



Review State of the Art on Toxicological Mechanisms of Metal and Metal Oxide Nanoparticles and Strategies to Reduce Toxicological Risks

Victor García-Torra ¹^(D), Amanda Cano ^{1,2,3}^(D), Marta Espina ^{1,2}^(D), Miren Ettcheto ^{3,4}^(D), Antoni Camins ^{3,4}^(D), Emma Barroso ^{3,4}^(D), Manel Vazquez-Carrera ^{3,4}^(D), Maria Luisa García ^{1,2,3}, Elena Sánchez-López ^{1,2,3,*,†}^(D) and Eliana B. Souto ^{5,6,*,†}^(D)

- ¹ Department of Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Technology and Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain; vgarcito8@alumnes.ub.edu (V.G.-T.); acanofernandez@ub.edu (A.C.); m.espina@ub.edu (M.E.); marisagarcia@ub.edu (M.L.G.)
- ² Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (IN2UB), University of Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
- ³ Networking Research Centre of Neurodegenerative Disease (CIBERNED), Instituto de Salud Juan Carlos III, 28031 Madrid, Spain; mirenettcheto@ub.edu (M.E.); camins@ub.edu (A.C.); ebarroso@ub.edu (E.B.); mvazquezcarrera@ub.edu (M.V.-C.)
- ⁴ Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Therapeutic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
 ⁵ CEB—Centre of Biological Engineering, Campus de Gualtar, University of Minbo, 4710-057 Braga, Portug
- CEB—Centre of Biological Engineering, Campus de Gualtar, University of Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal
- ⁶ Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Coimbra, Pólo das Ciências da Saúde, Azinhaga de Santa Comba, 3000-548 Coimbra, Portugal
- * Correspondence: esanchezlopez@ub.edu (E.S.-L.); eliana.souto@ceb.uminho.pt (E.B.S.)
- Both authors have equally contributed.

Abstract: Metal nanoparticles have been extensively investigated for different types of pharmaceutical applications. However, their use has raised some concerns about their toxicity involving the increase of reactive oxygen species causing cellular apoptosis. Therefore, in this review we summarize the most relevant toxicity mechanisms of gold, silver, copper and copper oxide nanoparticles as well as production methods of metal nanoparticles. Parameters involved in their toxicity such as size, surface charge and concentration are also highlighted. Moreover, a critical revision of the literature about the strategies used to reduce the toxicity of this type of nanoparticles is carried out throughout the review. Additionally, surface modifications using different coating strategies, nanoparticles targeting and morphology modifications are deeply explained.

Keywords: metal nanoparticles; nanoparticles toxicity; reactive oxygen species; nanoparticles functionalization

1. Introduction

Nanotechnology, the science of material manipulation at nanoscale level, is believed to be one the most promising fields for biomedical applications. The use of nanomaterials provides unique properties that are not observed at the macroscale level [1].

In this sense, one of the most novel and studied nanostructured systems are metal nanoparticles (MNPs) [2]. In this nanotechnological area, the development of techniques for the controlled synthesis of well-defined metal NPs constitutes an enormous challenge. Metal NPs exhibit unique electronic, magnetic, catalytic and optical properties that are different from those of bulk metals [3]. The exclusive features associated with NPs are responsible for their multifunctional properties and developing interest for their application in various fields such as medical and pharmaceutical industry. In these areas, drug and gene delivery are of high interest. Moreover, certain metals have distinctive properties, such as the antimicrobial properties of gold and silver [4].



Citation: García-Torra, V.; Cano, A.; Espina, M.; Ettcheto, M.; Camins, A.; Barroso, E.; Vazquez-Carrera, M.; García, M.L.; Sánchez-López, E.; Souto, E.B. State of the Art on Toxicological Mechanisms of Metal and Metal Oxide Nanoparticles and Strategies to Reduce Toxicological Risks. *Toxics* 2021, *9*, 195. https:// doi.org/10.3390/toxics9080195

Academic Editor: Susana I. L. Gomes

Received: 22 July 2021 Accepted: 20 August 2021 Published: 23 August 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Several chemical and physical methods are employed to synthesize these nanoparticles such as chemical reduction, electrochemical synthesis, laser ablation method, mechanical milling, microwave-assisted synthesis or polyol synthesis. Depending on which one is used for the preparation of nanoparticles, there will be differences on their morphology, stability and physicochemical properties [5].

In this sense, one of the problems associated with metal and metal oxide NPs is their possible toxicity [6,7]. Toxicity values are directly related to nanoparticles' properties such as morphology, size or zeta potential [8]. For instance, nanometric size is considered crucial in nanomaterial toxicity due to their higher surface area leading to a greater reactivity [8].

Thus, the selection of preparation methods for metal and metal oxide NPs constitutes a critical parameter to be considered leading to relevant physicochemical properties such as chemical composition, size, solubility, shape or electrical properties, among others, which may be the cause of enhanced toxicity issues to the human body that could result in adverse effects on an organ, tissue or cellular level [9].

The study of the toxicity of metal nanoparticles have received increasing interest and here we describe their toxicity mechanisms, which are of extreme relevance in order to reduce cytotoxic effects in humans.

2. Preparation Methods

Two different strategies are used for the preparation of metal and metal oxide NPs, which are bottom up or top down synthesis, depending on the starting material used [10,11]. When the method consists of starting from a bulk material and it is reduced to NPs by different processes, it constitutes a top-down method, whereas if it starts from a single atom or molecules to produce the final formulation it is a bottom-up method [12]. Here, we describe the most commonly used synthesis methods for metal NPs (Table 1).

2.1. Laser Ablation

This is a top-down process of removing portions from the bulk material by irradiation with a focused laser beam, generating vapor and plasma from target metal immersed in a liquid medium [13]. This vapor-plasma plume with high pressure and temperature is cooled by the surrounding liquid medium, leading to the formation of metal nanoparticles via nucleation and growth steps (Figure 1). The most commonly used vapor lasers are Nd:YAG (Neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet) [14–16]. This synthesis method allows a versatile design of the final NPs' properties by modifying the parameters of the process such as the vapor laser used, time or wavelength of laser pulse, ablation time or liquid medium employed. If these parameters are optimized, this method has the capability of yielding to well-dispersed and stable NPs. This is a simple technique involving an easy experimental procedure with endless possibilities of conditions, which allows fabrication of diverse NPs with desired functions. This technique has been used by several researchers for the preparation of AuNPs, AgNPs or ZnONPs [17–19].



Figure 1. Laser beam synthesis representation (based on [14–16]).

2.2. Spark Discharge

This is a simple process method and one of the most versatile techniques in the gas phase [20,21]. Nanoparticles are obtained by using electrical discharges between two electrodes separated with dielectric gas. Plasma channel between electrodes is formed with dielectric medium breakdown. The generation of current flow is associated with high temperatures that play an important role in removing material from the electrodes. This is followed by a fast-cooling phase by adiabatic expansion and the nanoparticles are formed by nucleation and growth steps, similar to the laser ablation technique. Interestingly, this method does not require the use of chemical precursors and is considered eco-friendly. It has been used to successfully synthesize AuNPs and AgNPs with a controlled size [22,23]. It was also used to synthesize a mixed metallic nanoparticles (Ag-Cu), (Pt-Au) that are immiscible using a combination of the metals in the electrodes [24].

2.3. Evaporation/Condensation

Evaporation/condensation constitutes a synthesis method in gas phase which does not require the use of liquid solvents. In this method the particles are generated by evaporating the material at high temperatures and then decreasing the temperature to condense the metal vapor, forming nanoparticles. This method can be used to control the size of nanoparticles in an accurate manner. Some authors used this method to produce AgNPs with three specific diameters (namely, 50, 90 and 130 nm). The temperature used was 1300–1400 °C. Although AgNPs were successfully obtained, in the collection phase it was observed that some nanoparticles were attached to each other, forming aggregates [25].

Furthermore, other authors were able to eliminate these unwanted aggregates using a silica coating that can avoid flocculation and agglomeration [26].

2.4. Mechanical Milling

Mechanical milling constitutes a top-down process where the starting material is reduced to a nanostructure by mechanical mixing [27–29]. When the mill chamber, which is commonly a hollow cylindrical shell partially filled with grinding bodies made of stainless steel or ceramic materials, starts to rotate about a horizontal axis, it produces a rotational motion of the material placed inside this container causing ball drops from the top of the chamber to the bottom, generating impacts and collisions and finally leading to a homogeneous dispersion of NPs from the target material. The main parameters to be controlled which determine the properties of the final NPs are the raw material used, the size of grinding balls, the degree of filling, the rotation speed and the temperature of the process [27].

This synthesis method allows working at low temperatures, this having the advantage of avoiding degradation of thermolabile materials. Moreover, it does not use organic

solvents and it is also convenient in order to be employed for large scale production [30]. The main disadvantages to be overcome are the undesired pollution which comes from the milling media and the difficulty of preparing NPs of a small size range [27].

