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#### Abstract

In this paper we establish the existence and uniqueness of a solution for stochastic Volterra equations assuming that the coefficients $F(t, s, x)$ and $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ are $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable, for $s \leq t$, where $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$ denotes the filtration generated by the driving Brownian motion. We have to impose some differentiability assumptions on the coefficients, in the sense of the Malliavin calculus, in the time interval $[s, t]$. Some properties of the solution are discussed.
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## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study stochastic integral equations in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} F\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{t} G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}^{i}, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is a $k$-dimensional Brownian motion, and the coefficients $F(t, s, x)$ and $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ are $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable. Although the solution $X_{t}$ will be adapted to the filtration $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$ generated by $W$, the integrand of the stochastic integral appearing in Equation (1.1) is not adapted because $G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable.

In this paper we will interpret the stochastic integral appearing in (1.1) in the Skorohod sense. The Skorohod integral introduced in [12] is an extension of the Itô integral which allows to integrate nonadapted processes. In [3] Gaveau and Trauber proved that the Skorohod integral coincides with the adjoint of the derivative operator on the Wiener space. Starting from this result, the techniques of the stochastic calculus of variations on the Wiener space (see [6]) have allowed to develop a stochastic calculus for the Skorohod integral (see [8]), which extends the classical Itô calculus. The Skorohod integral possesses most of the main properties of the Itô stochastic integral like the local property, and the quadratic variation.

Stochastic Volterra equations where the diffusion coefficient $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s}$-measurable have been studied among others in [1] and [11]. Berger and Mizel considered linear stochastic Volterra equations with anticipating integrands in [2], using the notion of forward integral. In this paper the solution was obtained by means of the Wiener chaos expansion, taking into account the linearity of the coefficients. On the other hand, in [10] Pardoux and Protter considered stochastic Volterra equations where the coefficients $F(t, s, x)$ and $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ are $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable, but $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ can be written in the form

$$
G_{i}(t, s, x)=G_{i}\left(H_{t} ; t, s, x\right),
$$

where $H_{t}$ is an adapted $m$-dimensional process and $G_{i}(h ; t, s, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s}$-measurable for each $h \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, t \geq s$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. This particular form of the coefficient $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ permits to control the $L^{p}$-norm of the Skorohod integral $\int_{0}^{t} G_{i}\left(H_{t} ; t, s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}^{i}$ using the substitution formula for this integral.

Our aim is to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution for stochastic Volterra equations of the form (1.1) when the coefficients $F(t, s, x)$ and
$G_{i}(t, s, x)$ are $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable and the stochastic integral is interpreted in the Skorohod sense. In order to control the $L^{2}$-norm of the Skorohod integral $\int_{0}^{t} G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}^{i}$ we will assume that the coefficient $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ is infinitely differentiable (in the sense of the stochastic calculus of variations) in the time interval $[s, t]$, and the derivatives $D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i}\left(G_{i}(t, s, x)\right), s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \in[s, t]$, verify a suitable Lipschitz property in the variable $x$. These hypotheses generalize the case where $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s}$-measurable.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some preliminary technical results concerning the Skorohod integral that will be needed later. Section 3 is devoted to show the main result on the existence and uniqueness of solution to Eq. (1.1). Finally in Section 4 we discuss the continuity of the solution in time.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $\Omega=C\left([0, T] ; \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$ be the space of continuous functions from $[0, T]$ into $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ equipped with the uniform topology, let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the Borel $\sigma$-field on $\Omega$ and let $P$ be the Wiener measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$. The canonical process $W=$ $\left\{W_{t}, t \in[0, T]\right\}$ defined by $W_{t}(\omega)=\omega(t)$ will be a $k$-dimensional Brownian motion. Let $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{0}=\sigma\left\{W_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t\right\}$ and set $\mathcal{F}_{t}=\mathcal{F}_{t}^{0} \vee \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ the class of $P$-negligeable sets. Let $H$ be the Hilbert space $L^{2}\left([0, T] ; \mathbb{R}^{k}\right)$. For any $h \in H$ we denote by $W(h)$ the Wiener integral

$$
W(h)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{T} h_{i}(t) d W_{t}^{i} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of cylindrical random variables of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=f\left(W\left(h_{1}\right), \ldots, W\left(h_{n}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geq 1, f \in C_{b}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ ( $f$ and all its derivatives are bounded), and $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \in H$. Given a random variable $F$ of the form (2.1), we define its $i$ th derivative, $i=1, \ldots, k$, as the stochastic process $\left\{D_{t}^{i} F, t \in[0, T]\right\}$ given by

$$
D_{t}^{i} F=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}\left(W\left(h_{1}\right), \ldots, W\left(h_{n}\right)\right) h_{j}^{i}(t), \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

In this way the derivative $D F$ is an element of $L^{2}\left([0, T] \times \Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{k}\right) \cong L^{2}(\Omega ; H)$. For each $i=1, \ldots, k, D^{i}$ is a closable unbounded operator from $L^{2}(\Omega)$ into
$L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$. We denote by $\mathbb{D}_{i}^{1,2}$ the closure of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to the norm defined by

$$
\|F\|_{i, 1,2}^{2}=\|F\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|D^{i} F\right\|_{L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)}^{2}
$$

Define $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}=\cap_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{D}_{i}^{1,2}$, and set

$$
\|F\|_{1,2}^{2}=\|F\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|D^{i} F\right\|_{L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)}^{2} .
$$

More generally, we can define the iterated derivative operator on a cylindrical random variable by setting

$$
D_{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}}^{n, i} F=D_{t_{1}}^{i} \cdots D_{t_{n}}^{i} F
$$

These operators are closable and we denote $\mathbb{D}_{i}^{n, 2}$ the closure of $\mathcal{S}$ by the norm:

$$
\|F\|_{i, n, 2}^{2}=\|F\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\|D^{l, i} F\right\|_{L^{2}\left([0, T]^{l} \times \Omega\right)}^{2}
$$

Set $\mathbb{D}^{n, 2}=\cap_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{D}_{i}^{n, 2}$, and

$$
\|F\|_{n, 2}^{2}=\|F\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\|D^{l, i} F\right\|_{L^{2}\left([0, T]^{l} \times \Omega\right)}^{2}
$$

For any Borel subset $A$ of $[0, T]$ we will denote by $\mathcal{F}_{A}$ the $\sigma$-field generated by the random variables $\left\{\int_{0}^{T} 1_{B}(s) d W_{s}, B \in \mathcal{B}(T), B \subset A\right\}$. The following result is proved in [8, Lemma 2.4]:

Proposition 2.1 Let $A$ be a Borel subset of $[0, T]$, and consider a random variable $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ which is $\mathcal{F}_{A}$-measurable. Then $D_{t} F=0$ almost everywhere in $A^{c} \times \Omega$.

