1 Prediction of *n*-octanol/water partition coefficients and acidity constants (pK_a) in the

2 SAMPL7 blind challenge with the IEFPCM-MST model

3

Antonio Viayna^{1,*}, Silvana Pinheiro², Carles Curutchet³, F. Javier Luque¹, William J.
Zamora^{4,5*}

6

¹ Department of Nutrition, Food Sciences and Gastronomy, Faculty of Pharmacy and 7 8 Food Sciences, Institute of Biomedicine (IBUB), and Institute of Theoretical and 9 Computational Chemistry (IQTC-UB), University of Barcelona (UB), Avda. Prat de la 10 Riba, 171, 08921-Santa Coloma de Gramenet 11 ² Institute of Exact and Natural Sciences, Federal University of Pará, 66075-110 Belém, 12 Pará, Brazil 13 ³ Department of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Technology and Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy and Food Sciences, and Institute of Theoretical and Computational 14 15 Chemistry (IQTC-UB), University of Barcelona, Av. de Joan XXIII, 27-31, 08028-16 Barcelona ⁴ School of Chemistry and Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Costa Rica, San Pedro, 17 18 San José, Costa Rica 19 ⁵ Advanced Computing Lab (CNCA), National High Technology Center (CeNAT), 20 Pavas, San José, Costa Rica 21 * Corresponding author: toniviayna@ub.edu * Corresponding author: william.zamoraramirez@ucr.ac.cr 22

- 23 ORCID:
- 24 Antonio Viayna: 0000-0002-2112-5828
- 25 Silvana Pinheiro: 0000-0002-6909-1129

- 26 Carles Curutchet: 0000-0002-0070-1208
- 27 F. Javier Luque: 0000-0002-8049-3567

28 William J. Zamora: 0000-0003-4029-4528

29 Abstract

30

31 Within the scope of SAMPL7 challenge for predicting physical properties, the Integral 32 Equation Formalism of the Miertus-Scrocco-Tomasi (IEFPCM/MST) continuum 33 solvation model has been used for the blind prediction of *n*-octanol/water partition 34 coefficients and acidity constants of a set of 22 and 20 sulfonamide-containing 35 compounds, respectively. The log P and p K_a were computed using the B3LPYP/6-31G(d) 36 parametrized version of the IEFPCM/MST model. The performance of our method for 37 partition coefficients yielded a root-mean square error of 1.03 (log P units), placing this 38 method among the most accurate theoretical approaches in the comparison with both 39 globally (rank 8th) and physical (rank 2nd) methods. On the other hand, the deviation 40 between predicted and experimental pK_a values was 1.32 log units, obtaining the second 41 best-ranked submission. Though this highlights the reliability of the IEFPCM/MST 42 model for predicting the partitioning and the acid dissociation constant of drug-like 43 compounds compound, the results are discussed to identify potential weaknesses and 44 improve the performance of the method.

45

46 Keywords

- 47
- 48 SAMPL7 Physical properties water-octanol log $P pK_a$ Solvation Free Energy –
- 49 MST model Continuum solvation models Conformational study
- 50
- 51

52 Introduction

53 Lipophilicity and (de)protonation are physicochemical properties that play a fundamental 54 role to understand the biological activity of drugs [1-4]. From a pharmacokinetic point of 55 view, these properties exert a marked influence on the ADME-Tox profile of drugs, 56 affecting solubility in physiological fluids and permeability through biological barriers, 57 as well as the excretion rate from the human body [5]. With regard to drug pharmacodynamics, lipophilicity affects recognition and binding of drugs to their 58 59 macromolecular targets, since the global hydrophobic character is related to the changes 60 in (de)solvation involved in ligand binding, whereas a complementarity between the 3D 61 distribution of hydrophobic/hydrophilic regions in the drug and the binding pocket should 62 reinforce the drug-target interaction [6-8]. On the other hand, the (de)protonation of a 63 compound can clearly exert influence on the bioavailability of a molecule, affecting not 64 only the biodistribution of the bioactive compound in the organism, but altering the 65 interaction pattern that may be formed with specific residues in the binding pocket [9,10]. 66 The *n*-octanol/water partition coefficient (log P) is the physicochemical parameter 67 generally adopted to quantify the lipophilicity of a compound, and can be experimentally 68 determined from the partitioning between aqueous and n-octanol phases. From a 69 computational point of view, $\log P$ can be estimated from the transfer free energy $(\Delta\Delta G^{W\to 0};$ Scheme 1) of the molecule between these two solvents, which in turn can be 70 derived from the solvation free energy in *n*-octanol (ΔG_{solv}^{o}) and water (ΔG_{hvd}^{w}). The 71 72 ionization equilibrium of a titratable compound is quantified by the negative logarithm of 73 the acid dissociation constant (pK_a) , which reflects the population of acidic and basic 74 species. This quantity can be related to the free energy change for the ionization of the 75 compound in water (ΔG_{aq} ; Scheme 1), which in turn can be calculated combining the free 76 energy change for this process in the gas phase with the solvation free energies of

protonated (HX) and deprotonated (X⁻) species of the compound and the solvation free
energy of the proton [11,12].

