In-situ dosage of Fe$^{2+}$ catalyst using natural pyrite for thiamphenicol mineralization by photoelectro-Fenton process
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Abstract

The degradation of the antibiotic thiamphenicol has been studied by photoelectro-Fenton (PEF) process with UVA light using pyrite particles as catalyst source. Pyrite is a sulfide mineral that naturally acidifies the reaction medium and releases Fe$^{2+}$, thus promoting the effective generation of •OH from Fenton’s reaction. The assays were made in an IrO$_2$/air-diffusion cell, which yielded similar results to a boron-doped diamond (BDD)/air-diffusion one at a lower cost. In dark conditions, electro-Fenton (EF) process showed an analogous ability for drug removal, but mineralization was much poorer because of the large persistence of highly stable by-products. Their photolysis explained the higher performance of PEF. Conventional homogeneous PEF directly using dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ exhibited a lower mineralization power. This suggests the occurrence of heterogeneous Fenton’s reaction over the pyrite surface. The effect of current density and drug content on pyrite-catalyzed PEF performance was examined. The drug heteroatoms were gradually converted into SO$_4^{2-}$, Cl$^-$ and NO$_3^-$ ions. Nine aromatic derivatives and two dichloroaliphatic amines were identified by GC-MS, and five short-chain carboxylic acids were detected by ion-exclusion HPLC. A reaction route for thiamphenicol mineralization by PEF process with continuous H$_2$O$_2$ and Fe$^{2+}$ supply on site is proposed.
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1. Introduction

Freshwater contains a large number of pharmaceuticals and their metabolites, as a result of their wide use in veterinary and human medicine. These residues are classified as organic micropollutants with a negative impact on the aquatic ecosystems and humans even at trace level (Brillas and Sirés, 2015; Ebele et al., 2017; Miller et al., 2018; da Silva et al., 2019). Pharmaceuticals are also considered as emerging contaminants because their presence in the environment is still unregulated. Their occurrence in freshwater can be mainly associated to poor destruction upon the application of conventional physicochemical and biological methods in municipal wastewater treatment facilities (WWTFs), being ubiquitous in discharged effluents (Prieto-Rodríguez et al., 2013; Campos-Mañas et al., 2017; Kümmerer et al., 2018; Mezzelani et al., 2018). Currently, there is global consensus on the need of advanced technologies for drug removal from water. Among them, considerable research efforts have been focused on some particularly powerful oxidation methods, which show high ability for the quick and total destruction of such pollutants even in secondary effluents (Feng et al., 2013; Brillas and Sirés, 2015; Ye et al., 2020).

Thiamphenicol (C₁₂H₁₅Cl₂NO₅S, \( M = 356,223 \text{ g mol}^{-1} \)) is an amphenicol antibiotic for veterinary applications, although it is also used for humans in several countries. It is more potent than chloramphenicol, being also safer since it has not been related to aplastic anemia. Thiamphenicol is used against a wide range of bacterial infections of the gastrointestinal and respiratory tract but, since it is hardly metabolized, its occurrence in water matrices like drinking water has been reported at concentrations up to 101 µg L⁻¹ (Chu et al., 2016b; Liu et al., 2019). The removal of thiamphenicol from synthetic and natural water has been successfully achieved by adsorption on granular activated carbon (Fan et al., 2019), and UV or UV/Vis photodegradation (Ge et al., 2009, Li et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015a). Various advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), whose key feature is the generation of hydroxyl radical (\(^{\bullet}\text{OH}\)) on site, have
also been tested, including UV/Fe(II) (Liu et al., 2015a), UV/H₂O₂ (Liu et al., 2015a; Wang et al., 2017; Yin et al., 2018), UV/persulfate (Chu et al., 2016a; Wang et al., 2017) and UV/CaO₂ (Zheng et al., 2019). In contrast, much less is known about the performance of the electrochemical technologies, only being reported the efficient electrodechlorination of thiamphenicol using a cathode modified with multi-walled carbon nanotubes (Deng et al., 2017).

The electrochemical AOPs (so-called EAOPs) based on H₂O₂ electrogeneration, like electro-oxidation with electrogenerated H₂O₂ (EO-H₂O₂), electro-Fenton (EF) and photoelectro-Fenton (PEF) have recently experienced an impressive development for the treatment of biorecalcitrant organic pollutants (Feng et al., 2013; Sirés et al., 2014; Brillas and Sirés, 2015; da Silva et al., 2019). This set of technologies is particularly well suited for water treatment due to the inherent simplicity and safe conditions, high effectiveness and easy scale-up. The cathodic H₂O₂ production is achieved via the two-electron reduction of O₂, bubbled through the solution or directly fed to a gas chamber, following reaction (1). Cheap carbonaceous materials, like reticulated vitreous carbon (Coria et al., 2015) or carbon felt (Panizza and Oturan, 2011; Ganzenko et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019; Ye et al., 2019) immersed in the solution, as well as carbon-supported air-diffusion electrodes (Galia et al., 2016; Lanzalaco et al., 2017; Pérez et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2020) are viable for reaction (1).

\[
O_2(g) + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2O_2
\]  

(1)

In EO-H₂O₂, the organic molecules are preeminently destroyed by adsorbed hydroxyl radicals, denoted as M(°OH), originated from water discharge at the anode M via reaction (2). Boron-doped diamond (BDD) thin films are preferred because they possess much higher oxidation ability as compared to conventional anodes like DSA° (dimensionally stable anode) and Pt (Boye et al., 2002; Marselli et al., 2003; Panizza and Cerisola, 2009; Scialdone et al., 2011; Thiam et al., 2015; Steter et al., 2016).
In homogeneous EF process, a small amount of dissolved Fe²⁺ causes the decomposition of electrogenerated H₂O₂, dramatically enhancing the decontamination due to the production of free •OH in the whole volume via Fenton’s reaction (3) (Sirés et al., 2014; Brillas and Sirés, 2015; Galia et al., 2016). The continuous •OH production is favored through the concomitant cathodic reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ by reaction (4). In EF, the nature of the anode has a minor effect, unless recalcitrant reaction intermediates like complexes between Fe(III) and short-chain linear carboxylic acids are formed. In such case, BDD is still preferred because BDD(•OH) produced from reaction (2) allows their electrocatalytic degradation (Alcaide et al., 2020).

