Neuroendocrinology

| Manuscript: | NEN-0-0-0 |
|-------------|--|
| Title: | Patient-centred outcomes with pituitary and parasellar disease |
| Authors(s): | Susan M Webb (Corresponding Author), Alicia Santos (Co-author), Luciana Martel (Co-author), Anna Aulinas (Co-author), Eugenia Resmini (Co-author), María-Antonia Martínez-Momblán (Co- author), Elena Valassi (Co-author) |
| Keywords: | Acromegaly, Cushing syndrome, Hypopituitarism, Pituitary tumors, Quality of life |
| Туре: | Review Article |

Review Title: Patient-centred outcomes with pituitary and parasellar disease

Authors: Susan M Webb^{*1,2}, Alicia Santos ^{1,2}, Anna Aulinas^{1,2},, Eugenia Resmini^{1,2}, Luciana Martel¹, M^a Antonia Martínez-Momblán^{2,3}, Elena Valassi^{1,2}

Address: ¹Hospital S Pau, Dept Medicine/Endocrinology, IIB-Sant Pau, Research Center for Pituitary Diseases, 08025-Barcelona, Spain; ²Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER, Unidad 747), ISCIII; Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB); Pare Claret 167, 08025-Barcelona, Spain; ³Fundamental and medicosurgical Nursing Department, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Barcelona, Bellvitge Campus, Feixa Llarga, s/n, Pavelló de Govern, 3a planta. Despatx 339, 08907-L'Hospitalet de Llobregat. Spain

 Emails:
 swebb@santpau.cat;
 asantos@santpau.cat;
 aaulinasm@gmail.com;

 eresmini@santpau.cat;
 lmarteld@santpau.cat;
 mmartinezmo46@ub.edu;

 evalassi@santpau.cat;

Short Title: Patient-centered outcomes

Corresponding author*:

Susan M Webb

Dept Endocrinology

Hospital Sant Pau Pare Claret 167 08022-Barcelona, Spain Tel: +34-935565661 Fax: +34-93 5565602 E-mail: <u>swebb@santpau.cat</u>;

Keywords: Quality of life; acromegaly; Cushing's syndrome; hypopituitarism; pituitary adenoma

1 Abstract

2 Over the last 2 decades advances in the diagnosis and management of pituitary diseases have made it possible to attain an endocrine "cure" in a large proportion of patients. In 3 other words, tumors can be excised or controlled with drugs, and mass effect of the 4 5 lesion on surrounding structures be solved, and pituitary deficiencies can be substituted 6 with all relevant hormones. While this is considered a satisfactory outcome for health 7 care providers, patients often suffer from an aftermath of prior endocrine dysfunction exposure, with irreversible effects both physically and psychologically, which have a 8 9 great impact on their everyday life. Diagnostic delay, often of several years, adds a 10 negative impact on health perception. This affects their social, professional and family domains and determines their future life. Understanding that this may occur is 11 12 important and health care providers should offer information to prepare the patient for 13 this difficult journey, especially in the case of acromegaly, Cushing disease or 14 hypopituitarism. In order to maintain in the long-term a good quality of life, patients need to adapt to this new situation, something that may be difficult, since they often 15 16 cannot continue with all the activities and rhythm they used to do. Depression is often 17 the consequence of maladaptation to the new situation, leading to impaired quality of life. 18

20 Introduction

21 Quality of life (QoL) does not mean the same for a health care provider (HCP) and 22 for a patient. An endocrinologist considers pituitary disease to be controlled if pituitary 23 hormone function is normal and tumour growth is stable; with current surgery and 24 medical therapy this is possible nowadays in most patients. But patients would like to 25 perform daily activities as they did prior to suffering from pituitary disease, personally 26 and professionally, without physical or psychological limitations, pain or social or family 27 problems related to their disease; if this is not the case, the patient will hardly consider 28 himself "cured" or experience a good health-related QoL. They want to feel healthy, 29 independently of what the hormone or imaging results show. These subjective feelings 30 of health perception of the patient, can be measured with Patient Reported Outcome -31 PRO- questionnaires, and are contemplated by administrators and regulating agencies, 32 when considering health-related decisions, i.e., authorising reimbursement of new 33 drugs, or devoting health resources to a particular group of patients.