79



80

81 **Scheme 1**. Thermodynamic cycles used to determine (left) the transfer free energy of a 82 neutral (HX) compound between *n*-octanol and water, and (right) the pK_a estimation of a 83 titratable compound, where HX and X⁻ stand for the acidic and basic species, respectively. 84

The availability of computational tools able to provide accurate estimates of $\log P$ and 85 pK_a is valuable to provide useful guides in the search of novel *hit* compounds and the 86 87 drug development process [13,14]. This may deserve special interest in the screening of 88 large libraries of compounds, as the experimental measurement of these properties would 89 be demanding and often facing experimental challenges for specific classes of 90 compounds. In this context, we present here the results obtained in the context of the 91 SAMPL7 blind challenge [15]. Given the fundamental role of the solvation free energy 92 in the computational prediction of both $\log P$ and pK_a , our computational strategy exploits 93 the B3LYP/6-31G(d) parametrized version [16,17] of the quantum mechanical 94 IEFPCM/MST solvation model [18], which relies on the Integral Equation Formalism of 95 the Polarizable Continuum model [19,20]. Here, we report the results obtained for 96 predicting the log P and pK_a for a group of sulfonamide-containing compounds. The

97 results are discussed in light of the experimental data provided by the organizers of
98 SAMPL7 [21] and the theoretical estimates reported by others groups, as well as with the
99 IEFPCM/MST results obtained in previous editions of this contest [22,23].

100

101 Methods

102

103 *Test compounds.* The dataset used in the SAMPL7 challenge contains 22 compounds 104 (numbered SM25 to SM46; Figure 1) provided by Carlo Ballatore and coworkers at 105 UCSD (University of California, San Diego). Most of the compounds share chemical 106 motifs, including the presence of a sulfonamide unit, a phenylethyl moiety (with the 107 exception of compounds SM41- SM46), and a four-membered ring fused to the main 108 chain, often containing oxygen and sulphur. Few compounds (SM41-SM46) include 109 specific moieties, such as isoxazole (SM41-SM43) and triazole (SM44-SM46), in the 110 main chain. Finally, besides the sulfonamide group, certain compounds contain sulfoxide 111 (SM35-SM37) or sulfone (SM38-SM40) groups in their chemical structure. The smiles 112 codes of the 22 compounds were obtained from the SAMPL7 website [15], and used to 113 generate their 3D geometries with OpenBabel [24].

114 Log P computation. A preliminary sampling of the conformational preferences of the 115 compounds was performed with Frog 2.14 [25]. Let us note that this program not only 116 generates conformations at a reduced computational cost, but also exhibits a high 117 performance in generating conformations close to the bioactive species, as noted in a 118 rmsd 0.74 ± 0.44 Å for 85 drug-like compounds (Astex dataset), and a median rmsd below 119 1 Å for a subset of compounds containing up to 7 rotatable bonds [25]. On the basis of 120 the structural complexity of the molecules, generation of conformations was limited to a 121 maximum of 20 conformers, which were visually checked in order to eliminate redundant 122 conformations. The geometry of the conformers in water and *n*-octanol was optimized at 123 the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory [26, 27] taking into account solvent effects on the

geometrical parameters with the IEFPCM/MST model, which was implemented in a local version of Gaussian 16 [28]. The minimum energy nature of the optimized geometries in each solvent was verified upon inspection of the vibrational frequencies, and conformations displaying negative frequencies were discarded. Thermal corrections determined in water and *n*-octanol were subsequently added to estimate the relative free energy of conformations in the two solvents. Finally, single-point energy calculations in the gas phase were performed to estimate the solvation free energy of each conformation. Then, the $\log P$ was determined considering the Boltzmann-weighted population of the conformational families obtained in water and *n*-octanol.



- **Figure 1.** Dataset of 22 small molecules proposed in the SAMPL7 log *P* challenge.

137 pK_a computation. The pK_a of the deprotonation equilibria between acid and basic 138 microstates was based on the thermodynamic cycle shown in Scheme 1. The ensemble of 139 conformations determined in water for the set of compounds was used as starting 140 geometries to build up the species involved in the deprotonation equilibria, according to 141 the information provided by the SAMPL7 organizers for the different microstates [15]. 142 The addition/removal of hydrogen atoms from the starting geometry of conformers was 143 done manually using GaussView 6 (i.e., the graphical interface of Gaussian software) 144 [29]. The geometries were optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory taking into 145 account hydration effects with the IEFPCM/MST model. The free energy difference 146 between protonated and deprotonated species was estimated by combining the relative 147 energies determined with single-point computations performed at the MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ 148 level of theory [30] with solvation free energies and thermal corrections to the free energy 149 calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) in water. The pK_a was determined using the 150 experimental free energy of the proton in water (-270.29 kcal/mol), which was determined 151 by combining the gas phase free energy (-6.28 kcal/mol), the free energy correction from 152 1 atm and 298 K to 1M and 298 K state (1.89 kcal/mol), and the hydration free energy of 153 the proton (-265.9 kcal/mol) [31]. Finally, a Boltzmann weighting scheme was applied to 154 account for the relative stabilities of the conformational species determined for the 155 microstates involved in the deprotonation reaction, following the computational strategy 156 adopted in previous studies [32,33]. 157 *Raw data*. The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are

available in the SAMPL7-IEF-PCM-MST GitHub repository [34].

