1	Effects of a long-term	lifestyle intervention	on metabolically healthy	women with
---	------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------	------------

- 2 obesity: Metabolite profiles according to weight loss response
- 3
- Magali Palau-Rodriguez ^{a, b, 1}, Mar Garcia-Aloy ^{a, 1}, Antonio Miñarro ^{b, c}, M. Rosa Bernal-Lopez ^{d, e},
 Carl Brunius ^f, Ricardo Gomez-Huelgas ^{d, e, **}, Rikard Landberg ^f, Francisco J. Tinahones ^{e, g,} Cristina
 Andres-Lacueva ^{a, b, *}
- 7
- ^a Biomarkers and Nutrimetabolomics Laboratory, Department of Nutrition, Food Sciences and
 Gastronomy, XaRTA, INSA, Faculty of Pharmacy and Food Sciences, University of Barcelona,
- 10 08028, Barcelona, Spain
- 11 ^b CIBER Fragilidad y Envejecimiento Saludable (CIBERFES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, 28029,
- 12 Madrid, Spain
- 13 ^c Genetics, Microbiology and Statistics Department, Biology Faculty, University of Barcelona,
- 14 Barcelona, 08028, Spain
- ¹⁵ ^d Internal Medicine Department, Biomedical Institute of Malaga (IBIMA), Regional University
- 16 Hospital of Malaga (Carlos Haya Hospital), 29010, Malaga, Spain
- ^e Ciber Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutricion, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, 28029, Madrid,
 Spain
- ¹⁹ ^f Department of Biology and Biological Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, 412 58,
- 20 Goteborg, Sweden
- 21 ^g Endocrinology and Nutrition Department, Biomedical Institute of Malaga (IBIMA), Regional
- 22 University Hospital of Malaga (Virgen de la Victoria Hospital), 29010, Malaga, Spain
- 23
- 24 * Corresponding author. Biomarkers and Nutrimetabolomics Laboratory, Department of Nutrition,
- 25 Food Sciences and Gastronomy, Faculty of Pharmacy and Food Sciences, University of Barcelona,
- 26 Av. Joan XXIII, 27-31, 08028, Barcelona, Spain. Fax: +34 93403593.
- 27 ** Corresponding author. Internal Medicine Department, Biomedical Institute of Malaga (IBIMA),
- 28 Regional University Hospital of Malaga (Carlos Haya Hospital), 29010, Spain. Fax: +34 951290302.
- 29 E-mail addresses: ricardogomezhuelgas@hotmail.com (R. Gomez-Huelgas), candres@ub.edu (C.
- 30 Andres-Lacueva).
- 31 ¹ Equal contributions.
- 32

33 Keywords:

- 34 Metabolomics
- 35 Metabolically healthy obese
- 36 LC-MS

- 37 Mediterranean diet
- 38 Lifestyle intervention
- 39 Obesity
- 40

41 Abbreviations

42	1,5-AG	1,5-anhydroglucitol				
43	16OH-DHEA-S	16a-hydroxy DHEA 3-sulfate				
44	3PG	3-phosphoglycerate				
45	ADIOL-DS (1)	androstenediol (3beta,17beta) disulfate (1)				
46	ADIOL-DS (2)	androstenediol (3beta,17beta) disulfate (2)				
47	aHICA	alpha-hydroxyisocaproate				
48	AMP	adenosine 50-monophosphate				
49	BMI	body mass index				
50	carnitine C24	lignoceroylcarnite				
51	carnitine C26	cerotoylcarnitine				
52	carnitine C3	propionylcarnitine				
53	C-glyTrp	C-glycosyltryptophan				
54	CHOL	cholesterol				
55	cys-gly oxidized,	cysteine-glycine, oxidized				
56	DAG (18:2/18:2)	linoleoyl-linoleoyl-glycerol (18:2/18:2)				
57	DBP	diastolic blood pressure				
58	ESI	electrospray ionization				
59	FA	formic acid				
60	FDR	false discovery rate				
61	FM	fat mass				
62	GCA-S	glycocholenate sulfate				
63	glycosyl-ceramide (d18:1/16	:0) glycosyl-N-palmitoylsphingosine (d18:1/16:0)				
64	GPC (18:1/18:2)	1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2)				
65	GPC (P-16:0/18:1)	1-(1-enyl-palmitoyl)-2-oleoyl-GPC (P-16:0/18:1)				
66	GPI (18:0)	1-stearoyl-GPI (18:0)				
67	GPI (20:4)	1-arachidonoyl-GPI (20:4)				
68	HbA1c	glycated hemoglobin A1				
69	HDL	high-density lipoprotein cholesterol				
70	HILIC	hydrophylic interaction liquid chromatography				
71	Hip	hip circumference				
72	HOMA-IR	insulin resistance calculated by homeostatic model assessment				

73	HPLA	3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)lactate
74	HWL	high weight loss group
75	Insulin	fasting insulin
76	LDL	low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
77	LM	lean mass
78	LWL	low weight loss group
79	MedDiet	Mediterranenan diet
80	MG (18:2)	1-linoleoylglycerol (18:2)
81	МНО	metabolically healthy obesity
82	MS/MS	tandem mass spectrometry
83	NAA	N-acetylaspartate
84	non-HDL	non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
85	OEA	oleoyl ethanolamide
86	OGTT	oral glucose tolerance test
87	PEA	palmitoyl ethanolamide
88	PFPA	perfluoropentanoic acid
89	PLA	phenyllactate
90	rd-CV	repeated double cross-validation
91	RF	Random forest
92	RP	reverse phase
93	RSD	relative standard deviation
94	SBP	systolic blood pressure
95	SM	sphingomyelin
96	TG	triglycerides
97	UPLC	ultra-performance liquid chromatography
98	Waist	waist circumference

100 SUMMARY

101 Background & aims: The benefits of weight loss in subjects with metabolically healthy obesity 102 (MHO) are still a matter of controversy. We aimed to identify metabolic fingerprints and their 103 associated pathways that discriminate women with MHO with high or low weight loss response after 104 a lifestyle intervention, based on a hypocaloric Mediterranean diet (MedDiet) and physical activity. 105 Methods: A UPLC-Q-Exactive-MS/MS metabolomics workflow was applied to plasma samples from 106 27 women with MHO before and after 12 months of a hypocaloric weight loss intervention with a 107 MedDiet and increased physical activity. The subjects were stratified into two age-matched groups 108 according to weight loss: <10% (low weight loss group, LWL) and >10% (high weight loss group, 109 HWL). Random forest analysis was performed to identify metabolites discriminating between the 110 LWL and the HWL as well as within-status effects. Modulated pathways and associations between 111 metabolites and anthropometric and biochemical variables were also investigated.

