Pathogenic *Acinetobacter* species including the novel *Acinetobacter dijkshoorniae* recovered from market meat in Peru

Marta Marí-Almirall^{a†}, Clara Cosgaya^{a†}, Maria J. Pons^{a,b}, Alexandr Nemec^{c,d}, Theresa

J. Ochoa^e, Joaquim Ruiz^a, Ignasi Roca^{a*}, Jordi Vila^a

^aDepartment of Clinical Microbiology, ISGlobal, Hospital Clínic – Universitat de

Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

^bUniversidad Científica del Sur, Lima, Peru

^cLaboratory of Bacterial Genetics, National Institute of Public Health, Prague, Czech Republic.

^dDepartment of Laboratory Medicine, Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.

^eTropical Medicine Institute "Alexander von Humboldt", Universidad Peruana Cayetano Hereida, Lima, Peru.

†: These authors equally contributed to this work

*Correspondence: Ignasi Roca. ISGlobal, Hospital Clínic – Universitat de

Barcelona, 08036, Barcelona, CEK building. Spain. Phone: +34932275400; Fax:

+34933129410; E-mail: Ignasi.roca@isglobal.org

Declarations of interest: none.

1 Abstract

2	Species of the Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-Acinetobacter baumannii complex are
3	important human pathogens which can be recovered from animals and food, potential
4	sources for their dissemination. The aim of the present study was to characterise the
5	Acinetobacter isolates recovered from market meat samples in Peru. From July
6	through August 2012, 138 meat samples from six traditional markets in Lima were
7	cultured in Lysogeny and Selenite broths followed by screening of Gram-negative
8	bacteria in selective media. Bacterial isolates were identified by MALDI-TOF MS
9	and DNA-based methods and assessed for their clonal relatedness and antimicrobial
10	susceptibility.
11	Twelve Acinetobacter isolates were recovered from calf samples. All but one strain
12	were identified as members of the clinically-relevant Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-
13	Acinetobacter baumannii complex: 9 strains as Acinetobacter pittii, 1 strain as A.
14	baumannii, and 1 strain as the recently described novel species A. dijkshoorniae.
15	The remaining strain could not be identified at the species level unambiguously but all
16	studies suggested close relatedness to A. bereziniae. All isolates were well susceptible
17	to antibiotics. Based on macrorestriction analysis, six isolates were further selected
18	and some of them were associated with novel MLST profiles.
19	The presence of pathogenic Acinetobacter species in human consumption meat might
20	pose a risk to public health as potential reservoirs for their further spread into the
21	human population. Nevertheless, the Acinetobacter isolates from meat found in this
22	study were not multidrug resistant and their prevalence was low. To our knowledge,
23	this is also the first time that the A. dijkshoorniae species is reported in Peru.
24	Keywords: food-producing animals; antimicrobial resistance; epidemiology;
25	taxonomy

- 27 Abbreviations: ACB complex, *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus–Acinetobacter*
- 28 baumannii complex; CC, clonal complex; MALDI-TOF MS, matrix-assisted laser
- 29 desorption ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry; MIC, minimum inhibitory
- 30 concentration; MLSA, multilocus sequence analysis; MLST, multilocus sequence
- 31 typing; PFGE, pulse-field gel electrophoresis; ST, sequence type.