159

160

162 **Results and Discussion**

163 Log P prediction. The predicted log P values are listed in Table 1. The root-mean square 164 deviation (rmsd) between IEFPCM/MST results and experimental data is 1.03 log units, 165 which places our results among the most accurate values in the comparison with both 166 physical (rank 2nd) and global (comprising all submissions within empirical and physical 167 categories; rank 8th) methods [21], taking into account the small differences observed 168 between methods with rmsd ≤ 1 (see Supporting Information Fig. S1). The best ranked 169 QM-based solvation models (see Supporting Information Fig. S2) were the Cosmotherm 170 version of COSMO-RS [35] (ID COSMO RS, rmsd=0.78), our method (ID TFE IEFPCM 171 MST, rmsd=1.03), the NHLBI TZVP model (ID TFE NHLBI TZVP OM, rmsd=1.55), 172 which combined B3LYP/Def2-TZVP computations in the gas phase with solvent effects 173 determined using the SMD solvation model [36], the 3D integral equation theory with a 174 cluster embedding approach [37] (ID EC RISM wet, rmsd=1.84), and another finally 175 model that combined B3LYP computations with dispersion corrections in the gas phase 176 with the SMD model [36] (ID TFE b3lyp3d, rmsd=2.19), reflecting a performance similar 177 to the trends found in the SAMPL6 challenge [38].

178

179 **Table 1.** Calculated (ID *TFE IEFPCM MST*) and experimental *n*-octanol/water partition

180 coefficient ($\log P$) determined for the set of compounds included in the SAMPL7 dataset.^a

| Compound | Calculated | Experimental ^b | $\Delta \log P$ (calc - exptl) |
|----------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| SM25 | 1.89 | 2.67 | -0.78 |
| SM26 | -0.21 | 1.04 | -1.25 |
| SM27 | 1.76 | 1.56 | 0.20 |
| SM28 | 0.83 | 1.18 | -0.35 |
| SM29 | 1.24 | 1.61 | -0.37 |
| SM30 | 3.54 | 2.76 | 0.78 |
| SM31 | 1.62 | 1.96 | -0.34 |
| SM32 | 1.64 | 2.44 | -0.80 |
| SM33 | 4.29 | 2.96 | 1.33 |

| SM34 | 2.40 | 2.83 | -0.43 |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|
| SM35 | 0.77 | 0.88 | -0.11 |
| SM36 | 3.75 | 0.76 | 2.99 |
| SM37 | 1.88 | 1.45 | 0.43 |
| SM38 | 0.48 | 1.03 | -0.55 |
| SM39 | 2.48 | 1.89 | 0.59 |
| SM40 | 1.43 | 1.83 | -0.40 |
| SM41 | 0.88 | 0.58 | 0.30 |
| SM42 | 3.75 | 1.76 | 1.99 |
| SM43 | 1.85 | 0.85 | 1.00 |
| | | | |
| SM44 | -0.16 | 1.16 | -1.32 |
| SM44 SM45 | -0.16 2.04 | 1.16 2.55 | -1.32 -0.51 |
| SM44 SM45 SM46 | -0.16 2.04 0.95 | 1.16 2.55 1.72 | -1.32 -0.51 -0.77 |
| SM44SM45SM46msec | -0.16 2.04 0.95 -0.07 | 1.16 2.55 1.72 | -1.32 -0.51 -0.77 |
| SM44SM45SM46msecmuec | -0.16 2.04 0.95 -0.07 0.80 | 1.16 2.55 1.72 | -1.32 -0.51 -0.77 |

^a Bold values indicate compounds with the largest deviation (> $1.50 \log P$ units)

182 between predicted and experimental values.

^b See [39].

^c Mean signed error (mse), mean unsigned error (mue), and root-mean square deviation

185 (rmsd) calculated relative to the experimental values (log *P* units).

186

187 The largest deviations (> 1.50 log P units) between predicted and experimental log P

values are found for SM36 and SM42 (see Table 1). These deviations are in line with the

analysis of the compounds that presented the highest mean absolute error between

190 computed and experimental values (see Supporting Information Fig. S3), since SM42 and

191 SM36 are in ranks 1 and 5, respectively. Upon exclusion of these compounds, the rmsd

is reduced to 0.72 log *P* units, and the correlation between calculated and experimental

193 values improves from 0.52 to 0.76 (see Fig. 2).



195

Figure 2. Comparison between experimental and IEFPCM/MST *n*-octanol/water log *P*for the SAMPL7 dataset. Red points represent the compounds with the largest errors in
the original submission. Statistical analyses are shown for (top left) all compounds and
(bottom right) after exclusion of SM36 and SM42.

201 Compared to SM35 and SM41, SM36 and SM42 imply the replacement of a methyl group 202 by a phenyl substituent, which would increase the hydrophobicity of the compound. This 203 trend is reflected in the experimental log P values for pairs SM41-SM42, SM29-SM30, 204 SM32-SM33, SM38-SM39 and SM44-SM45, where the methyl-phenyl replacement 205 leads to an average increase of 1.02 log P units. In this context, the pair SM35-SM36 206 shows a distinctive trait, as the $\log P$ is decreased by -0.12. In fact, more than 80% of 207 submissions predicted the log P of SM36 and SM42 to be larger compared to the log P 208 of SM35 and SM41, respectively (see Supporting Information Fig. S4).