112 Results: Thirteen metabolites discriminated between the LWL and the HWL, including 1,5-113 anhydroglucitol, carotenediol, 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)lactic acid, N-acetylaspartate and several lipid 114 species (steroids, a plasmalogen, sphingomyelins, a bile acid and long-chain acylcarnitines). 1,5-115 anhydroglucitol, 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)lactic acid and sphingomyelins were positively associated with 116 weight variables whereas N-acetylaspartate and the plasmalogen correlated negatively with them. 117 Changes in very long-chain acylcarnitines and hydroxyphenyllactic levels were observed in the HWL 118 and positively correlated with fasting glucose, and changes in levels of the plasmalogen negatively 119 correlated with insulin resistance. Additionally, the cholesterol profile was positively associated with 120 changes in acid hydroxyphenyllactic, sphingolipids and 1,5-AG.

121 *Conclusions:* Higher weight loss after a hypocaloric MedDiet and increased physical activity for 12 122 months is associated with changes in the plasma metabolome in women with MHO. These findings 123 are associated with changes in biochemical variables and may suggest an improvement of the 124 cardiometabolic risk profile in those patients that lose greater weight. Further studies are needed to investigate whether the response of those subjects with MHO to this intervention differs from thosewith unhealthy obesity.

127 **1. Introduction**

128 Obesity comprises a variety of different metabolic profiles that diversify the risk of developing metabolic alterations that lead to diseases such as type 2 diabetes [1]. However, while obesity is 129 130 usually associated with high cardiometabolic risk, it has been suggested that metabolically healthy 131 obesity (MHO) has a different risk profile [2]. Subjects with MHO, despite having an excess of adipose tissue, present a propitious metabolic profile distinguished by higher insulin sensitivity, 132 133 normal blood pressure, lower inflammatory parameters, lower visceral fat and more normal 134 circulating lipid profiles than those with metabolically "unhealthy" obesity [1]. This may protect them 135 from developing metabolic complications normally associated with obesity [3].

136 Men and womenwith obesity are advised or put on treatment to lose weight for better metabolic health 137 [4]. However, it is as yet unclear whether subjects with an MHO phenotype will benefit from weight 138 loss since they show a better cardiometabolic risk profile [1]. On the other hand, some studies have 139 argued that the MHO condition may be a transient state towards a higher metabolic risk state. 140 Therefore, it is important to investigate the effect of weight loss on cardiometabolic health 141 intermediates in the MHO phenotype. Randomized controlled trials based on a Mediterranean diet 142 (MedDiet) [5] and physical activity [6] have shown their beneficial effects on metabolic health per 143 se. Moreover, when the two are combined even greater benefits have been demonstrated [7,8]. 144 However, the impact of a lifestyle weight loss treatment on the MHO phenotype is poorly understood. 145 Metabolomics is a powerful technique to define metabolic profiles through the comprehensive 146 measurement of small molecule metabolites in a biological sample. The metabolome reflects the 147 interaction of the exposome (i.e. the diet, gut microbiota and environmental agents to which an 148 individual is exposed) with the gene cascade. Metabolomics can be used to identify biomarkers of 149 prediction, progression or pathogenesis of conditions and diseases, as well as providing new clues 150 regarding the mechanisms involved in metabolic deregulation [9,10]. Metabolomics may thus have

advantages over other omics techniques in the study of diseases with a major metabolic component. In the present study, we aimed to investigate how the plasma metabolite profiles would be affected according to weight loss response to a long-term lifestyle intervention based on a hypocaloric MedDiet and increased physical activity in women with metabolically healthy obesity. This could provide insights into affected metabolic pathways and potential consequences for cardiometabolic health.

157 **2. Material and methods**

158 2.1. Subjects and study design

159 Metabolically healthy women with obesity (BMI 30 kg/m2) aged 35e55 years were recruited by their family doctors between June 2013 and April 2014 from four primary health-care centers in the 160 161 Malaga district of the Andalusian Health Service (Spain) [11]. A participant was considered to be metabolically healthy if they fulfilled 1 of the following criteria: elevated fasting plasma glucose (100 162 163 mg/dL); elevated blood pressure (135/85 mmHg or use of blood pressure-lowering agents); elevated triglycerides (150 mg/ dL or treatment with lipid-lowering medication); or decreased HDL 164 165 cholesterol (<50 mg/dL). Exclusion criteria were: presence of diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance 166 as detected on a 2-h, 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT); pregnancy or planning to become pregnant during the study; cardiovascular disease; presence of any severe systemic disease such as 167 168 advanced organ failure, cancer or dementia; immobilized individuals; alcohol or drug abuse; having 169 participated in a weight loss programme in the past three months; or having lost 5 kg of body weight 170 in the last six months. Participants were enrolled into a lifestyle weight-loss intervention with a 171 hypocaloric MedDiet and a recommendation of physical activity for 12 months. The hypocaloric 172 dietwas based on a reduction of about 600 kcal in the energy intake with a calorie distribution as follows: 35e40% fats (8e10% saturated fatty acids), 40e45% carbohydrates and 20% protein. 173 174 Additionally, participants were recommended to practice daily exercise, which involved walking on average for 150 min every week throughout the study. 175

176 The Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity questionnaire was used to determine the activity of the 177 participants [12]. The dietary and physical intervention involved individual appointments with a 178 nutritionist every week during the first two months, followed by monthly visits during the next four 179 months and then once every three months up to 12 months. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, all protocols were approved by the institutional ethical committee 180 181 (Comite Coordinador de Etica de la Investigacion Biomedica de Andalucía) and all participants 182 provided written informed consent. The clinical trial was registered at the ISRCTN registry 183 (https://www.isrctn. com/ISRCTN88315555). Clinical measurements were taken at baseline and after 184 12 months of intervention by trained health-careworkers, and included anthropometry (weight, 185 height, waist and hip circumference, and bodycomposition), blood pressure and the collection of 186 fasting blood samples. Biochemical analyses were performed in the laboratory of the reference hospital and conducted using routine methods. Energy and nutrient intakes were determined using a 187 188 previously validated semi-quantitative 137-item food frequency questionnaire [13] and Spanish food composition tables [14,15]. Adherence to the MedDiet was measured using the 14-item screener from 189 190 the PREDIMED study [16]. For the present study, participants were classified in two groups 191 according to the percentage of weight loss after 12 months of intervention: <10% (low weight loss 192 group, LWL) and >10% (high weight loss group, HWL).