32 **1. Introduction**

33 The genus Acinetobacter currently comprises 60 validly published species names including four pairs of synonyms and a number of tentative species without standing 34 35 in nomenclature (https://apps.szu.cz/anemec/Classification.pdf, last accessed April 2019), some of them environmental and some considered human pathogens. Among 36 the latter, species within the Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-Acinetobacter baumannii 37 38 (ACB) complex are of particular clinical relevance, often associated with nosocomial 39 infections (Cosgaya et al., 2016; Nemec et al., 2015; Roca et al., 2012). Acinetobacter 40 baumannii is clearly the most prevalent pathogen of the ACB complex, probably due to its inherent ability to persist and survive in the hospital environment as well as to 41 42 acquire resistance to multiple antimicrobial drugs and disinfectants (Lee et al., 2017). 43 Despite the clinical relevance of members of the ACB complex, pathogenic 44 Acinetobacter spp. have also been reported from food and food-producing animals, 45 which might constitute an overlooked reservoir and source of bacterial pathogens to 46 the human population (Carvalheira et al., 2017a; Carvalheira et al., 2017b; Hamouda 47 et al., 2011; Lupo et al., 2014). 48 The aim of the present study was to analyse the phenotypic and genotypic 49 characteristics of Acinetobacter spp. recovered from market meat samples in Peru as well as to characterise their clonal relatedness. 50 51 52 2. Material and methods 53 2.1. Samples and isolation method

54 From July through August 2012, 138 meat samples from poultry (n=64), swine

(n=30) and beef (n=44) were obtained by random sampling from six traditional

56 markets scattered throughout the city of Lima, Peru. Meat samples were transported

57	in sterile bags to the laboratory, homogenised in a paddle blender (Stomacher® 400
58	circulator, Seward, UK) and 2 g of each were used to enrich the bacterial burden in
59	overnight cultures with Lysogeny and Selenite broths. Liquid cultures were plated in
60	different agar media such as Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate agar, Salmonella-Shigella
61	agar, MacConkey agar and Hektoen agar (all from Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) to select
62	for different Enterobacteria (Ruiz-Roldan et al., 2018). Specific enrichment media or
63	pre-enrichment steps for Acinetobacter were not used as this study was initially
64	designed to capture Enterobacterales only. Further growth of bacterial isolates was
65	performed in Columbia sheep blood agar plates (Becton Dickinson GmbH,
66	Heidelberg, Germany) at 37°C.
67	2.2. Bacterial identification and typing
68	2.2.1. Identification by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass
69	spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS)
70	Bacterial identification for all grown colonies was performed by MALDI-TOF MS in
71	a Microflex LT benchtop instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). Spectra
72	were analysed with the MALDI BioTyper software (version 3.1; Bruker Daltonics)
73	using the pre-processing and BioTyper main spectrum (MSP) identification standard
74	methods (mass range 2,000-20,000 m/z) against the default Bruker database
75	(V.8.0.0.). Accuracy of the identification was determined by a logarithmic score
76	value resulting from the alignment of peaks to the best matching reference spectrum
77	(Espinal et al., 2012).
78	2.2.2. Selection of isolates for identification with molecular methods
79	All isolates belonging to the Acinetobacter genus were analysed by Pulsed-field Gel
80	Electrophoresis (PFGE) as described previously (Uwingabiye et al., 2017), using
81	genomic digestions with the ApaI restriction enzyme. Electrophoresis was performed

82 in 1% InCertTM Agarose (Lonza, Rockland, ME, USA) and 0.5X TBE Buffer (pH 8.0)

83 containing 0.02 g of thiourea using either a CHEF-DR III system (Bio-Rad

84 Laboratories, Marnes-la-Coquette, France) or a CHEF-MapperTM apparatus (Bio-Rad

Laboratories) at 6 V/cm2 with switch times ranging from 5 s to 35 s at an angle of

86 120° , at temperature of 14 °C, for 20 h.

87 Molecular patterns were analysed with the InfoQuestTM FP v.5.4 software (Bio-Rad

88 Laboratories) and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean to create

89 dendrograms based on Dice's similarity coefficient. Using bandwidth tolerance and

90 optimisation values set at 1 and 1%, respectively, isolates were considered to belong

91 to the same PFGE cluster (pulsotype) if their Dice similarity index was $\geq 85\%$

92 (Durmaz et al., 2009).