Finally, we have compared the predictions performed for the SAMPL7 dataset with the results obtained in the SAMPL6 edition, which comprised a series of 11 fragment-like small molecules [38]. Upon exclusion of SM36, the comparison yields an overall rmsd of 0.66 log *P* units (see Fig. 3). Therefore, assuming that the reported accuracy for log *P* determination is ~1 log unit, present results lend support to the reliability of the IEF-

- 214 PCM/MST model and encourage future efforts for achieving a better description of
- solvation effects.
- 216





Figure 3. Comparison between experimental and IEFPCM/MST *n*-octanol/water log *P* for the combined dataset including the 11 fragment-like small molecules in the SAMPL6 log *P* challenge (blue) and 22 *N*-acylsulfonamides in the SAMPL7 log *P* challenge (lightblue). The red point represents the compound with the largest error in the final dataset. Statistical analyses are shown for (top left) all compounds and (bottom right) after exclusion of SM36.

226 Without detracting from our values, among the set of methods presented in the current 227 edition of log P SAMPL7 challenge, one may notice that methods based on Machine 228 Learning (ML) have led to a better match with the experimental values provided by the 229 organization. In our view, these type techniques present great advantages, since they 230 allow a very quick estimation due to their low computational cost, making them suitable 231 for large compound screening campaigns. However, the reliability of these methods may 232 be affected by the chemical coverage of the data used in their training. In this context, 233 QM-based methods seem better suited to provide a detailed analysis of the structural and energetic features of compounds, though this requires a significantly larger computational
cost, which may be necessary in the analysis of compounds containing novel chemical
scaffolds. Keeping in mind the vast diversity of the chemical space [40], it may be
expected that integration of QM and ML techniques will be very powerful to enhance the
quality and reliability of ML models in the prediction of physicochemical properties,
enabling large-scale exploration of the chemical space [41, 42].

240

241 pK_a prediction. Only physical methods contributed to predicting the pK_a values for the 242 22 sulfonamide-containing compounds included in the blind test. Table 2 reports the pK_a 243 values estimated from IEFPCM/MST computations and submitted to SAMPL7. 244 Compared to the values available with the SAMPL7 repository [39], the difference 245 between the originally submitted results and those estimated by the organizers from the 246 microstates reported in our original submission is in general within 0.10 p K_a units, except 247 for SM37, where the difference increases up to 3.90 pK_a units (detailed values are 248 available in Supporting Information Table S1). The origin of this difference was due to a 249 mistake in the relative free energy reported by us for the negatively charged microstate 250 of compound SM37, as we had flipped the values for microstates SM37 micro004 and 251 SM37 micro005 in the file submitted to the SAMPL7 website. This mistake led to a 252 different macroscopic pK_a value between the one calculated automatically by the 253 organizers and the one reported in the original submission. For these reasons, we have 254 kept the macroscopic pK_a value of the original submission in Table 2.

The rmsd between predicted and experimental pK_a values is 1.32 log units, which places our results among the best-ranked submissions (rank 2nd, Supporting Information Fig. S5). The largest deviations (> 1.50 in pK_a units) involve four compounds: SM25, SM27, SM37 and SM42. Exclusion of these compounds reduces the rmsd to 0.98 pK_a units, and

the correlation between calculated and experimental values changes from 0.86 to 0.92(see Fig. 4).

261 To explore the potential sources of these deviations, we compared the results obtained for

262 SM25, SM27, SM37 and SM42 with the values reported by the contributors ranked 1st

- 263 (ID EC RISM) and 3rd (ID TVZP QM) in the blind test (see Table 3). The results show
- that EC_RISM provides a range of values (5.42-10.17) that compares well with the
- 265 experimental data (4.49-10.45), whereas our results are distributed in a slightly larger
- range (4.86 to 12.34). In contrast, the TVZP_QM values are in a narrower range (6.77-
- 267 7.65). We then checked the workflow used to compute the macroscopic pK_a and found a
- 268 mistake in the definition of the Boltzmann weights for the conformations sampled for the
- 269 main microstates of compound SM25 (Fig. 5), which caused a 3.94 units decrease in the
- 270 pK_a value ($pK_a = 3.30$), remaining at 1.19 units from the experimental value.
- 271