2.5. Electrochemical Synthesis

In the electrochemical synthesis method, it is necessary to use a bulk solution containing metal salts. This technique consists of the electrodeposition of the metal NPs in the substrate surface [31,32]. The main parameters to control in the process are the electrode potential and current density, which will affect the deposition kinetics as well as the nucleation and crystal growth. These parameters are crucial to controlling the properties and morphology of metal NPs. Moreover, nucleation and growth process are important to control in order to synthetize metal NPs with a suitable dispersion [33,34].

2.6. Chemical Reduction Method

Chemical reduction constitutes one of the most popular and used synthesis methods, since it is a simple and low-cost method to obtain controlled particle size with low size dispersion. In this process, a bulk solution containing the metal precursor is used and a surfactant and reducing agent are added during the chemical reaction [35]. This process consists of the reduction of the metal cations contained within the metal salts to form metal atoms [36]. The collisions and aggregations between the formed metal atoms and cations result in the formation of clusters. The nucleation is continuously growing to a critical size when the NPs are stabilized. The rate of nucleation growth is crucial to control the shape and size of metal NPs. This rate can be modified using different surfactants, reducing agents or metal precursors, as well as the pH and the temperature at which the reaction is carried out. The metal precursors are usually salts containing the target metal atom. For instance, AgNPs are usually produced using AgNO₃ as a precursor. A surfactant is used in this process to prevent NP aggregation and to disperse them within the solution. Additionally, NaBH₄ is widely used as a reducing agent. The use of amine-boranes as reducing agents is being increased [37,38], both for their capability to be used also as stabilizing agents and because they do not require solvent addition [39,40].

One other kind of chemical reduction process is employing polyols that have emerged as a very useful reducing agent. In this process, polyols also act as a solvent of the metal salt precursor. Polyols have some advantages such as their capacity to coordinate particle surfaces, which prevents or minimizes coalescence, its high boiling point and its high viscosity due to the presence of several hydroxyl groups, which allows working at high temperatures and favors the control of particle growth [41]. Several metal NPs have been successfully obtained in the last few years using the polyol synthesis method [42–45].

2.7. Microwave-Assisted Synthesis

In the last several years, the microwave-assisted synthesis method has received increasing interest due to its effective use of dielectric heating that has demonstrated to reduce the time of reaction and the side reactions, which can lead to enhanced chemical yields and reproducibility of the process. Compared to conventional heat conduction methods, the microwave is more efficient in terms of temperature homogeneity and energy used [46]. This technique is usually combined with the previously mentioned methods. For instance, polyol synthesis can be improved with microwave heating [47,48].

2.8. Green-Synthesis

Nowadays, the development of metal NPs using green-synthesis methods is being intensely studied [49–53]. Application of green chemistry can reduce the use of hazardous solvents or other toxic compounds, improve the energetic efficiency of reactions and processes, and minimize safety issues. The main strategies are based on plant mediated synthesis where a metal salt precursor is obtained from plants. Plant extracts are also rich on alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids or phenolic acids that can reduce metallic ions to metal

atoms leading to NP formation [54–56]. One of the main advantages of this method is the reduction of human and environmental toxicity, since they are more biocompatible and less harmful for health, and the production methods are eco-friendly, using organic natural sources and reducing energy consumption [49].

Synthesis Method	Advantages	Disadvantages		
Laser ablation	Simple and effective Easy to modify nanoparticles properties by changing synthesis parameters	The laser path can be blocked by the portions of material released from the surface, causing reduction in ablation rate		
Spark discharge	Cost-efficient Environmentally-friendly No impurities	Pure gas is required		
Evaporation/ condensation	Control of size No solvents used	High energy required		
Mechanical milling	Work at low temperatures No solvent used	High energy required Time consuming method Contamination from milling media		
Electrochemical synthesis	Simple, fast and inexpensive method Control of size and morphology of nanoparticles	Impurities from liquid media		
Chemical reduction method	Simple and effective	Impurities from reaction Toxicity issues of reactive agents		
Microwave-assisted synthesis	More efficient use of energy Higher production rates	Less homogeneity of nanoparticles size and morphology		
Green synthesis	Eco-friendly Less toxicity Reduction of energy consumption	Use of natural sources Less effective than other methods		

Table 1. Production methods of metal nanoparticles [12,57].

3. Toxicity Mechanisms of Metal Nanoparticles

A large number of drug delivery formulations based on metal NPs are successfully applied in biomedicine, clinics, cosmetics and pharmaceutical industry. While the inclusion of nanomaterials in several products can enhance their performance, on the other hand, there is growing evidence that the small particle size may also induce undesired side effects. The reduced size at the nanoscale level creates complex physicochemical interactions when exposed to a physiological environment [58].

Consequently, despite some unique advantages associated specifically to metal NPs, potential toxic effects should be considered, both for human administration and for the environment after their application [36].

The key to understand the toxicity of metal NPs is that their small size allows them to show an increased penetration rate but also to cause alterations in the cellular redox balance leading to increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and thus causing abnormal cellular functionality, leading to cytotoxic effects (Figure 2) [59,60]. Uncontrolled generation of ROS causes harmful effects on cellular structures such as proteins, lipids and nucleic acids, and some evidence shows that this can also be responsible for the progression of several diseases [61–63]. The interaction between lipids and reactive species can cause lipid peroxidation. This process is a chain reaction created by free radicals to form lipid hydroperoxides. The accumulation of hydroperoxides and their subsequent decomposition to alkoxyl and peroxyl radicals accelerates the peroxidation of polyunsatu-

rated fatty acids leading to oxidative damage to cell membranes [64]. It has been shown that the consequences of lipid peroxidation include the decrease of lipid fluidity and a subsequent alteration of its permeability and integrity, leading to a functional loss of cell membranes [65].



Figure 2. General view of toxicity mechanisms of metallic nanoparticles (based on [59,60]).

It has also been shown that ROS can also induce DNA damage by several oxidative reactions with DNA bases leading to mutations. Oxidative DNA damage has been demonstrated to play an important role in the initiation and development of cancer [66,67].

Mitochondria also play and important role in cell damage. The overproduction of ROS cause mitochondrial release of apoptogenic signaling molecules inducing caspase cascade responsible for cell death [68,69]. An additional mitochondrial-independent pathway is also induced by ROS by other signaling molecules such as Caspase 8 or Fas protein.

Thus, to ensure the safe development of NP-containing products, scientific knowledge on potential hazards posed by these nanostructured systems needs to be deepened handin-hand with the progress in nanotechnological industry. Reaching this ultimate goal will enable us to avoid, at least, synthesizing potential toxic engineered nanomaterials for commercial use. Moreover, understanding the interaction mechanisms of NPs with cells and their consequences is the first defense in hazard prevention also regarding to accidentally produced NPs due to human activities or derived from natural causes.

3.1. Silver Nanoparticles

Silver NPs (AgNPs) are being increasingly used in many different products. They are well known for their antibacterial activity. However, the potential toxicity of AgNPs constitutes a great concern [70–72].

AgNPs can induce toxicity mainly by two different pathways. The first one is the release of a Ag^+ ions, which are toxic in high concentrations [73,74]. The second one is derived from the nanometric size of AgNPs. These are able to interact with proteins, nucleic acids and carbohydrates of the biological system, modifying their surface properties. However, these surface changes play an important role in the interaction of NPs with cell surface receptors, facilitating the entrance of the NPs via endocytosis. AgNPs' uptake can also be influenced by their size, shape and concentration [75]. In this area, AgNPs' concentration-dependent toxicity has been studied in a zebrafish model [76]. In this study, different concentrations (5, 10, 25, 50 and 100 μ g/L) of AgNPs were added to zebrafish embryos and incubated for 72 h at 28.5 °C. The mortality of zebrafish embryos increased proportionally to AgNPs' concentration. The calculated LC_{50} was stablished between $25-50 \ \mu g/L$ and at $100 \ \mu g/L$ only 15-30% were alive. Hearth rate and hatching rate were also induced by AgNP in a dose-dependent manner. In a preclinical study the skin toxicity of AgNPs was evaluated [77]. Three different concentrations of AgNPs (0.34, 3.4 and 34 µg/mL) were topically administered to a pig animal model. Significant differences of focal inflammations and edemas between 0.34 and 34 μ g/mL were observed, being the

latter the concentration with higher toxic effects [77]. AgNPs can permeate cell membranes and produce higher levels of intracellular Ag^+ , causing cytotoxic and genotoxic effects. The cytotoxic effects of AgNPs have mostly been characterized in terms of oxidative stress, DNA damage and modulation of cytokine production. The cellular uptake of AgNPs can stimulate the production of radical oxygen species (ROS), resulting in oxidative stress. ROS can induce cell death either by apoptosis or necrosis [78–80].

