

Reconceptualising treatment-resistant depression as difficult-to-treat depression

See [Comment](#) page 11

We are heartened that our consensus statement¹ on difficult-to-treat depression has provoked robust debate. As pointed out by Lisa Cosgrove and colleagues,² our proposed definition and model of care for difficult-to-treat depression is not derived from a systematic review or a Delphi technique. The term difficult-to-treat depression had previously been proposed to address semantic and conceptual issues with the so-called treatment-resistant depression model, for patients where achieving sustained remission proves elusive.³ We aimed to extend the discussion regarding this proposal, focusing on practical clinical advice. As the concept of difficult-to-treat depression is new, there is no literature to systematically review. The literature around the management of so-called treatment-resistant depression has been reviewed on many occasions, but this literature was only of partial relevance to our aims. Not only is there no universally accepted definition of treatment-resistant depression, but those that are used rarely if ever take into account psychotherapeutic or neurostimulatory treatments, or how to account for differential efficacy among treatments.^{4,5} At the core of the proposed difficult-to-treat depression model is the importance of taking a holistic approach and considering all treatment options available. A systematic review of all treatments for depression was not practical. As a result, our consensus was based on the culmination of extensive discussion and deliberation among 15 international experts in the management of depression from across three continents, and the national guidelines for the treatment of depression from the countries represented. Rather than through

a Delphi technique, we arrived at a consensus through many iterative reviews of the manuscript until all 15 contributors were comfortable with all the statements being discussed. However, we wish to clarify two key points that we feel Cosgrove and colleagues might have misunderstood.

First, they argue that a better way to address deficiencies of the current treatment-resistant depression model is to strive for a better understanding of why treatment success remains low for some patients. We fully agree with this statement, and this is precisely the central tenet behind the difficult-to-treat depression model. We suggest that when depression is proving difficult to treat, the next step is for the clinician, collaboratively with the patient, to do a comprehensive biopsychosocial assessment of the factors relevant to this, addressing any that are tractable using all appropriate therapeutic modalities. Thus, the difficult-to-treat depression model does precisely what Cosgrove and colleagues argue that it should.

Second, Cosgrove and colleagues' main concern regarding the difficult-to-treat depression model is that they view it as a diagnostic label that is broader and even more susceptible to subjective interpretations than treatment-resistant depression. They suggest that study sponsors could easily take advantage of such loose criteria when designing pivotal trials for new drug applications. We concur that broadening diagnostic criteria can have negative consequences including overmedicalisation and might, as Cosgrove and colleagues have suggested, erode the threshold above which riskier and more expensive treatments are offered to patients. However, once again it seems that what we mean by the term difficult-to-treat depression has been misunderstood. We are not professing that the term is a diagnosis per se, but rather a framework or model of care. We stipulated in our consensus paper that the model was aimed primarily

at clinicians, and not regulators. Furthermore, we specifically acknowledged the limitations of our proposed model and noted in particular that difficult-to-treat depression is insufficient to define clinical populations for regulatory trial purposes. Importantly, we intentionally did not indicate where in any particular treatment algorithm specific treatments should be used. Instead, we highlighted an earlier paper that argued for a threshold well above conventional definitions of treatment-resistant depression and including multiple therapeutic modalities, which could help clinicians decide when it might be appropriate to utilise potentially more risky and expensive treatments.⁵

Finally, it is important to note that the proposed difficult-to-treat depression model of care is not appropriate for all patients with depression, and not even for all those who would normally be classified as having treatment-resistant depression. However, the model is a useful concept for both patients and clinicians when management is mutually perceived as difficult, because it serves the aim of averting the development of therapeutic nihilism.