93 2.2.3. Identification to the species level and sequence typing

For selected isolates, the partial sequences of the *rpoB* gene (zones 1 and 2) were

amplified by PCR and sequenced using primers Ac696F and Ac1598R (902 bp),

96 according to La Scola et al. (2006). Likewise, the partial sequences of *cpn60*, *fusA*,

97 gltA, pyrG, recA, rplB, and rpoB, the seven housekeeping genes from the Pasteur

98 multilocus sequence typing (MLST) scheme, were also amplified and sequenced

99 according to Diancourt et al. (2010). Partial *rpoB* sequences and the concatenated

100 partial sequences of MLST genes were used to analyse sequence similarity between

101 the tested isolates and reference strains belonging to the ACB complex as well as

102 other Acinetobacter species that were retrieved from public repositories (Cosgaya et

103 al., 2016). Sequence alignment was done with Clustal Ω and phylogenetic trees were

104 constructed using the neighbour-joining method, with genetic distances computed by

105 Kimura's two-parameter model (Kimura, 1980; Sievers et al., 2011). The allele

106 sequences of housekeeping genes were submitted to the Acinetobacter MLST

107 database (http://pubmlst.org/abaumannii/) to identify the corresponding sequence
108 types (STs).

109 2.3. Antibiotic susceptibility testing

110 Antibiotic susceptibility testing of all isolates of Acinetobacter was assessed by

- 111 gradient diffusion (E test, AB bioMérieux, Solna, Sweden) on Mueller-Hinton agar
- 112 plates (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) in accordance with the European
- 113 Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) guidelines for the
- 114 following antimicrobials: amikacin, tobramycin, kanamycin, ciprofloxacin,
- 115 levofloxacin, cefepime, ceftazidime, meropemen, imipenem, chloramphenicol and
- 116 tigecycline. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were interpreted
- 117 according to EUCAST clinical breakpoints and expert rules for Acinetobacter
- 118 (Version 8.0, January 2018), except for tigecycline, which was interpreted using the
- 119 EUCAST breakpoints and rules for *Enterobacteriaceae* (Version 8.0, January 2018)
- 120 ((EUCAST), 2018). Susceptibility to colistin was assessed by broth microdilution as
- 121 recommended by the joint CLSI-EUCAST Polymyxin Breakpoints Working Group
- 122 (EUCAST, 2016). Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 and A. baumannii ATCC 19606
- 123 were used as quality control strains.
- 124 2.4 Nucleotide sequence accession numbers
- 125 The partial *rpoB* sequences of *Acinetobacter* strains were submitted to GenBank with
- 126 accession numbers MK382383 (APT-6), MK382384 (APT-1), MK382385 (APT-5),
- 127 MK382386 (APT-7B), MK382387 (APT-8), MK382388 (APT-7T).

- 129 **3. Results and discussion**
- 130 *3.1. Identification of Acinetobacter species and clonal relatedness of all isolates*

Twelve isolates of the genus *Acinetobacter* were recovered on MacConkey agar plates
from the meat samples of five different calves obtained in two independent markets in
Lima, Peru.

134 Species identification of the 12 isolates was initially performed by MALDI-TOF MS

by comparing the spectra profiles against the updated Bruker taxonomy database

136 (V.8.0.0.) which included custom reference spectra for Acinetobacter dijkshoorniae

and *Acinetobacter seifertii*, the novel members of the ACB complex (Marí-Almirall etal., 2017).

139 Three isolates were identified as *A. baumannii*, *Acinetobacter bereziniae* and *A.*

140 *dijkshoorniae*, respectively, and the remaining nine isolates were identified as

141 Acinetobacter pittii. Of note, the log score values for the identification of five out of

142 the nine *A. pittii* isolates ranged between 1.9 and 2.01, which constituted a poor

143 probable species identification, according to the manufacturer's specifications.

144 The PFGE analysis of ApaI-digested genomic DNA revealed five distinct fingerprints

145 (A-E) (Table 1). Acinetobacter pittii isolates recovered from meat samples from the

same calf shared identical fingerprints (B and D), plus one A. pittii isolate that was

147 isolated from meat derived from a third calf (together with *A. bereziniae*) and also

148 presented the D fingerprint.