34 Questionnaires are more and more frequently used to evaluate QoL and the long-35 term impact on health perception after suffering pituitary dysfunction [1]. It is now 36 evident that years after "curing" pituitary dysfunction, patients still suffer from more 37 cardiovascular, skeletal and neuropsychological morbidity (i.e., worse executive 38 function, emotional coping, leading to anxiety, depression and psychological distress, as 39 well as memory and cognition complaints), especially after hypercortisolism of 40 Cushing's syndrome (of either pituitary or adrenal origin, or after exogenous treatment with high doses of glucocorticoids and/or longterm exposure), acromegaly or 41 42 hypopituitarism [2-10]. Patients complain of impaired QoL related to dimensions vital 43 for daily life, but often ignored by clinicians [3]. Common complaints are physical (poor 44 stamina, body image, and libido) and emotional (slower on the uptake, anxiety or being depressive) and less frequently social issues, although visual or other problems, which 45 prevent driving, do have a great negative impact [1, 2]. 46

Working disability (no paid job, need of sick leave, or not being productive while at work due to difficulties in concentrating and losing the train of thought) is common in patients with pituitary tumours; furthermore, patients with a paid job experience a better QoL than those who are unemployed [11]. Patients with a diagnosis of

hypopituitarism, acromegaly or Cushing's disease -CD- experience more working 51 52 problems than non-functioning pituitary adenomas - NFPA- or Rathke's pouch cysts. 53 Current use of medical therapy or having undergone radiotherapy impact more 54 negatively than being controlled after surgery. Higher education and income levels, as 55 well as being married or with a stable relationship, are also associated with a greater 56 probability of being employed (11). These persistent limitations after pituitary disease 57 explain the greater probability of early retirement, shorter working hours or the need of 58 adapting jobs to persistent limitations, in patients with pituitary disease. Thus, any 59 initiatives that favour a better adaptation to the current situation will be beneficial not 60 only for the individual patient, but also for society at large.

- 61
- 62

Comparison of the impact of different pituitary diseases on patients' health perception

As any other chronic health process, pituitary diseases may affect everyday life. Features like female gender, older age, hypopituitarism, active disease, depressive symptoms, negative illness perceptions, prior radiotherapy, tumour recurrence and frequent check-ups negatively affect perceived health and QoL and are common to all pituitary diseases. Other features that affect QoL are specific to acromegaly, CD or AGHD, situations where QoL is more impaired than in NFPA or prolactinomas [1, 12-17, 20].

72 The individual patient tends to adapt to perceived limitations, with more or less success. 73 With a good adaptation, perceived QoL may be quite acceptable despite persistent 74 morbidity, while maladaptation usually leads to frustration, anxiety or depression and 75 worse QoL. Educating patients on the nature and consequences of pituitary diseases by 76 multidisciplinary teams is helpful to understand the nature and adapt to limitations 77 [21]. The patients' family and social environment can also play a positive role on 78 patients' outcome, contributing to accept the new situation, by showing understanding, 79 comprehension, support, and a positive approach to day-to-day life [22].

In a pilot study carried out when patients attended their outpatient appointment during
2019, they were asked to describe how their pituitary disease had affected their

everyday life (Santos et al, unpublished results). Fifty-four patients were interviewed,
14 patients diagnosed of Cushing's disease, 14 with acromegaly and 14 with a
prolactinoma, and 12 with a non-functioning pituitary adenoma (Table 1).

