- 1 Title: Key factors controlling microbial distribution on a DNAPL source area
- 2
- Jofre Herrero^a, Diana Puigserver ^{ab}, Ivonne Nijenhuis^c, Kevin Kuntze^{c,d}, and José M. Carmona^a
 ^a Department of Minerology, Petrology and Applied Geology, Faculty of Earth Sciences, The
- 6 Water Research Institute (IdRA), University of Barcelona, C/ Martí Franquès sn, Barcelona,
- 7 Spain
- 8 ^b Serra Húnter Tenure-elegible Lecturer, Department of Minerology, Petrology and Applied
- 9 Geology, Faculty of Earth Sciences, The Water Research Institute (IdRA), University of
- 10 Barcelona, C/ Martí Franquès sn, Barcelona, Spain
- ^c Department of Isotope Biogeochemistry (ISOBIO), UFZ Centre for Environmental Research
- 12 Leipzig-Halle, Permoserstr. 15; 04318 Leipzig, Germany
- ^d Present address: Isodetect. Deutscher Platz 5b, 04103 Leipzig (Germany).
- 14 <u>Corresponding author</u>. Tel.: +34 934021399; e-mail address: jofreherreroferran@ub.edu (J.
- 15 Herrero).
- 16

17 Abstract

18 Chlorinated solvents are among the common groundwater contaminants that show high 19 complexity in their distribution in the subsoil. Microorganisms play a vital role in the natural 20 attenuation of chlorinated solvents. Thus far, how the in situ soil microbial community responds 21 to chlorinated solvent contamination has remained unclear. In this study, the microbial 22 community distribution within two boreholes located in the source area of perchloroethene 23 (PCE) was investigated via terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) and clone 24 library analysis. Microbial data were related to the lithological and geochemical data and the 25 concentration and isotopic composition of chloroethenes to determine the key factors 26 controlling the distribution of the microbial communities. The results indicated that Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Firmicutes were the most abundant phylums in the sediment. The statistical correlation with the environmental data proved that fine granulometry, oxygen tolerance, terminal electron-acceptor processes, and toxicity control microbial structure. This study improves our understanding of how the microbial community in the subsoil responds to high concentrations of chlorinated solvents.

32

33 Keywords

- 34 T-RFLP; toxicity; DNAPL; microbial heterogeneity; perchloroethene
- 35

36 Acknowledgments

37 We are indebted to the Catalan Water Agency and to the members of INTERFREN of Figueres 38 and INTECSON S.L. of Reus for their support and cooperation while conducting the fieldwork. 39 We would also like to thank our colleagues of the Department of Geochemistry, Petrology, and 40 Geological Prospecting of the University of Barcelona and especially the members of the 41 hydrogeology group of that department. We are grateful to the Department of Isotope 42 Biogeochemistry of Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ), especially the 43 members of the organohalide geomicrobiology group of that department. We would also like to 44 acknowledge the institution funding the research conducted within the following projects: CTM 45 2005-07824 and CGL 2008-02164/BTE (Spanish Ministry of Education).

47 **1. Introduction**

48 The subsoil is a heterogeneous medium with many fluctuating parameters that affect the growth 49 and survival of microorganisms (Paul and Clark 1989). Geological factors, such as pore size and 50 the interconnectivity of the sediments (Puigserver et al. 2020; Mahmoudi et al. 2020), as well as 51 the biogeochemical composition of the sediments, such as the content and composition of the 52 organic matter and the presence of metals (Van Horn et al. 2013), control the variation in the 53 microbial communities across the subsurface environments. In saturated zones, the velocity, 54 hydrochemical parameters, and temperature of the groundwater, as well as the composition of 55 the planktonic microbial communities, also affect the structure of the microbial communities 56 along the sediment (Velasco Ayuso et al. 2009; Guo et al. 2019). In pollutant episodes, the 57 distribution of contaminants and their daughter products is controlled by geological, 58 hydrogeological, and biogeochemical parameters (Guilbeault et al. 2005; Hartog et al. 2010; 59 Puigserver et al. 2013), and this, in turn, conditions the microbial communities (Griebler and 60 Lueders 2009; Schmidt et al. 2017). Specifically, the type and distribution of contaminants and 61 their toxicity and biodegradability are key factors that explain changes in the structure of the 62 microbial communities (Rossi et al. 2012; Puigserver et al. 2013, 2020).

63 Chlorinated solvents, which are types of dense non-aqueous-phase liquids (DNAPLs), are among 64 the common groundwater contaminants that show high complexity in their distribution in the 65 subsoil and that affect the composition of the microbial communities (Puigserver et al. 2020). 66 Chlorinated contaminants are accidently released into the environment at many industrial and 67 urban sites worldwide and are highly toxic (He et al. 2015). They migrate as a free phase through 68 the porosity, are heterogeneously distributed as pools and as a residual phase between pores, 69 and are sorbed in organic matter and fine materials due to molecular diffusion (Parker et al. 70 2003; Chapman and Parker 2005). Together, these migrated compounds form the source zone 71 (Parker et al. 2003). The compounds dissolved in groundwater create large contamination 72 plumes and can be volatilised, remaining within the gaseous matrix or dissolving again in the 73 water (Mackay et al. 2006). The morphology of the contamination source areas is what 74 conditions the plume of volatilised and dissolved contaminants (Pankow and Cherry 1996). In 75 remediation strategies for chlorinated solvents, the source zone is treated in the first stage, and 76 the plume is treated afterwards (or at the same time). Chemical and physical strategies have 77 mostly been applied as source zone remediation strategies, rather than the biological strategies 78 that are mainly used in the plume (Stroo 2010; Stroo et al. 2012). In recent years, however, 79 studies have highlighted the potential for applying bioremediation strategies in source zones as 80 well (Herrero et al. 2019; Sung and Ritalahti 2003; Yang and McCarty 2000, 2002).

81 Under anoxic conditions, chlorinated solvents such as chloroethenes are mainly degraded via 82 reductive dehalogenation, which involves the sequential reduction of these compounds (Smidt 83 and de Vos 2004). This process requires increasingly reductive conditions (Wiedemeier et al. 84 1999; Bradley 2003) and methanogenic conditions for their complete reductive dehalogenation to an inert compound (Hata et al. 2004). Organohalide-respiring bacteria (OHRB) are mainly 85 86 responsible for reductive dehalogenation (Adrian and Löffler 2016). OHRB are usually a small 87 percentage of the total bacterial community, compared to the fermenting and sulphate-88 reducing populations, which are in much greater abundance (Ndon et al. 2000; Fathepure et al. 89 2002; Men et al. 2012).

The complex interactions between dehalogenating microorganisms and the structure of the microbial community have been of interest during the last two decades (e.g. Atashgahi et al. 2017; Balaban et al. 2019; Dojka et al. 1998; Fennell et al. 2001; Flynn et al. 2000; Freeborn et al. 2005; Hendrickson et al. 2002; Hohnstock-Ashe et al. 2001; Rossi et al. 2012, 2009). In addition, a better understanding of the relationship between the structure of the microbial community and the dehalogenators will lead to the development and optimisation of bioremediation strategies. Of particular interest are the contact areas between two different geological units since shifts in microbial communities and biogeochemical processes are
expected in these areas (McMahon and Chapelle 2008; Puigserver et al. 2013, 2016; Griebler
and Avramov 2015).

100 A perchloroethene (PCE) source area in a site of alluvial fans with a highly heterogeneous 101 geological, biogeochemical, and contaminant distribution was chosen in order to determine the 102 main factors that condition the structure of the microbial communities in the source zone of 103 chlorinated solvents. The goal was to identify the factors that affect the structure of the 104 microbial communities, enabling a more detailed definition of the conceptual model of a 105 contamination episode. The identification of these factors has the potential to improve the 106 efficiency of bioremediation strategies. The studied factors are related to the granulometry, 107 biogeochemical processes, and distribution of chloroethenes in the sediments, and to the 108 hydrochemistry of the aquifer. The specific objectives of the research were the following: 1) to 109 characterise the microbial distribution of two boreholes in the source area by particularly 110 sampling the contact areas where microbial shifts are expected, and 2) to assess the main factors 111 that affect the composition of the microbial communities.

