=d$ .

Corollary 4. Let  $M$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be as in the theorem. Then the functions

$$n \longmapsto \chi_i(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M)$$

where  $\chi_i$  stands for the  $i$ 'th Euler-Poincaré characteristic (cf. [4] App. II), are bounded above by polynomials in  $n$  of degree not exceeding the dimension of  $M$ . #

Remark. The results above carry over almost immediately to the case of a semilocal ring by means of the formulae  $\text{length}_R(N) = \sum_{\mathfrak{m} \text{ maximal}} \text{length}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(N_{\mathfrak{m}})$ , for any module  $N$  of finite length, and  $\dim_R M = \sup_{\mathfrak{m} \text{ maximal}} (\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}}))$ , together with the fact that taking homology commutes with localisation, i.e., in our case  $H_i K(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M) \cong H_i K(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M_{\mathfrak{m}})$ . In fact, if the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial function associated with  $(M, I)$  has degree  $g$  (where  $g \leq d$ ), then, for each  $i \geq 0$ , both  $\#H_i K(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M)$  and  $\chi_i(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n | M)$  are bounded above by polynomials in  $n$  of degree at most  $g$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Kaplansky, I., Commutative Rings, The University of Chicago Press (1974).
2. Kirby, D., An addendum to Lech's limit formula for multiplicities, Bull. London Math. Soc., 16 (1984), 281-284.



3. Northcott, D.G., Lessons on Rings, Modules and Multiplicities, Cambridge Univ. Press (1968).
4. Serre, J-P., Algèbre locale: Multiplicités, Lecture Notes in Math. No.11, Springer, Berlin (1975).

J-L. García Roig,  
Cruz Roja 26,3<sup>2</sup>4<sup>8</sup>,  
Hospitalet,  
Barcelona,  
Spain

Key words, Hilbert polynomial  
functions, multiplicity system,  
Koszul complex.