149 Six isolates were selected for further characterisation, one representative isolate from

150 each fingerprint plus the *A. pittii* isolate that derived from a different calf (Table 1).

151 Identification at the species level for these isolates was re-evaluated using two

152 different molecular methods; sequencing of the partial *rpoB* gene sequences (La Scola

et al., 2006), and by multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) of the concatenated partial

154 sequences of all house-keeping genes used for MLST (Diancourt et al., 2010).

155 Molecular methods confirmed the identification of A. baumannii, A. dijkshoorniae

156 and A. pittii isolates from fingerprints A-C. Isolates within fingerprint D comprised 157 those isolates with low MALDI-TOF log score values for A. pittii and showed the highest sequence similarity to A. pittii (97.3-98.1% and 98.4-99.0% for rpoB and 158 159 MLSA, respectively). This isolates were, therefore, considered as A. pittii, even 160 though the A. pittii group often embraces strains that are somehow related to each 161 other but do not always meet the technical requirements used for species 162 circumscription. Finally, the isolate corresponding to fingerprint E, designated as A. 163 bereziniae by MALDI-TOF MS, clustered together with Acinetobacter bereziniae 164 isolates with 96.4-96.9% and 96.6-96.7% similarity for rpoB and MLSA, respectively. These values are slightly lower than similarities usually seen between 165 166 strains of a single species (>97.5%; Nemec et al., 2015). Accordingly, this isolate was 167 designated as A. bereziniae-like although whole-genome sequencing could aid to 168 clarify the taxonomic position of this isolate. Nevertheless, we acknowledge that 169 additional isolates with similar characteristics are needed before proposing the 170 existence of new taxa.

171 *3.2.* Susceptibility to antimicrobial agents and epidemiological typing

172 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of the selected isolates by gradient diffusion and 173 broth microdilution (colistin) showed low MIC values to all the antimicrobial agents 174 tested except for chloramphenicol, to which MIC values below 8 mg/L were only 175 observed in both A. pittii isolates representative from fingerprint D (Table 1). Of note, all isolates showed baseline MIC values between 3 and 6 µg/mL to ceftazidime and 176 177 between 1 and 4 µg/mL to cefepime, which might be attributed to the intrinsic 178 resistance of Acinetobacter spp. to cephalosporins. These results are in agreement 179 with those of Rafei et al. (2015), that showed susceptibility to most antimicrobials in Acinetobacter spp. recovered from soil, animals and food products, with only a few 180

181 carbapenem-resistant isolates that were associated to the carriage of acquired OXA-182 type carbapenemases, and also with those from Hamouda et al. (2011) that reported high MIC values to chloramphenicol as well as similar baseline MIC values to 183 ceftazidime. In contrast, Carvalheira et al. (2017a) showed higher prevalence of 184 185 resistance to cephalosporins and quinolones in Acinetobacter spp. isolated from meat (43.5% and 42.9%, respectively), and surprisingly high prevalence of resistance to 186 colistin and polymyxin B (41.7% and 35.1%, respectively), although MIC values 187 188 were not provided and a wider variety of Acinetobacter species were reported. 189 MLST studies identified the A. baumannii isolate as belonging to ST273, which had 190 been previously reported in 2000 from a hospitalised patient in Spain and had also 191 been identified from a calf in Switzerland in 2013 (Lupo et al., 2014). ST273 is 192 clustered into clonal complex (CC) CC33, which contains STs related to clinical 193 isolates. The A. pittii isolates from fingerprint D were assigned to ST312, an ST 194 previously described from a patient in Belgium in 2009 but to our knowledge, never 195 reported in animals and not included in any CC. The A. dijkshoorniae and A. bereziniae-like isolates and the A. pittii isolate from fingerprint B presented novel 196 MLST alleles and were assigned new sequence types (ST1256, ST1258 and ST1257, 197 198 respectively) by the curators at <u>https://pubmlst.org/</u>. None of them clustered in any 199 CC either.