Alternatively, the quick photolysis of Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes via reaction (5) upon UV irradiation in homogeneous PEF may also yield a quantitative mineralization (Pérez et al., 2017; Alcaide et al., 2020). This latter process also improves the Fe²⁺ regeneration and •OH production thanks to photo-Fenton reaction (6), usually ending in a larger destruction of organics (Thiam et al., 2015; Steter et al., 2016). The high oxidation power of PEF allows using the less expensive DSA® anode, as for example the IrO₂-based one.

Although the homogeneous EF and PEF treatments are optimal at pH ~ 3, the use of heterogeneous iron-rich catalysts has been recently addressed, aiming to manage aqueous solutions at their natural pH (Ganiyu et al., 2018). Natural and synthetic iron oxides (Expósito et al., 2007; Özcan et al., 2017) and mineral pyrite (FeS₂) have shown promising results. In
particular, pyrite has been confirmed as an excellent candidate for heterogeneous Fenton (Liu et al., 2015b; Zhang et al., 2015, 2018) or EF (Barhoumi et al., 2015; Ouiriemmi et al., 2017). It releases Fe$^{2+}$ and H$^+$ upon conversion of its sulfide group into sulfate via reactions (7) and (8), leading to the occurrence of two $\cdot$OH-mediated routes for the degradation of pollutants: (i) the homogeneous one, based on Fenton’s reaction (3), and (ii) the heterogeneous one, arising from the surface decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ via heterogeneous Fenton’s reaction (9) (He et al., 2016). However, the potential benefits derived from simultaneous solution irradiation with UV light in the pyrite-catalyzed treatment have not been explored yet.

\[
2\text{FeS}_2 + 7\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + 4\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 4\text{H}^+ \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{FeS}_2 + 14\text{Fe}^{3+} + 8\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 15\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 16\text{H}^+ \quad (8)
\]

\[
\text{Fe}(\text{II}) + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}(\text{III}) + \cdot\text{OH} + \text{OH}^{-} \quad (9)
\]

This work aims to assess the positive impact of UVA light on the pyrite-catalyzed Fenton-based treatment of thiamphenicol solutions in sulfate medium, employing an electrolytic cell equipped with either an IrO$_2$ or BDD anode and an air-diffusion cathode. The performance of homogeneous EF and PEF processes was also evaluated to demonstrate the potential advantages of pyrite over a soluble iron salt. The effect of pyrite content and current density ($j$) on the performance of heterogeneous PEF, as well as the mineral recyclability, were studied. A reaction route for the drug mineralization by heterogeneous EF and PEF processes is proposed from the products identified.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals

Thiamphenicol (100% purity) used as target pollutant was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. In homogeneous processes, the solution pH was regulated with analytical grade sulfuric acid.
supplied by Panreac, whereas analytical grade iron(II) sulfate heptahydrate used as catalyst was purchased from Merck. Anhydrous sodium sulfate used as supporting electrolyte was of analytical grade acquired from Merck. Ethanol and nitric acid, both of analytical grade, purchased from Panreac were used for pyrite washing. Standard carboxylic acids and other chemicals of analytical or high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade were supplied by Panreac and Merck. The electrolytic and analytical solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (resistivity > 18.2 MΩ cm) collected from a Millipore Milli-Q system.

2.2. Conditioning of pyrite catalyst

The natural pyrite used as heterogeneous catalyst was obtained from a mine located in northern Chile. It was milled with a ceramic mortar and further passed through a 200-mesh sieve, ensuring a particle size smaller than 80 μm. Fine particles and surface impurities were removed from the resulting powder by ultra-sonication in 95% ethanol for 5 min, followed by washing with 1 M HNO₃ solution and rinsing with Milli-Q water and 95% ethanol. Finally, the clean pyrite powder was dried at 30 °C and kept in a desiccator.

2.3. Electrolytic assays

All electrolyses were performed in an open, undivided two-electrode cell containing 150 mL of a solution (homogeneous processes) or suspension (heterogeneous EF and PEF) under vigorous stirring with a magnetic follower. The solution temperature was regulated at 35 °C by circulating thermostated water through a glass jacket. The anode was either an IrO₂-based (DSA®-O₂) plate purchased from NMT Electrodes or a BDD thin-film on Si acquired from NeoCoat. The cathode was a commercial carbon-PTFE air-diffusion electrode purchased from E-TEK. It was mounted in a tubular device as reported elsewhere (Steter et al., 2016), feeding it with compressed air flowing at 1 L min⁻¹ for continuous H₂O₂ production. The geometric area of each electrode in contact with the solution was 3 cm² and the distance between the electrodes was kept at 1 cm in order to minimize the ohmic drop. The trials were always carried out under
galvanostatic conditions, with a constant $j$ provided by an EG&G 363 potentiostat-galvanostat. A Demestres 601 BR digital multimeter was employed to monitor the cell voltage. In PEF, the solution was irradiated with a Philips TL/6W/08 fluorescent blacklight blue tube fixed at 7 cm above the surface. The irradiance of this UVA lamp ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 365$ nm) was of 5 W m$^{-2}$. All the electrodes were first electrochemically activated and cleaned under electrochemical polarization at $j = 100$ mA cm$^{-2}$ for 240 min.