RHM-W has received fees from American Center for Psychiatry & Neurology United Arab Emirates, AstraZeneca, British Association for Psychopharmacology, European College of Neuropsychopharmacology, International Society for Affective Disorders, Janssen, LivaNova, Lundbeck, My Tomorrows, OCM Comunicaciona, P1Vital, Pfizer, Qatar International Mental Health Conference, Sage Therapeutics, Sunovion, Syntropharma, UK Medical Research Council, and Wiley; grant support from National Institute for Health Research Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation Panel and Health Technology Assessment Panel; and non-financial support from COMPASS Pathways and Magstim, outside the submitted work. CA has been a consultant to or has received honoraria or grants from Acadia, Angelini, Gedeon Richter, Janssen Cilag, LivaNova, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Roche, Sage, Servier, Shire, Schering Plough, Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma, Sunovion, and Takeda, outside the submitted work. KD has been involved in advisory boards and speaker bureaus with Boehringer Ingelheim, Johnson & Johnson, LivaNova, Lundbeck, and Servier, outside the submitted work. PB has received honoraria for participation in advisory boards, giving lectures, or providing expert testimony for Allergan, Bristol

Myers Squibb, Janssen, LivaNova, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Pierre Fabre Médicaments, Pfizer, Sunovion, and Takeda, outside the submitted work. PF has received research support and honoraria for lectures from Abbott, Janssen, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Recordati, Richter, Servier, and Takeda, outside the submitted work. PG has received fees for presentations at congresses or participation in scientific boards from Alcediag-Alcen, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, GSK, Janssen, Lilly, Lundbeck, Otsuka, and Servier, outside the submitted work. MH has received speaker fees or honoraria from Eli Lilly, Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck, and Servier; has served on advisory boards for the Defence Health Foundation, Eli Lilly, Jansen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Phoenix, RANZCP, and Summer Foundation; has received travel support from Lundbeck and Servier; and has had clinical trials or research support from AHMRF, Bionomics, Douglass, ISSCR, Jansen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Lyndra, MRFF, NHMRC, Praxis, Paul Ramsay Foundation, Servier, and Weary Dunlop Foundation, outside the submitted work. AJ has received fees for presentations at congresses or participation in scientific boards from Lundbeck, Otsuka, and Sunovion, outside the submitted work. SK has received grants or research support, and consulting fees or honoraria from Angelini, AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Janssen-Cilag, KRKA, Lundbeck, Mundipharma, Neuraxpharm, Pfizer, Sage, Sanofi, Schwabe, Servier, Shire, Sumitomo Dainippon, and Takeda, outside the submitted work. GSM has received grant or research support from National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian Rotary Health, NSW Health, Ramsay Health, American Foundation for Suicide Prevention, Janssen Research and Teaching Fund, Elsevier, AstraZeneca, and Servier; has been a speaker for AstraZeneca, Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Otsuka, and Servier; and has been a consultant for AstraZeneca, Janssen Cilag, Lundbeck, Otsuka, and Servier, outside the submitted work. JCS has received research support from COMPASS Pathways, Alkermes, Pfizer, and Allergan; is a consultant for Johnson & Johnson; and has been involved in speaker bureaus for Sunovion and Sanofi, outside the submitted work. EV has received grants and served as a consultant, advisor, or continuing medical education speaker for AB-Biotics, Abbott, Allergan, Angelini, Dainippon Sumitomo, Galenica, Janssen, Lundbeck, Novartis, Otsuka, Sage, Sanofi-Aventis, and Takeda, outside the submitted work. AHY is funded by the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London; receives fees for lectures and advisory boards for AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Lundbeck, Sunovion, Servier, Livanova, Janssen, Allegan, and Bionomics; is a consultant to Johnson & Johnson and to LivaNova; has received honoraria for attending advisory boards and presenting talks at meetings organised by LivaNova; is the Principle Investigator in the Restore-Life vagus nerve stimulation registry study funded by LivaNova, Lead Investigator for the Embolden study (AstraZeneca), Brain Cells Neuroplasticity study, and Aripiprazole Mania study, investigator-initiated studies from AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Lundbeck, Wyeth, and Janssen; has received grants from National Institute of Mental Health (USA); Canadian Institutes of Health Research; Brain & Behavior Research Foundation (USA); Stanley