Regarding genotoxicity, the increased generation of ROS produced by AgNPs can cause DNA damage by decreasing ATP production, which is associated with mitochondrial damage, impairing energy-dependent DNA repair mechanisms [81]. Direct DNA damage by Ag⁺ or by Ag NPs themselves have also been reported [82,83].

Moreover, in vitro studies have shown the toxic effects of AgNPs in different cells lines. AgNPs have been exposed to murine macrophage cell RAW 264.7 [84], where an increase of cytotoxicity caused by citrate coated 20 nm AgNPs compared with those of 110 nm was observed by causing a more intense acute pulmonary inflammation. The results also showed a reduction of toxicity when AgNPs were coated with PVP avoiding Ag⁺ complexation.

Other studies have also demonstrated size-dependent toxicity of AgNPs. In this sense, Gliga and colleagues used bronchial epithelial cells (BEAS-2B) that were exposed to AgNPs of different average sizes [85]. The results showed a higher cytotoxicity in the smallest size of AgNPs (10 nm) with higher release of free silver ions [86]. Other studies using alveolar epithelial cells (A549) and human epidermal keratocytes (HEKs) revealed a clear influence of the surface properties of AgNPs, the AgNPs coated with carbon being less cytotoxic [87]. Finally, in an interesting study, human mesenchymal cells exposed to AgNPs show a release of interleukins 6 and 8 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) secretion. Moreover, DNA damage was evaluated with a COMET assay and chromosomal aberration test, showing significant damage after 1 h and a concentration-dependent toxicity [88]. These results showed that the toxicity of AgNPs strongly depends on size and shape, surface properties and concentration.

3.2. Gold Nanoparticles

Interest in gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) has increased due to their ease of synthesis and their unique properties with a potential use in drug delivery [89,90] and in biological imaging, which makes them attractive tools for cancer detection and therapy [91,92].

It has been found that the toxicity of AuNPs is closely related to their physicochemical parameters that can influence their biological activity and cellular interactions.

Depending on the synthesis method or functionalization processes, an AuNP's surface can also be modified, being a crucial factor for toxicity due to the fact that different molecular interaction can occur. For instance, Goodman and co-workers found that modifying the surface charge of AuNPs caused different levels of toxicity. They determined that cationic AuNPs were moderately toxic, whereas anionic AuNPs showed no evidence of toxicity [93]. In contrast, in the study developed by Schaeublin and colleagues [94], both anionic and cationic AuNPs caused toxicity, observing in the anionic AuNPs even higher toxicity. This can be explained by the different synthesis methods, using different chemical groups to modulate the surface charge. Moreover, other studies can be found demonstrating the influence of the ligand agent used to modify AuNPs surface [95].

Currently there are very few published studies that examine the risks and side effects of AuNPs, but they indicate that the cause of toxicity can be related to ROS production. In this sense, Jia et al. found out that when the concentration of AuNPs is increased, the NO-released levels were also elevated thus highlighting that a dose-dependent response in reactive nitrogen species (RNS) exists between RNS and AuNPs [96]. This can be due to the fact that NO can react with superoxide producing peroxynitrite species which can interact with DNA, proteins or lipids via oxidative reactions. This reaction may cause oxidative injury, leading to necroptosis or apoptosis [97]. Li et al. also demonstrated that there is a clear evidence that the presence of AuNPs induce oxidative DNA damage [98]. Moreover,

other authors also evidence the direct relationship between AuNPs and ROS concluding that at high doses such as 40–50 µg of AuNPs, a significant ROS induction was detected. Moreover, the latter study also showed that ROS generation can be related to production of TNF- α that could be involved in cell death [99]. Further studies showed that the LC_{50} , calculated with Daphnia Magna model, ranged from 65 mg/L to 75 mg/L showing a significance reduction with bimetallic Ag-Au NPs (15 mg/L) [100]. It has also been found that AuNPs can induce autophagy process. Autophagy is a lysosome-dependent degradative pathway that plays an major role in maintaining cellular homeostasis. Ma et al. demonstrated that AuNPs can cause autophagosome accumulation by blocking autophagic flux in a size-dependent manner, showing that 50 nm AuNPs increase the autophagosome accumulation compared to 25 nm and 10 nm [101]. In addition, surface functionalization of AuNPs constitutes a critical aspect to induce autophagy. This has been demonstrated with CTAB-coated Au-nanorods, which possessed higher toxicity than Au-nanorods coated with PSS and PDDAC [102]. This could be interesting for future biomedical applications since recently some researchers have focused on autophagy mechanism as a possible treatment for cancer [103,104].

3.3. Copper/Copper Oxide Nanoparticles

As previously mentioned for Au and AgNPs, ROS and RNS production also play an important role in copper/copper oxide nanoparticles (CuNPs/CuONPs) toxicity [105–107]. In this area, Sarkar et al. observed that CuNPs exposure induced the production of ROS and NO, which decreases the activity of antioxidant enzymes [108]. A TUNEL assay was performed to confirm that higher levels of ROS also induce a cascade pathway leading to apoptotic cell death in kidney tissue. This study also demonstrated that the presence of CuNPs induces the release of cytochrome C in the cytosol due to the reduction in mitochondrial membrane potential caused by the alteration of the Bcl/Bax ratio. The Bcl family controls the intrinsic apoptotic pathway. The Bcl2 protein has an antiapoptotic effect, contrary to Bax protein which has pro-apoptotic activity, so the Bcl2/Bax ratio is a key factor to control the caspase cascade leading to a programed cell death [109]. The loss of mitochondrial membrane potential induces the loss of level expressions in Caspases 9 and 3 causing cell death via mitochondria-dependent pathway. In addition, the extrinsic pathway where the exposure of CuNPs produced an increase in the cellular levels of Fas protein, caspase 8 and tBID was studied. It suggests the involvement of a mitochondrial-independent pathway. As such, this study suggests the involvement of two apoptotic pathways to control cell death [108]. Those results are in agreement with other studies investigating the induction of oxidative stress in nanotoxicity. Specifically, Assadian et al. found that the generation of ROS results in the alteration levels of glutathione and peroxidase damage to membrane lipids which can cause cell death in human lymphocytes [110]. Moreover, it has also been described that CuNPs induce apoptosis in HepG2 cells via mitochondrial pathway, evidenced by the modification of Bcl2/Bax ratio originating the caspases 9 and 3 activation [111]. Moreover, it has been reported that the LD_{50} of CuNPs (23.5 nm) is around 413 mg/Kg. However, this is still controversial. In this area, an interesting study exposed male rats to several CuNPs concentrations (5, 10 and 100 mg/Kg) and after 2, 7 and 14 days the histological changes and hepatic enzymes level were evaluated. The results show that all concentrations induced toxicity as well as modifications in histopathology of liver and lung tissues [112]. In a different study, CuNPs concentrations ranged between 50 and 200 mg/Kg/day were also applied to rats for 5 days. In this case, the results showed lower hepatotoxicity with 50 and 100 mg/Kg/day than at doses of 200 mg/Kg/day [113].

3.4. Zinc/Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles

Zinc and zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnNPs, ZnONPs) can enter the cell by two different pathways: diffusion of free Zn^{2+} ions dissolved in extracellular medium and direct internalization of ZnNPs [114]. The dissolution of ZnNPs to release Zn^{2+} ions has a strong dependence on pH of the medium. The presence of other components in the medium, the UV radiation, the concentration and NPs size are other factors that can have an influence in the release of Zn^{2+} [115].

$$ZnO + 2NaOH \rightarrow Na_2ZnO_2 + H_2O$$

 $ZnO + 2HCl \rightarrow ZnCl_2 + H_2O$
 $ZnCl_2 \rightarrow Zn^{2+} + 2Cl^{-}$

The internalization of ZnNPs is carried out via endocytosis mediated by membrane receptor or particular endocytic pathways such as the Claritin-dependent pathway, caveolaeindependent pathway or caveoloae-dependent pathway [116].

Free Zn²⁺ generated from ZnNPs has an important effect on toxicity. Studies investigating ZnNPs' toxicity indicate that a correlation between the concentration of free ions and cell viability exists. Moreover, in this case the consequence is that LDH is released, causing cell membrane damage [117].

Furthermore, the internalized ZnNPs induce the generation of ROS [118], leading to cytokines prompting inflammation that can result in cell death [119].

The toxicity effects of 20 nm ZnONPs were investigated at different doses (5, 50, 100, 300, 1000 and 2000 mg/Kg) administered to rats by oral route [120]. Surprisingly, lower doses showed higher toxicity effects. This might be caused by less agglomeration of ZnO NPs at lower doses that allows them to penetrate into the cells easily [120]. However, future research needs to be focused on lower doses in order to determine the LD_{50} of Zn and ZnONPs.