After each heterogeneous assay, the catalyst was recovered from the treated suspension by filtration with paper, followed by several washing cycles with Milli-Q water and 95% ethanol to assure the removal of all residues. Before starting each electrolysis in the presence of pyrite, compressed air was bubbled through the suspension at 0.6 mL min$^{-1}$ for 20 min using a diffusor, thus reaching the dissolution equilibrium between pyrite and its dissolved ions. No adsorption of thiamphenicol on pyrite occurred during such pre-treatment.

2.4. Instruments and analytical procedures

The surface morphology of pyrite was analyzed by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) using a Carl Zeiss AG Supra 40 microscope. The composition was studied by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) using a JEOL JEM-2100 LaB6 microscope, coupled to energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) fulfilled with an Oxford Instruments INCA x-sight detector. The elemental mapping was obtained with the INCA Microanalysis Suite version 4.09 software. The chemical states of the elements in the pyrite surface were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) using a Physical Electronics PHI 5500 Multitechnique System. The crystalline structure was elucidated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D8 ADVANCE diffractometer, with Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) and $2\theta$ scan from $20^\circ$ to $100^\circ$ (at 1$^\circ$ min$^{-1}$).

A Crison GLP 22 pH-meter was utilized for pH measurements. For total organic carbon (TOC) analysis, the samples were collected at regular time intervals and microfiltered (0.45
µm) with Whatman PTFE membrane filters before immediate analysis. TOC was monitored
with a Shimadzu VCNS TOC analyzer by injecting a volume of 50 µL. The analysis was made
with the non-purgeable organic carbon (NPOC) method, ensuring ± 1% accuracy.

The thiamphenicol concentration was determined by reversed-phase HPLC by injecting 20
µL aliquots into a Waters 600 liquid chromatograph coupled to a photodiode array detector set
at λ = 225 nm (i.e., the wavelength of maximum absorption for the drug). The chromatograph
was fitted with a BDS Hypersil C18 6 µm, 250 mm × 4.6 mm (i.d.), column at 25 °C, and a
50:50 (v/v) acetonitrile/water mixture was eluted at 1.0 mL min⁻¹ as mobile phase. Upon
sampling, dilution with acetonitrile was made to preserve the drug concentration. The peak
related to thiamphenicol in the chromatograms appeared at a retention time (tᵣ) of 3.4 min. The
same instrument, setting the detector at λ = 210 nm, was employed to quantify the short-chain
carboxylic acids. For this, ion-exclusion HPLC analyses were carried out by fitting the
instrument with a Supelcogel C610H, 30 cm × 7.8 mm (i.d.), column at 35 °C, using a 4 mM
H₂SO₄ solution as the mobile phase eluted at 0.6 mL min⁻¹. The peaks appeared at tᵣ of 7.0 min
for oxalic acid, 8.3 min for maleic acid, 9.4 min for oxamic acid, 13.7 min for formic acid and
14.9 min for fumaric acid.

Duplicate degradation and mineralization trials were made and average results are reported.
In the figures, the error bars (95% confidence interval) have been added.

The H₂O₂ concentration was determined by spectrophotometry upon complexation with
Ti(IV), since the resulting yellow solution absorbed at λ = 408 nm. A Shimadzu 1800 UV/Vis
spectrophotometer at 35 °C was employed (Welcher, 1975). The same instrument, selected at λ
= 510 nm, was utilized for measuring the Fe²⁺ concentration from the light absorption of its
reddish complex with 1,10-phenantroline. The released anions (Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻ and NO₃⁻) were
analyzed by ion chromatography with a Shimadzu 10 Avp liquid chromatograph coupled with
a Shimadzu CDD 10 Avp conductivity detector. The chromatograph was fitted with a Shim-
Pack IC-A1S, 100 mm × 4.6 mm (i.d.), anion column at 40 °C and the mobile phase was composed of a 2.4 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane and 2.5 mM phthalic acid mixture eluted at 1.5 mL min⁻¹. No NH₄⁺ ion was detected upon analysis with a flow injection system (Thiam et al., 2016).

The primary by-products formed during the heterogeneous treatment of a suspension containing 50 mg L⁻¹ thiamphenicol were detected by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) following the same procedure reported elsewhere (Thiam et al., 2018). An Agilent Technologies system with a non-polar Agilent J&W HP-5 ms 0.25 µm, 30 m × 0.25 mm (i.d.), column was used and the identification was made by comparison with NIST05 MS database.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Pyrite characterization

Fig. S1a-d show several FESEM images of the milled natural pyrite used as catalyst in this work, at different magnifications. As can be seen, the powder was composed of irregular microparticles, whose length varied between about 9 and 63 µm (see Fig. S1b). Their average size was 55 µm, thus becoming potentially adequate to carry out heterogeneous Fenton-based electrochemical treatments (Barhoumi et al., 2015). The shape of pyrite microparticles was confirmed by HRTEM at different magnifications, as shown in Fig. S2a and b. A selected microparticle observed at 8,000 × was analyzed by EDS, and the resulting spectrum is depicted in Fig. S3a. As expected, the EDS spectrum revealed the typical signals related to Fe and S, although much weaker peaks corresponding to C and O impurities appeared as well. The elemental analysis of a selected area of the microparticle (see Fig. S3b) evidenced a homogeneous distribution of Fe (see Fig. S3c) and S (see Fig. S3d) on its surface. From this analysis, the chemical composition of the sample was determined as: 44.8% Fe, 52.3% S, 1.95%
The XPS spectrum given in Fig. 1a corroborates the presence of C and O in the pyrite sample. The high resolution spectra corresponding to Fe 2p, S 2p, C 1s and O 1s are presented in Fig. S4a-d, respectively. The former spectrum highlights the Fe 2p3/2 peak appearing at 707.0 eV, very close to 707.6 eV corresponding to the Fe(II)-S bond, and very different from 709.1 and 711.2 eV related to the Fe(III)-S and Fe(III)-O bonds, respectively (Herbert Jr. et al., 1998). Three peaks, at 168.4, 163.3 and 162.2 eV, can be observed in the high resolution spectrum of S 2p3/2 in Fig. S4b. They agree with the expected peak at 168.3-168.9 eV for alkali and alkaline-earth sulfates (Wahlqvist and Shchukarev, 2007), the 163.5 eV peak for polysulfide (Sn2−) (Herbert Jr. et al., 1998) and the 162.3 eV peak for disulfide (Herbert Jr. et al., 1998). Fig. S4c highlights the signal at 283.9 eV related to C 1s, a value near 285.0 eV determined for a C-C/C-H bond, which allows discarding the presence of the –C=O bond of carbonate typically found at 289.0 eV (Greczynski and Hultman, 2020). Fig. S4d depicts a single peak at 531.3 eV in the O 1s spectrum, which agrees with the value of 531.2-531.9 eV reported for alkali and alkaline-earth sulfates (Wahlqvist, and Shchukarev, 2007). Therefore, these findings allow ascribing the impurities of C to organic compounds and those of O to sulfates.