For each $i=1, \ldots, k$ we denote by $\delta_{i}$ the adjoint of the derivative operator $D^{i}$ that will be also called the Skorohod integral with respect to the Brownian motion $\left\{W_{t}^{i}\right\}$. That is, the domain of $\delta_{i}$ (denoted by Dom $\delta_{i}$ ) is the set of elements $u \in L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ such that there exists a constant $c$ verifying

$$
\left|E \int_{0}^{T} D_{t}^{i} F u_{t} d t\right| \leq c\|F\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{S}$. If $u \in \operatorname{Dom} \delta_{i}, \delta_{i}(u)$ is the element in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ defined by the duality relationship

$$
E\left(\delta_{i}(u) F\right)=E \int_{0}^{T} D_{t}^{i} F u_{t} d t, \quad F \in \mathcal{S} .
$$

We will make use of the following notation: $\int_{0}^{T} u_{t} d W_{t}^{i}=\delta_{i}(u)$.
The set $L_{a}^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ of square integrable and adapted processes is included into Dom $\delta_{i}$ and the operator $\delta_{i}$ restricted to $L_{a}^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ coincides with the Itô stochastic integral with respect to $\left\{W_{t}^{i}\right\}$. This property can be proved as a consequence of the following lemma proved in [8]. For any $h \in L^{2}([0, T])$ we will set $D_{h}^{i} F=\left\langle D^{i} F, h\right\rangle$, and we will denote by $\mathbb{D}_{i}^{h, 2}$ the closure of $\mathcal{S}$ by the norm $\left(E\left(|F|^{2}\right)+E\left(\left|D_{h}^{i} F\right|^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $F$ be a random variable in the space $\mathbb{D}_{i}^{h, 2}$ for some function $h \in L^{2}([0, T])$. Then the process $F h(t)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Dom} \delta_{i}$, and

$$
\delta_{i}(F h)=F W^{i}(h)-D_{h}^{i} F .
$$

Let $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{n, 2}=L^{2}\left([0, T] ; \mathbb{D}_{i}^{n, 2}\right)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|v\|_{i, n, 2}^{2}=\|v\|_{L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|D^{j, i} v\right\|_{L^{2}\left([0, T]^{j+1} \times \Omega\right)}^{2}
$$

and set $\mathbb{L}^{n, 2}=\cap_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{L}_{i}^{n, 2}, \mathbb{L}_{i}^{\infty, 2}=\cap_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{L}_{i}^{n, 2}, \mathbb{L}^{\infty, 2}=\cap_{i=1}^{k} \cap_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{L}_{i}^{n, 2}$.
We recall that $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2}$ is included in the domain of $\delta_{i}$, and for a process $u$ in $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2}$ we can compute the variance of the Skorohod integral of $u$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\delta_{i}(u)^{2}\right)=E \int_{0}^{T} u_{t}^{2} d t+E \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} D_{s}^{i} u_{t} D_{t}^{i} u_{s} d s d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will make use of the following notation:

$$
\Delta_{n}^{T}=\left\{\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, s\right) \in[0, T]^{n+1}: s_{1} \geq \cdots \geq s_{n} \geq s\right\}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\Delta}_{n}^{T}=\left\{\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, s\right) \in[0, T]^{n+1}: s_{1} \geq s, \cdots, s_{n} \geq s\right\}
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{T}$ be the class of cylindrical $L^{2}([0, T])$-valued random random variables of the form

$$
v=\sum_{i=1}^{q} F_{i} h_{i}, \quad F_{i} \in \mathcal{S}, \quad h_{i} \in L^{2}([0, T])
$$

We introduce the space $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{n, 2, f}$ as the closure of $\mathcal{S}_{H}$ by the norm:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{i, n, 2, f}^{2}=\|v\|_{L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|D^{j, i} v\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{j}^{T} \times \Omega\right)}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\|D^{j, i} v\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{j}^{T} \times \Omega\right)}^{2}=E \int_{\Delta_{j}^{T}}\left|D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{j}}^{j, i} v_{s}\right|^{2} d s_{1} \cdots d s_{j} d s
$$

We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{L}^{n, 2, f} & =\cap_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{L}_{i}^{n, 2, f} \\
\mathbb{L}_{i}^{\infty, 2, f} & =\cap_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{L}_{i}^{n, 2, f} \\
\mathbb{L}^{\infty, 2, f} & =\cap_{i=1}^{k} \cap_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{L}_{i}^{n, 2, f}
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$ is the class of stochastic processes $\left\{v_{t}\right\}$ that are differentiable with respect to the $i$ th component of the Wiener process (in the sense of the stochastic calculus of variations) in the future. For a process $v$ in $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$ we can define the square integrable kernel $\left\{D_{s}^{i} v_{t}, s \geq t\right\}$ which belongs to $L^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}^{T} \times \Omega\right)$. More generally, if $v \in \mathbb{L}_{i}^{n, 2, f}$ we can introduce the square integrable kernel $\left\{D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{i} v_{t}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \geq t\right\}$ which is in $L^{2}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{n}^{T} \times \Omega\right)$. Notice that in the definition (2.3) we could have integrated over the set $\tilde{\Delta}_{n}^{T}$ and get an equivalent norm.

Lemma 2.3 The space $L_{a}^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ is contained in $\mathbb{L}^{\infty, 2, f}$. Furthermore, for all $v \in L_{a}^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ we have $D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{i} v_{t}=0$ for almost all $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \geq t$, and for all $i=1, \ldots, k, n \geq 1$, and, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{i, n, 2, f}^{2}=\|v\|_{L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)}^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We will denote by $\mathcal{S}_{T}^{a}$ the class of elementary processes of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{t}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{j} \mathbf{1}_{\left(t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right]}(t) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N+1}=T$ and for all $j=0, \ldots, N, F_{j}$ is a smooth and $\mathcal{F}_{t_{j}}$-measurable random variable. The set $\mathcal{S}_{T}^{a}$ is dense in $L_{a}^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$. On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{S}_{T}^{a} \subset \mathbb{L}^{\infty, 2, f}$ and for any $v$ of the form (2.5) we have, using Proposition 2.1, $D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{i} v_{t}=0$, for almost all $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \geq t$,
and for all $i=1, \ldots, k$, and $n \geq 1$. This allows us to complete the proof. QED

The next three results are extensions of known resuls for the space $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ (see [7, Proposition 1.2.2, Exercice 1.2.13 and Proposition 1.3.7]).

Proposition 2.4 Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function with bounded partial derivatives. Suppose that $u=\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{m}\right)$ is an $m$ dimensional stochastic process whose components belong to the space $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$. Then $\psi(u) \in \mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$, and

$$
D_{t}^{i}\left(\psi\left(u_{s}\right)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{j}}(u) D_{t}^{i} u_{s}^{j}
$$

for almost all $(t, s) \in \Delta_{1}^{T}$.
Proposition 2.5 Let $u, v \in \mathbb{I}_{i}^{1,2, f}$ be two stochastic processes such that $u_{s}$ and $\int_{0}^{T}\left(D_{t}^{i} u_{s}\right)^{2} d t$ are bounded uniformly in $s$. Then $u v \in \mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$ and, for almost all $(t, s) \in \Delta_{1}^{T}, D_{t}^{i}\left(u_{s} v_{s}\right)=u_{s} D_{t} v_{s}+v_{s} D_{t} u_{s}$.