3.5. Iron Oxide Nanoparticles

The toxicity of iron oxide nanoparticles (FeONPs) is closely related to their size, characteristics of the surface, shape and concentration. Fe₂O₃ (maghemite) and Fe₃O₄ (magnetite) are the most widely used iron materials for NPs synthesis. However, maghemite is preferred to be used since Fe³⁺ can already be found in the human body and is less likely to cause toxic effects. They differ in the oxidation state which modifies their physicochemical properties [121]. The release of iron in cellular medium can produce free radicals by Fenton reaction, in which the combination of iron ions and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) generates hydroxyl radicals [122]. The accumulation of hydroxyl radicals can induce lipid peroxidation processes which leads to ferroptosis causing cell death. Recently, iron-based NPs have been studied in cancer therapy due to this phenomenon [123].

Moreover, FeONPs are used as MRI contrast agents at a concentration of 0.56 mg/Kg. Furthermore, concentration-dependent toxicity of FeONPs has been also studied in vitro using murine macrophage cell line J774 at concentrations ranging between 25 and 500 μ g/L [124]. Cell viability at 25 μ g/L was 95–100% and decreased up to 55–65% at 500 μ g/L. Apoptosis index at 25 μ g/L was 1.9 and increased up to 26.8 at 500 μ g/L [124].

Another in vitro cellular study showed that FeONPs were able to cause cellular membrane damage in erythrocytes, altering their mechanical properties and inducing oxidative stress [125]. The main sources of ROS production are direct generation from their surface, via FeONPs disintegration, from organelle dysfunction or some induction of cell signaling pathways [126–128].

Another study showed that internalized FeONPs can induce ROS production in a dose and time-dependent manner. As concentration of FeONPs increased, higher ROS formation was also observed. No further increase in ROS generation was observed at higher concentrations (2 mg/mL, 3 mg/mL), which may be due to saturation in the cellular surface, blocking the uptake of additional FeONPs. Therefore, cellular uptake was at maximum during the first 3 h of exposure, and no increase was found after 4 h, probably due to saturation of the cellular entrance mechanisms [129].

4. Strategies to Reduce Metal Nanoparticles Toxicity

In spite of their great potential for pharmacological use, metal NPs are associated with toxicity issues. The main toxicity problems are closely linked with the release of free ions, their reactivity with biological molecules, tendency to agglomerate, or oxidative damage.

Toxicity can be modified depending on the characteristics of metal NPs, such as size and shape, surface charge or composition, which, at the same time, are closely related to the synthesis method. The development and optimization of synthetic routes are crucial to reduce or eliminate the toxicity issue. Moreover, reduction toxicity strategies are summarized in Table 2.

4.1. Surface Functionalization

In order to stabilize metal NPs, in addition to enhancing their uptake and biocompatibility, organic functional groups have been used to modify NPs' surfaces.

One of the most commonly used organic groups for functionalization is polyethylene glycol (PEG), which produces a steric barrier preventing the attachment of phagocytes and shielding the surface from aggregation and opsonization, leading to a prolonged time on the systemic circulation (Figure 3) [8,130,131]. These neutral, flexible, and hydrophilic PEG chains help in minimizing adverse immunological effects [132]. PEG weights range from hundreds to several thousands of Daltons. Additionally, low-weight PEGs are highly soluble in water but PEG solubility decreases with increasing molecular weight [133]. In general terms, to achieve the necessary stealth properties, the most suitable molecular weight of PEG has been reported between 1500–5000 Da [133]. However, with ZnO, TiO₂ and Cu₂O it was observed that low-molecular-weight PEG has a smaller steric effect than long-chain PEGs [133].

Moreover, the terminal OH group of PEG may be selectively oxidized to functionalize PEG with various terminal end groups or to attach large ligands [133]. The use of PEG with additional amino (PEG-NH₂) and thiol (PEG-SH) groups has been advocated as useful strategy [134]. AuNPs with average size ranged between of 1.5 and 5.9 nm have been produced and functionalized with PEG-SH and also with PEG-NH₂ groups. These NPs show better physical and biological properties for drug delivery applications and no cytotoxic effects were observed in AuNPs-PEG-SH, in contrast with AuNPs-PEG/NH₂ and AuNPs-PEG [134]. Using AuNPs, it has also been reported that the spacer length of the PEG (the link between the NP surface and the PEG) also plays a major role, especially when fluorescent tags are also attached to the NPs surface [133].

In this area, a significant reduction of cytotoxicity was also observed in ZnNPs functionalized with PEG. The use of PEG reduced the formation of the protein corona, leading to lower toxicity compared to uncoated ZnNPs [135]. Moreover, Wuelfing et al. reported that a monolayer thiol PEGylation of surface metallic AuNPs with octaedral shape by CH₃O–PEG–SH significantly improved their dispersion stability in aqueous milieu due to the steric repulsion effects of ethered PEG strands [132,136].

In addition, several potential safety concerns have been recently raised from the repeated use of PEG-related products since PEG is not biodegradable. Usually, lower molecular weight PEGs are preferable for biomedical applications since high molecular weight PEG can be acccumulated on some tissues. Moreover, under exogenous stress conditions such as heat, radiation or mechanical forces, PEG showed degradation [132]. Furthermore, PEG's potential synthetic impurities such as 1,4-dioxane, formaldehyde and cyclic dimer of the ethylene oxide emphasize the usage of a highly technical grade of PEG for biomedical applications [132].

Surface charge is another relevant factor to consider, since it has influence in the NPs' blood stream solubility and interaction with biological molecules and cell membranes. Therefore, a really interesting computational study showed the effects of AuNPs functionalization with carboxylic groups. These may to be spontaneously protonated on the AuNP's surface, leading to a more controlled and less disruptive interaction with cell membranes [137]. However, in vivo results will be necessary in order to corroborate this result.

4.2. Antibody Functionalization

Antibody-functionalized metal NPs constitute a novel and promising strategy to reduce toxicity by enhancing targeting and effectiveness of drug delivery. In this area, an interesting study using ranibizumab, showed significant improvements in reduction of toxicity in hybrid Au/Fe₃O₄NPs. Ranibizumab-coated Au/Fe₃O₄NPs were successfully prepared with a non-covalent binding synthesis technique. The MTT assay showed no toxicity of Ranibizumab-coated Au/Fe₃O₄NPs [138].

In this area, the use of metal NPs coated with antibodies has a huge potential for cancer treatment, providing better targeting and control of the drug release in specific tumor areas [139].

For instance, AuNPs were conjugated with cetuximab antibody by Li and coworkers to enhance the specificity of AuNPs to human cancer cells through the conjugation to tumor-specific ligands such as EGFR, which is expected to be a promising candidate for cancer therapy [140]. They use the combination of AuNPs charged with H⁺ particles functionalized with antibodies for radiosensitizing therapy. The results showed a good binding capcity between cetuximab and and EGFR in a concentration-dependent manner leading to a rapid and efficient cell uptake. An enhancement of the effect by proton radiation was observed compared with non-targeted AuNPs [141].

4.3. Coating Modification

The primary purpose of coating modifications is to reduce metal ion release and agglomerations and avoid oxidation processes.

Within this field, silica-based coatings are the most frequently used. In this sense, ZnNPs have been prepared by Chia et al and coated with silica to prevent the release of Zn⁺². The results showed that the presence of the silica coating effectively reduced cytotoxicity in addition to retaining the antimicrobial properties of ZnNPs [142]. Another study carried out in vitro using FeONPs coated with thin silica shell in A549 and HeLa cells showed a significant reduction of ROS production in Fe₃O₄/SiO₂ compared to uncoated NPs, leading to a decrease of cytotoxicity [143]. Moreover, a reduction of DNA damage was also observed [143]. Other authors also found that the use of silica coating in AgNPs effectively reduced their toxicity. SiO₂ was demonstrated to prevent the direct interaction of cells with AgNP surfaces and the liberation of Ag²⁺ ions [144,145].

Chitosan, a natural alkalyne polysaccharide with good biocompatibility and biodegradability, constitutes a widely used compound for NPs coating. This long chain carbohydrate can avoid surface oxidation and control the disintegration of metal NPs, reducing their potential toxicity. Chitosan coating was shown to effectively reduce toxicity of CuNPs in an in vitro study using human A549 cells. This toxicity reduction appears to be linked to decreased ROS generation, suggesting that chitosan coating enhances the release control of copper ions [146]. In a different study, chitosan-coated AuNPs were synthesized to evaluate the uptake, cytotoxicity and immunological responses. The results showed that chitosan can modullate the interaction between AuNPs and proteins in cellular culture medium, modifying cellular responses. The human monocytic cell line was used to assess the uptake and cytotoxicity of chitosan coated AuNPs. Cellular internalization capacity of chitosan coated AuNPs has been compared with citrated funtionalized AuNPs. The positive charge of chitosan has been shown to associate with negatively charged lipid bilayers leading to a greater and faster internalization compared with anionic coatings. Cationic surface has also shown to enhance the interaction with serum proteins since most of them are anionic improving phyisicochemical properties of AuNPs. However, it has to be taken into account the observed cellular inflammatory responses induced by chitosan due to the enhanced particle interaction [146,147]. Figure 3 summarizes the surface and coating modification strategies of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles.