Fig. 1b depicts the XRD pattern recorded for the natural pyrite, revealing the presence of very sharp peaks that suggest a high crystallinity. The pattern matched perfectly with the cubic structure of FeS2, showing a cell parameter of \( a = 541.7 \) pm, based on the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) card No. 42-1340. In this structure, the iron atoms are located in the vertices and center of the faces of the unit cell. The iron atoms and \( S_2^{2−} \) dimers occupy face-centered cubic (FCC) sites. Fig. 1b also shows the crystallographic planes associated with each peak in the FCC structure. The greater intensity corresponded to peaks at 33.1º and 56.3º, i.e., (211) and (311) planes, respectively.
3.2. Heterogeneous electro-Fenton treatment

First electrolytic assays were carried out to validate the ability of the stirred IrO$_2$/air-diffusion cell to accumulate H$_2$O$_2$ from cathodic O$_2$ reduction upon compressed air feeding, according to reaction (1). As an example, Fig. S5 depicts the evolution of H$_2$O$_2$ concentration during the electrolysis of 150 mL of a 0.020 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ solution at pH 3.0 and 35 ºC, working at $j = 50$ mA cm$^{-2}$ for 360 min. A gradual increase in the content of this oxidant as time was prolonged can be observed, attaining the maximum accumulation of 49.1 mM at the end of the trial. However, the current efficiency calculated from the Faraday’s law considering the stoichiometry of reaction (1) was halved, decreasing from a high value of 91.7% at 20 min (i.e., 5.7 mM of accumulated H$_2$O$_2$) down to a moderate value of 43.8% at 360 min. Such significant decay can be explained by the progressive enhancement of H$_2$O$_2$ direct oxidation to O$_2$ at the IrO$_2$ anode surface (Sirés et al., 2014; Coria et al., 2015). These results demonstrate the large ability of the electrolytic system to produce H$_2$O$_2$, which ensures the generation of sufficient *OH species from Fenton’s reaction (3) in the subsequent EAOPs for drug treatment.

In the literature, the relevant role played by pyrite dissolution regarding the medium acidification during Fenton-based treatments, according to reactions (7) and (8) (Barhoumi et al., 2015; Ouiriemmi et al., 2017), has been especially remarked. To confirm this phenomenon for the specific sample of natural pyrite prepared in this work, the Fe$^{2+}$ concentration released in aqueous slurries containing a dose of 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 g L$^{-1}$ of conditioned mineral was monitored. Compressed air was bubbled through the suspension at a flow rate of 0.6 L min$^{-1}$ to quickly attain the equilibrium between the pyrite microparticles and its dissolved ions, represented in reaction (7). Fig. S6a shows that, after 20 min, increasing steady Fe$^{2+}$ concentrations of 0.021, 0.042 and 0.058 mM were already reached in each case. Based on these trends, we decided that prior to the application of the Fenton-based electrochemical treatments, a stabilization period of 20 min under air sparging through the suspension was
required, thus ensuring the maximum Fe$^{2+}$ solubilization. In addition, the acidification of the suspension during pyrite solubilization is also worth noticing. Fig. S6b depicts the rapid pH decay when employing a dose of 1.0 g L$^{-1}$ pyrite, changing from the initial value of 5.35 to 3.64 at 20 min, whereupon it remained constant. When Na$_2$SO$_4$ was added at a concentration of 0.020 M, the pH rose slightly up to 4.27, resulting from a small shift of reaction (7) to the left due to the presence of more SO$_4^{2-}$ ions. The same behavior was found for the other pyrite doses.

From the aforementioned considerations, the heterogeneous EF treatment of analogous suspensions but in the presence of 50 mg L$^{-1}$ thiamphenicol and 0.020 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ was performed at $j = 30$ mA cm$^{-2}$. The initial pH in these tests, after 20 min of air bubbling, was of 4.27, 3.95 and 3.79 at 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 g L$^{-1}$ pyrite, respectively. Fig. 2a presents the inverse S-shape profile found for the drug concentration decay at 1.0 g L$^{-1}$ catalyst, attaining its total disappearance at 90 min. In contrast, exponential concentration abatements can be observed at 2.0 and 3.0 g L$^{-1}$ pyrite, with complete removal at 60 and 45 min, respectively. The greater degradation rate of thiamphenicol at higher catalyst dosage can be ascribed to the larger production of oxidant *OH from Fenton’s reaction (3), owing to: (i) the higher Fe$^{2+}$ release to the suspension (Fig. S6a), and (ii) the lower initial pH, approaching the optimum pH of 3.0. According to this, the above concentration decays were well fitted to a pseudo-first-order kinetics, as confirmed in the inset of Fig. 2a. Table 1 summarizes the greater pseudo-first-order rate constant ($k_1$), with good $R^2$ values, obtained at higher catalyst content. This behavior suggests the generation of a small, constant and increasing amount of *OH in the above systems.