Proposition 2.6 Let $u \in \mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $u_{s}(\omega)=0$ a.e. on the product space $[0, T] \times A$. Then $D_{t}^{i} u_{s}(\omega)=0$, for almost all $(t, s, \omega) \in \Delta_{1}^{T} \times A$.

We will use the two following results for getting $L^{p}$ estimates ( $p \geq 2$ )for the Skorohod integral of processes in the space $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$.

Lemma 2.7 Consider a process $u$ in $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$. Suppose that $D_{\theta}^{i} u 1_{[r, \theta]}$ belongs to the domain of $\delta_{i}$ for each interval $[r, \theta] \subset[0, T]$, and, moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \int_{r}^{T}\left|\int_{r}^{\theta} D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} d \theta<\infty \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u \mathbf{1}_{[r, t]}$ belongs to the domain of $\delta_{i}$ for any $[r, t] \subset[0, T]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|\int_{r}^{t} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2}=E \int_{r}^{t} u_{s}^{2} d s+2 E \int_{r}^{t} u_{\theta}\left(\int_{r}^{\theta} D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right) d \theta \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: To simplify the proof we will assume that $W$ is a one-dimensional Wiener process. In that case we omit the index $i$ in all the notations.

Suppose first that $u$ has a finite Wiener chaos expansion. Then we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left|\int_{r}^{t} u_{s} d W_{s}\right|^{2} & =E \int_{r}^{t} u_{s}^{2} d s+E \int_{r}^{t} \int_{r}^{t} D_{s} u_{\theta} D_{\theta} u_{s} d \theta d s \\
& =E \int_{r}^{t} u_{s}^{2} d s+2 E \int_{r}^{t} \int_{r}^{\theta} D_{s} u_{\theta} D_{\theta} u_{s} d \theta d s \\
& =E \int_{r}^{t} u_{s}^{2} d s+2 E \int_{r}^{t} u_{\theta}\left(\int_{r}^{\theta} D_{\theta} u_{s} d W_{s}\right) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let us denote by $u^{k}$ the sum of the $k$ first terms in the Wiener chaos expansion of $u$. It holds that $u^{k}$ converges to $u$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,2, f}$, as $k$ tends to infinity. For each $k$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|\int_{r}^{t} u_{s}^{k} d W_{s}\right|^{2}=E \int_{r}^{t}\left(u_{s}^{k}\right)^{2} d s+2 E \int_{r}^{t} u_{\theta}^{k}\left(\int_{r}^{\theta} D_{\theta} u_{s}^{k} d W_{s}\right) d \theta \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It suffices to show that the right-hand side of (2.8) converges to the righthand side of (2.7). This convergence is obvious for the first term. The convergence of the second summand follows from condition (2.6). QED

Remark 1: In the statement of Lemma 2.7 the assumptions are equivalent to saying that $u \in \mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$ is such that $\left\{D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \theta]}(s), s \in[0, T]\right\}$ belongs to the domain of $\delta_{i}$ as a processes with values in the Hilbert space $L^{2}([0, T])$.
Remark 2: Lemma 2.7 generalizes the isometry property of the Skorohod integral for processes in the spaces $L_{a}^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ and $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2}$.

Lemma 2.8 Let $p \in(2,4), \alpha=\frac{2 p}{4-p}$. Consider a process $u$ in $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f} \cap=$ $L^{\alpha}([0, T] \times \Omega)$. Suppose also that, for each interval $[r, \theta] \subset[0, T], D_{\theta}^{i} u \mathbf{1}_{[r, \theta]}$ belongs to the domain of $\delta_{i}$, and, moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \int_{r}^{T}\left|\int_{T}^{\theta} D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} d \theta<\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\delta_{i}\left(u \mathbf{1}_{[r, t]}\right)$ belongs to $L^{p}$ for any interval $[r, t] \subset[0, T]$ and we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|\int_{r}^{t} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{p}=C_{p}(t-r)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\left\{E \int_{r}^{t}\left|u_{s}\right|^{\alpha} d s+E \int_{r}^{t}\left|\int_{r}^{\theta} D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} d \theta\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is a constant depending only on $p$ and $T$.

Proof: We deduce from Lemma 2.7 that $u \mathbf{1}_{\{r, \theta]}$ belongs to the domain of $\delta_{i}$. Now using Corollary 2.2 of [4] we deduce that (2.10) is true in the set $\mathcal{P}_{T}$ of processes $u$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{j} \mathbf{1}_{\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right]}(t) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0=t_{0}<\cdots<t_{N+1}=T$ and for all $j=0, \ldots, N, F_{j}$ are smooth random variables of the form (2.1), $f$ being a polynomial function. We know that $\mathcal{P}_{T}$ is dense in $L^{\alpha}([0, T] \times \Omega)$. So, we can get a sequence $\left\{u^{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ of processes in $\mathcal{P}_{T}$ such that $u^{n}$ converges to $u$ in $L^{\alpha}([0, T] \times \Omega)$. Moreover, if we consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup $\left\{T_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$, we know that, for all $t, T_{t} u$ is also an element of $\mathcal{P}_{T}$, and we can easily prove that, for all $[r, t] \subset[0, T]:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n} \lim _{k} E \int_{0}^{T}\left|T_{\frac{1}{k}} u_{s}^{n}-u_{s}\right|^{\alpha} d s & =0 \\
\lim _{n} \lim _{k} E \int_{r}^{t}\left|\int_{r}^{\theta}\left(D_{\theta}^{i}\left(T_{\frac{1}{k}} u_{s}^{n}\right)-D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s}\right) d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} d \theta & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

which allows us to complete the proof.
QED
Note that (2.7) implies

$$
E\left|\int_{r}^{t} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} \leq E \int_{r}^{t} u_{s}^{2} d s+2 \sqrt{E \int_{r}^{t} u_{s}^{2} d s E \int_{r}^{t}\left|\int_{r}^{\theta} D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} d \theta}
$$

The iteration of this inequality leads to an estimation of the $L^{2}$ norm of the Skorohod integral $\delta_{i}(u)$ using only derivatives $D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}} u_{t}$ in future times $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \geq t$. In order to introduce a norm that dominates $E\left|\delta_{i}(u)\right|^{2}$ we require the definition of a suitable class of positive sequences.

We will denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the class of positive sequences $a=\left\{a_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ such that the sequence $b(a)=\left\{b_{n}(a), n \geq 0\right\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{0}(a)=a_{0}^{2}, \\
& b_{n}(a)=a_{0}^{2}+2 a_{0} \sqrt{a_{1}^{2}+2 a_{1} \sqrt{a_{2}^{2}+2 a_{2} \sqrt{\cdots \sqrt{a_{n-1}^{2}+2 a_{n-1} a_{n}}}},}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 1$, satisfies $B(a):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}(a)<\infty$. Notice that the sequence $b_{n}(a)$ is nondecreasing.