193 2.2. Metabolomics analysis

194 All samples were kept at -80 °C until analysis using the Metabolon analytical system (Metabolon 195 Inc., Durham, North Carolina, USA) [17]. Briefly, proteins were precipitated with methanol under 196 vigorous shaking for 2 min (Glen Mills Geno/Grinder 2000). One aliquot of the resulting supernatant 197 was analyzed using an approach based on hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography -ultra-198 performance liquid chromatography (UPLC, Waters ACQUITY) coupled to a Thermo Scientific Q-199 Exactive tandem mass spectrometer (MS/MS) using negative ion mode electrospray ionization (ESI-200). Three aliquots were analyzed by reverse phase (RP)- UPLC-ESI-MS/MS, two of them using 201 positive ion mode electrospray ionization and the other using ESI-. The UPLC system was equipped

202 with a UPLC C18 BEH (2.1 100 mm, 1.7 mm) or UPLC BEH Amide (2.1 150 mm, 1.7 mm) column 203 (Waters). The Q-Exactive system was interfaced with a heated ESI source and an Orbitrap mass 204 analyzer operating at a 35,000 mass resolution and covering 70e1000 m/z was used. The MS analysis 205 alternated between MS and data-dependent MSn scans using dynamic exclusion. Instrument 206 variability was determined by calculating the relative standard deviation (RSD) for the internal 207 standards that were added to each sample prior to injection into the MS. In parallel, overall process 208 variability was determined by calculating the RSD for all endogenous metabolites present in all of 209 the quality control samples created from a large pool of human plasma that were analyzed at the 210 beginning and at the end of the experimental run and evenly throughout the run. The median RSD of 211 the analytical platform instrumentation was 3%, whereas the median RSD overall process variability 212 was 6%. These values reflected acceptable levels of variability for both instrument and overall 213 process variability. Peaks were quantified using the area under the curve and metabolites were 214 identified by comparing them to library entries of purified standards, according to retention time, 215 accurate mass and MS/MS spectral data [18].

216 2.3. Statistical analysis

217 All the statistical analyses and graphics were computed in R (version 3.3.3), unless otherwise 218 specified. General characteristics of study participants, as well as anthropometric, clinical and dietary 219 data, were examined through univariate statistical analyses. Fisher's exact test was used to compare 220 categorical variables. For quantitative variables, data were analyzed using a non-parametric 221 permutation test (n = 1000) of a mixed (within and between groups) factorial design using the ez package [19] to assess, respectively: i) between-group differences at baseline; ii) withingroup 222 differences between before and after the intervention; and iii) between-group differences in the 223 224 changes during the intervention. Quantitative data are expressed as median (interquartile range), 225 whereas qualitative data are expressed as number of individuals (percentage). For metabolomics data, 226 a multi-step process was carried out. Firstly, metabolites not found in at least 80% of the samples in either of the classes were removed (considering the time point and the weight loss group for the 227

228 definition of classes). Missing values were imputed with the k-nearest neighbors method (k = 5) [20]. 229 Data were scaled to set the median equal to 1 and log-transformed. Finally, the differences in 230 metabolites between baseline and 12 months after the intervention periodwere calculated. Random 231 forest analysis with repeated double cross-validation (RF-rdCV) was used to select metabolites that 232 discriminated between the LWL and the HWL during the intervention process, as well as those 233 metabolites that discriminated between baseline and the 12-month intervention within each group 234 [19,21]. Briefly, RF-rdCV was performed using a procedure developed in-house [22]: the double 235 cross-validation separated the cross-validation into an outer "testing" loop (n = 8 CV segments) and 236 inner "tuning" (or validation) loop (n = 7 CV segments) to reduce bias from overfitting models [19.21]. The rdCV was repeated 30 times for between-group analysis and 20 times for within-group 237 238 analysis and misclassification was used for the fitness of the model tuning. Metabolite selectionwas 239 performed within the inner loop by iteratively turning over successively fewer features, keeping in 240 the subsequent inner loop iterations the 80% most informative metabolites. The validity of the models 241 was assessed using two-tailed permutation tests (n = 1000). Additionally, the p values of within- and 242 between-group differences in the changes in metabolites selected by each RF-rdCV model were also 243 calculated through intra- and inter-group permutation tests (n = 1000) using the above-mentioned ez 244 package [19]. Finally, Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated to estimate the associations 245 among the selected metabolites and with clinical variables. Metabolite-clinical correlations were represented as a heat map and metabolite-metabolite-clinical correlations as a network. These p 246 values were adjusted by false discovery rate (FDR) multiple testing, based on the Benjamini-247 248 Hochberg procedure, with the significant threshold set at p < 0.1 for the adjusted p values [23]. The 249 Hmisc and ggplot packages were used for the analysis of correlation and the creation of the heat map, 250 respectively. The correlation network was performed using Cytoscape 3.3.0.

3. Results

A total of 115 women with MHO were enrolled in the study. Of these, 43 dropped out during the intervention, six were excluded due to the presence of an illness, two for personal reasons and six for

254 not having completed the food frequency questionnaires at both baseline and 12 months. Finally, 27 women were randomly selected for metabolomics analysis based on previous experience from 255 256 nutritional metabolomics experiments in order to achieve a resource-efficient proof-of-concept study. 257 From the 27 women, 15 (55.6%) and 12 (44.4%) lost <10% and >10% of their body weight, respectively (Fig. S1). Table 1 presents the characteristics of these participants. In brief, the 258 participants of both groups were of similar ages, and therewere similar proportions of menopause 259 260 state, a high education level and smokers among them. Table 2 shows changes in clinical variables 261 between the two groups. No baseline differences were observed in any of these variables between the 262 groups. After 12 months, anthropometric and body composition parameters had improved in the 263 HWL but remained unchanged in the LWL. The HWL also presented decreases in the OGTT and in 264 glucose levels, but no changes in HbA1c, HOMA-IR or fasting insulin, whereas these three parameters increased in the LWL. Systolic blood pressure, as well as total, LDL and non-HDL 265 266 cholesterol, also decreased in the HWL but did not do so in the LWL. These differences were also significant between the groups for most of the mentioned variables. At the beginning of the study the 267 268 reported energy intake of the HWL was higher than that of the LWL (Table 3). Both groups showed 269 decreases in energy intake through the study, but the women in the HWL presented significantly 270 larger reductions, together with decreases in fat consumption, especially saturated and 271 monounsaturated, and increases in protein intake. In general, the subjects in the HWL had a higher 272 level of adherence to the treatment and also more of them followed the recommendations for physical 273 activity in the HWL than in the LWL during the programme (Table 3).