112

113 2. Materials and methods

114 **2.1.** Site description, core sampling, and conservation protocol

The field site is in an industrial area in Vilafant (Alt Empordà, NE Spain), approximately 150 km north of Barcelona. The aquifer consists of Pliocene prograding alluvial fan deposits, and a PCE-DNAPL source was detected by the Catalan Water Agency in the transition zone to a basal aquitard. 119 The drilling method and the general sampling and conservation protocol are described by 120 Puigserver et al. (2016). The core sampling was performed by taking into consideration the 121 lithological and textural changes and by following the criteria indicated by Guilbeault et al. 122 (2005) and Puigserver et al. (2013). A total of 60 samples were taken from the F1UB borehole 123 (16 m depth), and 115 samples were taken from the F2UB borehole (20 m depth). Between 60 124 and 120 g of sediment were taken from the central part of the borehole with sterile tools. The 125 sediment was placed inside a sterile container with distilled water and was immediately frozen 126 to below -20°C.

A total of 29 samples, 15 from the F1UB borehole and 14 from the F2UB borehole, were selected for molecular analysis. The selection criteria were based on the detailed geological characterisation, the concentration of chloroethenes in the porewater, and the concentration of organic carbon, iron, and manganese in the sediment.

The groundwater sampling of the two multilevel wells located in the F1UB and F2UB boreholes,
as well as the hydrochemical analyses, are described by Herrero et al. (2021). Briefly, the 5 ports
located in the aquifer of each multilevel well were sampled with an Eijkelkamp peristaltic pump
with a Teflon pipe (with an external diameter of less than 9.5 mm) and 1 sterile 1-L glass bottle.
The groundwater samples were filtered the same day in the laboratory with 0.2 µm pore size
filters (Millipore, Isopore[™] membrane filters) and frozen to below -20°C.

137 **2.2. Environmental data analysis and treatment**

The environmental data used in the correlation with the microbial data were the particle size of the sediment; the concentration of organic carbon, Fe, Mn, and chloroethenes; and the isotopic composition of the PCE. The content of organic carbon (Corg), Fe, and Mn sorbed in the fine fraction of sediments, as well as the chloroethene concentration analysis and calculations in the porewater, are described by Puigserver et al. (2016). The analysis of the isotopic composition of
the PCE in the porewater is described by Herrero et al. (2021b).

To assess the presence or absence of the process of reductive dehalogenation, a qualitative variable was developed. It was determined that if a daughter product of PCE and/or isotopically enriched PCE in the porewater was present, reductive dehalogenation had occurred. To assess the toxicity, a new variable of the sum of all chloroethenes was used.

148 **2.3. Molecular analysis and data treatment**

The analyses were performed at the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research-UFZ (Leipzig, Germany). Genomic DNA was extracted from 1.1 g of sediment with the NucleoSpin[®] Soil of Macherey & Nagel, following the manufacturer's protocol, to perform terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) and clone library analysis.

153 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and clone analysis were performed according to Puigserver et 154 al. (2016). The PCR product was purified using the Wizard® Purification Kit for Genomic DNA 155 (Promega). A total of 50 ng of purified DNA was restricted with three different restriction 156 enzymes (HaeIII, Hhal, and Mspl, Thermo Scientific). The dry DNA was dissolved with Hi-DiTM Formamid (Applied Biosystems) with standard GeneScan[™] 500 ROX[™] and was analysed with an 157 158 ABI 3100 Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems) and Genemapper 3.7 Software (Applied 159 Biosystems). Duplicates of each sample were analysed, and, consequently, six results were 160 obtained for each sample. To validate the results, all the duplicates for each restriction enzyme 161 were checked, and the test was repeated if the results were not conclusive.

All restriction fragments (RFs) smaller than 50 bp and having a proportion smaller than 1% of the total area were eliminated. Then, the HaeIII, Hhal, and MspI results for each sample were averaged. The microbial richness of each sample was determined by the maximum number of valid RFs for each restriction enzyme. The degree of development was determined by the average of the total area of the three restriction enzymes. Quantification of the population density using the T-RFLP technique allows for comparison of the degree of development for each of the samples. Several authors (Bruce 1997; Liu et al. 1997) recommend treating this measure as a semiquantitative value since T-RFLP analysis is subject to all the biases inherent in any PCR approach. Following these directions, the average of the areas of the different restriction enzymes was averaged again and transformed on a scale of 1 to 10.

172 The results obtained using T-RFLP allowed the degree of similarity to be established from a 173 cluster analysis. Ward's algorithm was chosen since it considers the peaks and the percentage 174 area of each (Murtagh and Legendre 2011). This method is based on the integration of the 175 different individuals (in this case, microbial communities) into clusters producing the minimum 176 difference, in terms of the percentage area and the number of peaks. The method raises all 177 possible fusions at each stage and selects the one that maximises homogeneity (or minimises 178 heterogeneity): it calculates the centroids of the groups resulting from the possible fusions, 179 calculates the distance to the centroid of all group observations, and chooses the solution with 180 the smaller total quadratic sum.

The correlation between the most abundant and common RFs for the different restriction enzymes was determined by statistical treatment. Next, the phylogenetic assignment tool (PAT) (Kent et al. 2003) was used to assign potential taxa to each set of three RF signals. The PAT database was amplified with the data from the in silico project (Bikandi et al. 2004). The reported data of RFs are the means of the results for the three restriction enzymes.

186 2.4. Conceptual model

187 The PCE source zone has been characterised by Puigserver et al. (2016) as having five 188 hydrostratigraphic units (the unsaturated zone [UZ], the discontinuous confining aquitard [UDTA], the upper aquifer [UPA], the transition zone to the basal aquitard [TZBA], and the basal aquitard [BA]). In addition, the distribution of chloroethenes, Fe, Mn, and Corg and the richness of microbial communities have been characterised (Puigserver et al. 2016). Herrero et al. (2021b) presented a new compound-specific isotope analysis (CSIA) method for chlorinated solvents in porewater applied to the three saturated hydrostratigraphic units (UPA, TZBA, and BA).

195 The UZ is composed of coarse, medium, and fine gravel and sand, with a silty-clayey matrix 196 exceeding 50%. A heterogeneous distribution of PCE was detected in this unit, with a 197 pronounced maximum of 10,385 μ g/L in the porewater in the F1UB borehole and evidence of 198 dehalogenation above this. The UDTA consists mainly of clays, with a network of subvertical 199 microfractures. Increased PCE was detected in the porewater in comparison with the upper unit 200 (UZ) and the lower unit (UPA), with concentrations around $300 \mu g/L$. The UPA is composed of 201 gravel and coarse sand, with about 15% of the levels having a silty-clayey matrix. PCE 202 concentrations in this zone were low, with the exception of one silty-clayey matrix level, which 203 showed a concentration of 1150 μ g/L in the porewater in the F1UB borehole, and there was 204 evidence of dehalogenation (enriched $\delta^{13}C_{PCE}$ in the porewater). The groundwater presented 205 oxic conditions, with dissolved oxygen concentrations of around 10 mg/L. There was also 206 evidence of denitrification, Mn reduction, and reductive dehalogenation in the upper part of the 207 UPA (Herrero et al. 2021a). The TZBA is made up of gravel and coarse sand alternating with 208 numerous layers of medium to fine sand and silt on a centimetre to decimetre scale of limited 209 horizontal extension. The F1UB borehole had more levels with a clayey-silty matrix (about 90%) 210 than the F2UB borehole, which had about 30%. A residual pool of PCE was found in the TZBA 211 contact with the BA, with maximum concentrations in the porewater of 18,175 and 6,409 µg/L 212 of PCE in F1UB and F2UB, respectively. Reductive dehalogenation was found to be active above 213 this maximum PCE. The groundwater presents more reductive redox conditions as it becomes 214 deeper, and denitrification, Mn and Fe reduction, sulphate reduction, and reductive

215 dehalogenation of PCE and trichloroethene (TCE) were detected. No anaerobic processes were 216 detected in the upper zone of the TZBA, and the conditions remained oxic, with a concentration 217 of dissolved oxygen around 8 mg/L (Herrero et al. 2021a). The BA is composed of fine sands and 218 laminar silts that are microfractured (with subvertical fractures). The distribution of PCE and TCE 219 within the BA is ruled by the presence of vertical microfractures and stratification planes in the 220 very fine sands with a silty-clayey matrix (Herrero et al. 2021b).