Table 2. Calculated (ID *IEFPCM MST*) and experimental pK_a determined for the set of compounds included in the SAMPL7 dataset.^a

| | | | $\Delta p K_a$ |
|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Compound | Calculated | Experimental ^b | (calc - exptl) |
| SM25 | 7.24/3.30 | 4.49 | 2.75 /1.19 |
| SM26 | 4.52 | 4.91 | -0.39 |
| SM27 | 12.34 | 10.45 | 1.89 |
| SM28 | 16.12 | >12.00 | - |
| SM29 | 11.51 | 10.05 | 1.46 |
| SM30 | 11.00 | 10.29 | 0.71 |
| SM31 | 10.84 | 11.02 | -0.18 |
| SM32 | 11.95 | 10.45 | 1.50 |
| SM33 | 10.69 | >12.00 | - |
| SM34 | 10.64 | 11.93 | -1.24 |
| SM35 | 10.28 | 9.87 | 0.41 |
| SM36 | 9.20 | 9.8 | -0.6 |
| SM37 | 8.11 | 10.33 | -2.22 |
| SM38 | 9.82 | 9.44 | 0.38 |
| SM39 | 8.85 | 10.22 | -1.37 |
| SM40 | 8.26 | 9.58 | -1.32 |

| SM41 | 5.13 | 5.22 | -0.09 |
|------|------|------|-------|
| SM42 | 4.86 | 6.62 | -1.76 |
| SM43 | 4.43 | 5.62 | -1.19 |
| SM44 | 7.09 | 6.34 | 0.75 |
| SM45 | 7.37 | 5.93 | 1.44 |
| SM46 | 5.56 | 6.42 | -0.86 |
| mse | 0.00 | | |
| mue | 1.13 | | |
| rmsd | 1.32 | | |

^a Bold values indicate the compounds with the largest deviation (> 1.50 in pK_a units) between theoretical and experimental values. For SM25, the value of the original submission and the corrected one during the revision of the calculated data are indicated as plain text and in italics, respectively

^b Ref. [43]

280



281

Figure 4. Comparison between experimental and IEFPCM/MST pK_a for the SAMPL7 Dataset. Red points denote compounds with the largest errors in the original submission. Statistical analyses are shown for (top left) all compounds and (bottom right) after exclusion of SM25, SM27, SM37 and SM42.

286

287

288

| Compoun d | Exp. | Calculated IEFPCM/MST | Calculate d EC_RISM | Δp <i>K</i> a EC_RISM | Calculated TZVP_QM | ΔpK _a TZVP_Q M |
|--------------|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| SM25 | 4.49 | 7.24 | 5.42 | -0.93 | 7.34 | -2.85 |
| SM27 | 10.45 | 12.34 | 10.17 | 0.28 | 7.65 | 2.80 |
| SM37 | 10.33 | 8.11 | 9.95 | 0.38 | 6.77 | 3.56 |
| SM42 | 6.62 | 4.86 | 5.59 | 1.03 | 7.45 | -0.83 |

Table 3. Comparative results of the four highly deviated compounds with the first (ID *EC RISM*) and third (ID *TZVP QM*) ranked methods in the SAMPL7 pK_a challenge.

293 This analysis points out the need to perform an adequate sampling of the conformational 294 states available for the different species involved in the deprotonation reaction [44, 45]. 295 In particular, since our approach relied on the sampling performed for the neutral 296 compounds (see above), the population of conformers obtained for ionized species may 297 be inaccurate for some compounds, affecting the final estimate of the macroscopic pK_a . 298 Nevertheless, one must also keep in mind the intrinsic errors of the gas phase and 299 solvation contributions to the aqueous free energy change for the deprotonation of the 300 different microstates. At this point, the uncertainty of the IEFPCM/MST model in 301 predicting the hydration free energy for simple neutral molecules amounts, on average, 302 to 0.7 kcal/mol, but can be sensibly larger for charged compounds [46, 47]. This would 303 then represent an additional difficulty for the proper estimation of the free energy change 304 determined for microscopic deprotonation equilibria, challenging the ability of QM-based 305 continuum solvation models to yield pK_a estimates with an uncertainty below 1 pK_a unit. 306



318

Figure 5. Microstates involved in the error of SM25 pK_a estimate.

319 Overall, the results support the suitability of our QM-based approach for computing log 320 P and pK_a properties. SAMPL6 blind challenge mainly relied on rigid compounds [38], 321 but SAMPL7 presented more complex compounds considering both chemical diversity 322 and flexibility [21]. In the blind challenges mentioned above, the Frog tool has been used 323 to explore the conformational space in our QM workflow mainly due to the good balance 324 between computational cost and accuracy of the conformer ensemble [25]. Ongoing 325 research in our group is seeking to explore protocols for characterizing the conformer 326 generation based on multilevel strategies [45], since the proper sampling of the 327 conformational space is a crucial issue that can directly impact the reliable prediction of 328 physicochemical properties [48-50]. The other two critical components of our QM 329 approach are the calculation of the internal energy of the generated conformers and the 330 inclusion of solvation effects, which are relevant in determining the accuracy of the 331 relative stabilities of conformers in condensed phases. For example, extrapolation of the 332 MP2 energies to complete basis set or the inclusion of higher-level electron correlation 333 corrections, like coupled cluster with single and double substitutions (CCSD), could 334 improve the accuracy of our protocol by several tenths of kcal/mol when computing

deprotonation free energies or relative conformer stabilities [33,51]. The improvement of
solvation effects is more complicated, as there is no systematic strategy to improve the
accuracy of the results given the empirically parametrized nature of continuum models.
Nevertheless, the performance obtained in the SAMPL6 and SAMPL7 challenges shows
close agreement with the results obtained in previous studies [16, 22, 32, 52] for rigid
compounds, thus lending confidence to the computational protocol used in this study.