200 *3.3. Final remarks*

The identification of *Acinetobacter* isolates belonging to several species of the ACB group in raw meat samples is of particular concern, since these species are usually associated with the clinical setting. Previous studies had already reported the presence of species belonging to this group from diverse meat samples, including poultry, swine and beef, although members of the ACB group were not necessarily the most

206 prevalent species (Carvalheira et al., 2017a). In our study, Acinetobacter isolates were 207 only recovered from beef samples and the majority of isolates were identified as A. pittii. Interestingly, the authors from a study in Lebanon (Rafei et al., 2015) also 208 209 reported the presence of A. baumannii, A. pittii and A. bereziniae in cow meat 210 samples, with a prevalence similar to that observed in our investigation (28% and 211 27%, respectively) and A. pittii was again the predominant Acinetobacter species. 212 Multidrug resistant Acinetobacter spp. from the ACB complex currently represent a 213 serious threat to public health but, despite some of the Acinetobacter isolates 214 recovered in the present study showing genetic links to clinical isolates, all isolates 215 were susceptible to clinically relevant antibiotics and their overall prevalence was low 216 (8.6%). Nevertheless, contaminated meat should not be neglected as a source for the 217 transmission of Acinetobacter spp. into domestic and hospital settings where it may 218 also contribute to the evolution of clinical lineages which, ultimately, might 219 accumulate resistance genes. 220 It is also worth mentioning that the actual burden of *Acinetobacter* spp. from market 221 meat samples may be much higher than what was shown in our study, since specific 222 enrichment media or pre-enrichment steps for Acinetobacter were not used. 223 To our best knowledge, we also report here the first identification of the recently described A. dijkshoorniae in meat samples of animal origin as well as its first 224 225 identification in Peru.

227 Availability of data and material

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

229 Acknowledgements

230 The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation

- 231 of data, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
- 232 This study was supported by Plan Nacional de I+D+i 2013-2016, Instituto de Salud
- 233 Carlos III, Subdirección General de Redes y Centros de Investigación Cooperativa,
- 234 Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spanish Network for Research in Infectious
- 235 Diseases (REIPI RD16/0016/0010); the 2017 call for Strategic Action on Health
- 236 (PI17/01932), co-financed by European Development Regional Fund "A way to achieve
- Europe" and operative program Intelligent Growth 2014-2020; and grant 2017 SGR
- 238 0809 from the Departament d'Universitats, Recerca i Societat de la Informació, of the
- 239 Generalitat de Catalunya. C.C. and M.M.-A. were supported by grants FPU 13/02564
- and FPU 14/06357, respectively, from the Spanish Ministry of Education, Culture and
- 241 Sports. J.R. was supported by the programa I3 del Ministerio de Economía y
- 242 Competitividad, España, grant CES11/012. I.R. was supported by the Department of
- Health, Generalitat de Catalunya, grant SLT002/16/00349. Part of the data has been
- 244 presented as oral communication at the 11th International Symposium on the Biology of
- 245 Acinetobacter, 20th-22nd September 2017, Seville (Spain).
- 246
- 247
- 248
- 249
- 250
- 251