Figure 3. Surface and coating modification strategies of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles (based on [134,138,146]).

4.4. Morphology

4.4.1. Size

The average size of synthesized metal NPs ranges from 1 to 100 nm. This parameter confers different properties compared to the bulk material form. The higher surface area enhances their reactivity, with higher number of molecules interaction in cellular system. Moreover, the reduction of size also allows for enhancing the cellular uptake of NPs, leading to a higher concentration inside the cell [148]. Thus, size plays an important role in determining the toxicity of nanomaterials. Some studies demonstrate the size-dependent toxicity of metal nanoparticles. For instance, a citotoxicity study was carried out exposing different AgNP sizes (20 nm, 80 nm, 113 nm) to RAW 264.7 and L929 cells. The results showed significant differences in ROS production and extracellular LDH activity, being higher in the case of the smallest AgNPs [149].

However, not all studies show higher uptake and toxicity with the smallest NPs. A study developed with AuNPs was performed, exposing different AuNPs sizes (namely, 14, 30, 50, 74 and 100 nm) to cells. Results showed that the greater uptake was obtained by 50 nm AuNPs with no significant difference in toxicity among the populations assesed [150]. On the other hand, an interesting in vivo study showed highest adverse effects in mice of AuNPs with sizes ranging from 8 to 37 nm, while nanoparticles of 3, 5, 50 and 100 nm did not show any cytotoxic effects [151].

Although the majority of the evidence points out a trend towards increased toxicity related with smaller NP size, further studies will be necessary. However, it is sure that size-dependent toxicity has to be taken into account when developing metal and metal oxide NPs in order to reduce their possible side effects.

4.4.2. Shape

Shape is also a critical factor contributing to toxic effects. Different NP shapes have influence in the interaction with biological molecules and to cross through biological barriers. Metal NPs can be synthesized in many different shapes such as spheres, triangles, rods, stars and cubic prisms (Figure 4) [149,152].

Nanospheres, nanostars and nanorods based on AuNPs have been synthesized to investigate the influence of shape [153]. Even though the results showed the highest uptake with the nanospherical shape and the lowest with nanostars, the cytotoxicity of nanospheres was also the lowest, suggesting that higher uptake does not always induce higher toxicity [153]. Recently, it has been demonstrated that crystal orientation of metal nanocrystals has a considerable influence in cytotoxicity [154]. The results of this study showed that 100 Pd nanocrystals show less toxicity than 111 Pd nanocrystals. These results seem to be related to the stronger oxygen-binding capacity of 100 Pd nanocrystals compared to 111, resulting in less hydroxyl radical generation and reducing the oxidative damage.



Figure 4. Common shapes of AuNPs; sphere (**A**), rod (**B**), star (**C**) triangles, rods, stars and cubic (**D**) and triangle-like (**E**) prisms (based on [155]).

Table 2. Summary of reduction of toxicity strategies employed in metal nanoparticles and the techniques used to assed their characterization and therapeutic efficacy (ND: no data available).

Stragey Employed	Type of Metal NP	Functionalitzation Stragey	Physicochemical Characteritza- tion	In Vitro Studies	In Vivo Studies	References
Surface func- tionalization	Gold nanoparticles	PEG-SH and PG-NH ₂ groups	TEM/HRTEM, UV-Vis spectroscopy	Citotoxicity assay in SAOS-2 cell line cultivated in McCoy's 5A medium with 15% heat-inactivated FBS, penicillin and streptomycin	ND	[134]
Surface func- tionalization	Zinc nanoparticles	PEG	FTIR	Citotoxicity assay in THP-1 immune cells	ND	[135]
Surface func- tionalization	Gold nanoparticles	Anionic ligands	ND	ND	ND	[137]
Antibody fun- tionalization	Gold coated magnetite	Antibody raanibizumab	SEM, DLS, XRD, TGA	Citotoxicity test by MTT assay	ND	[138]
Coating modification	Zinc nanoparticles	Silica coating	TEM, XPS, EDX, FTIR	Citotoxicity assessment in both colorectal epithelial cell lines (SW480 and DLD-1)	ND	[142]
Coating modification	Iron oxide nanoparticles	Silica coating	TEM, DLS and potential measurements	Citotoxicity assay in HeLa and A549 cells	ND	[143]
Coating modification	Silver nanoparticles	Silica coating	TEM and SEM images, optical absortion	Toxicity evaluation with <i>E. coli</i> bacteria	ND	[26]

Stragey Employed	Type of Metal NP	Functionalitzation Stragey	Physicochemical Characteritza- tion	In Vitro Studies	In Vivo Studies	References
Coating modification	Copper nanoparticles	Chitosan coating	XPS, XRD, TEM, DLS	Citotoxicity with human alveolar epithelial cell (A549) using standard MTS assay	In vivo study using mice by nasal adminis- tration to investigate inflammatory responses	[146]
Size modification	Silver Nanoparticles	ND	TEM, DLS, Z-potential	Citotoxicity study with murine peritoneal macrophage cell line (RAW 264.7) and L929 fibroblasts	ND	[149]
Size modification	Gold nanoparticles	ND	TEM, ICP-MS	In vitro study with HeLa cells by MTT assay	Mice in- traperitoneal injection into BALB/C at a dose of 8 mg/kg/week	[151]
Shape modification	Gold nanoparticles	chitosan	HR Tem images	In vitro study into four cancer cell lines: AGS, HepG2, HT29, HeLa by MTT assay	ND	[156]

Table 2. Cont.

5. Conclusions

In summary, metal and metal oxide NPs show unique properties that allow them to be a promising tool for the therapeutic treatment of several diseases. Since the use of these nanosystems are of increasing interest, more experts are focusing on the investigation of their abilities to be used for biomedical applications. However, toxicity mechanisms need to be deeply understood in order to be able to reduce their risks. In general terms, the most critical issues are the release of free metal ions, the intracellular uptake and the oxidative reactions leading to inflammatory responses.

The optimization of synthesis methods and the reduction of nanotoxicity are interlocking goals that need to be pursued in parallel, since it is clear that the morphology and physicochemical properties of NPs are closely linked with toxicity. Importantly, metals are not biodegradable materials and it is of crucial relevance to find the most adequate surface functionalization in order to increase their biocompatibility and improve their targeting, trying to avoid the generation of harmful species in body systemic circulation. Optimization of size and shape combined with functionalitzation of NPs by surface coating or ligands such as antibodies attached to their surface consitutes a successful tool to reduce the toxicity of metal NPs.