341 After checking and considering the different drawbacks of our workflow, we consider 342 that further improvements should be focused on two computational aspects that may 343 affect the prediction of physicochemical properties. The first deals with obtaining a 344 proper sampling of the conformational space available for drug-like compounds in water 345 and *n*-octanol (or by extension other organic solvents), as it is reasonable to expect that 346 distinct conformational ensembles will be adopted depending on the chemical features 347 present in flexible compounds. In this context the exhaustiveness in sampling the whole 348 conformational space can be calibrated through the analysis of the conformations sampled 349 with other techniques, such as Molecular Dynamics simulations. The second is related to 350 the capability of continuum solvation models to provide an accurate description of 351 specific (i.e., hydrogen bonding) and nonspecific (i.e., bulk solvent electrostatic 352 screening) interactions with solvent molecules, which is challenging for charged 353 molecules. In this sense, the usage of cluster-continuum solvation models may lead to 354 meaningful improvement with respect to pure continuum solvation models for modeling 355 diverse chemical process in solution [53].

356

357 Conclusions

The results obtained in the SAMPL7 physical properties challenge has revealed the reliability of the IEFPCM/MST method to provide accurate estimates of both log *P* and

360 pK_a , which are relevant properties for understanding the pharmacokinetics of bioactive 361 compounds. Nevertheless, the analysis of the results also points out that a major source 362 of error comes from an improper weight of the conformational preferences of some 363 compounds, particularly regarding the population distribution of ionized forms. In 364 contrast, the prediction of the log P value resulted to have a marked deviation in one out 365 of 22 compounds, though this marked deviation was also shared by a significant number 366 of methods. Future modifications and improvements will be centered in finding an 367 efficient approach for gaining better definition of the conformational space of flexible 368 compounds in *n*-octanol and in water as well as to estimate the hydration free energies of 369 charged species.

370

371 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the computational facilities provided by the Consorci de Serveis
Universitaris de Catalunya (CSUC) and the Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BCV2020-1-0010). We appreciate the National Institutes of Health for its support of the
SAMPL project via R01GM124270 to David L. Mobley (UC Irvine).

377 References

- Testa B, Carrupt PA, Guillard P, Tsai RS (2008) Bioavailability Prediction at Early Drug Discovery Stages: In Vitro Assays and Simple Physico-Chemical Rules. In: Pliska V, Testa B, van de Waterbeemd H (eds) Lipophilicity in drug action and toxicology. VCH, Weinheim, pp 49–71
- Van de Waterbeemd H, Testa B (eds) (2009) Drug bioavailability: estimation of
 solubility, permeability, absorption and bioavailability. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim
- 385
 3. Caron G, Ermondi G, Scherrer RA (2006) Lipophilicity, polarity and
 hydrophobicity. In Taylor JB, Triggle DJ (eds) Comprehensive Medicinal
 Chemistry II. Elsevier Science, Oxford, pp 425–452
- Muñoz-Muriedas J (2012) Bioavailability prediction at early drug discovery
 stages: In vitro assays and simple physico-chemical rules. In: Luque FJ, Barril X
 (eds) Physico-chemical and computational approaches to drug discovery. Royal
 Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, pp 104–127
- 392 5. Zhu L, Lu L, Wang S, Wu J, Shi J, Yan T, Xie C, Li Q, Hu M, Liu Z (2017) Oral
 393 Absorption Basics: Pathways and Physicochemical and Biological Factors
 394 Affecting Absorption. In: Qiu Y, Zhang GGZ, Mantri RV, Chen Y, Yu L (ed)
 395 Developing Solid Oral Dosage Forms: Pharmaceutical Theory and Practice.
 396 Science Direct, Amsterdam, pp 297–329
- 397 6. Spyrakis F, Ahmed MH, Bayden AS, Cozzini P, Mozzarelli A, Kellogg GE (2017)
 398 The roles of water in the protein matrix: A largely untapped resource for drug
 399 discovery. J Med Chem 60:6781–6827
- 400 7. Cheng AC, Coleman RG, Smyth KT, Cao Q, Soulard P, Caffrey DR, Salzberg
 401 AC, Huabg ES (2007) Structure-based maximal affinity model predicts small402 molecule druggability. Nat Biotech 25:71–75
- 403 8. Ginex T, Vazquez J, Gibert E, Herrero E, Luque FJ (2019) Lipophilicity in drug
 404 design. An overview of lipophilicity descriptors in 3D-QSAR studies. Fut Med
 405 Chem 11:1177–1193
- 406 9. Manallack DT (2007) The pKa Distribution of Drugs: Application to Drug
 407 Discovery. Perspect Medicin Chem 1:25–38
- 408 10. Leeson PD, Springthorpe B (2007) The Influence of Drug-like Concepts on
 409 Decision-Making in Medicinal Chemistry. Nat Rev Drug Discov 6:881–890
- 410