Medical Research Institute (USA); Medical Research Council (UK); Wellcome Trust; Royal College of Physicians (UK); British Medical Association; UBC-VGH Foundation (Canada); Western Economic Diversification Canada; CCS Depression Research Fund (Canada); Michael Smith Foundation For Health Research (Canada); National Institute for Health Research; and Janssen. AP has received fees for presentations at educational events and participation in advisory boards from Janssen, Lundbeck, Otsuka, LivaNova, and Magstim, outside the submitted work. AJR has received consulting fees from Akili Brain Resource, Compass, Curbstone Consultant, Emmes, Johnson & Johnson (Janssen), LivaNova, Mind Linc, and Sunovion; speaking fees from LivaNova; and royalties from Guilford Press and the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA (for the Inventory of Depressive Symptoms and its derivatives); and is named co-inventor on two patents: US patent number 7 795 033: methods to predict the outcome of treatment with antidepressant medication, and US patent number 7 906 283: methods to identify patients at risk of developing adverse events during treatment with antidepressant medication.

**R Hamish McAllister-Williams, Celso Arango, Pierre Blier, Koen Demyttenaere, Peter Falkai, Philip Gorwood, Malcolm Hopwood, Afzal Javed, Siegfried Kasper, Gurjinder S Malhi, Jair C Soares, Eduard Vieta, Allan H Young, Andreas Papadopoulos, Augustus J Rush hamish.mcallister-williams@newcastle.ac.uk*

Northern Centre for Mood Disorders, Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK (RHM-W); Cumbria, Northumberland, Tyne and Wear NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK (RHM-W); Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry and Mental Health, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón (IISGM), CIBERSAM, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain (CA); Royal Ottawa Institute of Mental Health Research, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada (PB); University Psychiatric Center KU Leuven, Faculty of Medicine KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium (KD); Clinic for Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Ludwig Maximilian University, Munich, Germany (PF); La Clinique des Maladies Mentales et de l'Encéphale, Hôpital Sainte-Anne (GHU Paris et Neurosciences), Paris-Descartes University, INSERM U1266, Paris, France (PG); University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia (MH); Faculty of the University of Warwick, Coventry, UK (AJ); Department of Molecular Neuroscience, Center for Brain Research, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria (SK); Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Northern Clinical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia (GSM); Academic

Department of Psychiatry (GSM) and Clinical Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation Clinic (GSM), Royal North Shore Hospital, Northern Sydney Local Health District, St Leonards, NSW, Australia; University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, TX, USA (JCS); Hospital Clinic, Institute of Neuroscience, University of Barcelona, IDIBAPS, CIBERSAM, Barcelona, Spain (EV); Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London and South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, UK (AHY); Somerset Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Bridgwater, UK (AP); Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA (AJR); Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Midland, TX, USA (AJR); and Duke-National University of Singapore Medical School, Singapore (AJR)

- 1 McAllister-Williams RH, Arango C, Blier P, et al. The identification, assessment and management of difficult-to-treat depression: an international consensus statement. *J Affect Disord* 2020; **267**: 264–82.
- 2 Cosgrove L, Naudet F, Höglberg G, Shaughnessy AF, Cristea IA. Reconceptualising treatment-resistant depression as difficult-to-treat depression. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020; published online Oct 13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30416-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30416-8).
- 3 Rush AJ, Aaronson ST, Demyttenaere K. Difficult-to-treat depression: a clinical and research roadmap for when remission is elusive. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2019; **53**: 109–18.
- 4 Brown S, Rittenbach K, Cheung S, McKean G, MacMaster FP, Clement F. Current and common definitions of treatment-resistant depression: findings from a systematic review and qualitative interviews. *Can J Psychiatry* 2019; **64**: 380–87.
- 5 McAllister-Williams RH, Christmas DMB, Cleare AJ, et al. Multiple-therapy-resistant major depressive disorder: a clinically important concept. *Br J Psychiatry* 2018; **212**: 274–78.

Reporting on suicidal behaviour and COVID-19—need for caution

News reporting on suicidal behaviour can have a considerable influence on suicide and self-harm in the general population.¹ This issue is particularly relevant during the COVID-19 pandemic. With a rising number of deaths from COVID-19 infection and negative effects of the pandemic on key factors that are associated with suicide, including social isolation, unemployment, and financial problems, there is understandable concern that suicide rates might



Published Online
November 5, 2020
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30484-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30484-3)