Proposition 2.9 The class $\mathcal{R}$ coincides with the class of positive sequences $a=\left\{a_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ such that there exists a positive sequence $\epsilon=\left\{\epsilon_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\epsilon}(a):=a_{0}^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}^{2}\left(\epsilon_{k-1}+\frac{\epsilon_{k-1}^{2}}{\epsilon_{k}}\right)<\infty \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(a)=\min _{\epsilon} S_{\epsilon}(a) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: a) Let us first proof that $B(a) \leq S_{\epsilon}(a)$ for any positive sequence $\epsilon$. For all $R>0, x, y \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x y \leq R x^{2}+\frac{1}{R} y^{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using recursively (2.14) we have that, for all $R_{0}, R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n-1}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{n}(a) \leq a_{0}^{2}\left(1+R_{0}\right)+\frac{a_{1}^{2}\left(1+R_{1}\right)}{R_{0}}+\frac{a_{2}^{2}\left(1+R_{2}\right)}{R_{0} R_{1}}+\ldots+\frac{a_{n-1}^{2}\left(1+R_{n-1}\right)}{R_{0} R_{1} \cdots R_{n-2}} \\
& \quad+\frac{a_{n}^{2}}{R_{0} R_{1} \cdots R_{n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and now, denoting $S_{0}=R_{0}, S_{1}=R_{0} R_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}=R_{0} R_{1} \cdots R_{n}$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{n}(a) \leq & a_{0}^{2}\left(1+S_{0}\right)+\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{S_{0}}\left(1+\frac{S_{1}}{S_{0}}\right)+\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{S_{1}}\left(1+\frac{S_{2}}{S_{1}}\right) \\
& +\ldots+\frac{a_{n-1}^{2}}{S_{n-2}}\left(1+\frac{S_{n-1}}{S_{n-2}}\right)+\frac{a_{n}^{2}}{S_{n-1}} \\
= & a_{0}^{2}\left(1+S_{0}\right)+\frac{a_{1}^{2}}{S_{0}}+\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{S_{1}}+\ldots+\frac{a_{n-1}^{2}}{S_{n-2}}+\frac{a_{n}^{2}}{S_{n-1}} \\
& +a_{1}^{2} \frac{S_{1}}{S_{0}^{2}}+a_{2}^{2} \frac{S_{2}}{S_{1}^{2}}+\ldots+a_{n-1}^{2} \frac{S_{n-1}}{S_{n-2}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, putting $\epsilon_{i}=\frac{1}{s_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, n-1$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{n}(a) & \leq a_{0}^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{k}^{2}\left(\epsilon_{k-1}+\frac{\epsilon_{k-1}^{2}}{\epsilon_{k}}\right)+a_{n}^{2} \epsilon_{n-1} \\
& \leq a_{0}^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2}\left(\epsilon_{k-1}+\frac{\epsilon_{k-1}^{2}}{\epsilon_{k}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that for any positive sequence $\epsilon$ we have $B(a) \leq S_{\epsilon}(a)$.
b) Let us now prove that if $a \in \mathcal{R}$ there exists a positive sequence $\epsilon(a)$ such that $B(a)=S_{\epsilon(a)}(a)$. Because $B(a)<\infty$ we can define, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
Q_{n}(a)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}^{2}+2 a_{n} \sqrt{a_{n}^{2}+2 a_{n} \sqrt{a_{n+1}^{2}+2 a_{n+1} \sqrt{\cdots \sqrt{a_{N-1}^{2}+2 a_{N-1} a_{N}}}}}
$$

which satisfies that, for all $n \geq 0$,
(i) $B(a)=a_{0}^{2}+2 a_{0} \sqrt{a_{1}^{2}+2 a_{1} \sqrt{a_{2}^{2}+2 a_{2} \sqrt{\cdots \sqrt{a_{n-1}^{2}+2 a_{n-1} \sqrt{Q_{n}(a)}}}}}$,
(ii) $Q_{n}(a)=a_{n}^{2}+2 a_{n} Q_{n+1}(a)=a_{n}^{2}\left(1+R_{n}(a)\right)+\frac{1}{R_{n}(a)} Q_{n+1}(a)$, where $R_{n}(a)=\frac{\sqrt{Q_{n+1}(a)}}{a_{n}}$.
With these notations we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(a)=a_{0}^{2}\left(1+R_{0}(a)\right)+\frac{a_{1}^{2}\left(1+R_{1}(a)\right)}{R_{0}(a)}+\frac{a_{2}^{2}\left(1+R_{2}(a)\right)}{R_{0}(a) R_{1}(a)} \\
& \quad+\ldots+\frac{a_{n-1}^{2}\left(1+R_{n-1}(a)\right)}{R_{0}(a) R_{1}(a) \cdots R_{n-2}(a)}+\frac{Q_{n}(a)}{R_{0}(a) R_{1}(a) \cdots R_{n-1}(a)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and taking $\epsilon_{k}(a):=\frac{1}{R_{0}(a) R_{1}(a) \cdots R_{k}(a)}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(a) & =a_{0}^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}(a)}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}^{2}\left(\epsilon_{k-1}(a)+\frac{\epsilon_{k-1}^{2}(a)}{\epsilon_{k}(a)}\right)+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n}(a) Q_{n}(a) \\
& =S_{\epsilon(a)}(a)+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{n}(a) Q_{n}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $S_{\epsilon(a)}(a) \leq B(a)$. The proof is now complete.
Remark: Obviously all the square summable sequences belong to $\mathcal{R}$. On the other hand, it is easy to find nonsquare summable sequences in $\mathcal{R}$. For example:
(i) $\left\{M^{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$, where $M$ is a positive constant,
(ii) $\{n!, n \geq 0\}$,
(iii) $\left\{e^{n^{m}}, n \geq 0\right\}$, where $m$ is a positive constant.

The following property is an immediate consequence of the definition of the class $\mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 2.10 Let $a=\left\{a_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ be a positive sequence in the class $\mathcal{R}$. Suppose that $b=\left\{b_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ is another positive sequence such that $b_{n} \leq \rho a_{n}$, for all $n \geq 0$, and for some constant $\rho>0$. Then $b$ belongs also to the class $\mathcal{R}$, and $B(b) \leq \rho^{2} B(a)$.