411 11. Orozco M, Luque FJ (2000) Theoretical methods for the description of the solvent 412 effect in biomolecular systems. Chem Rev 100:4187-4226 413 12. Jorgensen WL (2004) The Many Roles of Computation in Drug Discovery. 414 Science 303:1813-1818 415 13. Kujawski J, Popielarska H, Myka A, Drabińska B, Bernard M (2012) The Log P 416 Parameter as a Molecular Descriptor in the Computer-Aided Drug Design-an 417 Overview. Comput Methods Sci Technol 18:81-88 418 14. Alongi KS, Shields GC (2010) Theoretical Calculations of Acid Dissociation 419 Constants. A Review Article. Annu Rep Comput Chem 6:113–138 420 15. https://github.com/samplchallenges/SAMPL7 421 16. Soteras I, Curutchet C, Bidon-Chanal A, Orozco M, Luque FJ (2005) Extension 422 of the MST Model to the IEF Formalism: HF and B3LYP Parametrizations. J Mol 423 Struct THEOCHEM 727:29-40 424 17. Soteras I, Forti F, Orozco M, Luque FJ (2009) Performance of the IEF-MST 425 Solvation Continuum Model in a Blind Test Prediction of Hydration Free Energies. J Phys Chem B 113:9330–9334 426 427 18. Luque, F. J.; Curutchet, C.; Muñoz-Muriedas, J.; Bidon-Chanal, A.; Morreale, A.; Gelpí, J. L.; Orozco, M. Continuum solvation models: Dissecting the free energy 428 429 of solvation. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2003, 5, 3827-3826 430 19. Cancès E, Mennucci B, Tomasi JA (1997) New Integral Equation Formalism for 431 the Polarizable Continuum Model: Theoretical Background and Applications to 432 Isotropic and Anisotropic Dielectrics. J Chem Phys 107:3032 433 20. Mennucci B, Cancès E, Tomasi J (1997) Evaluation of Solvent Effects in Isotropic 434 and Anisotropic Dielectrics and in Ionic Solutions with a Unified Integral 435 Equation Method: Theoretical Bases, Computational Implementation, and 436 Numerical Applications. J Phys Chem B 101:10506–10517 21. Danielle TD, Tielker N, Zhang Y, Mao J, Gunner MR, Francisco K, Ballatore C, 437 438 Kast SM, Mobley DL (2021) Evaluation of $\log P$, pK_a , and $\log D$ predictions 439 from the SAMPL7 blind challenge. J Comput Aided Mol Des 440 22. Soteras I, Orozco M, Luque FJ (2010) Performance of the IEF-MST Solvation 441 Continuum Model in the SAMPL2 Blind Test Prediction of Hydration and 442 Tautomerization Free Energies. J Comput Aided Mol Des 24:281–291 23. Zamora WJ, Pinheiro S, German K, Ràfols C, Curutchet C, Luque FJ (2020) 443 444 Prediction of the n-Octanol/Water Partition Coefficients in the SAMPL6 Blind

| 445 | Challenge from MST Continuum Solvation Calculations. J Comput Aided Mol |
|-----|---|
| 446 | Des 34:443–451 |
| 447 | 24. O'Boyle NM, Banck M, James CA, Morley C, Vandermeersch T, Hutchison GR |
| 448 | (2011) Open Babel. J Cheminform 3:1–14 |
| 449 | 25. Miteva MA, Guyon F, Tufféry P (2010) Frog2: Efficient 3D Conformation |
| 450 | Ensemble Generator for Small Compounds. Nucleic Acids Res 38:622-627 |
| 451 | 26. Becke AD (1993) Density-Functional Thermochemistry. III. The Role of Exact |
| 452 | Exchange. J Chem Phys 98:5648–5652 |
| 453 | 27. Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG (1988) Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation- |
| 454 | Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. Phys Rev B, 37:785- |
| 455 | 789 |
| 456 | 28. Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, |
| 457 | Scalmani G, Barone V, Petersson GA, Nakatsuji H et al (2016) Gaussian 16, |
| 458 | revision B.01. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT |
| 459 | 29. Dennington R, Keith TA, Millam JM (2016) GaussView 6.1. Semichem Inc., |
| 460 | Shawnee Mission KS |
| 461 | 30. Kendall RA, Dunning TH, Harrison RJ (1992) Electron Affinities of the First- |
| 462 | Row Atoms Revisited. Systematic Basis Sets and Wave Functions. J Chem Phys |
| 463 | 96:6796–6806 |
| 464 | 31. Pliego JR, Miguel ELM (2013) Absolute Single-Ion Solvation Free Energy Scale |
| 465 | in Methanol Determined by the Lithium Cluster-Continuum Approach. J Phys |
| 466 | Chem B 117:5129–5135 |
| 467 | 32. Viayna A, Antermite SG, De Candia M, Altomare CD, Luque FJ (2020) Interplay |
| 468 | between Ionization and Tautomerism in Bioactive β -Enamino Ester-Containing |
| 469 | Cyclic Compounds: Study of Annulated 1,2,3,6-Tetrahydroazocine Derivatives. J |
| 470 | Phys Chem B 124:28–37 |
| 471 | 33. Corbella M, Toa ZSD, Scholes GD, Luque FJ, Curutchet C (2018) Determination |
| 472 | of the Protonation Preferences of Bilin Pigments in Cryptophyte Antenna |
| 473 | Complexes. Phys Chem Chem Phys 20:21404–21416 |
| 474 | 34. https://github.com/willquim/SAMPL7-IEF-PCM-MST |
| 475 | 35. Klamt A (2018) The COSMO and COSMO-RS Solvation Models. Wiley |
| 476 | Interdiscip Rev Comput Mol Sci 1:1–11 |
| 477 | 36. Marenich AV, Cramer, CJ, Truhlar, DG (2009) Universal Solvation Model Based |
| 478 | on Solute Electron Density and on a Continuum Model of the Solvent Defined by |