274 3.1. Impact of weight loss on plasma metabolomic profile

Accurate classification predictions were obtained both between and within groups using the random forest classification scheme (Figs. 1, S2 and S3). While in between-group analysis, 24 out of 27 (88.9%) individuals were correctly classified, in within-HWL analysis, 11 out of 12 (91.7%) were correctly classified, and in within- LWL analysis, all subjects were correctly classified (p values of permutation test <0.05).

280 Thirteen metabolites were identified as determinants of the classification between weight loss groups, 281 i.e. differences in 1,5- anhydroglucitol (1,5-AG), 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)lactate (HPLA), 282 Nacetylaspartate (NAA), the exogenous compound carotenediol, and nine lipids: 1 bile acid, 1 283 plasmalogen, 1 phospholipid, 2 sphingolipids, 2 steroids and 2 acylcarnitines (Fig. 2). Twenty and 33 284 metabolites, respectively, were selected from within-HWLandwithin-LWL analyses.1,5-AGwas also 285 selected from within-LWL analysis, with higher levels at the 12-month intervention than at baseline. 286 Sevenmetabolites from the between-group analysis were also selected from the within-HWL analysis 287 (Fig. 3 and Fig. S4). Among these metabolites were the plasmalogen 1-(1-enyl-palmitoyl)- 2-oleoyl-288 sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (P-16:0/18:1) (GPC (P- 16:0/18:1)) and the exogenous compound 289 carotenediol, which increased during the within-HWL intervention, and significantly more so than in 290 the LWL (Fig. S5). The levels of HPLA and some lipids (two steroids and two sphingolipids) 291 decreased after the intervention in the HWL and more so in the HWL than in the LWL.

292 *3.2. Correlation and pathway analysis*

293 Metabolites that were identified as discriminating between weight loss groups were correlated with 294 changes in clinical variables (Fig. 4A), and their intercorrelations were mapped in an organic 295 metabolic network (Fig. 4B). Positive correlations were presented between changes in the levels of 296 1,5-AG, HPLA, SMs and carnitine C26 and weight variables, whereas changes in the levels of NAA, 297 carotenediol. GPC (P-16:0/18:1) and GPC (18:1/18:2) correlated negatively with them. Furthermore, 298 GPC (P-16:0/18:1) and carotenediol also correlated inversely with glycemic variables. In addition, 299 several metabolites, including 1,5-AG, both SMs and HPLA, correlated positively with lipid 300 biochemistry. Correlations between the selected metabolites in the within-group analyses and clinical 301 variables are presented in Fig. S6. Finally, and in order to identify the most important metabolic 302 pathways involved in these changes, pathway analyses were performed taking into account the 303 discriminant metabolites selected from between-group analysis (Fig. 2) and from within-HWL (Fig. 304 S4) and within-LWL (Fig. S5) analyses. No specific pathways were statistically significant using the

metabolites selected as discriminant in the between-group RF model (Fig. S7). Statistically
significant pathways altered in the HWL and in the LWL are shown in Fig. S8.

307 **4. Discussion**

308 Although several studies report the metabolic benefits of weight loss in subjects with obesity [7,24], 309 the benefits of a lifestyle intervention for subjects with MHO are not clear. The present study 310 demonstrated differences in the modulation of the plasma metabolome, stratified by weight loss, after 311 a lifestyle weight loss programme based on a hypocaloric MedDiet and physical activity in metabolically healthy women with obesity. This study shows greater differences in carotenediol 312 313 levels in the HWL after the intervention and these levels were also observed to increase in this group 314 of women. Carotenediols are vitamin A precursors found mainly in vegetables and fruit-rich diets such as the MedDiet. An increase in their levels could reflect a higher intake of such foods, which is 315 316 also reflected in their greater adherence to the Mediterranean pattern [25]. 1,5-AG discriminated 317 between the HWL and the LWL and was observed to increase in women with lower weight loss. 318 Similar results were observed in a 6-month intervention based on the New Nordic Diet, which is rich 319 in vegetables, whole grains, nuts and seafood products [26,27]. This metabolite has in fact been 320 proposed as a biomarker of short-term glycemic control and for screening undetected type 2 diabetes 321 in saliva [28]. In line with our results, Lipsky et al. (2016) also observed a higher association of 1,5-322 AG with BMI and adiposity indicators [29]. Small differences in the HWL likely reflect improved glycemic control as a result of a more successful intervention as measured by weight loss. Lipid 323 324 metabolism has been extensively studied in obesity [30e33]. This study showed that lipid metabolism 325 was altered, particularly in steroids, glycerophosphatidylcholines and sphingolipid metabolism. The steroids pathway was regulated differently in the two groups. Although androgen steroid sulfates 326 327 decreased in the LWL, a higher decline was observed in the HWL. Similar behavior was observed by Ernst et al. (2013) when weight was lost after bariatric surgery [34]. 16a-hydroxy DHEA 3-sulfate 328 329 (16OH-DHEA-S) and androstenediol (3beta,17beta) disulfate (ADIOL-DS) seem to be the major players in these changes. DHEA and ADIOL are interconverting molecules through the action of 17-330