A similar enhancement of TOC removal, as the catalyst dose was increased, was found when the heterogeneous EF treatment lasted for 360 min. Fig. 2b shows a poor mineralization by this method since TOC was only abated by 17% and 35% at pyrite contents of 1.0 and 3.0 g L$^{-1}$ (see Table 1). The TOC decays were particularly decelerated from 120 min, which can be explained by the formation of very stable by-products that are quite recalcitrant to the attack of
OH. On the other hand, the fast Fe$^{2+}$ generation from reaction (8) allows inferring the predominance of this oxidation state for iron. The gradual acidification of the suspensions during all the heterogeneous EF treatments (see Table 1) suggests the production of acid by-products, like carboxylic acids, which are thus the main candidates to be complexed with Fe(II) (and Fe(III)) and become quite refractory species (Brillas and Sirés, 2015).

The feasible adsorption of organic by-products formed from drug oxidation onto the surface of pyrite microparticles was assessed by XRD analysis of the used catalyst, just collected by filtration at the end of the electrolysis. Fig. 1c exemplifies the XRD pattern recorded for the assay with 2.0 g L$^{-1}$ pyrite. Comparison with Fig. 1b obtained for the natural pyrite allows noticing a strong reduction of the relative intensity of the main signal (i.e., (200) plane), which can be related to the presence of oxidation products blocking those sites. This was supported by the fact that the same initial XRD pattern was recovered upon successive rinsing of the collected catalyst with Milli-Q water and 95% ethanol. More specific recycling tests are commented below.

The mineralization of thiamphenicol suspensions involves the accumulation of Cl$^{-}$, NO$_3^{-}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ ions, as discussed in subsection 3.5. The following theoretical reaction can then be proposed for overall mineralization, with a number of consumed electrons $n = 62$:

$$\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{15}\text{Cl}_2\text{NO}_5\text{S} + 26\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 12\text{CO}_2 + 2\text{Cl}^{-} + \text{NO}_3^{-} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 67\text{H}^{+} + 62\text{e}^{-}$$ (10)

From this, the mineralization current efficiency (MCE, in %) for a given electrolytic assay at current $I$ (A) was determined from Eq. (11) (Sirés et al., 2014):

$$\text{MCE} = \frac{nFV\Delta\text{TOC}}{4.32 \times 10^7 m I t} \times 100$$ (11)

where $F$ is the Faraday constant, $V$ is the solution volume (L), $\Delta\text{TOC}$ is the destroyed TOC (mg L$^{-1}$), $4.32 \times 10^7$ is a homogenization factor ($= 3600 \text{ s h}^{-1} \times 12000 \text{ mg C mol}^{-1}$), $m$ is the number of carbon atoms of the drug ($= 12$) and $t$ is the electrolysis time (h).
The last column of Table 1 summarizes the MCE values calculated from Eq. (11) at the end of the above heterogeneous EF tests. These values were quite low, evidencing the large recalcitrance of thiamphenicol towards mineralization, and were slightly upgraded from 1.1% to 2.2% when the pyrite content was risen from 1.0 to 3.0 g L\(^{-1}\).

3.3. Comparison of thiamphenicol removal by homogeneous and heterogeneous processes

The oxidation power of the homogeneous and heterogeneous EF and PEF treatments of 150 mL of 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) of the drug in 0.020 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) was compared at \(j = 30\) mA cm\(^{-2}\). In previous works using an air-diffusion cathode (Thiam et al., 2015; Steter et al., 2016; Alcaide et al., 2020), 0.50 mM Fe\(^{2+}\) and pH close to 3 were determined as optimal for homogeneous Fenton-based EAOPs. In the present study, the homogeneous EF and PEF treatments were performed with a much lower value of 0.040 mM Fe\(^{2+}\), similar to the value obtained upon stabilization of pyrite at 2.0 g L\(^{-1}\) (see Fig. S6a), adjusting the initial pH to 3.0. Heterogeneous EF and PEF were made with 2.0 g L\(^{-1}\) pyrite, at an initial pH of 3.95. The data of Table 1 evidence that the media became more acid in the four cases, in agreement with the typical formation of acid by-products.

Fig. 3a shows the fast disappearance of thiamphenicol in the above processes. Its concentration decay was slower in homogeneous EF, slightly quicker in heterogeneous EF and much faster in both PEF treatments. Total drug disappearance occurred in 90, 75, 60 and 60 min, respectively. From the excellent linear profiles obtained from the corresponding pseudo-first-order kinetic analysis shown in the inset of Fig. 3a, \(k_1\)-values varying between 0.0305 and 0.0347 min\(^{-1}\) under EF conditions and between 0.0396 and 0.0469 min\(^{-1}\) under PEF were found (see Table 1). These findings suggest that the main oxidant was always the free \(^{•}\)OH formed from Fenton’s reaction (3), whereas the superiority of PEF over EF can be accounted for by the production of additional amounts of this oxidant via photo-Fenton reaction (6) (Sirés et al., 2014; Alcaide et al., 2020). In addition, when the homogeneous and heterogeneous modes are
compared either under EF or PEF conditions, the main factors affecting the performance are: 

(i) the extent to which reaction (8) is given, since it contributes to the continuous Fe\(^{2+}\) regeneration and the consequent acceleration of Fenton’s reaction (3), and (ii) the additional production of \(\cdot\)OH via heterogeneous Fenton’s reaction (9).