Patients diagnosed with Cushing's disease had the greatest impact of the disease on their life (7.5 out of a maximum of 10), followed by prolactinoma (6.8), acromegaly (6.5) and non-functioning adenomas (5.8) (Figure 1 A). In the case of prolactinomas, they were mostly large macroadenomas, half of which were males with invasive lesions. In acromegaly, women declared to have experienced a much greater impact than men (8.9 versus 4.2), while clear gender differences were not observed in other diagnostic groups (Figure 1 B).

Emotional complaints in these 54 patients globally were more prevalent at diagnosis, but were still quite prevalent during the interview at follow-up (Table 2). At diagnosis, only 18 (33%) recalled to have felt fine, while at follow-up 33 (61%) referred to feel happy and fine. Thus, despite improvement, more than half still experienced emotional discomfort including anxiety, depression, sadness, nervousness, mood swings, worry, fear, lack of initiative, loneliness or dislike of body image after treatment.

Social issues at diagnosis and during the interview are globally reported in Table 3. At
diagnosis, 70% did not recall any social issues, while 14 (26%) referred not wanting or
experiencing difficulties in going out for different reasons, physical and psychological.
At follow-up, the situation had improved, since 44 patients (81%) referred no problem.

102

103 Promoting patient empowerment by the health care providers

104 Perception of outcome after treatment of pituitary disease is often overseen or ignored 105 by health care providers, unless specifically mentioned. They struggle with QoL issues 106 not finding any understanding, and feel isolated, lonely and scared, not knowing how to 107 best manage their perceived declining health into the future. Listening to the 108 experiences of "cured" patients (individually or through patient associations) are very 109 illustrative, and deserve more attention than given up to now. For example, patients 110 often state that they were hardly listened to, and since they were endocrinologically 111 "cured", their complaints were not considered. This generates anxiety, feeling 112 bewildered or a hypochondriac. If patients are made aware that some of their perceived

problems may be related to the prior diagnosis, it allows them first to recognize a problem they are experiencing, with a reason and explanation, which they can therefore try to tackle. This gives them peace of mind, energy and hopes that is often perceived as therapeutic, with a sense of relief, since they find a confirmation that their feelings are real and not imaginary.

118 In words of some cured Cushing disease patients, the discussion on how challenging the 119 recovery may be after the surgery helps normalize an often very difficult journey. Just 120 knowing that someone understands the physical and psychological devastating 121 aftermath of chronic high cortisol levels and what they are going through is very 122 therapeutic, with a feeling of being validated and understood. Furthermore, the 123 devastating changes induced by hypercortisolism on body image are very distressing, as 124 illustrated by a young graphic designer who drew herself as she recalled she was prior 125 to treatment, and 2 years later when her adrenal axis was normal (Figure 2).

Education and/or information by devoted and empathic multidisciplinary teams with an understanding of these persistent issues facilitate an adaptation to these limitations, making the patients aware that this may occur. Additionally, understanding and a positive approach to day-to-day life, comprehension and support from family or friends, contribute to accept this new situation, affecting positively patients' health perception and long-term prognosis.

132 Patients appreciate that health care providers be patient and encouraging, since they 133 often cannot grasp all the implications a prior diagnosis of a pituitary disease may have. 134 It takes time for them to accept the whole situation and adapt to it, especially when they 135 don't feel "normal and healthy". Most appreciate receiving complete written 136 information on their diseases and the consequences it may have, although each 137 individual is unique. Being kind, empathic and listening to the patients to identify 138 specific issues which can be dealt with is much appreciated and helps them feel better. 139 For some, contacting other patients through patient associations, forums, Facebook or 140 social network groups, is very helpful.

141

142 **Conclusion**

Patient-centred outcome measures including evaluation of QoL, complement 143 144 biochemical and radiological workup of pituitary patients. Health perception is worse in 145 active disease, especially with hypercortisolism due to Cushing's disease, acromegaly 146 and hypopituitarism, and is often still impaired, even in the presence of endocrine "cure". Pre-existing psychopathology like anxiety, depression or an irritable mood 147 148 further worsen QoL, while adaptation to the new physical, psychological or social 149 limitations are associated with better health perception and QoL. Identification of 150 persistent anxiety and depression, for example with a simple and easily accessible 151 questionnaire like HADS (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale) can classify those 152 individuals who may benefit from psychological or psychiatric help to overcome their 153 problem. A supportive social or family environment can also be helpful, and can be 154 favoured by informing and educating the patients and their environment on the nature 155 of their pituitary disease and what to expect after so-called successful treatment.