We now define $L_{i}$ as the class of processes $u$ in $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{\infty, 2, f}$ such that the sequence $d^{i}(u)=\left\{d_{n}^{i}(u), n \geq 0\right\}$ defined by

$$
d_{0}^{i}(u)=\|u\|_{L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)}
$$

and

$$
d_{n}^{i}(u)=\left\|D^{n, i} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{n}^{T} \times \Omega\right)}=\left(E \int_{\Delta_{n}^{T}}\left|D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i} u_{s}\right|^{2} d s_{1} \cdots d s_{n} d s\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for $n \geq 1$ belongs to $\mathcal{R}$. For $u \in L_{i}$ we define

$$
\|u\|_{L^{i}}^{2}:=B\left(d^{i}(u)\right) .
$$

The corresponding class $L_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ of $d$-dimensional processes can be defined analogously, by considering the sequence $\left\|D^{n, i} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{n}^{T} \times \Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$.

Proposition $2.11 L_{i} \subset \operatorname{Dom} \delta_{i}$ and we have that, for all $u$ in $L_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|\delta_{i}(u)\right|^{2} \leq\|u\|_{L_{i}}^{2} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $p \in(2,4)$ and $\alpha=\frac{2 p}{4-p}$. If, furthermore, $u$ belongs to the space $L^{\alpha}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ we have that, for all $[r, t] \subset[0, T], \delta_{i}\left(u \mathbf{1}_{[r, t]}\right)$ is in $L^{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|\delta_{i}\left(u \mathbf{1}_{[r, t]}\right)\right|^{p} \leq C_{p}(t-r)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\left\{\int_{r}^{t} E\left|u_{s}\right|^{\alpha} d s+\int_{r}^{t}\left\|D_{\theta}^{i} u \mathbf{1}_{[r, \theta]}\right\|_{L_{i}}^{2} d \theta\right\} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is the constant appearing in (2.10).
Proof: Let us denote by $u^{k}$ the sum of the first $k$ terms of the Wiener chaos decomposition of $u$. Applying Lemma 2.7 and using Schwartz inequality
yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left|\delta_{i}(u)\right|^{2} \leq & \|u\|_{L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)}^{2}+2\|u\|_{L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)} \sqrt{E \int_{0}^{T}\left|\int_{0}^{\theta} D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} d \theta} \\
\leq & \|u\|_{L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)}^{2}+2\|u\|_{L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)} \\
& \times\left(\left\|D^{1, i} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}^{T} \times \Omega\right)}^{2}+2\left\|D^{1, i} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}^{T} \times \Omega\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\times \sqrt{E \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{\theta}\left|\int_{0}^{\sigma} D_{\sigma}^{i} D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} d \sigma d \theta}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By a recursive argument and the fact that $u^{k}$ has a finite Wiener chaos decomposition it follows that (2.15) holds for every $u^{k}$. Now using the fact that $\lim _{k}\left\|u^{k}-u\right\|_{L_{i}}=0$ it follows that (2.15) holds for $u$. In order to show (2.16) we observe that, using Lemma 2.8 we have for $p \in(2,4)$ and $\alpha=\frac{2 p}{4-p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|\delta_{i}\left(u \mathbf{1}_{[r, t]}\right)\right|^{p}=C_{p}(t-r)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\left\{E \int_{r}^{t}\left|u_{s}\right|^{\alpha} d s+E \int_{r}^{t}\left|\int_{r}^{\theta} D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s} d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} d \theta\right\} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and now applying (2.15) to the second term of the sum, the result follows. Notice that $\int_{r}^{t}\left\|D_{\theta}^{i} u \mathbf{1}_{[r, \theta]}\right\|_{L_{i}}^{2} d \theta$ is finite if $u$ belongs to $L_{i}$ because we have

$$
\left\|u 1_{[r, t]}\right\|_{L_{i}}^{2}=\int_{r}^{t} E\left|u_{s}\right|^{2} d s+2 \sqrt{\int_{r}^{t} E\left|u_{s}\right|^{2} d s \int_{r}^{t}\left\|D_{\theta}^{i} u 1_{[r, \theta]}\right\|_{L_{i}}^{2} d \theta}
$$

QED
We have the following local property for the operator $\delta_{i}$ :
Proposition 2.12 Consider a process $u$ in $L_{i}$ and a set $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $u_{t}(\omega)=0$, for almost all $(t, \omega)$ in the product space $[0, T] \times A$. Then $\delta_{i}(u)=0$ a.s. on $A$.

Proof: Consider the sequence of processes defined by

$$
u_{t}^{m}=\sum_{j=1}^{2^{m}-1} T 2^{m}\left(\int_{T(j-1) 2^{-m}}^{T j 2^{-m}} u_{s} d s\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left(T j 2^{-m}, T(j+1) 2^{-m}\right]}(t)
$$

It is easy to show that for all $m$ the mapping $u \mapsto u^{m}$ is a linear bounded operator on $L_{i}$ with norm bounded by $T$. On the other hand, it is clear
that for all $k, \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(u^{k}\right)^{m}-u^{k}\right\|_{L_{i}}=0$, where $u^{k}$ denotes the sum of the first $k$ terms of the Wiener chaos decomposition of $u$. This allows us to deduce that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u^{m}-u\right\|_{L_{i}}=0$. Using now Proposition 2.11 we have that $\delta_{i}\left(u^{m}-u\right)$ tends to zero in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ as $m$ tends to infinity. On the other hand, Lemma 2.2 allows us to write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{i}\left(u^{m}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{2^{m}-1} T 2^{m}\left(\left(\int_{T(j-1) 2^{-m}}^{T j 2^{-m}} u_{s} d s\right)\left(W_{T(j+1) 2^{-m}}^{i}-W_{T j 2^{-m}}^{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{T j 2^{-m}}^{T(j+1) 2^{-m}} \int_{T(j-1) 2^{-m}}^{T j 2^{-m}} D_{\theta}^{i} u_{s} d s d \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and by the local property of the operator $D^{i}$ in the space $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$ (Proposition 2.6) we have that this expression is zero on the set $\left\{\int_{0}^{T} u_{s}^{2} d s=0\right\}$, which completes the proof.

QED
We can localize the spaces $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{n, 2, f}, n \geq 1, \mathbb{L}_{i}^{\infty, 2, f}$ and $L_{i}$ as follows. We will denote by $\mathbb{L}_{i, l o c}^{1,2, f}$ the set of random processes $u$ such that there exists a sequence $\left\{\left(\Omega_{n}, u^{n}\right), n \geq 1\right\} \subset \mathcal{F} \times \mathbb{L}_{i}^{1,2, f}$ with the following properties:
(i) $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega$, a.s.
(ii) $u=u^{n}$, a.s. on $[0, T] \times \Omega_{n}$.