331 hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase [35]. However, while several studies have attributed an antiobesity 332 role to DHEA-S [36], others have observed an inverse association between DHEA-S and the leptin 333 hormone and satiety [37]. In addition, DHEA-S could play a role in the regulation of energetic balance 334 in a fasting state or caloric restriction [38]. Steroid sulfation and desulfation are fundamental 335 pathways for endocrine balance, specifically for fat mass distribution and glucose metabolism [39] 336 regulated by sulfotransferases and sulfatase enzymes, respectively. These results could reflect an 337 effect of modulation of the endocrine metabolism, especially in women of the HWL. SM (d18:0/22:0) 338 and SM (d18/0/20:0, d16:0/22:0) were chosen by the multivariate model to discriminate between the 339 HWL and the LWL. In addition, the overall sphingolipid profile decreased in both groups. 340 Sphingolipids are the most prevalent class of lipid found in circulating LDL and activate 341 inflammatory pathways [40]. Higher levels of sphingolipids are associated with obesity and related 342 co-morbidities [30]. We observed a general decrease in these lipid species, which is in line with 343 results reported after a lifestyle intervention in adolescents [41]. These two were selected and 344 correlated with LDL, nHDL and CHOL. Thus, a downregulation of the sphingolipid pathway could 345 indicate a better LDL profile and consequently potentially a reduction in the risk of developing 346 cardiometabolic diseases. The plasmalogen GPC (P-16/18:0) discriminated between the HWL and 347 the LWL and correlated negatively with adiposity variables. Plasmalogens act as an endogenous 348 antioxidant produced by peroxisome. The production of plasmalogens is explained as a compensatory 349 mechanism to protect the organism against higher oxidative stress such as in the development of 350 metabolic syndrome [42]. Thus, an increase in GPC (P-16/18:0) levels in the HWL may indicate 351 major protection of this group in the face of obesity complications. Strikingly, our study also reflects 352 changes in lipid metabolism through changes in very long-chain acylcarnitines. We pointed out lower 353 changes in the HWL than in the LWL. However, little is known about the role of very long-chain 354 acylcarnitines in obesity and associated co-morbidities. Zhang et al. (2014) found higher concentrations of the carnitine C24, but not C26, in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes subjects, and 355 356 even in those with pre-diabetes, than in subjects with normal glucose tolerance [43]. Interestingly, 357 higher levels of the C26 carnitine were detected in patients with a peroxisomal biogenesis disorder

358 and it has been proposed as a biomarker in neurodegenerative disorders [44]. However, the 359 implications of our findings are still uncertain. We also observed that NAA, a marker of neuronal 360 density [45] in the central nervous system, discriminated between the HWL and the LWL. This is in 361 line with previous research, which showed that subjects with overweight and diabetes presented lower 362 levels of NAA in the hippocampus [46] and that NAA in the cortex was positively correlated with 363 physical fitness in elderly adults [47]. Finally, the significant decrease in the HWL of HPLA and 364 PLA, lactobacillus breakdown products of phenylalanine and tyrosine, respectively [48], could reflect 365 either a decreased protein intake or a possible modulation of gut microbiota from the intervention. 366 Subjects with obesity present higher levels of phenylalanine, tyrosine and leucine, among other amino 367 acids [49,50]. In addition, higher levels of microbial product of HPLA were found in children with 368 obesity [46]. HPLA has been proposed as a potential biomarker of a higher percentage of lean mass 369 in young and healthy adults, though with an unknown mechanism [51]. Furthermore, positive 370 correlations between changes in HPLA and weight loss, dyslipidemia parameters and OGTT and 371 fasting glucose may suggest a possible global metabolic improvement in those subjects that benefited 372 more from of lifestyle intervention. A major limitation of this work, inherent to the study design, is 373 that findings cannot be conclusively attributed to weight loss per se, a better adherence to a MedDiet 374 and/or physical activity due to confounding. In addition, at the beginning of the study, the HWL had 375 a greater energy intake than the LWL. In addition to this, the sample size was small and a validated 376 cohort and prospective study is needed to corroborate our results. Moreover, our results are gender 377 dependent and therefore we cannot extrapolate our findings to the general population. However, this 378 limitation also contributed to a strength of this study: the fact that all participantsweremiddle-aged 379 women from a singlemetabolic phenotype reduced other sources of variability. Moreover, our 380 findings have been obtained using a robust multivariate modeling procedure to acquire the most 381 relevant biomarkers of high weight loss. These results show the potential of metabolomics for 382 metabolic profiling and the identification of potential biomarkers in the onset of diseases. Overall, 383 our results reveal that weight loss after a lifestyle intervention is associated with the modulation of 384 lipid metabolism, sulfation activation and microbiota metabolism likely associated with a metabolic

385 protective effect. Therefore, this study reinforces the idea that a healthy lifestyle, increased physical 386 activity and weight loss lead to an improved metabolic health status in women with obesity, 387 irrespectively of their initial metabolic state. Further studies are needed to investigate whether the 388 response of those subjects with MHO to this intervention differs from that of those with unhealthier 389 obesity.

Funding

391 This work was supported by the Joint Programming Initiative "A Healthy Diet for a Healthy Life" 392 (JPI HDHL) [grant number FOODBALL- PCIN-2014-133], the award of the Generalitat de 393 Catalunya's Agency AGAUR [grant number 2017SGR1546] and the Instituto de Salud Carlos III de 394 Madrid, Spain, PI12/01373, CIBERFES and CIBEROBN co-financed by the Fondo Europeo de 395 Desarrollo Regional-FEDER. Additionally, this work was partly supported by a grant from the 396 Associacio Catalana de Diabetis [Ajut de Recerca en Diabetis 2016, modalitat basica]. M.P.R was 397 supported the APIFINSA- UB fellowship (University of Barcelona), and M Rosa Bernal- Lopez was 398 supported by the "Miguel Servet Type I" programme (CP15/00028) from the ISCIII-Madrid (Spain), 399 co-financed by the Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional-FEDER. CA-L gratefully acknowledges 400 the financial support by ICREA under the ICREA Academia programme.

401 **Conflicts of interest**

402 The authors declare no competing interests.

403 **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

Magali Palau-Rodriguez: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project
administration, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Mar Garcia-Aloy:
Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Visualization,
Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Antonio Miñarro: Data curation, Supervision,
Writing - review & editing. M. Rosa Bernal-Lopez: Conceptualization, Resources, Validation,
Writing - review & editing. Carl Brunius: Data curation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing.

410 Ricardo Gomez-Huelgas: Conceptualization, Resources, Validation, Writing - review & editing.
411 Rikard Landberg: Data curation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. Francisco J. Tinahones:
412 Conceptualization, Resources, Validation, Writing - review & editing. Cristina Andres-Lacueva:
413 Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation,
414 Writing - review & editing.

415 Appendix A. Supplementary data

416 Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2019.01.018.

417 **References**

[1] Primeau V, Coderre L, Karelis AD, Brochu M, Lavoie M-E, Messier V, et al. Characterizing the
profile of obese patients who are metabolically healthy. Int J Obes (Lond) 2011;35:971e81.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ ijo.2010.216.