| 479 | the Bulk Dielectric Constant and Atomic Surface Tensions. J Phys Chem B |
|-----|---|
| 480 | 113:6378–6396 |
| 481 | 37. Kloss T, Heil J, Kast SM (2008) Quantum Chemistry in Solution by Combining |
| 482 | 3D Integral Equation Theory with a Cluster Embedding Approach. J Phys Chem |
| 483 | B 112:4337–4343 |
| 484 | 38. Işık M, Bergazin TD, Fox T, Rizzi A, Chodera JD, Mobley DL (2020) Assessing |
| 485 | the Accuracy of Octanol-Water Partition Coefficient Predictions in the SAMPL6 |
| 486 | Part II Log P Challenge. J Comput. Aided Mol Des 34:335–370 |
| 487 | 39. https://github.com/samplchallenges/SAMPL7/blob/master/physical_property/pK |
| 488 | a/analysis/macrostate_analysis/analysis_outputs_ranked_submissions/pKa_sub |
| 489 | mission_collection.csv |
| 490 | 40. Reymond J-L, Awale M (2012) Exploring Chemical Space for Drug Discovery |
| 491 | Using the Chemical Universe Database. ACS Chem Neurosci 3:649-657 |
| 492 | 41. Schütt KT, Gastegger M, Tkatchenko A, Müller K-R, Maurer RJ (2019) Unifying |
| 493 | Mechaine Learning and Quantum Chemistry with a Deep Neural Network for |
| 494 | Molecular Wavefunctions. Nat Commun 10:5024 |
| 495 | 42. Tkatchenko A (2020) Machine Learning for Chemical Discovery. Nat Commun |
| 496 | 11:4125 |
| 497 | 43. Francisco KR, Varricchio C, Paniak TJ, Kozlowski MC, Brancale A, Ballatore C |
| 498 | (2021) Structure property relationships of N-acylsulfonamides and related |
| 499 | bioisosteres. Eur J Med Chem 218:113399 |
| 500 | 44. Kolár M, Fanfrlík J, Lepsík M, Forti F, Luque FJ, Hobza P (2013) Assessing the |
| 501 | Accuracy and Performance of Implicit Solvent Models for Dug Molecules: |
| 502 | Conformational Ensemble Approaches. J Phys Chem B 16:5950–5962 |
| 503 | 45. Juárez-Jiménez J, Barril X, Orozco M, Pouplana R, Luque FJ (2015) Assessing |
| 504 | the Suitability of the Multilevel Strategy for the Conformational Analysis of Small |
| 505 | Ligands. J Phys Chem B 119:1164–1172 |
| 506 | 46. Cramer CJ, Truhlar DG (2008) A Universal Approach to Solvation Modeling. |
| 507 | Acc Chem Res 41:760–768 |
| 508 | 47. Klamt A, Mennucci B, Tomasi J, Barone V, Curutchet C, Orozco M, Luque FJ. |
| 509 | (2009) On the Performance of Continuum Solvation Methods. A Comment on |
| 510 | Universal Approaches to Solvation Modeling. Acc Chem Res 42:489–492 |
| 511 | 48. Foloppe N, Chen I-J (2009) Conformational Sampling and Energetics of Drug- |
| 512 | Like Molecules. Curr Med Chem 16:3381–3413 |

- 49. Hawkins PCD (2017) Conformation Generation: The State of the Art. J Chem Inf
 Model 57:1747–1756
- 50. Poongavanam V, Danelius E, Peintner S, Alcaraz L, Caron G, Cummings MD,
 Wlodek S, Erdelyi M, Hawkins PCD, Ermondi G, Kihlberg J (2018)
 Conformational Sampling of Macrocyclic Drugs in Different Environments: Can
 We Find the Relevant Conformations? ACS Omega 3:11742–11757
- 519 51. Pérez-Areales FJ, Betari N, Viayna A, Pont C, Espargaró A, Bartolini M, De
 520 Simone A, Alvarenga JFR, Pérez B, Sabaté R, Lamuela-Raventós RM, Andrisano
 521 V, Luque FJ, Muñoz-Torrero D (2017) Design, Synthesis and Multitarget
 522 Biological Profiling of Second-Generation Anti-Alzheimer Rhein-Huprine
 523 Hybrids. Fut Med Chem 9:965–981
- 524 52. Zamora WJ, Curutchet C, Campanera JM, Luque FJ (2017) Prediction of pH 525 Dependent Hydrophobic Profiles of Small Molecules from Miertus-Scrocco 526 Tomasi Continuum Solvation Calculations. J Phys Chem B 121:9868–9880
- 527 53. Pliego JR Jr, Riveros JM. Hybrid Discrete-Continuum Solvation Methods (2019)
 528 WIRES Comput Mol Sci 10:e1440.