221

Figure 1: Conceptual model of the source zone. Lateral graphs show richness (no. RF) and degree of development for
 the F1UB (right side) and the F2UB (left side) boreholes.

225 Microbial richness and the semiquantitative measure of the degree of development indicate hot 226 spots of biogeochemical activity, as well as areas with the inhibition and/or specialisation of 227 some microbial populations. Figure 1 shows the variation in richness and the degree of 228 development in depth and in relation with the hydrostratigraphic units and the distribution of 229 DNAPL. There is a clear correlation between richness and the degree of development in the F1UB 230 borehole, while this correlation is more diffuse in F2UB. The richness and degree of development 231 show relative maximums in the joint points of the BA (12BA-F1 and 14BA-F1) and in the TZBA 232 above the residual pool (9TZBA-F1 and 6TZBA-F2), while the richness shows relative maximums 233 unrelated to the degree of development in the contact areas between the two different 234 hydrostratigraphic units (1UZ-F2 and 3UPA-F2). Generally, the decrease in richness and the 235 degree of development is related to the presence of a high amount of PCE (2UZ-F1, 10TZBA-F1, 236 11TZBA-F1, 7TZBA-F2, 8BA-F2, and 9BA-F2) and to the hydrostratigraphic units of finer material 237 (5UDTA-F1, 13BA-F1, 15BA-F1, 2UDTA-F2, and 11BA-F2).

238

239

240 3. Results

241 The T-RFLP results for the three restriction enzymes of boreholes F1UB (Figure S1) and F2UB 242 (Figure S2) were highly complex. Within the 29 sediment samples, 40, 70, and 37 different RFs 243 higher than 1% of the total area were detected for Mspl, Hhal, and Haelll, respectively (Figures 244 S1 and S2). For the sediment and groundwater samples, 47, 77 and 45 different RFs for Mspl, 245 Hhal and HaellI, respectively (Figures S1, S2, and S3) were detected, with at least 8 new RFs in 246 the groundwater. The statistical treatment of cluster analysis (Figures S4, S5, and S6) showed no 247 conclusive results since the samples were grouped in different clusters for each enzyme. 248 Specifically, the microbial communities with higher richness were located in different clusters 249 for each restriction enzyme. The distribution of the samples within each cluster proved that 250 there were some similarities between communities, but none could be explained by its location 251 or a single set of environmental variables. The environmental data tested included the total 252 amount of chloroethene, PCE, TCE, cis dichloroethane (cisDCE), Corg, Mn, and Fe; the depth; 253 and the predominant lithology. There was no dominant variable controlling the composition and 254 distribution of the microbial communities, but there was a group of variables that differed in 255 importance depending on the location. Microbial communities in the presence of contaminants 256 developed in a more complex way given the increased heterogeneity of the medium. 257 Communities developed and had different metabolisms depending on: 1) the characteristics of 258 the surface to which they were attached; 2) the balance of nutrients and contaminants between 259 the solid, liquid, and gaseous phases; and 3) the concentration of the pollutants. These cases are 260 referring to heterogeneity on a centimetre scale.

A comparative analysis of the 3 restriction enzymes of each sample allowed the fingerprints of the 10 most abundant populations to be determined (Table 1). These 10 populations were selected since they are the dominant population in at least 1 of the characterised communities. Each set of three RFs was analysed using the PAT (Kent et al. 2003) and double checked with the results of the clone library (Puigserver et al. 2016).

266 RF1 was identified by the PAT and clone library as Propionibacterium acnes, an anaerobic 267 microorganism that produces propionic acid by fermentation (Green 1992) and that is related 268 to the reductive dehalogenation of PCE and TCE (Chang et al. 2011; Moreno et al. 2011). This RF 269 was found almost ubiquitously in the whole study area, in sediments and groundwater, and 270 especially in the contact areas of the different hydrostratigraphic units and the upper and lower 271 levels of the pool of PCE (Figure 2A and B). RF2 by PAT was identified as Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans, a facultative aerobic organism capable of reducing Fe³⁺ (Ohmura et al. 2002). This 272 273 RF was distributed heterogeneously along the two boreholes; although, it was related to the

274 most oxidant conditions of the upper part of the aquifer and of the unsaturated zone of F1UB 275 (Figure 2A) and to the groundwater of the upper and lower part of the aquifer (Figure 2B). RF3 276 was identified by PAT as Streptomyces sp. or Arthrobacter sp. This RF was distributed 277 homogeneously in the UDTA and UPA in the F2UB borehole (Figure 2A). RF4 was identified by 278 PAT as *Streptococcus* sp. and was positively identified by the clone library as *Aerococcus viridans*. 279 The Aerococcus genera is microaerophilic (Vela et al. 2007) and autochthonous to groundwater 280 (Cruz-Perez et al. 1996). There was a high proportion of RF4 in the UDTA, the TZBA, and the BA, 281 while it was practically absent from the UZ and the UPA. However, it increased in the TZBA 282 (Figure 2A). Also, RF4 was found in the groundwater at the centre of the aquifer (Figure 2.B). 283 RF5 was identified by PAT as Staphylococcus sp. and was positively identified by the clone library 284 as Aeribacillus pallidus. RF5 was found in the UZ, the UDTA and the UPA of F1UB; in the BA of 285 F2UB (Figure 2A); and in the groundwater of the TZBA of F2UB (Figure 2B). RF6 was identified 286 by PAT as Microbacterium sp. or Terrabacter sp. and was found at the base of the TZBA and the 287 BA of F1UB (Figure 2A) and in the groundwater of the central part of the aquifer in F1UB (Figure 288 2B). RF7 was identified by PAT and the clone library as Acinetobacter junii, an aerobic bacterium 289 that is found ubiquitously in the soil and water and is able to degrade a wide variety of organic 290 compounds (Towner 1992). RF7 was found mainly in the UZ of F1UB, in the interphase of the 291 UDTA and the UPA, and in the upper part of the BA of F2UB (Figure 2A). RF8 was identified by 292 PAT as Haemophilus sp. RF8 was detected at the interphase between the UDTA and the UPA, 293 and in the upper part of the BA of the F2UB borehole, and in F1UB at the bottom part of the BA 294 (Figure 2A). RF9 was not identified by PAT and was located mainly in the UDTA of F1UB and the 295 BA of both boreholes (Figure 2A). RF10 was identified by PAT and the clone library as Variovorax 296 paradoxus, an aerobic bacterium related to oxidative dehalogenation (Futamata et al. 2005; 297 Humphries et al. 2005). RF10 was located above the peak of PCE of the UZ and the TZBA of F1UB 298 and in the UPA and the BA of F2UB (Figure 2A).

RF	HaellI	Hhal	Mspl	Bacteria	Phylum	
1	62.5	675	165	Propionibacterium acnes (+)	Actinobacteria	
2	251	205	485	Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans	γ-Proteobacteria	
3	226	468	160	Streptomyces, Arthrobacter	Actinobacteria	
4	308	585	560	Streptococcus, Aerococcus viridans (+)	Firmicutes (Bacilli)	
5	308	236	153	Aeribacillus pallidus (+), Staphylococcus sp.	Firmicutes (Bacilli)	
6	230	143	279	Microbacterium sp., Terrabacter sp.	Actinobacteria	
7	253	207	491	Acinetobacter junii (+)	γ-Proteobacteria	
8	204	363	491	Haemophilus sp.	γ-Proteobacteria	
9	196	204	140	Uncultered bacterium*.		
10	217	62	485	Variovorax paradoxus (+)	β- Proteobacteria	

300

301 302 Table 1: Most abundant microbial populations, quantified by the restriction fragments (RFs) and identified by the

phylogenetic assignment tool (PAT). (+): identified by clone library and PAT. *Identical fingerprint to bacteria in the 303 anaerobic fermentation reactor (GU454879.1.1495), microbial biofilm (DQ499314.1.1492), and groundwater

304 contaminated with nitric acid bearing uranium waste (AY662046.1.1527), among others.