[2] Wildman RP, Muntner P, Reynolds K, Mcginn AP. The obese without cardiometabolic risk factor
clustering and the normal weight with cardiometabolic risk factor clustering. Arch Intern Med
2008;168:1617e24. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.168.15.1617.

424 [3] Spatola L, Badalamenti S. Metabolic healthy overweight/obese individuals: not just a restricted
425 group. Clin Nutr 2018;37:2301. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.clnu.2018.08.027.

426 [4] García-Unciti M, Izquierdo M, Idoate F, Gorostiaga E, Grijalba A, Ortega- Delgado F, et al.
427 Weight-loss diet alone or combined with progressive resistance training induces changes in
428 association between the cardiometabolic risk profile and abdominal fat depots. Ann Nutr Metab
429 2012;61:296e304. https://doi.org/10.1159/000342467.

- 430 [5] Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvado J, Covas M-I, Corella D, Aros F, et al. Primary prevention of
- 431 cardiovascular disease with a mediterranean diet. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1279e90.
- 432 <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1200303</u>.

- [6] Palmnas MSA, Kopciuk KA, Shaykhutdinov RA, Robson PJ, Mignault D, Rabasa- Lhoret R, et
 al. Serum metabolomics of activity energy expenditure and its relation to metabolic syndrome and
 obesity. Sci Rep 2018;8:3308. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21585-6.
- [7] Elliot CA, Hamlin MJ. Combined diet and physical activity is better than diet or physical activity
 alone at improving health outcomes for patients in New Zealand's primary care intervention. BMC
 Public Health 2018;18:230. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5152-z.
- [8] Almanza-Aguilera E, Brunius C, Bernal-Lopez MR, Garcia-Aloy M, Madrid- Gambin F,
 Tinahones FJ, et al. Impact in plasma metabolome as effect of lifestyle intervention for weight-loss
 reveals metabolic benefits in metabolically healthy obese women. J Proteome Res 2018;17:2600e10.
 https://doi.org/ 10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00042.
- [9] Neveu V, Moussy A, Rouaix H, Wedekind R, Pon A, Knox C, et al. Exposome- Explorer: a
 manually-curated database on biomarkers of exposure to dietary and environmental factors. Nucleic
 Acids Res 2017;45:D979e84. https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw980.
- 446 [10] Newgard CB. Metabolomics and metabolic diseases: where do we stand? Cell Metab
 447 2017;25:43e56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.09.018.
- [11] Rodriguez-Garcia E, Ruiz-Nava J, Santamaria-Fernandez S, Fernandez- Garcia JC, VargasCandela A, Yahyaoui R, et al. Characterization of lipid profile by nuclear magnetic resonance
 spectroscopy (1H NMR) of metabolically healthy obese women after weight loss with Mediterranean
 diet and physical exercise. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e7040. https://doi.org/10.1097/
 MD.0000000000007040.
- [12] Topolski TD, LoGerfo J, Patrick DL, Williams B, Walwick J, Patrick MB. The Rapid assessment
 of physical activity (RAPA) among older adults. Prev Chronic Dis 2006;3:A118.

[13] Fernandez-Ballart JD, Pi~nol JL, Zazpe I, Corella D, Carrasco P, Toledo E, et al. Relative
validity of a semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire in an elderly Mediterranean population
of Spain. Br J Nutr 2010;103:1808e16.

- 458 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114509993837.
- 459 [14] Mataix Verdú FJ. Tabla de composicio n de alimentos. Instituto de Nutricion y Tecnología de
 460 Alimentos, Universidad de Granada; 2003.
- 461 [15] Cervera P, Farran A, Zamora-Ros R. Tablas de composicio[´] n de alimentos CESNID = Taules
 462 de composicio[´] d'aliments del CESNID. Universitat de Barcelona; 2004.
- [16] Schroder H, Fito M, Estruch R, Martínez-Gonzalez MA, Corella D, Salas- Salvado J, et al. A
 short screener is valid for assessing mediterranean diet adherence among older Spanish men and
 women 1e3. J Nutr 2011;141: 1140e5. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.110.135566.
- 466 [17] Evans AM, DeHaven CD, Barrett T, Mitchell M, Milgram E. Integrated, nontargeted ultrahigh
- 467 performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry platform for
- the identification and relative quantification of the small-molecule complement of biological systems.
 Anal Chem 2009;81:6656e67. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac901536h.
- 470 [18] Dehaven CD, Evans AM, Dai H, Lawton KA. Organization of GC/MS and LC/MS
 471 metabolomics data into chemical libraries. J Cheminform 2010;2:9. https:// doi.org/10.1186/1758472 2946-2-9.
- 473 [19] Filzmoser P, Liebmann B, Varmuza K. Repeated double cross validation. J Chemom
 474 2009;23:160e71. https://doi.org/10.1002/cem.1225.
- 475 [20] Gromski PS, Xu Y, Kotze HL, Correa E, Ellis DI, Armitage EG, et al. Influence of missing
 476 values substitutes on multivariate analysis of metabolomics data. Metabolites 2014;4:433e52.
 477 https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo4020433. [21] Breiman L. Random forests. Mach Learn
 478 2001;45:5e32. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324.
- 479

480 FIGURES

481



482 Fig. 1. Results from repeated double cross-validated random forest analysis (rdCV-RF) to classify
483 between weight loss groups: (A) Predictive classification of subjects according to weight loss group
484 (misclassified individuals are highlighted with a circle); (B) Histograms for permutation tests (n =
485 1000) of the rdCV-FR classification of subjects according to weight loss group.



486

Fig. 2. Differences between weight loss groups in metabolites selected by repeated double-cross validated random forest model. p values were obtained by permutation test (n = 1000) of the differences of the changes between groups. 1,5-AG, 1,5-anhydroglucitol; 16OH-DHEA-S, 16ahydroxydehydroepiandrosterone 3-sulfate; ADIOL-DS (1), androstenediol (3b,17b) disulfate;

- 491 carnitine C24, lignoceroylcarnitine; carnitine C26, cerotoylcarnitine; GCA-S, glycocholenate
- 492 sulfate; GPC, glycerophosphocholine; HPLA, 3-(4- hydroxyphenyl)lactate; NAA, N-
- 493 acetylaspartate; SM, sphingomyelin.

494



495 Fig. 3. Venn Diagram of metabolites discriminating between LWL and HWL, within-HWL and
496 within-LWL, selected by repeated double-cross validated random forest modeling.