We then say that $\left\{\left(\Omega_{n}, u^{n}\right)\right\}$ localizes $u$ in $\mathbb{L}_{i, l o c}^{1,2, f}$. Then, by Proposition 2.6 we can define without ambiguity the derivative $D_{t}^{i} u_{s}$ by setting

$$
\left.D_{t}^{i} u_{s}\right|_{\Omega_{n}}=D_{t}^{i} u_{s}^{n}{\mid \Omega_{n}}
$$

for each $n \geq 1,(t, s) \in \Delta_{1}^{T}$. In a similar way we can introduce the spaces $\mathbb{L}_{i, l o c}^{\infty, 2, f}$ and $L_{i, l o c}$. For a process $u$ in $\mathbb{L}_{i, l o c}^{\infty, 2, f}$ the iterated derivatives $D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i} u_{s}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \geq s$, are well defined. On the other hand, if $u \in L_{i, l o c}$, and $\left\{\left(\Omega_{n}, u^{n}\right)\right\}$ localizes $u$ in $L_{i, l o c}$, then by Proposition 2.12 we can define without ambiguity the Skorohod integral $\delta_{i}(u)$ by putting

$$
\left.\delta_{i}(u)\right|_{\Omega_{n}}=\left.\delta_{i}\left(u^{n}\right)\right|_{\Omega_{n}}
$$

for each $n \geq 1$.

## 3 Existence and uniqueness of solution for anticipating Volterra equations

Consider a $d$-dimensional stochastic integral equation of the following type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} F\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{t} G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}^{i} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the initial condition is a fixed point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. We will make use of the following hypotheses on the coefficients. In the sequel $M=$ $\left\{M_{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ is a positive sequence such that $M^{2}=\left\{M_{n}^{2}, n \geq 0\right\}$ is in $\mathcal{R}$, and $K>0$ is a constant.
(H1) $F, G_{i}: \Omega \times \Delta_{1}^{T} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, i=1, \ldots, k$, are measurable functions such that $F(t, s, x)$ and $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ are $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable for each $(t, s, x)$.
(H2) For all $t \in[0, T], i=1, \ldots, k, j=1, \ldots, d$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have $G_{i}^{j}(t, \cdot, x) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot) \in \mathbb{L}_{i}^{\infty, 2, f}$. Furthermore, $G_{i}(t, \cdot, 0) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot)$ belongs to $L_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for all $t \in[0, T], i=1, \ldots, k$, and $\left\|G_{i}(t, \cdot, 0) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot)\right\|_{L_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq K$.
(H3) Lipschitz property: For all $(t, s) \in \Delta_{1}^{T}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and $i=1, \ldots, k$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F(t, s, x)-F(t, s, y)| & \leq M_{0}|x-y| \\
\left|G_{i}(t, s, x)-G_{i}(t, s, y)\right| & \leq M_{0}|x-y|
\end{aligned}
$$

(H3') Lipschitz property for the derivatives of $G_{i}$ : For all $(t, s) \in \Delta_{1}^{T}, x, y \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{d}, i=1, \ldots, k$, and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{t \geq s_{1} \geq \cdots, \geq s_{n} \geq s\right\}}\left|\left(D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i} G_{i}\right)(t, s, x)-\left(D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i} G_{i}\right)(t, s, y)\right|^{2} d s_{1} \cdots d s_{n} \\
& \quad \leq M_{n}^{2}|x-y|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(H4) Linear growth condition: For all $(t, s) \in \Delta_{1}^{T}$ we have $|F(t, s, 0)| \leq K$.
Remark: Suppose that for all $(t, s) \in \Delta_{1}^{T}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ the variable $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s}$-measurable, and $E \int_{0}^{t}\left|G_{i}(t, s, 0)\right|^{2} d s \leq K^{2}$ for all $t \in[0, T]$, $i=1, \ldots, k$, and the conditions (H3) and (H4) holds. Then hypotheses (H2) and (H3') are automatically true due to Lemma 2.3. Notice that the
derivatives in future times are zero due to the measurability of $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$.

A consequence of the above hypotheses is the following chain rule which is similar to Lemma 2.3 in [9].

Lemma 3.1 Suppose that $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ satisfies the above hypothesis (H1), (H2), (H3) and (H3'), and consider an adapted process $U \in L_{a}^{2}([0, T] \times$ $\left.\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then, $G_{i}(t, \cdot, U.) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot)$ belongs to $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{\infty, 2, f}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i}\left(G_{i}\left(t, s, U_{s}\right)\right)=\left(D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i} G_{i}\right)\left(t, s, U_{s}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \geq s$. Moreover, we have that $G_{i}(t, \cdot, U.) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot)$ belongs to $L_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ :

Proof: To simplify we will assume that $G_{i}(t, s, x)$ is real valued. Let $\left\{\psi_{\epsilon}, \epsilon>\right.$ $0\}$ be an approximation of the identity in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that the support of $\psi_{\epsilon}$ is contained in the ball of center the origin and radius $\epsilon$. Define

$$
G_{i}^{\epsilon}(t, s):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi_{\epsilon}\left(z-U_{s}\right) G_{i}(t, s, z) d z
$$

Then, from hypotheses (H2), (H3) and (H3') and using Propositions 2.1, 2.4 , and 2.5 it follows that $G_{i}^{\epsilon}(t, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot)$ belongs to $\mathbb{L}_{i}^{\infty, 2, f}, G_{i}^{\epsilon}(t, \cdot) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot)$ converges in $L^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ to $G_{i}(t, \cdot, U.) 1_{[0, t]}(\cdot)$ as $\epsilon$ tends to zero, and the derivatives

$$
D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i}\left(G_{i}^{\epsilon}(t, s)\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \psi_{\epsilon}\left(z-U_{s}\right) D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i}\left(G_{i}(t, s, z)\right) d z
$$

converge in $L^{2}\left(\Delta_{n}^{t} \times \Omega\right)$, as $\epsilon$ tends to zero, to $\left(D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i} G_{i}\right)\left(t, s, U_{s}\right)$. This allows us to prove (3.2). On the other hand, using this equality and the hypotheses (H2) and (H3') we can write for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D^{n, i}\left(G_{i}(t, \cdot, U .)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{n}^{t} \times \Omega\right)}^{2}= & \left\|\left(D^{n, i} G_{i}\right)(t, \cdot, U .)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{n}^{t} \times \Omega\right)}^{2} \\
\leq & 2\left\|D^{n, i} G_{i}(t, \cdot, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{n}^{t} \times \Omega\right)}^{2} \\
& +2 M_{n}^{2} E \int_{0}^{t}\left|U_{s}\right|^{2} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence, the sequence

$$
d^{i}(G, U)=\left\{\left\|\left(D^{n, i} G_{i}(t, \cdot, U .)\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{0, t\}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{n}^{T} \times \Omega\right)}^{2}, n \geq 0\right\}
$$

is in the class $\mathcal{R}$, and, therefore, $G_{i}(t, \cdot, U.) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot)$ belongs to $L_{i}$.
QED
Consider a $d$-dimensional square integrable adapted process $U$, namely, $U \in L_{a}^{2}\left([0, T] \times \Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Define, for each $t \in[0, T]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{t}(U)=\int_{0}^{t} F\left(t, s, U_{s}\right) d s \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2 Assume (H1), (H3) and (H4). For all $U, V \in L_{a}^{2}\left([0, T] \times \Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{t \in[0, T]} E\left|I_{t}(U)\right|^{2}<\infty  \tag{3.4}\\
& E\left|I_{t}(U)-I_{t}(V)\right|^{2} \leq M_{0}^{2} t \int_{0}^{t} E\left|U_{s}-V_{s}\right|^{2} d s \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Using (H4) we have

$$
E\left|I_{t}(U)\right|^{2} \leq 2 t^{2} K^{2}+2 M_{0}^{2} t E \int_{0}^{t}\left|U_{s}\right|^{2} d s<\infty
$$

on the other hand, (3.5) follows easily from (H3).
QED
We are going to deduce a similar estimation for the Skorohod integral of $G_{i}\left(t, s, U_{s}\right)$ with respect to the Brownian motion $W^{i}$.