These phenomena, along with the relative predominance of Fe(III) or Fe(II) species in the medium can justify the TOC-time profiles presented in Fig. 3b. Both EF treatments yielded a poor mineralization, with 26-31% of TOC removal at 360 min (see Table 1). This agrees with the generation of very persistent Fe(III) and/or Fe(II) complexes with some by-products in both cases. Since both trends were very similar, it can be concluded that Fenton’s reaction (3) promoted by dissolved Fe\(^{2+}\) had a preeminent role as compared to heterogeneous reaction (9).

In contrast, TOC was reduced by 54% in homogeneous PEF and to much larger extent (up to 85%) in heterogeneous PEF, with MCE values of 3.5% and 5.4%, respectively (see Table 1). In the homogeneous PEF treatment, the photolysis of recalcitrant Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes by UVA radiation via reaction (5) can justify the acceleration of mineralization as compared to EF. In the absence of a significant contribution of heterogeneous Fenton’s reaction (9), as deduced from EF profiles, the much larger mineralization in heterogeneous PEF can be accounted for by the predominance of Fe\(^{2+}\) thanks to reaction (8). Worth noting, a more prolonged heterogeneous PEF treatment ensured the total mineralization in 450 min (not shown), thus avoiding secondary toxicity resulting from reaction products. The oxidation of the corresponding complexes with carboxylic acids is faster than that of Fe(III) complexes (Guelfi et al., 2019). It is then evident that the pyrite-catalyzed PEF process upgrades the mineralization of thiamphenicol, being a suitable EAOP for its degradation in water.

### 3.4. Effect of experimental variables on the heterogeneous photoelectro-Fenton performance

The influence of several key experimental variables on the performance of the heterogeneous PEF treatment of thiamphenicol was examined. A first study evaluated the role
of the anode by comparing the oxidation ability of electrolytic cells equipped with either an
IrO₂-based or BDD one. Fig. S7a and its inset show a slight enhancement using BDD for the
treatment of a suspension with 50 mg L⁻¹ drug and 2.0 g L⁻¹ pyrite at \( j = 30 \) mA cm⁻², as further
confirmed by their \( k₁ \)-values summarized in Table 1. Such an improvement can be ascribed to
the higher oxidation power of the oxidant BDD(•OH) formed from reaction (2), being more
active than IrO₂(•OH). However, the different electrocatalytic behavior was not relevant when
addressing the drug mineralization. Fig. S7b evidences a similar TOC decay regardless of the
anode material, attaining about 83-85% abatement (see Table 1). This means that the photolysis
of by-products under irradiation with UVA light keeps the leading role in heterogeneous PEF.
Moreover, BDD entails a larger investment and higher energy consumption, owing to the
resulting greater cell voltage (\( E_{cell} \)) (see Table 1). The IrO₂ anode is thus preferable to operate
a heterogeneous PEF system.

The effect of \( j \), which determines the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) production and •OH generation, was analyzed
by electrolyzing suspensions containing 50 mg L⁻¹ drug and 2.0 g L⁻¹ pyrite at 15-50 mA cm⁻¹.
Fig. S8a depicts the acceleration of the drug concentration decay at higher \( j \), with complete
disappearance after 75, 60 and 60 min at 15, 30 and 50 mA cm⁻², respectively, thanks to the
concomitant acceleration of reactions (1)-(3). This is more evident from the increasing \( k₁ \)-value,
from 0.0250 to 0.0462 min⁻¹, based on the corresponding pseudo-first-order kinetic analysis of
the inset of Fig. S8a. A different tendency can be observed in Fig. S8b for TOC removals. The
mineralization increased largely when operating at 30 mA cm⁻² instead of 15 mA cm⁻², meaning
that the greater quantity of generated •OH accelerated the destruction of organics. In contrast,
further increase to 50 mA cm⁻² did not practically affect the TOC destruction. This confirms
the crucial role of UVA light, since the mineralization of the final products is limited by their
photodegradation rate, being the excess of •OH insufficient to promote their fast removal. Thus,
\( j = 30 \) mA cm⁻² was selected as optimal for this process. On the other hand, it is also worth
noting the gradual drop in MCE, from 7.9% at 15 mA cm\(^{-2}\) to 3.1% at 50 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (see Table 1). This decay is due to the larger relative loss of oxidant *OH by the larger extent to which parasitic reactions occurred. These reactions included its reaction with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) to yield the weaker oxidant hydroperoxyl radical (HO\(_2\)). The significant influence of parasitic reactions of *OH on thiamphenicol degradation was also evidenced by varying the drug concentration between 5 and 75 mg L\(^{-1}\), working at \(j = 30\) mA cm\(^{-2}\). Table 1 informs about the time for total drug abatement, which increased from 15 to 75 min, with decreasing \(k_1\)-values from 0.2459 to 0.0333 min\(^{-1}\), within the above drug content range. However, for a suspension with 5 mg L\(^{-1}\) thiamphenicol, total removal occurred at 15 min, whereas a much greater content of 28 mg L\(^{-1}\) was degraded for a suspension with 75 mg L\(^{-1}\) drug (data not shown). This confirms the positive impact of drug content increase, which minimizes the parasitic reactions that cause the destruction of *OH.

Finally, the recyclability and reusability of pyrite as catalyst, without any cleaning step between successive trials, was tested in five consecutive cycles of heterogeneous PEF treatment of suspensions with 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) drug at \(j = 30\) mA cm\(^{-2}\) for 360 min. Fig. 4a reveals a gradually lower decay of thiamphenicol concentration from the first to the fifth cycle. This can be better observed from Fig. 4b, where TOC reduction dropped from 85% in the first cycle to 47% in the last cycle. This confirms the adsorption of by-products onto the surface of pyrite microparticles, as described above from the XRD results of Fig. 1c. It seems then necessary to implement the cleaning procedure with Milli-Q water and 95% ethanol, in order to ensure the maximum activity of the catalyst in successive trials.