Figure 2: A) Distribution of the most abundant microbial populations by depth and location of hydrostratigraphic
 unit. B) Distribution of the most abundant microbial populations identified in the boreholes, sampled at the ports of
 multilevel wells F1UB and F2UB. Black dots: F1UB; white dots F2UB.

309

310 4. Discussion

311 The most abundant RFs (Table 1) were more easily connected to the environmental data since 312 it was possible to identify the main factors determining the distribution of the microbial 313 populations and, therefore, the structure of the microbial communities. These factors were 314 grouped into the following four groups: geological factors (majority granulometry, percentage 315 of fines), hydrogeological factors (capacity to be transported in an aqueous medium), terminal 316 electron-accepting processes (TEAP, e.g., Corg, Mn, Fe, metabolism of the identified 317 populations), and conditioning factors due to the presence of contamination (concentration of 318 PCE and evidence of its degradation).

319 4.1. Geological factors

320 There was a bivariate correlation between the distributions of fine materials, from fine sand to 321 clay (diameter less than 0.25 mm), and certain microorganisms (Figures 3A-C). The RF4, RF6, and 322 RF9 populations were mainly found in the hydrostratigraphic units with more fine materials 323 (UDTA and BA) and in the UPA and TZBA levels with more fine materials (Figure 2). In fact, RF4 324 and RF9 were not detected in samples with less than 40% of fine materials (Figures 3A and C), 325 and RF6 was only found in the levels with a minimum of 80% of fine materials (Figure 3B). Other 326 microorganisms, such as RF1, RF2, and RF5, did not show a dependence on sediment 327 granulometry and were distributed throughout the different hydrostratigraphic units (Figure 2).

The absence or very low proportion of specific microbial populations (RF4, RF6, and RF9) in the UZ, the UPA, and the coarser levels of the TZBA, compared to a higher proportion in the finer particle size levels, such as the UDTA and the BA, can be explained in several ways. On the one 331 hand, the finer levels are those with a higher proportion of organic carbon, and the populations 332 may, therefore, be adapted to its degradation (Puigserver et al. 2013). Another explanation 333 could be the non-dependence of the nutrient supply (bioavailability) on saturated sections that 334 are hydraulically more conductive. This would mean that there are populations more capable of 335 taking advantage of the contributions of groundwater (DeAngelis et al. 2010; Meng et al. 2021). 336 Another explanation may be that these populations are not adapted to changes in the physical 337 parameters (such as temperature) or the hydrochemical parameters (such as dissolved oxygen, 338 dissolved organic matter, redox potential, phosphates, and nitrates) of the groundwater (Zhou 339 et al. 2002).

On the other hand, all populations that were identified in the saturated zones (UPA and TZBA) were found in the BA. It can, therefore, be concluded that pore size is not a limiting factor in the distribution of the majority populations. This differs from other studies (Puigserver et al. 2020) that found that pore size limits the colonisation of some bacteria at the finest levels.



344

Figure 3: Relationship between the content of fine sediments and RF4 (A), RF6 (B), and RF9 (C). Relationship between
reductive dehalogenation processes and RF2 (graph D) and RF10 (graph E). Relationship between the total sum of
chloroethenes (µmol/L) and richness (graph F), the degree of development (graph G), RF1 (graph H), and RF2 (graph
I). RD: reductive dehalogenation.

349 4.2. Hydrogeological factors

- 350 The ability of bacteria to colonise sediment through the flow of groundwater is another factor
- that explains the distribution of microbial populations. As can be seen in Figures 2A and B, RF3,
- 352 RF7, RF8, and RF10 were only found in sediments and not in groundwater. In the case of RF7
- 353 and RF8, this can be explained by their low presence in sediments in the UPA and TZBA, and RF3
- and RF10 may not be able to survive in planktonic or floccules form.

The presence of RF1, RF2, and RF5 in the groundwater seems to demonstrate that these microorganisms can colonise other areas of the aquifer, either as floccules, planktonic cells, or attached to clays or silts (Griebler and Lueders 2009). These populations are also related to active biogeochemical processes (denitrification and reduction of Mn and Fe) since they were found in the upper and lower part of the aquifer. These two zones have been defined as ecotones by Herrero et al. (2021).

The presence of RF4, RF6, and RF9 in the groundwater may be related to whether these bacteria are attached to clays or silts in suspension in the groundwater. On the one hand, these populations are related to the fine materials (previous section), and, on the other hand, they were mostly detected in the centre of the aquifer, where no biogeochemical process was detected at a hydrochemical level (Herrero et al. 2021a). In relation to this, Zhao et al. (2012) showed that *Streptococcus* (RF4) was able to adhere to and travel in clay size particles.

367

368 4.3. Oxygen tolerance

369 The tolerance of microorganisms to fluctuating oxygen levels is a limiting factor. The aquifer 370 (UPA and TZBA) had dissolved oxygen concentrations that varied in depth and time (from 12.30 371 to 0.12 mg/L). Although the medium is generally oxic, there are micro-niches with gradations of 372 oxygen concentration and redox conditions on a millimetre scale, which allow anaerobic 373 microorganisms to metabolise (Rivett et al. 2008; Perović et al. 2017). These gradations are more 374 important when there is more geological heterogeneity, as is the case in the TZBA compared to 375 the UPA (Puigserver et al. 2016). In fact, denitrification and the reduction of Mn were detected 376 in the upper part of the UPA, and denitrification, the reduction of Mn and Fe, and sulphate 377 reduction were detected in the lower part of the TZBA (Herrero et al. 2021a).

378 Under these conditions, the widely distributed populations of RF1, RF2, RF3, and RF4 in the 379 boreholes were identified as facultative microorganisms. Propionibacterium acnes (RF1, Table 380 1) is mostly considered to be an anaerobic bacterium; although, some strains have been 381 identified as facultative or microaerophilic (Stackebrandt et al. 2006). Acidithiobacillus 382 ferrooxidans (RF2, Table 1) is a facultative aerobic organism that, in the absence of oxygen, is 383 able to use Fe³⁺ as a final electron acceptor (Ohmura et al. 2002). RF3 was identified as an aerobic 384 bacterium of the genera Streptomyces and/or Arthrobacter. Streptomyces sp. is capable of 385 growing under microaerobic conditions and surviving under anaerobic conditions (Van Keulen 386 et al. 2007), and Arthrobacter sp. can grow under anaerobic conditions using fermentation and 387 nitrate ammonification (Eschbach et al. 2003). RF4 was identified as Streptococcus sp. and/or 388 Aerococcus sp. Streptococcus is a facultative organism (Hardie and Whiley 2006), probably 389 derived from agricultural fertilisers that have adapted to the environment (Zhao et al. 2012), 390 and Aerococcus sp. is an aerobic facultative organism (Das and Kazy 2014).

In the oxygenated and redox conditions detected, it is possible that biofilms were present, given the capacity of *Propionibacterium* sp. (Tyner and Patel 2016), *Streptomyces* sp. (Liermann et al. 2000), *Terrabacter* sp. (Piazza et al. 2019), and RF10 (DQ499314.1.1492), among others, to produce them. The formation of biofilm would allow a gradient of redox potential and oxygen, which would allow anaerobic microorganisms to have an active metabolism (Davey and O'toole 2000; Aulenta et al. 2006).

397 4.4. Anaerobic TEAP: reduction of Fe and reductive dehalogenation

The ability of microorganisms to reduce and/or oxidise Mn and Fe is another factor that determines the distribution of microbial populations. The complexity of the processes of the reduction and oxidation of Mn and Fe and the formation of new minerals has not allowed any statistical correlation to be found between any RF and the total Mn and Fe content in the sediment. However, the identification of several populations capable of reducing and/or 403 oxidising these metals is well known. *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* (RF2) oxidises Fe²⁺ under
404 aerobic conditions, and under anaerobic conditions it is capable of reducing Fe³⁺ (Ohmura et al.
405 2002). *Terrabacter* sp. (RF6) is related to the ability to oxidise Mn and to microbial communities
406 that oxidise Fe (Piazza et al. 2019). *Staphylococcus* sp. (RF5) and *Arthrobacter* sp. (RF3) have the
407 capacity to reduce Fe³⁺ (Paul et al. 2015).