157 Statements

158 **Disclosure Statement**

159 160 The senior author (SMW) is the co-author and co-owner of the copyright the disease-161 specific quality of life questionnaires AcroQoL and CushingQoL, as illustrated in the 162 reference list. The rest of the authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

163 **Funding Sources**

This review has received no funding, and has been prepared after being awarded the
Rolf Gaillard prize of the European Neuroendocrine Association, and presenting a
lecture at the 6th ENEA Workshop on Parasellar Lesions in Athens in December 2019.

167

168 Author Contributions

169 SM Webb has written this review. All authors have contributed with data included in

this review, as well as have performed critical reading, approval of the final version and

171 revision of the manuscript. The authors are indebted to Ms Ariadna Corretja for her

172 drawings on how one feels before and after successful surgery for Cushing's disease.

References

[1] Webb SM, Crespo I, Santos A, Resmini E, Aulinas A, Valassi E. MANAGEMENT OF ENDOCRINE DISEASE. Quality of life tools for the management of pituitary disease. Eur J Endocrinol 2017; 177: R13–R26.

[2] Romijn JA. The chronic syndromes after previous treatment of pituitary tumours. Nature Reviews Endocrinol 2016; 12: 547–56.

[3] Andela CD, Scharloo M, Pereira AM, Kaptein AA & Biermasz NR. Quality of life (QoL) impairments in patients with a pituitary adenoma: a systematic review of QoL studies. Pituitary 2015; 18: 752–76.

[4] Koltowska-Häggstrom M, Mattsson AF, Monson JP, Kind P, Badia X, Casanueva FF et al. CLINICAL STUDY Does long-term GH replacement therapy in hypopituitary adults with GH deficiency normalise quality of life? Eur J Endocrinol 2006; 155: 109-19.

[5] Mo D, Blum WF, Rosilio M, Webb SM, Qi R, Strasburger CJ. Ten-year change in quality of life in adults on growth hormone replacement for growth hormone deficiency: an analysis of the hypopituitary control and complications study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014; 99: 4581–8.

[6] Koltowska-Häggström M, Mattsson AF, Shalet SM. Assessment of quality of life in adult patients with GH deficiency: KIMS contribution to clinical practice and

pharmacoeconomic evaluations. Eur J Endocrinol 2009; 161 (Supplement 1): S51–S64.

[7] Webb SM, Badia X. Quality of life in acromegaly. Neuroendocrinology 2016;103:106–11.

[8] Tiemensma J, Kaptein AA, Pereira AM, Smit JW, Romijn JA, Biermasz NR. Affected illness perceptions and the association with impaired quality of life in patients with long-term remission of acromegaly. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011; 96: 3550–8.

[9] Sievers C, Ising M, Pfister H, Dimopoulou C, Schneider HJ, Roemmler J, et al. Personality in patients with pituitary adenomas is characterized by increased anxiety related traits: comparison of 70 Acromegalic patients to patients with nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas and age and gender matched controls. Eur J Endocrinol 2009; 160: 776–73.

[10] Santos A, Resmini E, Gómez-Ansón B, Crespo I, Granell E, Valassi E, et al. Cardiovascular risk and white matter lesions after endocrine control of Cushing's síndrome. Eur J Endocrinol 2015; 173: 765–75.

[11] Lobatto DJ, Steffens ANV, Zamanipoor Najafabadi AH, Andela CD, Pereira AM, van den Hout WB, et al. Work disability and its determinants in patients with pituitary tumor-related disease. Pituitary 2018;21(6):593-604.