3.5. Identification of organic by-products and accumulated inorganic ions

The primary by-products of thiamphenicol accumulated during the heterogeneous Fenton-based EAOPs were identified by GC-MS after extraction of the residual organic components in the treated suspensions. In particular, suspensions containing 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) drug and 2.0 g L\(^{-1}\) pyrite
were treated by heterogeneous EF for 30 min at \( j = 30 \text{ mA cm}^{-2} \). The same by-products were formed in heterogeneous PEF because \(^{\bullet}\text{OH} \) is the main oxidant in both cases. Table S1 collects the chemical name, molecular structure, retention time and fragmentation ions of thiamphenicol (1), nine benzenic derivatives (compounds 2, 4-8 and 10-12) and two short-chain chlorinated aliphatics (compounds 3 and 9) identified. Dechlorination, desulfonylation, hydroxylation, carboxylation/decarboxylation and the partial or complete loss of the lateral group of 1 are involved in the generation of the identified by-products.

The evolution of the final short-chain linear carboxylic acids identified during the heterogeneous EF and PEF treatments of suspensions with 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) thiamphenicol and 2.0 g L\(^{-1}\) pyrite at \( j = 30 \text{ mA cm}^{-2} \) was monitored by ion-exclusion HPLC. Traces of maleic (13), fumaric (14) and formic (17) acids (< 0.1 mg L\(^{-1}\)) were detected, alongside much larger amounts of oxamic (15) and oxalic (16) acids. Maleic and fumaric acids arise from the cleavage of the benzenic ring of the aromatic by-products, whereas oxalic and formic acids come from the degradation of these two and other longer acids, and oxamic acid is derived from N-derivatives (Sirés et al., 2014). The three latter acids are directly mineralized to CO\(_2\). Fig. 5a shows the continuous accumulation of oxalic acid, attaining up to 7.0 mg L\(^{-1}\) after 360 min in heterogeneous EF, but it is completely removed from the medium in heterogeneous PEF after reaching a maximal of 5.8 mg L\(^{-1}\) at 180-240 min of electrolysis. In contrast, Fig. 5b highlights a similar profile for oxamic acid in both processes, attaining a steady concentration near 3.6 mg L\(^{-1}\). The large stability of oxalic acid in heterogeneous EF can be accounted for by the difficult oxidation of its Fe(II) and Fe(III) complexes, which are rapidly photolyzed in heterogeneous PEF. This justifies the superiority of the latter process in the TOC-time plots discussed above. Conversely, the recalcitrant Fe(II)- and Fe(III)-oxamate complexes remained stable under irradiation with UVA light. Hence, the inefficiency of this light to degrade this acid and other undetected by-products agrees with the partial mineralization observed in heterogeneous PEF.
in previous subsections. A mass balance of generated carboxylic acids at the end of heterogeneous EF reveals that they accounted for 2.8 mg L$^{-1}$ TOC, only representing a 19% of the 14.8 mg L$^{-1}$ of residual TOC (see Fig. 4a). Therefore, a large proportion (around 81%) of other very persistent by-products co-exists in the electrolyzed suspensions.

Ion chromatography analysis of the above suspensions (containing 0.140 mM drug) revealed the almost overall release (> 97%) of its heteroatoms in the form of SO$_4^{2-}$, Cl$^-$ and NO$_3^-$ ions. Fig. 5c exemplifies the time course of Cl$^-$, showing an analogous profile in heterogeneous EF and PEF treatments. This ion was not oxidized to active chlorine because of the low oxidation power of the IrO$_2$ anode (Thiam et al., 2015; Steter et al., 2016). In contrast, Fig. 5d shows the large stability of accumulated NO$_3^-$ in heterogeneous EF, and its progressive removal in heterogeneous PEF since it is photolyzed to N$_2$ and O$_2$ (Halmann, 1996).

3.6. Mineralization route of thiamphenicol by heterogeneous electrochemical processes

Fig. 6 presents a reaction pathway proposed for thiamphenicol mineralization by heterogeneous EF and PEF treatments with pyrite as heterogeneous catalyst and as a source of homogeneous catalyst. The main oxidant is assumed to be $^\cdot$OH formed from reaction (3) and/or (6). Fe(II)- and Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes are not included, aiming to simplify the scheme. The initial degradation of 1 involves four parallel pathways: (i) the partial cleavage of its lateral group, yielding the sulfonyl benzene aldehyde derivative 2 and the dichloroaliphatic amine 3; (ii) its disulfonation plus hydroxylation to yield compound 4; and analogous reactions with additional dechlorination, involving the loss of the Cl$_2$CH- group and carboxylation to form either (iii) the compound 5 or (iv) 6. Subsequent oxidation of 2 leads to the corresponding benzoic acid 7, which is dihydroxylated to yield 8. Parallel oxidation of 3 yields the shorter dichloroaliphatic amine 9, which evolves to 15 to be mineralized to CO$_2$ and NO$_3^-$ ion. In turn, compounds 4 and 5 are transformed into 10 and 11, respectively. These two latter by-products, along with 6, are then oxidized to the tetrahydroxylated benzoic acid 12, which is accompanied
by the release of chloride and nitrate ions from different precursors. The cleavage of the benzene moiety of these aromatic by-products yields a mixture of the dicarboxylic acids 13 and 14, which are then converted into 16 and 17. These reactions, as well the mineralization of the two latter acids, occurs upon the slow action of \( \cdot \text{OH} \) in heterogeneous EF, but much more rapidly through photodecarboxylation of complexed and free molecules in heterogeneous PEF, thus explaining the greater oxidation power of the photoassisted treatment.