Proposition 3.3 Assume (H1), (H2), (H3), (H3') and (H4). For any process $U \in L_{a}^{2}\left([0, T] \times \Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and for all $i=1, \ldots, k$, for all $t \in[0, T]$ we have that the Skorohod integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{t}^{i}(U):=\int_{0}^{t} G_{i}\left(t, s, U_{s}\right) d W_{s}^{i} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists. Furthermore, if $U, V \in L_{a}^{2}\left([0, T] \times \Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ then for each $i=1, \ldots, k$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|J_{t}^{i}(U)-J_{t}^{i}(V)\right|^{2} \leq B\left(M^{2}\right) E \int_{0}^{t}\left|U_{s}-V_{s}\right|^{2} d s \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Fix an index $i=1, \ldots, k$ and fix $t \in[0, T]$. Thanks to Proposition 2.11 and Lemma 3.1 we have that $J_{t}^{i}(U)$ exists. In order to prove (3.7) we define the $d$-dimensional process

$$
v_{s}^{i}=\left(G_{i}\left(t, s, U_{s}\right)-G_{i}\left(t, s, V_{s}\right)\right)
$$

From hypothesis (H3') and Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$
\left\|D^{n, i} v^{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Delta_{n}^{t} \times \Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} \leq M_{n}^{2} E \int_{0}^{t}\left|U_{s}-V_{s}\right|^{2} d s
$$

Hence, by Lemma $2.10 v_{s}^{i}$ belongs to the space $L_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and

$$
\left\|v^{i}\right\|_{L_{i}}^{2} \leq B\left(M^{2}\right) E \int_{0}^{t}\left|U_{s}-V_{s}\right|^{2} d s
$$

Therefore, Proposition 2.11 (properly extended to $d$-dimensional processes) allows us to conclude the proof of the proposition.

QED
With these preliminaries we can state and prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.4 Assume the hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H3), (H3') and (H4). Then, there is a unique solution $X$ to Equation (3.1) in the space $L_{a}^{2}([0, T] \times$ $\left.\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Proof of uniqueness: Using the notations introduced above we can write Equation (3.1) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=x_{0}+I_{t}(X)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} J_{t}^{i}(X) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider another solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=x_{0}+I_{t}(Y)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} J_{t}^{i}(Y) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.2 we get

$$
E\left|X_{t}-Y_{t}\right|^{2} \leq\left(2 M_{0}^{2} T+2 k B\left(M^{2}\right)\right) \int_{0}^{t} E\left|X_{s}-Y_{s}\right|^{2} d s
$$

and by Gronwall's lemma we deduce that $X_{t}-Y_{t}=0$ for each $t \in[0, T]$. Proof of existence: Consider the sequence of Picard approximations of defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{t}^{0} & =x_{0} \\
X_{t}^{n+1} & =x_{0}+I_{t}\left(X^{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} J_{t}^{i}\left(X^{n}\right), \quad n \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.2 one can prove as usual that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|X_{t}^{n+1}-X_{t}^{n}\right|^{2} \leq S^{n} E \int_{\left\{s_{1} \leq \cdots \leq s_{n} \leq t\right\}}\left|X_{s_{1}}^{1}-X_{s_{1}}^{0}\right|^{2} d s_{1} \cdots d s_{n} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{S^{n} t^{n}}{n!} \sup _{t \in[0, T]} E\left|X_{t}^{1}-X_{t}^{0}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S=2 M_{0}^{2} T+2 k B\left(M^{2}\right)$. From hypotheses (H2), (H3) and (H4) we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|X_{t}^{1}-X_{t}^{0}\right|^{2} \leq 2 E\left|\int_{0}^{t} F\left(t, s, x_{0}\right) d s\right|^{2}+2 E\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{t} G_{i}\left(t, s, x_{0}\right) d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq 2 S T\left|x_{0}\right|^{2}+4 E\left|\int_{0}^{t} F(t, s, 0) d s\right|^{2}+4 E\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{t} G_{i}(t, s, 0) d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq 2 S T\left|x_{0}\right|^{2}+4 T^{2} K^{2}+4 k K^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these estimations it follow easily that the sequence $X^{n}$ converges in $L_{a}^{2}\left([0, T] \times \Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to a process solution of (3.1).

QED
Let us now discuss the existence of local solutions. We will say that a stochastic process $X=\left\{X_{t}, t \in[0, T]\right\}$ is a local solution of (3.1) if for all $t \in[0, T], i=1, \ldots, k$, we have
(a) $\int_{0}^{t}\left|F\left(t, s, X_{s}\right)\right|^{2} d s<\infty$, a.e.
(b) The stochastic process $\left\{G_{i}(t, \cdot, X),. \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(\cdot)\right\}$ belongs to $L_{i, l o c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
(c) The process $X$ satisfies

$$
X_{t}=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} F\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{t} G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}^{i}, \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Theorem 3.5 Consider measurable functions $F, G_{i}: \Omega \times \Delta_{1}^{T} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, i=$ $1, \ldots, k$, such that there exists a sequence $\left\{\Omega_{n}, F^{n}, G_{1}^{n}, \ldots, G_{k}^{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ verifying
(i) For each $n \geq 1, \Omega_{n} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\Omega_{n} \uparrow \Omega$.
(ii) For each $n \geq 1, F^{n}$ and $G_{i}^{n}$ satisfy the hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H3), ( $\mathrm{H} 3^{\prime}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ ).
(iii) For each $n \geq 1, F=F^{n}, G_{i}=G_{i}^{n}$ on $\Omega_{n} \times \Delta_{1}^{T} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Then Eq. (3.1) has a local solution in $L_{a}^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$.
Proof: By Theorem 3.4 we have that for all $n \geq 1$ there exists an unique $X^{n} \in L_{a}^{2}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ so that for all $t \in[0, T], i=1, \ldots, k, I_{t}\left(X^{n}\right), J_{t}^{i}\left(X^{n}\right)$ are well defined and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}^{n}=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} F^{n}\left(t, s, X_{s}^{n}\right) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{t} G_{i}^{n}\left(t, s, X_{s}^{n}\right) d W_{s}^{i} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of Eq. (3.10) is obtained as the limit of Picard approximations. As a consequence, and taking into account hypothesis (iii) and the local property of the Skorohod integral in the space $L_{i}$ (Proposition 2.12) we obtain that $X_{t}^{n}=X_{t}^{n+1}$ a.s. on $\Omega_{n}$. Now we define a stochastic process $X$ by setting $X=X^{n}$ on $\Omega_{n} \cap \Omega_{n-1}^{c}$. We have $X_{t}=X_{t}^{n}$ a.s. on $\Omega_{n}$, for each $t \in[0, T]$, and we can write

$$
X_{t}=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} F^{n}\left(t, s, X_{s}^{n}\right) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{t} G_{i}^{n}\left(t, s, X_{s}^{n}\right) d W_{s}^{i}
$$

a.s. on $\Omega_{n}$. The process $X$ verifies the above conditions (a) and (b) by localization. Finally, using Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 2.12 we obtain

$$
X_{t}=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} F\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{t} G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}^{i}
$$

a.s. on $\Omega_{n}$, which implies that $X$ satisfies condition (c). The proof is now complete.