408 The reductive dehalogenation of chloroethenes occurs in environments in which there are 409 anaerobic TEAPs (Nijenhuis and Kuntze 2016). The presence or absence of reductive 410 dehalogenation processes can be identified from an increase in metabolic rates (e.g., an increase 411 in TCE with respect to PCE or an increase in cisDCE with respect to TCE [Puigserver et al. 2016]) 412 and the presence of isotopically enriched PCE (Herrero et al. 2021b). The bivariant correlation 413 of RF2 and RF10 with the process of reductive dehalogenation (Figure 3H and I) does not imply 414 that these populations can develop such a process. RF2 (Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans) is related to Fe³⁺ reduction (Ohmura et al. 2002), and RF10 is related to an unidentified bacterium found 415 416 in an anaerobic bioreactor (GU454879. 1.1495). Consequently, it is assumed that this 417 relationship is due to the more anoxic conditions in which these populations are found.

418 **4.5.** Factors arising from the presence of contamination

419 Toxicity, evaluated via the sum of chloroethenes (CE) in the porewater, was evident for the 420 10TZBA-F1 (18.900 μmol CE/L) and 2UZ-F1 (10.500 μmol CE/L) samples, was lower in the 12BA-421 F1 (4.760 μ mol CE/L) sample, and was not detected in the other samples, where the 422 concentration was lower than 2.500 µmol CE/L. Toxicity is one variable that decreases microbial 423 diversity and the degree of development (10TZBA-F1 and 2UZ-F1 [Figures 3F and G] had lower 424 values than the adjacent microbial communities). The same effect was detected in the most 425 abundant populations of the site, RF1 and RF2 (Figures 3H and I). Decreased diversity resulting 426 from contamination is a consequence of community specialisation (Lima et al. 2018). Some 427 microorganisms (e.g., RF1 and RF2) die because of the poisoning effects of the contaminants,

428 causing the microbial community to transition toward one that is able to withstand429 contaminants and to even use them in their metabolic pathways.

On the other hand, a relative increase in RF3 was detected in 10TZBA-F1 and in 7TZBA-F2, with
the maximums of PCE in the TZBA, and of RF5 in 2UZ-F1, and a maximum of PCE in the UZ
(section 2.5 and Figure 1). This increase is attributed to the specialisation and absence of the
toxicity effect in RF3 and RF5 and to inhibition by toxicity in the other populations.

434

435 **5.** Conclusions

436 The most abundant phylums in the subsoil were Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Firmicutes. 437 The distribution of microbial communities in the sediment in the source zone of chlorinated 438 solvent contamination is highly complex. This distribution can be explained by a group of 439 environmental variables that differ in importance depending on their location, given the high 440 degree of geological and biogeochemical heterogeneity and the complex distribution of the 441 contaminants. Communities develop differently depending on the characteristics of the surface 442 to which they are attached, the biogeochemical conditions of the environment, and the toxicity 443 of the pollutants.

The percentage of fine materials, the capacity of the microorganisms to be transported in an aqueous environment, tolerance to changes in the concentration of dissolved oxygen, capacity to perform TEAP, and toxicity are the factors that were identified as affecting the majority of the populations in this study.

The complexity of the structure of microbial communities in the sediment and the differences from the microbial communities in the groundwater point to the importance of studying these microbial communities when selecting a bioremediation strategy and predicting the response of 451 the microbial communities. Most studies on the effect of chlorinated solvents on microbial 452 communities have relied only on microbial characterisation of the biomass suspended in 453 groundwater, rather than the subsoil. The characterisation of the microbial communities in the 454 two matrices are complementary since the distribution of the populations is different, and 455 populations were only found in one of the two media. Moreover, they allow for better design of 456 bioremediation strategies since environmental factors of the sediment (e.g., geological 457 heterogeneity, Fe minerals, or chloroethenes in the porewater) and limiting factors that may 458 reduce the effectiveness of enhanced reductive dehalogenation (e.g., pore diameter and ability 459 of bacteria to colonise sediment through the flow of groundwater) can be taken into account.

460

462 6. Declarations

- 463 -Ethical Approval: not applicable
- 464 -Consent to Participate: not applicable
- 465 -Consent to Publish: not applicable
- 466 -Authors Contributions: All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material
- 467 preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Jofre Herrero, Diana Puigserver
- 468 and José Maria Carmona, except for the molecular data, that the data collection and analysis
- 469 were performed by Jofre Herrero, Ivonne Nijenhuis and Kevin Kuntze. The first draft of the
- 470 manuscript was written by Jofre Herrero and all authors commented on previous versions of
- 471 the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
- 472 Funding: This study was funded by projects CTM, 2005-07824 and CGL, 2008-02164/BTE
- 473 (Spanish Ministry of Education).
- 474 -Competing Interests: the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 475 -Availability of data and materials: All data generated or analysed during this study are
- 476 included in this published article [and its supplementary information files], except for the
- 477 geochemical data, that could be found in Puigserver et al., 2016, and hydrochemical data, that
- 478 could be found in Herrero et al., 2021. The datasets used and analysed during the current
- 479 study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

480

481

483 7. References

- Adrian L, Löffler FE (eds) (2016) Organohalide-Respiring Bacteria. Springer Berlin Heidelberg,
 Berlin, Heidelberg
- Atashgahi S, Lu Y, Zheng Y, et al (2017) Geochemical and microbial community determinants of
 reductive dechlorination at a site biostimulated with glycerol. Environ Microbiol 19:968–
 981. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13531
- Aulenta F, Majone M, Tandoi V (2006) Enhanced anaerobic bioremediation of chlorinated
 solvents: Environmental factors influencing microbial activity and their relevance under
 field conditions. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 81:1463–1474
- Balaban N, Yankelzon I, Adar E, et al (2019) The Spatial Distribution of the Microbial
 Community in a Contaminated Aquitard below an Industrial Zone. Water 11:2128. doi:
 10.3390/w11102128
- Bikandi J, San Millán R, Rementeria A, Garaizar J (2004) In silico analysis of complete bacterial
 genomes: PCR, AFLP-PCR and endonuclease restriction. Bioinformatics 20:798–9. doi:
 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg491
- Bradley PM (2003) History and Ecology of Chloroethene Biodegradation: A Review.
 Bioremediat J 7:81–109. doi: 10.1080/713607980
- Bruce KD (1997) Analysis of mer gene subclasses within bacterial communities in soils and
 sediments resolved by fluorescent-PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism
 profiling. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:4914–4919
- 503 Chang Y-C, Ikeutsu K, Toyama T, et al (2011) Isolation and characterization of
 504 tetrachloroethylene-and cis-1, 2-dichloroethylene-dechlorinating propionibacteria. J Ind
 505 Microbiol Biotechnol 38:1667–1677
- 506 Chapman SW, Parker BL (2005) Plume persistence due to aquitard back diffusion following
 507 dense nonaqueous phase liquid source removal or isolation. Water Resour Res 41:. doi:
 508 10.1029/2005WR004224
- 509 Cruz-Perez P, Stetzenbach LD, Alvarez AJ (1996) PCR detection of groundwater bacteria
 510 associated with colloidal transport
- 511 Das R, Kazy SK (2014) Microbial diversity, community composition and metabolic potential in
 512 hydrocarbon contaminated oily sludge: Prospects for in situ bioremediation. Environ Sci
 513 Pollut Res 21:7369–7389. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-2640-2
- 514 Davey ME, O'toole GA (2000) Microbial biofilms: from ecology to molecular genetics. Microbiol
 515 Mol Biol Rev 64:847–867
- 516 DeAngelis KM, Silver WL, Thompson AW, Firestone MK (2010) Microbial communities
 517 acclimate to recurring changes in soil redox potential status. Environ Microbiol 12:3137–
 518 3149. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02286.x
- 519 Dojka MA, Hugenholtz P, Haack SK, Pace NR (1998) Microbial diversity in a hydrocarbon-and
 520 chlorinated-solvent-contaminated aquifer undergoing intrinsic bioremediation. Appl
 521 Environ Microbiol 64:3869–3877
- Eschbach M, Möbitz H, Rompf A, et al (2003) Members of the genus Arthrobacter grow
 anaerobically using nitrate ammonification and fermentative processes: Anaerobic