4. Conclusions

It has been shown that natural pyrite can be successfully used for PEF treatment of thiamphenicol under UVA illumination. The mineral has a dual role, as solid catalyst to allow the heterogeneous Fenton’s reaction and as a source of Fe\(^{2+}\) catalyst to promote the homogeneous Fenton’s reaction. The oxidation profiles shown in this work have demonstrated the preponderance of the latter reaction. Pyrite acidifies the medium and releases dissolved Fe\(^{2+}\), ensuring a very effective \( \cdot \text{OH} \) production. The heterogeneous PEF treatment can be made with a cheap IrO\(_2\)-based anode, whose performance is similar to that of BDD. Comparative heterogeneous EF treatment yielded a similar disappearance of the antibiotic but a much slower mineralization because of the formation of persistent by-products. The mineralization power increased in the order: heterogeneous EF \( \sim \) homogeneous EF \(<\) homogeneous PEF \(<\) heterogeneous PEF. The Fe(II) complexes formed in heterogeneous PEF where quickly photolyzed, justifying the greater mineralization power. Under optimized operation conditions, 85% TOC abatement was achieved after 360 min. A detailed reaction route has been finally proposed, including the aromatic and aliphatic by-products identified. Pyrite-catalyzed PEF process seems an interesting alternative for the removal of drugs from water at natural pH.
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Fig. 1. (a) General XPS spectrum of natural pyrite. XRD pattern of natural pyrite: (a) initial and (b) recovered after the heterogeneous EF treatment of a suspension with 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) thiamphenicol, 0.020 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and 2.0 g L\(^{-1}\) pyrite at pH 3.95 and 35 °C, using an IrO\(_2\)/air-diffusion cell at a current density \((j)\) of 30 mA cm\(^{-2}\).
Fig. 2. Effect of pyrite content on (a) thiamphenicol concentration abatement, along with the pseudo-first-order kinetic analysis, and (b) TOC removal vs. electrolysis time for the heterogeneous EF treatment of 150 mL of a suspension containing 50 mg L⁻¹ (0.140 mM) thiamphenicol and 0.020 M Na₂SO₄ at 35 ºC, using a stirred IrO₂/air-diffusion cell at $j = 30$ mA cm⁻². Pyrite content: (●) 1.0 g L⁻¹ (initial pH 4.27), (▲) 2.0 g L⁻¹ (initial pH 3.95) and (■) 3.0 g L⁻¹ (initial pH 3.79).
Fig. 3. (a) Thiamphenicol concentration decay, along with the pseudo-first-order kinetic analysis, and (b) TOC removal vs. time for the electrolysis of 150 mL of 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) drug in 0.020 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) at 35 °C, using a stirred IrO\(_2\)/air-diffusion cell at \(j = 30\) mA cm\(^{-2}\). Treatment: Homogeneous (■) EF and (●) PEF with 0.40 mM Fe\(^{2+}\) at pH 3.0; heterogeneous (▲) EF and (♦) PEF with 2.0 g L\(^{-1}\) pyrite at initial pH 3.95.
Fig. 4. Time course of (a) the percentage of thiamphenicol removal and (b) TOC versus electrolysis time for the heterogeneous PEF treatment of 150 mL of suspensions containing 50 mg L$^{-1}$ drug, 0.020 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ and 2.0 g L$^{-1}$ pyrite at initial pH 3.95 and 35 ºC, using a stirred IrO$_2$/air-diffusion cell at $j = 30$ mA cm$^{-2}$ upon 5 consecutive cycles.
Fig. 5. Time course of the concentration of (a) oxalic acid, (b) oxamic acid, (c) chloride ion and (d) nitrate ion detected during the heterogeneous (▲) EF and (◆) PEF treatments of 150 mL of suspensions containing 50 mg L⁻¹ drug, 0.020 M Na₂SO₄ and 2.0 g L⁻¹ pyrite at initial pH 3.95 and 35 °C, using a stirred IrO₂/air-diffusion cell at $j = 30$ mA cm⁻².
Fig. 6. Proposed reaction pathway for the mineralization of thiamphenicol (1) by heterogeneous EF and PEF treatments.
Table 1

Main results obtained for the homogeneous and heterogeneous EF and PEF treatments of thiamphenicol solutions/suspensions in 0.020 M Na₂SO₄ under different conditions using an air-diffusion cathode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anode</th>
<th>[Thiamphenicol] (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>[Fe²⁺] (mM)</th>
<th>[Pyrite] (g L⁻¹)</th>
<th>( j^a ) (mA cm⁻²)</th>
<th>( E_{cell}^b ) (V)</th>
<th>Initial pH (final pH)(^c)</th>
<th>Time for total drug decay (min)</th>
<th>( k_1^d ) (min⁻¹)</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>% TOC removal at 360 min</th>
<th>% MCE at 360 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Homogeneous EF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3.00 (2.83)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.0305</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heterogeneous EF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>3.79 (2.65)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>3.95 (2.50)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.0347</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>4.27 (2.45)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.0719</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Homogeneous PEF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.00 (2.91)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.0469</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heterogeneous PEF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>3.95 (2.74)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.2459</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.95 (2.69)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.1049</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>3.95 (2.73)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.1465</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.95 (2.70)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.0250</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDD</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>3.95 (2.32)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.0426</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>3.95 (2.69)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.0396</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>3.95 (2.78)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.0462</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IrO₂</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>3.95 (2.80)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.0333</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Current density. \(b\) Average cell voltage. \(c\) In heterogeneous process, pyrite was added to the drug + Na₂SO₄ solution (pH 5.35) and air was bubbled for 20 min to attain the dissolution equilibrium of the catalyst. \(d\) Pseudo-first-order rate constant for thiamphenicol. \(e\) Not determined.