252 References

- 253 Carvalheira, A., Casquete, R., Silva, J., Teixeira, P. 2017a. Prevalence and antimicrobial
- susceptibility of *Acinetobacter* spp. isolated from meat. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 243,
- 255 58-63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.12.001.
- 256 Carvalheira, A., Silva, J., Teixeira, P. 2017b. Lettuce and fruits as a source of multidrug
- resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. Food Microbiol. 64, 119-125.
- 258 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2016.12.005.
- 259 Cosgaya, C., Mari-Almirall, M., Van Assche, A., Fernandez-Orth, D., Mosqueda, N.,
- 260 Telli, M., Huys, G., Higgins, P.G., Seifert, H., Lievens, B., Roca, I., Vila, J. 2016.
- 261 *Acinetobacter dijkshoorniae* sp. nov., a new member of the *Acinetobacter*
- 262 *calcoaceticus-Acinetobacter baumannii* complex mainly recovered from clinical
- samples in different countries. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 66, 4105-4111.
- 264 https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001318.
- 265 Diancourt, L., Passet, V., Nemec, A., Dijkshoorn, L., Brisse, S. 2010. The population
- structure of *Acinetobacter baumannii*: expanding multiresistant clones from an
- ancestral susceptible genetic pool. PLoS One. 5, e10034.
- 268 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010034.
- 269 Durmaz, R., Otlu, B., Koksal, F., Hosoglu, S., Ozturk, R., Ersoy, Y., Aktas, E., Gursoy,
- 270 N.C., Caliskan, A. 2009. The optimization of a rapid pulsed-field gel electrophoresis
- 271 protocol for the typing of *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella*
- 272 spp. Jpn. J. Infect. Dis. 62, 372-377.
- 273 Espinal, P., Seifert, H., Dijkshoorn, L., Vila, J., Roca, I. 2012. Rapid and accurate
- 274 identification of genomic species from the Acinetobacter baumannii (Ab) group by
- 275 MALDI-TOF MS. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 18, 1097-1103.
- 276 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03696.x.

277	European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). 2016.
278	Recommendations for MIC determination of colistin (polymyxin E) As
279	recommended by the joint CLSI-EUCAST Polymyxin Breakpoints Working Group.
280	EUCAST.org. 1-1.
281	European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). 2018.
282	Breakpoint Tables for Interpretation of MICs and Zone Diameters. EUCAST.org.
283	http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/
284	v_8.0_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf.
285	Hamouda, A., Findlay, J., Al Hassan, L., Amyes, S.G. 2011. Epidemiology of
286	Acinetobacter baumannii of animal origin. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents. 38, 314-318.
287	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.06.007.
288	Kimura, M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base
289	substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. 16,
290	111-120.

- 291 La Scola, B., Gundi, V.A., Khamis, A., Raoult, D. 2006. Sequencing of the rpoB gene
- and flanking spacers for molecular identification of *Acinetobacter* species. J. Clin.

293 Microbiol. 44, 827-832. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.44.3.827-832.2006.

- Lee, C.R., Lee, J.H., Park, M., Park, K.S., Bae, I.K., Kim, Y.B., Cha, C.J., Jeong, B.C.,
- 295 Lee, S.H. 2017. Biology of *Acinetobacter baumannii*: Pathogenesis, Antibiotic
- 296 Resistance Mechanisms, and Prospective Treatment Options. Front. Cell Infect.
- 297 Microbiol. 7, 55. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00055.
- 298 Lupo, A., Vogt, D., Seiffert, S.N., Endimiani, A., Perreten, V. 2014. Antibiotic
- 299 resistance and phylogenetic characterization of *Acinetobacter baumannii* strains
- 300 isolated from commercial raw meat in Switzerland. J. Food Prot. 77, 1976-1981.
- 301 https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x.jfp-14-073.