- adaptation of aerobic bacteria abundant in soil. FEMS Microbiol Lett 223:227–230. doi:
 10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00383-5
- Fathepure BZ, Lo FE, He J, et al (2002) Acetate versus hydrogen as direct electron donors to
 stimulate the microbial reductive dechlorination process at chloroethene-contaminated
 sites. Environ Sci Technol 36:3945–3952. doi: 10.1021/es025528d
- Fennell DE, Carroll AB, Gossett JM, Zinder SH (2001) Assessment of indigenous reductive
 dechlorinating potential at a TCE-contaminated site using microcosms, polymerase chain
 reaction analysis, and site data. Environ Sci Technol 35:1830–1839
- Flynn SJ, Löffler FE, Tiedje JM (2000) Microbial community changes associated with a shift from
 reductive dechlorination of PCE to reductive dechlorination of cis-DCE and VC. Environ Sci
 Technol 34:1056–1061
- 535 Freeborn RA, West KA, Bhupathiraju VK, et al (2005) Phylogenetic analysis of TCE536 dechlorinating consortia enriched on a variety of electron donors. Environ Sci Technol
 537 39:8358–8368
- Futamata H, Nagano Y, Watanabe K, Hiraishi A (2005) Unique kinetic properties of phenoldegrading Variovorax strains responsible for efficient trichloroethylene degradation in a
 chemostat enrichment culture. Appl Environ Microbiol 71 (2):904–911. doi:
 10.1128/AEM.71.2.904
- 542 Green PN (1992) The Genus Methylobacterium. The Prokaryotes 2342-2349. Edited by A.
 543 Balows, H. G. Trüper, M. D
- Griebler C, Avramov M (2015) Groundwater ecosystem services: a review. Freshw Sci 34:355–
 367. doi: 10.1086/679903
- Griebler C, Lueders T (2009) Microbial biodiversity in groundwater ecosystems. Freshw Biol
 54:649–677. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2008.02013.x
- 548Guilbeault M a, Parker BL, Cherry J a (2005) Mass and flux distributions from DNAPL zones in549sandy aquifers. Ground Water 43:70–86. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-6584.2005.tb02287.x
- Guo L, Wang G, Sheng Y, et al (2019) Groundwater microbial communities and their
 connection to hydrochemical environment in Golmud, Northwest China. Sci Total Environ
 695:133848. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133848
- Hardie JM, Whiley RA (2006) The Genus Streptococcus-Oral. In: Dworkin M, Falkow S,
 Rosenberg E, et al. (eds) The Prokaryotes volume 4, 3rd edn. Springer-Verlag Inc.,
 Singapore, pp 1421-1449. Edited by A. Balows, H. G. Trüper, M. D
- Hartog N, Cho J, Parker BL, Annable MD (2010) Characterization of a heterogeneous DNAPL
 source zone in the Borden aquifer using partitioning and interfacial tracers: Residual
 morphologies and background sorption. J Contam Hydrol 115:79–89. doi:
 10.1016/j.jconhyd.2010.04.004
- Hata J, Miyata N, Kim E-S, et al (2004) Anaerobic degradation of< i> cis</i>-1, 2dichloroethylene and vinyl chloride by< i> Clostridium</i> sp. strain DC1 isolated from
 landfill leachate sediment. J Biosci Bioeng 97:196–201. doi: 10.1016/S13891723(04)70190-5
- He YT, Wilson JT, Su C, Wilkin RT (2015) Review of Abiotic Degradation of Chlorinated Solvents
 by Reactive Iron Minerals in Aquifers. Groundw Monit Remediat 35:57–75. doi:
 10.1111/gwmr.12111

- Hendrickson ER, Payne JA, Young RM, et al (2002) Molecular analysis of Dehalococcoides 16S
 ribosomal DNA from chloroethene-contaminated sites throughout North America and
 Europe. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:485–495
- Herrero J, Puigserver D, Nijenhuis I, et al (2019) Combined use of ISCR and biostimulation
 techniques in incomplete processes of reductive dehalogenation of chlorinated solvents.
 648:819–829
- Herrero J, Puigserver D, Nijenhuis I, et al (2021a) The role of ecotones in the dehalogenation of
 chloroethenes in alluvial fan aquifers. Environ Sci Pollut Res. doi:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-12538-0
- 576 Herrero J, Puigserver D, Parker BL, Carmona JM (2021b) A new Method for determining
 577 Compound Specific Carbon Isotope of Chlorinated Solvents in Porewater. Groundw Monit
 578 Remediat. doi: 10.1111/gwmr.12435
- Hohnstock-Ashe AM, Plummer SM, Yager RM, et al (2001) Further biogeochemical
 characterization of a trichloroethene-contaminated fractured dolomite aquifer: electron
 source and microbial communities involved in reductive dechlorination. Environ Sci
 Technol 35:4449–4456
- Humphries JA, Ashe AMH, Smiley JA, Johnston CG (2005) Microbial community structure and
 trichloroethylene degradation in groundwater. J Microbiol 51:433–439. doi:
 10.1139/W05-025
- Kent AD, Smith DJ, Benson BJ, Triplett EW (2003) Web-based phylogenetic assignment tool for
 analysis of terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism profiles of microbial
 communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:6768–6776
- Liermann LJ, Barnes AS, Kalinowski BE, et al (2000) Microenvironments of pH in biofilms grown
 on dissolving silicate surfaces. Chem Geol 171:1–16. doi: 10.1016/S0009-2541(00)00202 3
- Lima G da P, Meyer JR, Khosla K, et al (2018) Spatial variability of microbial communities in a
 fractured sedimentary rock matrix impacted by a mixed organics plume. J Contam Hydrol
 218:110–119. doi: 10.1016/j.jconhyd.2018.10.001
- Liu W-T, Marsh TL, Cheng H, Forney LJ (1997) Characterization of microbial diversity by
 determining terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms of genes encoding 16S
 rRNA. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:4516–4522
- 598 Mackay D, Shiu WY, Ma KC, Lee SC (2006) Handbook of physical-chemical properties and
 599 environmental fate for organic chemicals: Volume I: Introduction and hydrocarbons.
 600 CRC/Taylor & Francis
- Mahmoudi D, Rezaei M, Ashjari J, et al (2020) Impacts of stratigraphic heterogeneity and
 release pathway on the transport of bacterial cells in porous media. Sci Total Environ
 729:138804. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138804
- 604McMahon PB, Chapelle FH (2008) Redox processes and water quality of selected principal605aquifer systems. Ground Water 46:259–71. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-6584.2007.00385.x
- Men Y, VerBerkmoes NC, Shah NW, et al (2012) Sustainable syntrophic growth of
 Dehalococcoides ethenogenes strain 195 with Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough and
 Methanobacterium congolense: global transcriptomic and proteomic analyses. ISME J
 6:410-421