Author Contributions: V.G.-T., A.C. (Amanda Cano), M.E. (Marta Espina) and M.E. (Miren Ettcheto) contributed for the conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis and investigation and for the writing—original draft preparation; A.C. (Antoni Camins), E.B., E.S.-L., M.V.-C., M.L.G. and E.B.S. contributed for the supervision, writing the second version, editing the revision, project administration, resources and funding acquisition. All authors have made a substantial contribution to the work. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was funded by the Portuguese Science and Technology Foundation (FCT) from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MCTES), European Social Fund (FSE) of EU, through the project UIDB/04469/2020 (CEB strategic fund), co-funded by European Funds (PRODER/COMPETE) and FEDER, under the Partnership Agreement PT2020.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Khan, I.; Saeed, K.; Khan, I. Nanoparticles: Properties, applications and toxicities. Arab. J. Chem. 2019, 12, 908–931. [CrossRef]
- Canaparo, R.; Foglietta, F.; Limongi, T.; Serpe, L. Biomedical applications of reactive oxygen species generation by metal nanoparticles. *Materials* 2021, 14, 53. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sachin, K.; Karn, S.K. Microbial Fabricated Nanosystems: Applications in Drug Delivery and Targeting. Front. Chem. 2021, 9, 617353. [CrossRef]
- 4. Gu, X.; Xu, Z.; Gu, L.; Xu, H.; Han, F.; Chen, B.; Pan, X. Preparation and antibacterial properties of gold nanoparticles: A review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **2021**, *19*, 167–187. [CrossRef]
- AlNadhari, S.; Al-Enazi, N.M.; Alshehrei, F.; Ameen, F. A review on biogenic synthesis of metal nanoparticles using marine algae and its applications. *Environ. Res.* 2021, 194, 110672. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Engin, A.B. Combined Toxicity of Metal Nanoparticles: Comparison of Individual and Mixture Particles Effect. In *Protein Kinase-mediated Decisions Between Life and Death*; Engin, A.B., Engin, A., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2021; pp. 165–193. ISBN 978-3-030-49844-3.
- 7. Turan, N.B.; Erkan, H.S.; Engin, G.O.; Bilgili, M.S. Nanoparticles in the aquatic environment: Usage, properties, transformation and toxicity—A review. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* **2019**, *130*, 238–249. [CrossRef]
- Pinzaru, I.; Coricovac, D.; Dehelean, C.; Moacă, E.A.; Mioc, M.; Baderca, F.; Sizemore, I.; Brittle, S.; Marti, D.; Calina, C.D.; et al. Stable PEG-coated silver nanoparticles—A comprehensive toxicological profile. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2018, 111, 546–556. [CrossRef]
- 9. Parsai, T.; Kumar, A. Weight-of-evidence process for assessing human health risk of mixture of metal oxide nanoparticles and corresponding ions in aquatic matrices. *Chemosphere* **2021**, *263*, 128289. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. De Oliveira, P.F.M.; Torresi, R.M.; Emmerling, F.; Camargo, P.H.C. Challenges and opportunities in the bottom-up mechanochemical synthesis of noble metal nanoparticles. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2020**, *8*, 16114–16141. [CrossRef]
- 11. Isaacoff, B.P.; Brown, K.A. Progress in Top-Down Control of Bottom-Up Assembly. Nano Lett. 2017, 17, 6508–6510. [CrossRef]
- 12. Kargozar, S.; Mozafari, M. Nanotechnology and Nanomedicine: Start small, think big. *Mater. Today Proc.* 2018, *5*, 15492–15500. [CrossRef]
- 13. Zhang, J.; Claverie, J.; Chaker, M.; Ma, D. Colloidal Metal Nanoparticles Prepared by Laser Ablation and their Applications. *ChemPhysChem* **2017**, *18*, 986–1006. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Alhamid, M.Z.; Hadi, B.S.; Khumaeni, A. Synthesis of silver nanoparticles using laser ablation method utilizing Nd:YAG laser. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*; AIP Publishing LLC: Melville, NY, USA, 2019; Volume 2202, p. 020013. [CrossRef]
- 15. Vahabzadeh, E.; Torkamany, M.J. Iron Oxide Nanocrystals Synthesis by Laser Ablation in Water: Effect of Laser Wavelength. J. *Clust. Sci.* 2014, 25, 959–968. [CrossRef]
- Kim, M.; Osone, S.; Kim, T.; Higashi, H.; Seto, T. Synthesis of nanoparticles by laser ablation: A review. KONA Powder Part. J. 2017, 2017, 80–90. [CrossRef]
- 17. Al-Nassar, S.I.; Hussein, F.I.; Ma, A.K. The effect of laser pulse energy on ZnO nanoparticles formation by liquid phase pulsed laser ablation. *J. Mater. Res. Technol.* **2019**, *8*, 4026–4031. [CrossRef]
- 18. Amendola, V.; Polizzi, S.; Meneghetti, M. Laser ablation synthesis of gold nanoparticles in organic solvents. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2006, 110, 7232–7237. [CrossRef]
- 19. Boutinguiza, M.; Comesaña, R.; Lusquiños, F.; Riveiro, A.; Del Val, J.; Pou, J. Production of silver nanoparticles by laser ablation in open air. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2015**, *336*, 108–111. [CrossRef]
- 20. Tabrizi, N.S.; Ullmann, M.; Vons, V.A.; Lafont, U.; Schmidt-Ott, A. Generation of nanoparticles by spark discharge. *J. Nanoparticle Res.* 2009, *11*, 315–332. [CrossRef]
- 21. Singh, A.; Ghosh, A. A thermo-electric model of material removal during electric discharge machining. *Int. J. Mach. Tools Manuf.* **1999**, *39*, *669–682*. [CrossRef]
- Messing, M.E.; Dick, K.A.; Wallenberg, L.R.; Deppert, K. Generation of size-selected gold nanoparticles by spark discharge—For growth of epitaxial nanowires. *Gold Bull.* 2009, 42, 20–26. [CrossRef]
- 23. Oh, H.-C.; Jung, J.-H.; Park, H.-H.; Ji, J.-H.; Kim, S.-S. Generation of Silver Nanoparticles by Spark Discharge Aerosol Generator Using Air as a Carrier Gas. *Trans. Korean Soc. Mech. Eng. B* 2006, *30*, 170–176. [CrossRef]
- 24. Tabrizi, N.S.; Xu, Q.; Van Der Pers, N.M.; Schmidt-Ott, A. Generation of mixed metallic nanoparticles from immiscible metals by spark discharge. J. Nanoparticle Res. 2010, 12, 247–259. [CrossRef]

- 25. Harra, J.; Mäkitalo, J.; Siikanen, R.; Virkki, M.; Genty, G.; Kobayashi, T.; Kauranen, M.; Mäkelä, J.M. Size-controlled aerosol synthesis of silver nanoparticles for plasmonic materials. *J. Nanoparticle Res.* **2012**, *14*, 870. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sotiriou, G.A.; Sannomiya, T.; Teleki, A.; Krumeich, F.; Vörös, J.; Pratsinis, S.E. Non-toxic dry-coated nanosilver for plasmonic biosensors. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2010, 20, 4250–4257. [CrossRef]
- 27. Prasad Yadav, T.; Manohar Yadav, R.; Pratap Singh, D. Mechanical Milling: A Top Down Approach for the Synthesis of Nanomaterials and Nanocomposites. *Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* **2012**, *2*, 22–48. [CrossRef]
- 28. Mancillas-Salas, S.; Hernández-Rodríguez, P.; Reynosa-Martínez, A.C.; López-Honorato, E. Production of aluminum nanoparticles by wet mechanical milling. *MRS Adv.* 2020, *5*, 3133–3140. [CrossRef]
- 29. Arbain, R.; Othman, M.; Palaniandy, S. Preparation of iron oxide nanoparticles by mechanical milling. *Miner. Eng.* 2011, 24, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Saravanan, A.; Kumar, P.S.; Karishma, S.; Vo, D.V.N.; Jeevanantham, S.; Yaashikaa, P.R.; George, C.S. A review on biosynthesis of metal nanoparticles and its environmental applications. *Chemosphere* 2021, 264, 128580. [CrossRef]
- Jeun, Y.E.; Baek, B.; Lee, M.W.; Ahn, H.S. Surfactant-free electrochemical synthesis of metallic nanoparticles via stochastic collisions of aqueous nanodroplet reactors. *Chem. Commun.* 2018, 54, 10052–10055. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McDarby, S.P.; Wang, C.J.; King, M.E.; Personick, M.L. An Integrated Electrochemistry Approach to the Design and Synthesis of Polyhedral Noble Metal Nanoparticles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 21322–21335. [CrossRef]
- Therese, G.H.A.; Kamath, P.V. Electrochemical synthesis of metal oxides and hydroxides. *Chem. Mater.* 2000, 12, 1195–1204. [CrossRef]
- Yanilkin, V.V.; Nasretdinova, G.R.; Kokorekin, V.A. Mediated electrochemical synthesis of metal nanoparticles. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* 2018, 87, 1080–1110. [CrossRef]
- 35. Khandel, P.; Yadaw, R.K.; Soni, D.K.; Kanwar, L.; Shahi, S.K. Biogenesis of Metal Nanoparticles and Their Pharmacological Applications: Present Status and Application Prospects. *J. Nanostruct. Chem.* **2018**, *12*, 217–254. [CrossRef]
- 36. Khan, Z.; Al-Thabaiti, S.A.; Obaid, A.Y.; Al-Youbi, A.O. Preparation and characterization of silver nanoparticles by chemical reduction method. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* **2011**, *82*, 513–517. [CrossRef]
- 37. Lidor-Shalev, O.; Zitoun, D. Reaction mechanism of "amine-borane route" towards Sn, Ni, Pd, Pt nanoparticles. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 63603–63610. [CrossRef]
- 38. Pelletier, F.; Ciuculescu, D.; Mattei, J.G.; Lecante, P.; Casanove, M.J.; Yaacoub, N.; Greneche, J.M.; Schmitz-Antoniak, C.; Amiens, C. On the use of amine-borane complexes to synthesize iron nanoparticles. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 6021–6026. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Sanyal, U.; Jagirdar, B.R. Metal and alloy nanoparticles by amine-borane reduction of metal salts by solid-phase synthesis: Atom economy and green process. *Inorg. Chem.* 2012, *51*, 13023–13033. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Kalidindi, S.B.; Sanyal, U.; Jagirdar, B.R. Chemical synthesis of metal nanoparticles using amine-boranes. *ChemSusChem* **2011**, *4*, 317–324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Nguyen, T.D. From formation mechanisms to synthetic methods toward shape-controlled oxide nanoparticles. *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 9455–9482. [CrossRef]
- 42. Carroll, K.J.; Reveles, J.U.; Shultz, M.D.; Khanna, S.N.; Carpenter, E.E. Preparation of elemental Cu and Ni nanoparticles by the polyol method: An experimental and theoretical approach. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2011, *115*, 2656–2664. [CrossRef]
- Mahamuni, P.P.; Patil, P.M.; Dhanavade, M.J.; Badiger, M.V.; Shadija, P.G.; Lokhande, A.C.; Bohara, R.A. Synthesis and characterization of zinc oxide nanoparticles by using polyol chemistry for their antimicrobial and antibiofilm activity. *Biochem. Biophys. Rep.* 2019, 17, 71–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Ungelenk, J.; Speldrich, M.; Dronskowski, R.; Feldmann, C. Polyol-mediated low-temperature synthesis of crystalline tungstate nanoparticles MWO4 (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn). *Solid State Sci.* **2014**, *31*, 62–69. [CrossRef]
- 45. Favier, I.; Pla, D.; Gómez, M. Palladium Nanoparticles in Polyols: Synthesis, Catalytic Couplings, and Hydrogenations. *Chem. Rev.* 2020, *120*, 1146–1183. [CrossRef]
- Nüchter, M.; Ondruschka, B.; Bonrath, W.; Gum, A. Microwave assisted synthesis—A critical technology overview. *Green Chem.* 2004, 6, 128–141. [CrossRef]
- 47. Blosi, M.; Albonetti, S.; Dondi, M.; Martelli, C.; Baldi, G. Microwave-assisted polyol synthesis of Cu nanoparticles. *J. Nanoparticle Res.* 2011, *13*, 127–138. [CrossRef]
- Li, D.; Komarneni, S. Microwave-assisted polyol process for synthesis of Ni nanoparticles. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2006, 89, 1510–1517. [CrossRef]
- 49. Jadoun, S.; Arif, R.; Jangid, N.K.; Meena, R.K. Green synthesis of nanoparticles using plant extracts: A review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **2021**, *19*, 355–374. [CrossRef]
- Salem, S.S.; Fouda, A. Green Synthesis of Metallic Nanoparticles and Their Prospective Biotechnological Applications: An Overview. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 2021, 199, 344–370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Singh, J.; Dutta, T.; Kim, K.H.; Rawat, M.; Samddar, P.; Kumar, P. "Green" synthesis of metals and their oxide nanoparticles: Applications for environmental remediation. *J. Nanobiotechnol.* **2018**, *16*, 84. [CrossRef]
- 52. Nadaroglu, H.; Alayli, A.; Nadaroğlu, H.; Alayli Güngör, A.; İnce, S. Synthesis of Nanoparticles by Green Synthesis Method. *Int. J. Innov. Res. Rev.* 2017, 1, 6–9.