- 302 Marí-Almirall, M., Cosgaya, C., Higgins, P.G., Van Assche, A., Telli, M., Huys, G.,
- 303 Lievens, B., Seifert, H., Dijkshoorn, L., Roca, I., Vila, J. 2017. MALDI-TOF/MS
- 304 identification of species from the *Acinetobacter baumannii* (Ab) group revisited:
- 305 inclusion of the novel *A. seifertii* and *A. dijkshoorniae* species. Clin. Microbiol.
- 306 Infect. 23, 210 e1-210 e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2016.11.020.
- 307 Nemec, A., Krizova, L., Maixnerova, M., Sedo, O., Brisse, S., Higgins, P.G. 2015.
- 308 Acinetobacter seifertii sp. nov., a member of the Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-
- 309 *Acinetobacter baumannii* complex isolated from human clinical specimens. Int. J.
- 310 Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 65, 934-942. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000043.
- 311 Rafei, R., Hamze, M., Pailhories, H., Eveillard, M., Marsollier, L., Joly-Guillou, M.L.,
- 312 Dabboussi, F., Kempf, M. 2015. Extrahuman epidemiology of Acinetobacter
- 313 *baumannii* in Lebanon. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81, 2359-2367.
- 314 https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.03824-14.
- 315 Roca, I., Espinal, P., Vila-Farres, X., Vila, J. 2012. The Acinetobacter baumannii
- 316 Oxymoron: Commensal Hospital Dweller Turned Pan-Drug-Resistant Menace.
- 317 Front. Microbiol. 3, 148. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00148.
- 318 Ruiz-Roldan, L., Martinez-Puchol, S., Gomes, C., Palma, N., Riveros, M., Ocampo, K.,
- 319 Durand, D., Ochoa, T.J., Ruiz, J., Pons, M.J. 2018. [Presence of multidrug resistant
- 320 Enterobacteriaceae and Escherichia coli in meat purchased in traditional markets of
- 321 Lima]. Rev. Peru Med. Exp. Salud Publica. 35, 425-432.
- 322 https://doi.org/10.17843/rpmesp.2018.353.3737.
- 323 Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T.J., Karplus, K., Li, W., Lopez, R.,
- 324 McWilliam, H., Remmert, M., Soding, J., Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G. 2011. Fast,
- 325 scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using
- 326 Clustal Omega. Mol. Syst. Biol. 7, 539. https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2011.75.

327	Uwingabiye, J., Lemnouer, A., Roca, I., Alouane, T., Frikh, M., Belefquih, B., Bssaibis,
328	F., Maleb, A., Benlahlou, Y., Kassouati, J., Doghmi, N., Bait, A., Haimeur, C.,
329	Louzi, L., Ibrahimi, A., Vila, J., Elouennass, M. 2017. Clonal diversity and detection
330	of carbapenem resistance encoding genes among multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter
331	baumannii isolates recovered from patients and environment in two intensive care
332	units in a Moroccan hospital. Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control. 6, 99.
333	https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-017-0262-4.

334

Table 1. Characteristics of the *Acinetobacter* spp. isolates recovered from market meat samples in Lima, Peru.

337 Rows display the genotypic and phenotypic information of isolates under the same PFGE cluster and/or origin of the samples. Data was obtained

338 from a representative isolate each. n, number of isolates; Calf, animal from which they were recovered; Area, the location of the markets in Lima;

339 Isolate, designation of the representative isolate for each pulsotype; Species, identification to the species level; ST, sequence type; MIC,

340 minimum inhibitory concentration; AMK, amikacin; TOB, tobramycin; KAN, kanamycin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; LVX, levofloxacin; COL, colistin;

341 FEP, cefepime; CAZ, ceftazidime; MEM, meropenem; IPM, imipenem; CHL, chloramphenicol; TGC, tigecycline.

342

PFGE	n	Calf	Area	Isolate	Species	ST	АМК	TOB	KAN	CIP	LVX	COL	FEP	CAZ	MEM	IPM	CHL	TGC
A	1	Ι	North	APT-1	A. dijkshoorniae	1256	2	0.19	1.5	0.5	0.38	0.38	4	4	0.25	0.25	256	0.5
В	4	II	North	APT-5	A. pittii	1257	3	0.19	1.5	0.25	0.38	0.38	4	6	0.38	0.38	96	0.38
С	1	III	Centre	APT-6	A. baumannii	273	2	0.25	1	0.25	0.25	0.5	2	3	0.25	0.25	96	0.38
D	1	IV	Centre	APT-7B	A. pittii	312	1.5	0.125	1	0.25	0.25	0.125	3	3	0.19	0.25	4	0.38
Ε	1	IV	Centre	APT-7T	A. bereziniae-like	1258	0.75	0.047	0.047	0.25	0.25	1.5	1	4	0.38	0.25	16	0.38
D	4	V	Centre	APT-8	A. pittii	312	1.5	0.125	1	0.38	0.25	0.125	3	3	0.25	0.25	8	0.19

MIC ($\mu g/mL$)