497

498 Fig. 4. Correlations of changes between metabolites and clinical parameters during the intervention 499 program: (A) Metabolite-clinical correlations; (B) Metabolite-metaboliteclinical significant 500 correlations. Associations determined by Spearman correlations adjusting p values by FDR, with significant threshold set at p < 0.1. Negative correlations are colored in red and positive correlations 501 are colored in blue. 1,5-AG,1,5-anhydroglucitol; 16-OH-DHEA-S, 16a-hydroxy DHEA 3-sulfate; 502 ADIOL- DS, androstenediol (3beta,17beta) disulfate (1); BMI, body mass index; carnitine C26, 503 504 cerotoylcarnitine (C26); carnitine C24, lignoceroylcarnitine (C24); CHOL, total cholesterol; DBP, 505 diastolic blood pressure; FM, fat mass; GCA-S, glycocholenate sulfate; GPC (18:1/18:2), 1-oleoyl-2-linoleoyl-GPC (18:1/18:2); GPC (P-16:0/18:1), 1-(1-enyl-palmitoyl)-2-oleoyl-GPC (P-16:0/18:1); 506 HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c; HDL, high-density lipoproteins cholesterol; Hip, hip 507 508 circumference; HOMA-IR, insulin resistance calculated by homeostatic model assessment; HPLA, 3-(4- hydroxyphenyl)lactate; LDL, low-density lipoproteins cholesterol; LM, lean mass; NAA, N-509 510 acetylaspartate; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; non-HDL, non-high-density lipoproteins 511 cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SM sphingomyelin; TG, triglycerides; Waist, waist 512 circumference. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is

513 referred to the Web version of this article.)

TABLES

Table 1

Characteristics of the study participants.

Variable	All	LWL	HWL	p ^a
n	27	15	12	-
Age, median (Q1,Q3)	45.0 (42.0,48.0)	45.0 (42.0,46.5)	47.0 (40.7,49.5)	0.520
Menopause, n (%)	6 (22.2)	3 (20.0)	3 (25.0)	1.000
High education level, n (%) ^b	21 (77.8)	12 (80.0)	9 (75.0)	1.000
Smokers, n (%)	9 (33.3)	4 (26.7)	5 (41.7)	0.448

^a p value was calculated by permutation test (n = 1000) for quantitative variables or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. ^b High educational level was considered when subjects had university or high school studies.

Table 2 Anthropometric and clinical variables at baseline and after 12 months of intervention according to weight loss group.^a

	LWL (<10% weight loss)			HWL (>10% weight loss)			Treatment effect		
	Baseline	12 months	p ^b	Baseline	12 months	pb	Differences LWL	Differences HWL	p ^c
Weight, kg	95.3 (82.9, 98.2)	93.3 (83.1, 98.8)	0.694	85.4 (80.4, 105.1)	71.8 (65.9, 82.9)	0.002	-0.2 (-3.5, 2.6)	-15.0 (-17.4, -12.1)	< 0.001
BMI, kg/m ²	36.0 (33.6, 37.8)	35.6 (32.7, 37.9)	0.722	34.7 (31.8, 38.1)	28.3 (26.8, 33.2)	0.002	0.0(-1.5, 1.1)	-5.8 (-6.7, -4.7)	< 0.001
Waist circumference, cm	111.0 (105.5, 118.5)	114.5 (106.5, 117.8)	0.441	114.3 (109.1, 126.3)	97.0 (94.5, 107.5)	< 0.001	-0.5 (-2.5, 5.0)	-13.8 (-18.1, -9.9)	< 0.001
Hip circumference, cm	123.0 (111.3, 126.5)	118.0 (111.3, 122.0)	0.142	121.5 (118.9, 128.0)	111.5 (105.5, 114.6)	< 0.001	-1.0 (-4.3, 0.5)	-11.3 (-14.3, -6.8)	< 0.001
Fat mass, %	40.3 (33.6, 46.0)	42.6 (31.9, 44.4)	0.824	36.9 (34.6, 45.4)	25.9 (21.4, 33.7)	< 0.001	1.1 (-2.3, 2.1)	-10.2 (-13.4, -8.6)	< 0.001
Lean mass, %	51.7 (47.7, 53.7)	50.8 (48.4, 52.6)	0.265	49.8 (46.6, 55.6)	44.6 (44.1, 50.9)	0.002	-0.6 (-1.6, 0.7)	-4.0 (-4.9, -3.0)	< 0.001
OGTT	100.0 (83.0, 112.0)	89.0 (71.0, 104.0)	0.665	100.0 (91.8, 109.0)	62.5 (57.5, 85.5)	0.018	-8.0 (-14.5, 0.5)	-27.0 (-42.3, -5.5)	0.029
Glycemia, LWL/dL	90.0 (86.0, 92.5)	85.0 (79.5, 93.5)	0.064	88.5 (82.0, 93.3)	77.5 (72.0, 81.5)	0.005	-3.0 (-7.5, 0.0)	-8.5 (-13.0, -5.5)	0.076
Fasting insulin, uU/mL	9.7 (8.7, 14.7)	15.2 (11.6, 19.1)	< 0.001	9.0 (8.4, 9.8)	8.7 (8.3, 9.7)	0.854	5.0 (1.2, 7.0)	0.0 (-0.7, 0.5)	0.390
HOMA-IR index	2.1 (1.9, 3.2)	3.2 (2.5, 3.9)	0.004	2.0 (1.8, 2.1)	1.7 (1.5, 2.2)	0.990	0.7 (0.1, 1.4)	-0.1 (-0.5, 0.0)	0.249
HbA1c %	5.4 (5.2, 5.5)	5.4 (5.2, 5.7)	0.043	5.4 (5.2, 5.6)	5.4 (5.3, 5.6)	0.833	0.1 (0.0, 0.2)	0.0 (-0.1, 0.1)	0.156
SBP, mmHg	105 (101, 117)	112 (105, 122)	0.823	114 (109, 124)	108 (100, 114)	0.041	4.0 (-4.5, 12.5)	-6.0 (-13.3, 1.3)	0.176
DBP, mmHg	71 (69, 79)	75 (68, 83)	0.351	75 (67, 87)	74 (67, 76)	0.404	2.0 (-4.8, 6.8)	0.0 (-11.3, 5.5)	0.183
TG, mg/mL	92.0 (67.5, 95.0)	79.0 (60.0, 114.5)	0.593	85.5 (57.3, 106.0)	76.0 (65.3, 96.3)	0.474	-1.0 (-17.0, 20.5)	0.0 (-26.3, 10.3)	0.420
CHOL, mg/mL	184.0 (176.0, 207.0)	187.0 (170.0, 200.0)	0.182	196.0 (163.8, 211.5)	172.0 (159.5, 178.3)	0.013	-3.0 (-11.0, 3.5)	-12.5 (-29.8, -5.0)	0.039
LDL, mg/mL	124.2 (101.6, 135.2)	118.6 (106.2, 124.7)	0.117	123.3 (97.0, 131.0)	98.4 (88.0, 106.3)	0.007	-9.2 (-14.0, 4.9)	-18.2 (-23.1, -6.2)	0.031
HDL, mg/mL	51.0 (45.0, 56.5)	48.0 (41.5, 58.5)	0.628	55.0 (50.0, 62.0)	54.5 (52.0, 65.5)	0.572	-1.0 (-5.5, 2.0)	2.5 (0.0, 6.0)	0.456
non-HDL, mg/mL	136.0 (123.0, 148.5)	136.0 (119.0, 146.0)	0.218	141.5 (112.5, 149.0)	115.0 (101.0, 126.3)	0.009	-1.0 (-14.0, 4.0)	-17.0 (-30.0, -10.3)	0.012

BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1c; HDL, high-density lipoproteins cholesterol; HOMA-IR, insulin resistance calculated by homeostatic model assessment; HWL, high weight loss group; LDL, low-density lipoproteins cholesterol; LWL, low weight loss group; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; SBP, systolic blood pressure; CHOL, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.

^a Data are presented as median (interquartile range). There were no statistically significant between-group differences at baseline (p values obtained by permutation test, n = 1000).

^b p values obtained by permutation test (n = 1000) for within-group differences.

^c p values obtained by permutation test (n = 1000) for between-group differences of the changes during the intervention.

Table 3

Baseline en	ergy, nutrient inta	ke and adherence	assessment of the Mediter	ranean diet and 12-w	eek changes acco	ording to weight loss group. ^a

	LWL (<10% weight loss)				HWL (>10% weight loss)		Treatment effect		
	Baseline	12 months	p ^c	Baseline	12 months	p ^c	Differences LWL	Differences HWL	p ^d
Energy (kcal/day) Carbohydrate (%) Protein (%) Total fat (%) Saturated (%) Monounsaturated (%) Polyunsaturated (%) Cholesterol (g/day) Ethanol (g/day)	2179.2 (1895.6, 2465.1) 38.1 (32.4, 40.4) 21.4 (17.6, 23.7) 42.0 (38.4, 44.6) 11.7 (9.9, 13.4) 19.0 (15.1, 21.9) 7.0 (6.0, 8.2) 406.2 (369.2, 456.4) 1.2 (0.0, 3.4) 24.4 (20.7, 30.5)	1705.9 (1432.1, 1972.0) 38.0 (33.4, 39.9) 23.6 (20.2, 25.0) 41.5 (37.5, 42.5) 10.9 (10.0, 11.5) 19.3 (15.9, 20.7) 6.9 (6.0, 7.4) 308.2 (280.0, 373.6) 0.4 (0.0, 2.1) 20.9 (18.2, 27.1)	0.013 0.848 0.152 0.338 0.060 0.892 0.385 0.014 0.059 0.283	2691.4 (2304.7, 2855.5)* 37.6 (34.6, 41.4) 17.5 (16.4, 21.5) 42.2 (37.9, 45.6) 12.6 (10.8, 14.2) 19.5 (16.0, 20.6) 6.5 (5.4, 8.4) 439.3 (363.1, 473.3) 1.6 (0.5, 4.2) 31.9 (25.0, 34.7)	1655.4 (1378.3, 1754.8) 39.4 (35.3, 42.7) 27.5 (25.6, 30.3) 33.0 (29.8, 35.3) 7.9 (6.6, 10.0) 15.1 (10.6, 17.2) 5.8 (5.1, 6.5) 375.2 (290.4, 404.0) 1.0 (0.0, 2.5) 28.5 (23.7, 31.5)	0.002 0.255 <0.001 0.003 <0.001 0.014 0.252 0.003 0.075 0.763	$\begin{array}{c} -341.6 (-670.6, -73.1) \\ -0.5 (-2.9, 1.9) \\ 2.1 (-0.3, 3.5) \\ -1.1 (-5.7, 1.4) \\ -0.8 (-2.7, 0.4) \\ 0.6 (-1.4, 1.7) \\ -0.2 (-1.6, 0.2) \\ -78.7 (-118.2, 20.1) \\ -0.1 (-1.2, 0.0) \\ -46 (-8.2, 2.5) \end{array}$	-1014.6 (-1534.4, -607.4) 3.4 (-0.7, 5.7) 9.2 (6.4, 10.6) -12.0 (-13.9, -5.4) -3.5 (-5.1, -2.4) -5.8 (-7.1, -0.9) -0.2 (-3.3, 0.6) -78.8 (-115.9, -41.9) -0.7 (-2.6, 0.2) -2.5 (-7.1, 5.7)	0.022 0.497 <0.001 0.006 0.018 0.029 0.826 0.379 0.109 0.606
MedDiet score Physically Active ^b	9 (7, 10) 3 (20.0%)	9 (7, 9.5) 4 (26.7%)	0.878 1.00	7.50 (6.75, 8.25) 1 (8.3%)	12 (12, 12) 10 (83.3%)	0.002 0.008	0 (-1, 1) 13.3% (6.7%)	5 (3, 5.2) 75.0% (0.0%)	<0.001 0.004

*p values of statistical differences between groups at baseline, obtained by permutation test for quantitative variables or Fisher test for categorical variables p < 0.05.

HWL, high weight loss group; LWL, low weight loss group.

^a Data are presented as median (interquartile range) for quantitative variables, or number of subjects (%) for categorical variables.

^b Women physically active were considered if they reported to perform at least 150 min of moderate physical activity per week or 60 min of intense physical activity per week, measured using Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity questionnaire. Treatment effect on physical activity is presented as: % of subjects that increased physical activity as recommended (% of subjects that decreased physical activity as sedentary).

^c p values were obtained by permutation test (n = 1000) within-groups for quantitative variables or McNemar's test for categorical variables.

^d p values were obtained by permutation test (n = 1000) between-group for quantitative variables or repeated measures logistic regression (interaction term) for categorical variables.