- Meng L, Zuo R, Wang J sheng, et al (2021) Response of the redox species and indigenous
 microbial community to seasonal groundwater fluctuation from a typical riverbank
 filtration site in Northeast China. Ecol Eng 159:106099. doi:
- 613 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2020.106099
- 614 Moreno B, Nogales R, Macci C, et al (2011) Microbial eco-physiological profiles to estimate the 615 biological restoration of a trichloroethylene-contaminated soil. Ecol Indic 11:1563–1571
- Murtagh F, Legendre P (2011) Ward's Hierarchical Clustering Method: Clustering Criterion and
 Agglomerative Algorithm. arXiv:11116285
- Ndon UJ, Randall AA, Khouri TZ (2000) Reductive dechlorination of tetrachloroethylene by soil
 sulfate-reducing microbes under various electron donor conditions. Environ Monit Assess
 60:329–336. doi: 10.1023/A:1006186220604
- Nijenhuis I, Kuntze K (2016) Anaerobic microbial dehalogenation of organohalides state of
 the art and remediation strategies. Curr Opin Biotechnol 38:33–38. doi:
 10.1016/J.COPBIO.2015.11.009
- 624 Ohmura N, Sasaki K, Matsumoto N, Saiki H (2002) Anaerobic Respiration Using Fe3+ S0, and H2
 625 in the Chemolithoautotrophic Bacterium Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans. J Bacteriol
 626 184:2081–2087. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.8.2081
- Pankow JF, Cherry JA (1996) Dense chlorinated solvents and other DNAPLs in groundwater:
 History, behavior, and remediation. Waterloo Press
- Parker BL, Cherry JA, Chapman SW, Guilbeault MA (2003) Review and analysis of chlorinated
 solvent dense nonaqueous phase liquid distributions in five sandy aquifers. Vadose Zo J
 2:116–137. doi: 10.2113/2.2.116
- Paul D, Kazy SK, Banerjee T Das, et al (2015) Arsenic biotransformation and release by bacteria
 indigenous to arsenic contaminated groundwater. Bioresour Technol 188:14–23. doi:
 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.02.039
- 635 Paul EA, Clark FE (1989) Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry, Academic. San Diego, Calif
- Perović M, Obradović V, Kovačević S, et al (2017) Indicators of Groundwater Potential for
 Nitrate Transformation in a Reductive Environment. Water Environ Res 89:4–16. doi:
 10.2175/106143016x14733681696121
- 639 Piazza A, Casalini LC, Pacini VA, et al (2019) Environmental bacteria involved in manganese(II)
 640 oxidation and removal from groundwater. Front Microbiol 10:1–13. doi:
 641 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00119
- Puigserver D, Carmona JM, Cortés A, et al (2013) Subsoil heterogeneities controlling porewater
 contaminant mass and microbial diversity at a site with a complex pollution history. J
 Contam Hydrol 144:1–19. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2012.10.009
- Puigserver D, Herrero J, Parker BLBL, Carmona JMJM (2020) Natural attenuation of pools and
 plumes of carbon tetrachloride and chloroform in the transition zone to bottom aquitards
 and the microorganisms involved in their degradation. Sci Total Environ 712:135679. doi:
 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135679
- Puigserver D, Herrero J, Torres M, et al (2016) Reductive dechlorination in recalcitrant sources
 of chloroethenes in the transition zone between aquifers and aquitards. Environ Sci Pollut
 Res 23:18724–18741. doi: 10.1007/s11356-016-7068-4
- 652 Rivett MO, Buss SR, Morgan P, et al (2008) Nitrate attenuation in groundwater: A review of

- biogeochemical controlling processes. Water Res. 42:4215–4232
- Rossi P, Gillet F, Rohrbach E, et al (2009) Statistical assessment of variability of terminal
 restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis applied to complex microbial
 communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:7268–7270. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00135-09
- Rossi P, Shani N, Kohler F, et al (2012) Ecology and Biogeography of Bacterial Communities
 Associated with Chloroethene-Contaminated Aquifers. Front Microbiol 3:260. doi:
 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00260
- Smidt H, de Vos WM (2004) Anaerobic microbial dehalogenation. AnnuRevMicrobiol 58:43–73.
 doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.58.030603.123600
- Stroo HF (2010) Bioremediation of chlorinated solvent plumes. In: In situ Remediation of
 Chlorinated Solvent Plumes. pp 309–324
- Stroo HF, Leeson A, Marqusee JA, et al (2012) Chlorinated ethene source remediation: lessons
 learned. Environ Sci Technol 46:6438–47. doi: 10.1021/es204714w
- Sung Y, Ritalahti K (2003) Characterization of two tetrachloroethene-reducing, acetate oxidizing anaerobic bacteria and their description as Desulfuromonas michiganensis sp.
 nov. Appl ... 69:2964–2974. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.5.2964
- Towner KJ (1992) The Genus Acinetobacter. The Prokaryotes 3137-3143. Edited by A. Balows,
 H. G. Trüper, M. D
- Tyner H, Patel R (2016) Propionibacterium acnes biofilm A sanctuary for Staphylococcus
 aureus? Anaerobe 40:63–67. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2016.05.014
- Van Horn DJ, Van Horn ML, Barrett JE, et al (2013) Factors Controlling Soil Microbial Biomass
 and Bacterial Diversity and Community Composition in a Cold Desert Ecosystem: Role of
 Geographic Scale. PLoS One 8:e66103. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0066103
- 676 Van Keulen G, Alderson J, White J, Sawers RG (2007) The obligate aerobic actinomycete
 677 Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2) survives extended periods of anaerobic stress. Environ
 678 Microbiol 9:3143–3149. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01433.x
- Vela AI, García N, Latre M V, et al (2007) Aerococcus suis sp. nov., isolated from clinical
 specimens from swine. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:1291–4. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64537-0
- 681 Velasco Ayuso S, Acebes P, López-Archilla AI, et al (2009) Environmental factors controlling the
 682 spatiotemporal distribution of microbial communities in a coastal, sandy aquifer system
 683 (Doñana, southwest Spain). Hydrogeol J 17:767–780. doi: 10.1007/s10040-008-0397-8
- 684 Wiedemeier T, Rifai H, Newell C, Wilson J (1999) Natural attenuation of fuels and chlorinated
 685 solvents in the subsurface. Wiley, New York
- Yang Y, McCarty PL (2000) Biologically enhanced dissolution of tetrachloroethene DNAPL.
 Environ Sci Technol 34:2979–2984. doi: 10.1021/es991410u
- Yang Y, McCarty PL (2002) Comparison between donor substrates for biologically enhanced
 tetrachloroethene DNAPL dissolution. Environ Sci Technol 36:3400–3404. doi:
 10.1021/es011408e
- Zhao WQ, Liu X, Huang QY, et al (2012) Sorption of Streptococcus suis on various soil particles
 from an Alfisol and effects on pathogen metabolic activity. Eur J Soil Sci 63:558–564
- Zhou J, Xia B, Treves DS, et al (2002) Spatial and resource factors influencing high microbial
 diversity in soil. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:326–334. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.1.326-

695 334.2002

Supplementary information

Key factors controlling microbial distribution on a DNAPL source area

Jofre Herrero, Diana Puigserver, Ivonne Nijenhuis, Kevin Kuntze and José M. Carmona

Table SI 1: Distribution of the microbial samples. HS: Hydrostratigraphic unit; UZ: unsaturated zone; UDTA: upper discontinuous thin aquitard); UPA: upper part of the aquifer; TZBA: transition zone to the basal aquitard; BA: basal aquitard.