## QED

## 4 Continuity of the solution

In this section we will provide additional conditions under which the solution of Eq. (3.1) is an a.s. continuous process. The main ingredient in proving the existence of a continuous version for the solution to Eq. (3.1) will be the estimations given in Proposition 2.11.

In the sequel, we will assume that $0<\epsilon<1$ and $\beta>\frac{1}{2}$. We will need the following additional hypotheses:
(H5) For all $(t, r, s) \in \Delta_{2}^{T}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have

$$
\left|G_{i}(t, s, x)-G_{i}(r, s, x)\right| \leq M_{0}|t-r|^{\beta}(1+|x|)^{1-\epsilon} .
$$

(H5') For all $(t, r, s) \in \Delta_{2}^{T}, i=1, \ldots, k, n \geq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{r \geq s_{1} \geq \cdots \geq s_{n} \geq s\right\}}\left|\left(D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i} G_{i}\right)(t, s, x)-\left(D_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n, i} G_{i}\right)(r, s, x)\right|^{2} d s_{1} \cdots d s_{n} \\
& \quad \leq M_{n}^{2}|t-r|^{2 \beta}(1+|x|)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(H6) Sub-linear growth condition: For all $(t, s) \in \Delta_{1}^{T}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have

$$
\left|G_{i}(t, s, x)\right| \leq M_{0}(1+|x|)^{1-\epsilon} .
$$

(H7) The mapping $t \mapsto F(t, s, x)$ is continuous on $[s, T]$ for each $(s, x, \omega)$.
Then we can prove the following result:
Theorem 4.1 Under conditions (H1), (H2), (H3), (H3'), (H4), (H5), (H5'), (H6) and (H7), the unique solution of equation (3.1) has an a.s. continuous modification.

Proof: Using hypotheses (H4) and (H7) and the dominated convergence theorem it is easy to show that $I_{t}(X)$ is a continuous function of $t$.

Let us now prove the continuity of the processes $\left\{J_{t}^{i}(X), t \in[0, T]\right\}, i=$ $1, \ldots, k$. To simplify we will assume that all processes are one-dimensional. Fix $r<t$. For each $i=1, \ldots, k$ and $p \in(2,4)$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left|J_{t}^{i}(X)-J_{r}^{i}(X)\right|^{p} & \leq C_{p}\left\{E\left|\int_{0}^{r} G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right)-G_{i}\left(r, s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{p}\right. \\
& \left.+E\left|\int_{r}^{t} G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{p}\right\} \\
& =C_{p}\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is a positive constant depending only on $p$. Now, using hypothesis (H6) we can show that $\left\{G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right) 1_{[0, t \mid}(s), s \in[0, T]\right\}$, is a process in the space $L^{\frac{2 p}{4-p}}([0, T] \times \Omega)$, provided $2<p \leq \frac{4}{2-\epsilon}$. On the other hand we have seen that this process is in $L_{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. As a consequence, applying Proposition 2.11 and setting $\alpha=\frac{2 p}{4-p}$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & \leq K_{p}\left(E \int_{0}^{r}\left|G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right)-G_{i}\left(r, s, X_{s}\right)\right|^{\alpha} d s\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{r}\left\|D_{\theta}^{i}\left(G_{i}(t, \cdot, X)-G_{i}(r, \cdot, X .)\right) \mathbf{1}_{|0, \theta|}(\cdot)\right\|_{L_{i}}^{2} d \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{p}$ is a constant depending only on $T, p$. Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{\theta} \int_{\Delta_{n}^{\theta}}\left|D_{\theta, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}}^{n+1, i}\left(G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right)-G_{i}\left(r, s, X_{s}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d s_{1} \cdots d s_{n} d s d \theta \\
& \quad \leq M_{n+1}^{2}|t-r|^{2 \beta} E \int_{0}^{r}\left(1+\left|X_{s}\right|\right)^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain, using that $\alpha(1-\epsilon) \leq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & \leq K_{p} M_{0}^{\alpha}|t-r|^{\alpha \beta} E \int_{0}^{T}\left(1+\left|X_{s}\right|\right)^{2} d s \\
& +B\left(\left\{M_{1}^{2}, M_{2}^{2}, M_{3}^{2}, \cdots\right\}\right)|t-r|^{2 \beta} E \int_{0}^{T}\left(1+\left|X_{s}\right|\right)^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way we can deduce the following estimates for the term $T_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{2} & \leq K_{p}|t-r|^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\left(E \left(\int_{r}^{t}\left|G_{i}\left(t, s, X_{s}\right)\right|^{\alpha} d s\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{r}^{t}\left\|D_{\theta}^{i}\left(G_{i}(t, \cdot, X .)\right) \mathbf{1}_{[0, \theta]}(\cdot)\right\|_{L_{i}}^{2} d \theta\right) \\
& \left.\leq K_{p}\left(M_{0}^{\alpha}+B\left(\left\{M_{1}^{2}, M_{2}^{2}, M_{3}^{2}, \cdots\right\}\right)\right)|t-r|^{\frac{p}{2}-1} E \int_{r}^{t}\left(1+\left|X_{s}\right|\right)^{2}\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that from the proof of Theorem 3.4 we have $\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} E\left|X_{t}\right|^{2}<\infty$. Hence, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|J_{t}^{i}(X)-J_{r}^{i}(X)\right|^{p} \leq c|t-r|^{\delta}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=\min \left(2 \beta, \frac{p}{2}\right)>1$ and $c$ is a constant. By Kolmogorov's continuity criterion, Property (4.1) implies that the process $\left\{J_{t}^{i}(X), t \in[0, T]\right\}$ possesses a continuous version, and now the proof is complete.
QED

Remark: We can obtain another type of continuity result imposing conditions over the $L^{p}$-norm of $G_{i}$ and its derivatives, and working with solutions $X$ in the space of adapted processes $L_{a}^{p}([0, T] \times \Omega)$, for $p>2$.
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