[12] Wexler T, Gunnell L, Omer Z, Kuhlthau K, Beauregard C, Graham G, et al. Growth hormone deficiency is associated with decreased quality of life in patients with prior acromegaly. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009; 94: 2471–7.

[13] Badia X, Forsythe A, Nelson LM, Coles TM, McLeod LD, Webb SM. Improvements in health-related quality of life in acromegaly with pasireotide LAR and octreotide LAR: results from a large, randomized, double-blind phase III trial. In Poster Presented at the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR), Berlin, Germany, 3–7 November 2012. Value in Health 2012; 15: A505.

[14] Neggers SJ, van Aken MO, de Herder WW, Feelders RA, Janssen JA, Badia X, et al. Quality of life in acromegalic patients during long-term somatostatin analog treatment with and without pegvisomant. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008; 93: 3853– 9.

[15] Sibeoni J, Manolios E, Verneuil L, Chanson P, Revah-Levy A. Patients' perspectives on acromegaly diagnostic delay: a qualitative study Eur J Endocrinol 2019; 180: 339-52.

[16] van Aken MO, Pereira AM, Biermasz NR, van Thiel SW, Hoftijzer HC, Smit JW, et al. Quality of life in patients after long-term biochemical cure of Cushing's disease. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005; 90: 3279–86.

[17] Valassi E, Feelders R, Maiter D, Chanson P, Yaneva M, Reincke M, et al. Worse Health-Related Quality of Life at long-term follow-up in patients with Cushing's disease than patients with cortisol producing adenoma. Data from the ERCUSYN. Clin Endocrinol 2018; 88(6):787-98.

[18] van der Klaauw AA, Kars M, Biermasz NR, Roelfsema F, Dekkers OM, Corssmit EP, et al. Disease-specific impairments in quality of life during long-term follow up of patients with different pituitary adenomas. Clin Endocrinol 2008; 69:775–84.

[19] Andela CD, Lobatto DJ, Pereira AM, van Furth WR, Biermasz NR. How non-functioning pituitary adenomas can affect health-related quality of life: a conceptual model and literature review. Pituitary 2018; 21: 208-16.

[20] Liu S, Adelman DT, Xu Y, Sisco J, Begelman SM, Webb SM, et al. Patient-centered assessment on disease burden, quality of life, and treatment satisfaction associated with acromegaly. J Investig Med 2018;
66: 653-60.

[21] Martínez-Momblán MA, Gómez C, Santos A, Porta N, Esteve J, Úbeda I, et al. A specific nursing educational program in patients with Cushing's syndrome. Endocrine 2015; 53: 199–209.

[22] Santos A, Webb SM. Coping with Cushing's Disease: the Patients' Perspectives.

In: Laws ER, editor, Cushing's Disease. An often misdiagnosed and not so rare disorder. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804340-0.00010-3. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2017. p. 169-185.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 : A) Patient's subjective impression on the impact of different pituitary diseases on everyday life (maximum impact 10, minimum 0). B) Women with acromegaly refer a much greater impact of their disease than men, while this sexual dimorphism was not observed in other diseases.

Abbreviations CD: Cushing disease; ACR: acromegaly; PRL: prolactinoma; NF: Nonfunctioning adenomas

Fig. 2. A) Subjective impression of body image while actively cushingoid and B) 2 years after successful surgery, as drawn by a 20-year old graphic designer.