Nº sample	Depth (m)	HS unit	Borehole	Sample	Nº sample	Depth (m)	HS unit	Borehole
1	1.22	UZ	F1	1UZ-F2	1	3.30	UZ	F2
2	1.66	UZ	F1	2UDTA-F2	2	4.25	UDTA	F2
3	1.90	UZ	F1	3UPA-F2	3	4.51	UPA	F2
4	3.45	UDTA	F1	4TZBA-F2	4	5.97	TZBA	F2
5	3.96	UDTA	F1	5TZBA-F2	5	6.52	TZBA	F2
6	4.80	UPA	F1	6TZBA-F2	6	6.86	TZBA	F2
7	5.57	UPA	F1	7TZBA-F2	7	7.03	TZBA	F2
8	6.36	TZBA	F1	8BA-F2	8	7.67	BA	F2
9	6.90	TZBA	F1	9BA-F2	9	8.16	BA	F2
10	7.35	TZBA	F1	10BA-F2	10	9.07	BA	F2
11	7.68	BA	F1	11BA-F2	11	12.42	BA	F2
12	9.38	BA	F1	12BA-F2	12	14.72	BA	F2
13	10.40	BA	F1	13BA-F2	13	16.84	BA	F2
14	13.35	BA	F1	14BA-F2	14	18.39	BA	F2
15	14.89	BA	F1					
	Nº sample 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Nº sample Depth (m) 1 1.22 2 1.66 3 1.90 4 3.45 5 3.96 6 4.80 7 5.57 8 6.36 9 6.90 10 7.35 11 7.68 12 9.38 13 10.40 14 13.35 15 14.89	№ sample Depth (m) HS unit 1 1.22 UZ 2 1.66 UZ 3 1.90 UZ 4 3.45 UDTA 5 3.96 UDTA 6 4.80 UPA 7 5.57 UPA 8 6.36 TZBA 9 6.90 TZBA 10 7.35 TZBA 11 7.68 BA 12 9.38 BA 13 10.40 BA 14 13.35 BA 15 14.89 BA	№ sample Depth (m) HS unit Borehole 1 1.22 UZ F1 2 1.66 UZ F1 3 1.90 UZ F1 4 3.45 UDTA F1 5 3.96 UDTA F1 6 4.80 UPA F1 7 5.57 UPA F1 8 6.36 TZBA F1 9 6.90 TZBA F1 10 7.35 TZBA F1 11 7.68 BA F1 12 9.38 BA F1 13 10.40 BA F1 14 13.35 BA F1 15 14.89 BA F1	№ sample Depth (m) HS unit Borehole Sample 1 1.22 UZ F1 1UZ-F2 2 1.66 UZ F1 2UDTA-F2 3 1.90 UZ F1 3UPA-F2 4 3.45 UDTA F1 4TZBA-F2 5 3.96 UDTA F1 5TZBA-F2 6 4.80 UPA F1 6TZBA-F2 7 5.57 UPA F1 6TZBA-F2 8 6.36 TZBA F1 8BA-F2 9 6.90 TZBA F1 9BA-F2 10 7.35 TZBA F1 10BA-F2 11 7.68 BA F1 11BA-F2 12 9.38 BA F1 12BA-F2 13 10.40 BA F1 13BA-F2 14 13.35 BA F1 14BA-F2 15 14.89 BA F1 14BA-F2 <td>Nº sample Depth (m) HS unit Borehole Sample Nº sample 1 1.22 UZ F1 1UZ-F2 1 2 1.66 UZ F1 2UDTA-F2 2 3 1.90 UZ F1 3UPA-F2 3 4 3.45 UDTA F1 4TZBA-F2 4 5 3.96 UDTA F1 5TZBA-F2 5 6 4.80 UPA F1 6TZBA-F2 6 7 5.57 UPA F1 7TZBA-F2 7 8 6.36 TZBA F1 8BA-F2 8 9 6.90 TZBA F1 9BA-F2 9 10 7.35 TZBA F1 10BA-F2 10 11 7.68 BA F1 11BA-F2 11 12 9.38 BA F1 12BA-F2 12 13 10.40 BA F1 13BA-F2</td> <td>№ sample Depth (m) HS unit Borehole Sample № sample Depth (m) 1 1.22 UZ F1 1UZ-F2 1 3.30 2 1.66 UZ F1 2UDTA-F2 2 4.25 3 1.90 UZ F1 3UPA-F2 3 4.51 4 3.45 UDTA F1 4TZBA-F2 4 5.97 5 3.96 UDTA F1 5TZBA-F2 5 6.52 6 4.80 UPA F1 6TZBA-F2 6 6.86 7 5.57 UPA F1 7TZBA-F2 7 7.03 8 6.36 TZBA F1 8BA-F2 8 7.67 9 6.90 TZBA F1 108A-F2 10 9.07 11 7.68 BA F1 11BA-F2 11 12.42 12 9.38 BA F1 13BA-F2 13 16.84 <td>Nº sampleDepth (m)HS unitBoreholeSampleNº sampleDepth (m)HS unit11.22UZF11UZ-F213.30UZ21.66UZF12UDTA-F224.25UDTA31.90UZF13UPA-F234.51UPA43.45UDTAF14TZBA-F245.97TZBA53.96UDTAF15TZBA-F256.52TZBA64.80UPAF16TZBA-F266.86TZBA75.57UPAF17TZBA-F277.03TZBA86.36TZBAF18BA-F287.67BA96.90TZBAF110BA-F298.16BA107.35TZBAF110BA-F21112.42BA117.68BAF111BA-F21112.42BA1310.40BAF113BA-F21316.84BA1413.35BAF114BA-F21418.39BA</td></td>	Nº sample Depth (m) HS unit Borehole Sample Nº sample 1 1.22 UZ F1 1UZ-F2 1 2 1.66 UZ F1 2UDTA-F2 2 3 1.90 UZ F1 3UPA-F2 3 4 3.45 UDTA F1 4TZBA-F2 4 5 3.96 UDTA F1 5TZBA-F2 5 6 4.80 UPA F1 6TZBA-F2 6 7 5.57 UPA F1 7TZBA-F2 7 8 6.36 TZBA F1 8BA-F2 8 9 6.90 TZBA F1 9BA-F2 9 10 7.35 TZBA F1 10BA-F2 10 11 7.68 BA F1 11BA-F2 11 12 9.38 BA F1 12BA-F2 12 13 10.40 BA F1 13BA-F2	№ sample Depth (m) HS unit Borehole Sample № sample Depth (m) 1 1.22 UZ F1 1UZ-F2 1 3.30 2 1.66 UZ F1 2UDTA-F2 2 4.25 3 1.90 UZ F1 3UPA-F2 3 4.51 4 3.45 UDTA F1 4TZBA-F2 4 5.97 5 3.96 UDTA F1 5TZBA-F2 5 6.52 6 4.80 UPA F1 6TZBA-F2 6 6.86 7 5.57 UPA F1 7TZBA-F2 7 7.03 8 6.36 TZBA F1 8BA-F2 8 7.67 9 6.90 TZBA F1 108A-F2 10 9.07 11 7.68 BA F1 11BA-F2 11 12.42 12 9.38 BA F1 13BA-F2 13 16.84 <td>Nº sampleDepth (m)HS unitBoreholeSampleNº sampleDepth (m)HS unit11.22UZF11UZ-F213.30UZ21.66UZF12UDTA-F224.25UDTA31.90UZF13UPA-F234.51UPA43.45UDTAF14TZBA-F245.97TZBA53.96UDTAF15TZBA-F256.52TZBA64.80UPAF16TZBA-F266.86TZBA75.57UPAF17TZBA-F277.03TZBA86.36TZBAF18BA-F287.67BA96.90TZBAF110BA-F298.16BA107.35TZBAF110BA-F21112.42BA117.68BAF111BA-F21112.42BA1310.40BAF113BA-F21316.84BA1413.35BAF114BA-F21418.39BA</td>	Nº sampleDepth (m)HS unitBoreholeSampleNº sampleDepth (m)HS unit11.22UZF11UZ-F213.30UZ21.66UZF12UDTA-F224.25UDTA31.90UZF13UPA-F234.51UPA43.45UDTAF14TZBA-F245.97TZBA53.96UDTAF15TZBA-F256.52TZBA64.80UPAF16TZBA-F266.86TZBA75.57UPAF17TZBA-F277.03TZBA86.36TZBAF18BA-F287.67BA96.90TZBAF110BA-F298.16BA107.35TZBAF110BA-F21112.42BA117.68BAF111BA-F21112.42BA1310.40BAF113BA-F21316.84BA1413.35BAF114BA-F21418.39BA



Figure SI 1: T-RFLP for each sample and restriction enzyme of borehole F1UB. Each graph has standardized proportion (from 0 to 1) and is the average of two valid analysis. X-axis is the length of the RF in base pairs.



Figure SI 2: T-RFLP for each sample and restriction enzyme of borehole F2UB. Each graph has standardized proportion (from 0 to 1) and is the average of two valid analysis. X-axis is the length of the RF in base pairs.



Figure SI 3: T-RFLP for each sample and restriction enzyme for multilevel wells F1UB and F2UB. Each graph has standardized proportion (from 0 to 1) and is the average of two valid analysis. X-axis is the length of the RF in base pairs.



Figure SI 4: Cluster Analysis with Ward Method of all sediment samples with HaeIII restriction enzyme.



Figure SI 5: Cluster Analysis with Ward Method of all sediment samples with Hhal restriction enzyme.



Figure SI 6: Cluster Analysis with Ward Method of all sediment samples with MspI restriction enzyme.