- Nasrollahzadeh, M.; Ghorbannezhad, F.; Issaabadi, Z.; Sajadi, S.M. Recent Developments in the Biosynthesis of Cu-Based Recyclable Nanocatalysts Using Plant Extracts and their Application in the Chemical Reactions. *Chem. Rec.* 2019, 19, 601–643. [CrossRef]
- 54. Iravani, S. Green synthesis of metal nanoparticles using plants. Green Chem. 2011, 13, 2638–2650. [CrossRef]
- 55. Kuppusamy, P.; Yusoff, M.M.; Maniam, G.P.; Govindan, N. Biosynthesis of metallic nanoparticles using plant derivatives and their new avenues in pharmacological applications—An updated report. *Saudi Pharm. J.* **2016**, *24*, 473–484. [CrossRef]
- 56. Dauthal, P.; Mukhopadhyay, M. Noble Metal Nanoparticles: Plant-Mediated Synthesis, Mechanistic Aspects of Synthesis, and Applications. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *55*, 9557–9577. [CrossRef]
- 57. Sánchez-López, E.; Gomes, D.; Esteruelas, G.; Bonilla, L.; Lopez-Machado, A.L.; Galindo, R.; Cano, A.; Espina, M.; Ettcheto, M.; Camins, A.; et al. Metal-based nanoparticles as antimicrobial agents: An overview. *Nanomaterials* 2020, 10, 292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Sengul, A.B.; Asmatulu, E. Toxicity of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles: A review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *18*, 1659–1683. [CrossRef]
- Pujalté, I.; Passagne, I.; Daculsi, R.; De Portal, C.; Ohayon-Courtès, C.; L'Azou, B. Cytotoxic effects and cellular oxidative mechanisms of metallic nanoparticles on renal tubular cells: Impact of particle solubility. *Toxicol. Res.* 2015, 4, 409–422. [CrossRef]
- 60. Lozano, T.; Rey, M.; Rojas, E.; Moya, S.; Fleddermann, J.; Estrela-Lopis, I.; Donath, E.; Wang, B.; Mao, Z.; Gao, C.; et al. Cytotoxicity effects of metal oxide nanoparticles in human tumor cell lines. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2011**, *304*, 012046. [CrossRef]
- 61. Taniyama, Y.; Griendling, K.K. Reactive Oxygen Species in the Vasculature: Molecular and Cellular Mechanisms. *Hypertension* **2003**, *42*, 1075–1081. [CrossRef]
- 62. Volpe, C.M.O.; Villar-Delfino, P.H.; Dos Anjos, P.M.F.; Nogueira-Machado, J.A. Cellular death, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and diabetic complications. *Cell Death Dis.* **2018**, *9*, 119. [CrossRef]
- 63. Prasad, S.; Gupta, S.C.; Tyagi, A.K. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and cancer: Role of antioxidative nutraceuticals. *Cancer Lett.* **2017**, *387*, 95–105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Rice-Evans, C.A. Formation of free radicals and mechanisms of action in normal biochemical processes and pathological states. *New Compr. Biochem.* **1994**, *28*, 131–153. [CrossRef]
- 65. Greenberg, M.E.; Li, X.M.; Gugiu, B.G.; Gu, X.; Qin, J.; Salomon, R.G.; Hazen, S.L. The lipid whisker model of the structure of oxidized cell membranes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2008**, *283*, 2385–2396. [CrossRef]
- 66. Klaunig, J.E.; Kamendulis, L.M.; Hocevar, B.A. Oxidative stress and oxidative damage in carcinogenesis. *Toxicol. Pathol.* **2010**, *38*, 96–109. [CrossRef]
- 67. Oberley, T.D. Commentary Oxidative Damage and Cancer. Am. J. Pathol. 2002, 160, 403–408. [CrossRef]
- Liu, G.; Zou, H.; Luo, T.; Long, M.; Bian, J.; Liu, X.; Gu, J.; Yuan, Y.; Song, R.; Wang, Y.; et al. Caspase-dependent and caspaseindependent pathways are involved in cadmium-induced apoptosis in primary rat proximal tubular cell culture. *PLoS ONE* 2016, 11, e0166823. [CrossRef]
- 69. Brentnall, M.; Rodriguez-Menocal, L.; De Guevara, R.L.; Cepero, E.; Boise, L.H. Caspase-9, caspase-3 and caspase-7 have distinct roles during intrinsic apoptosis. *BMC Cell Biol.* **2013**, *14*, 32. [CrossRef]
- Vazquez-Muñoz, R.; Borrego, B.; Juárez-Moreno, K.; García-García, M.; Mota Morales, J.D.; Bogdanchikova, N.; Huerta-Saquero, A. Toxicity of silver nanoparticles in biological systems: Does the complexity of biological systems matter? *Toxicol. Lett.* 2017, 276, 11–20. [CrossRef]
- 71. Smith, J.N.; Thomas, D.G.; Jolley, H.; Kodali, V.K.; Littke, M.H.; Munusamy, P.; Baer, D.R.; Gaffrey, M.J.; Thrall, B.D.; Teeguarden, J.G. All that is silver is not toxic: Silver ion and particle kinetics reveals the role of silver ion aging and dosimetry on the toxicity of silver nanoparticles. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* 2018, 15, 47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Cho, Y.M.; Mizuta, Y.; Akagi, J.I.; Toyoda, T.; Sone, M.; Ogawa, K. Size-dependent acute toxicity of silver nanoparticles in mice. *J. Toxicol. Pathol.* **2018**, *31*, 73–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Hadrup, N.; Sharma, A.K.; Loeschner, K. Toxicity of silver ions, metallic silver, and silver nanoparticle materials after in vivo dermal and mucosal surface exposure: A review. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *98*, 257–267. [CrossRef]
- Greulich, C.; Braun, D.; Peetsch, A.; Diendorf, J.; Siebers, B.; Epple, M.; Köller, M. The toxic effect of silver ions and silver nanoparticles towards bacteria and human cells occurs in the same concentration range. RSC Adv. 2012, 2, 6981–6987. [CrossRef]
- 75. Gaillet, S.; Rouanet, J.M. Silver nanoparticles: Their potential toxic effects after oral exposure and underlying mechanisms—A review. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2015, 77, 58–63. [CrossRef]
- 76. Asharani, P.V.; Lian Wu, Y.; Gong, Z.; Valiyaveettil, S. Toxicity of silver nanoparticles in zebrafish models. *Nanotechnology* **2008**, *19*, 255102. [CrossRef]
- 77. DiFonzo, N.; Bordia, P. Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. **1998**, 130, 556.
- Carlson, C.; Hussein, S.M.; Schrand, A.M.; Braydich-Stolle, L.K.; Hess, K.L.; Jones, R.L.; Schlager, J.J. Unique cellular interaction of silver nanoparticles: Size-dependent generation of reactive oxygen species. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2008, *112*, 13608–13619. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Almofti, M.R.; Ichikawa, T.; Yamashita, K.; Terada, H.; Shinohara, Y. Silver ion induces a cyclosporine A-insensitive permeability transition in rat liver mitochondria and release of apoptogenic cytochrome *c. J. Biochem.* **2003**, *134*, 43–49. [CrossRef]