Table 1: Physical impact referred by the patients at diagnosis and during the recent interview, in the different pituitary diseases Cushing disease (n=14, 3 males; age 27-75 years; 1 on cortisol-lowering drugs; substitution therapy: 3 growth hormone; 8 L-thyroxine; 3 hydrocortisone; 1 testosterone)

| At diagnosis | During interview: |
|------------------------------|---|
| Swollen (7) | Feeling fine (5) |
| Increased weight (5) | Feeling tired (6) |
| Excessive fatigability (3) | Would prefer to be thinner/less swollen (3) |
| Body shape (3) | Pain (2) |
| Hirsutism (3) | More visceral fat |
| Bruising (3) | Heart/walking problems |
| Pain (2) | Sleeping difficulties |
| Sleeping difficulties (2) | Curly hair (after radiotherapy) (2) |
| Menstrual irregularities (2) | Feeling very cold |
| | Desire of plastic surgery to recover body image |

Acromegaly (n=14, 7 males; age 35- 66 years; 3 on GH-lowering drugs; substitution therapy: 4 growth hormone; 5 L-thyroxine; 4 hydrocortisone; 3 testosterone)

| At diagnosis | During interview: |
|--|---|
| Ok, minor changes perceived(3) | Feeling fine (3) |
| Changes in facial features (3) | Pain (7) |
| Increase in size of hands and feet (2) | Cannot recognize myself in the mirror |
| Feeling tired (2) | Feeling tired |
| Too tall (2) | Abdominal discomfort with oral medication |
| Pain (2) | Desire of plastic surgery to recover body image |
| Swollen (2) | |
| Loss of vision | |

Prolactinomas (n=14, 8 males; age 27- 61 years; 11 on prolactin-lowering drugs; substitution therapy: 1 growth hormone; 4 L-thyroxine; 3 hydrocortisone; 2 testosterone)

| At diagnosis | During interview: |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Headache (6) | Feeling fine (7) |
| Menstrual irregularities (5) | Loss of vision (2) |
| Loss of vision (5) | Feeling tired (2) |
| Low sexual desire (4) | Desire of plastic surgery to recover body image |
| Feeling tired (2) | Substitution therapy is imperfect |
| Galactorhea (2) | |
| Increase in breast size (3; 2 ♂) | |
| Swollen and increased weight (2) | |
| Pain | |
| Sterility | |

Non-functioning adenomas (n=12, 8 males; age 33-78 years; substitution therapy: 3 growth hormone; 4 L-thyroxine; 4 hydrocortisone; 5 testosterone)

| At diagnosis | During interview: | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Feeling fine | Feeling fine (6) | |
| Headache (8) | Walking problems (2) | |
| Loss of vision (5) | Low energy | |
| Dizziness (2) | No libido | |
| Pain (2) | Loss of vision | |
| Vomiting (2) | Feeling tired | |
| Feeling tired | | |
| Nausea | | |
| Impotence, no ejaculation | | |

Table 2: Emotional complaints at diagnosis and during the interview (all 54 patients together, Cushing disease, acromegaly, prolactinoma and non-functioning adenoma)

| At diagnosis | During interview: |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Feeling fine (18) | Feeling fine (30) |
| Depression (7) / Sadness (5) | Нарру (3) |
| Fear (5) | Do not feel like doing anything (2) |
| Mood swings (4) | Nervousness / Anxiety (3) |
| Irritability (3) / Anger (2) | Dislike of my body (3) |
| Apathy (2) | Sadness /Depression (5) |
| Anguish (2) | Mood swings (3) |
| Nervousness (2) / Anxiety | Worried about medical controls (2) |
| Difficulty concentrating | Fear (2) |
| Feeling worried | Feeling lonely |
| Low self-esteem | |

Table 3: Social issues at diagnosis and during the interview (all 54 patients together, Cushing disease, acromegaly, prolactinoma and non-functioning adenoma)

| At diagnosis | During interview: |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| No impact (38) | No problems (44) |
| Problems with family/partner (2) | Often go out with family and friends (4) |
| Did not want to go out (3) | Going out less (3) |
| Going out less (11) | Do not want to go out, it involves too much |
| - Only as an obligation (3) | effort (2) |
| - Only when others proposed it (2) | No sexual desire, leading to problems with |
| - Due to my physical appearance (4) | partner |
| - Due to difficulties walking | |
| - Because feeling unwell | |

Figure 1 A)



Figure 1 B)