- 80. Hwang, M.G.; Katayama, H.; Ohgaki, S. Inactivation of Legionella pneumophila and Pseudomonas aeruginosa: Evaluation of the bactericidal ability of silver cations. *Water Res.* 2007, *41*, 4097–4104. [CrossRef]
- 81. Uygur, B.; Craig, G.; Mason, M.D.; Ng, A.K. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of silver nanomaterials. *NSTI Nanotechnol.* **2009**, *2*, 383–386.
- 82. Chi, Z.; Liu, R.; Zhao, L.; Qin, P.; Pan, X.; Sun, F.; Hao, X. A new strategy to probe the genotoxicity of silver nanoparticles combined with cetylpyridine bromide. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2009**, *72*, 577–581. [CrossRef]
- 83. Kumari, M.; Mukherjee, A.; Chandrasekaran, N. Genotoxicity of silver nanoparticles in Allium cepa. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2009**, 407, 5243–5246. [CrossRef]
- 84. Wang, X.; Ji, Z.; Chang, C.H.; Zhang, H.; Wang, M.; Liao, Y.P.; Lin, S.; Meng, H.; Li, R.; Sun, B.; et al. Use of coated silver nanoparticles to understand the relationship of particle dissolution and bioavailability to cell and lung toxicological potential. *Small* **2014**, *10*, 385–398. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Gliga, A.R.; Di Bucchianico, S.; Lindvall, J.; Fadeel, B.; Karlsson, H.L. RNA-sequencing reveals long-term effects of silver nanoparticles on human lung cells. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 6668. [CrossRef]
- 86. Gliga, A.R.; Skoglund, S.; Wallinder, I.O.; Fadeel, B.; Karlsson, H.L. Size-dependent cytotoxicity of silver nanoparticles in human lung cells: The role of cellular uptake, agglomeration and Ag release. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* **2014**, *11*, 11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Samberg, M.E.; Oldenburg, S.J.; Monteiro-Riviere, N.A. Evaluation of silver nanoparticle toxicity in skin in vivo and keratinocytes in vitro. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2010, 118, 407–413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hackenberg, S.; Scherzed, A.; Kessler, M.; Hummel, S.; Technau, A.; Froelich, K.; Ginzkey, C.; Koehler, C.; Hagen, R.; Kleinsasser, N. Silver nanoparticles: Evaluation of DNA damage, toxicity and functional impairment in human mesenchymal stem cells. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2011, 201, 27–33. [CrossRef]
- 89. Ghosh, P.; Han, G.; De, M.; Kim, C.K.; Rotello, V.M. Gold nanoparticles in delivery applications. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2008, 60, 1307–1315. [CrossRef]
- 90. Paciotti, G.F.; Myer, L.; Weinreich, D.; Goia, D.; Pavel, N.; McLaughlin, R.E.; Tamarkin, L. Colloidal gold: A novel nanoparticle vector for tumor directed drug delivery. *Drug Deliv. J. Deliv. Target. Ther. Agents* **2004**, *11*, 169–183. [CrossRef]
- 91. Durr, N.J.; Larson, T.; Smith, D.K.; Korgel, B.A.; Sokolov, K.; Ben-yakar, A. Two-Photon Luminescence Imaging of Cancer Cells Using Molecularly Targeted Gold Nanorods. *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 941–945. [CrossRef]
- 92. Li, J.; Hu, J.; Wang, Z. Gold Nanoparticles With Special Shapes: Controlled Synthesis, Surface-enhanced Raman Scattering, and The Application in Biodetection. *Sensors* 2007, *7*, 3299–3311.
- Goodman, C.M.; McCusker, C.D.; Yilmaz, T.; Rotello, V.M. Toxicity of gold nanoparticles functionalized with cationic and anionic side chains. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2004, 15, 897–900. [CrossRef]
- Schaeublin, N.M.; Braydich-Stolle, L.K.; Schrand, A.M.; Miller, J.M.; Hutchison, J.; Schlager, J.J.; Hussain, S.M. Surface charge of gold nanoparticles mediates mechanism of toxicity. *Nanoscale* 2011, *3*, 410–420. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Connor, E.E.; Mwamuka, J.; Gole, A.; Murphy, C.J.; Wyatt, M.D. Gold nanoparticles are taken up by human cells but do not cause acute cytotoxicity. *Small* 2005, 1, 325–327. [CrossRef]
- 96. Jia, H.Y.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, X.J.; Han, L.; Du, L.B.; Tian, Q.; Xu, Y.C. Potential oxidative stress of gold nanoparticles by induced-NO releasing in serum. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 40–41. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 97. Pacher, P.; Beckman, J.S.; Liaudet, L. Nitric oxide and peroxynitrite in health and disease. *Physiol. Rev.* 2007, *87*, 315–424. [CrossRef]
- 98. Li, J.J.; Zou, L.; Hartono, D.; Ong, C.N.; Bay, B.H.; Yung, L.Y.L. Gold nanoparticles induce oxidative damage in lung fibroblasts in vitro. *Adv. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 138–142. [CrossRef]
- 99. Chaicherd, S.; Killingsworth, M.C.; Pissuwan, D. Toxicity of gold nanoparticles in a commercial dietary supplement drink on connective tissue fibroblast cells. *SN Appl. Sci.* **2019**, *1*, 336. [CrossRef]
- 100. Li, T.; Albee, B.; Alemayehu, M.; Diaz, R.; Ingham, L.; Kamal, S.; Rodriguez, M.; Whaley Bishnoi, S. Comparative toxicity study of Ag, Au, and Ag-Au bimetallic nanoparticles on Daphnia magna. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2010**, *398*, 689–700. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 101. Ma, X.; Wu, Y.; Jin, S.; Tian, Y.; Zhang, X.; Zhao, Y.; Yu, L.; Liang, X.J. Gold nanoparticles induce autophagosome accumulation through size-dependent nanoparticle uptake and lysosome impairment. *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 8629–8639. [CrossRef]
- 102. Li, S.; Zhang, C.; Cao, W.; Ma, B.; Ma, X.; Jin, S.; Zhang, J.; Wang, P.C.; Li, F.; Liang, X.J. Anchoring effects of surface chemistry on gold nanorods: Modulating autophagy. *J. Mater. Chem. B* 2015, *3*, 3324–3330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Lim, S.M.; Mohamad Hanif, E.A.; Chin, S.F. Is targeting autophagy mechanism in cancer a good approach? The possible double-edge sword effect. *Cell Biosci.* **2021**, *11*, 56. [CrossRef]
- 104. Pérez-Hernández, M.; Arias, A.; Martínez-García, D.; Pérez-Tomás, R.; Quesada, R.; Soto-Cerrato, V. Targeting Autophagy for Cancer Treatment and Tumor Chemosensitization. *Cancers* 2019, 11, 1599. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sahoo, S.K.; Panyam, J.; Prabha, S.; Labhasetwar, V. Residual polyvinyl alcohol associated with poly (D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticles affects their physical properties and cellular uptake. J. Control. Release 2002, 82, 105–114. [CrossRef]
- 106. Forouhar Vajargah, M.; Mohamadi Yalsuyi, A.; Hedayati, A.; Faggio, C. Histopathological lesions and toxicity in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L. 1758) induced by copper nanoparticles. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* **2018**, *81*, 724–729. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Ameh, T.; Sayes, C.M. The potential exposure and hazards of copper nanoparticles: A review. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **2019**, 71, 103220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 108. Sarkar, A.; Das, J.; Manna, P.; Sil, P.C. Nano-copper induces oxidative stress and apoptosis in kidney via both extrinsic and intrinsic pathways. *Toxicology* **2011**, *290*, 208–217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. Edlich, F. BCL-2 proteins and apoptosis: Recent insights and unknowns. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2018, 500, 26–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Assadian, E.; Zarei, M.H.; Gilani, A.G.; Farshin, M.; Degampanah, H.; Pourahmad, J. Toxicity of Copper Oxide (CuO) Nanoparticles on Human Blood Lymphocytes. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 2018, 184, 350–357. [CrossRef]
- 111. Siddiqui, M.A.; Alhadlaq, H.A.; Ahmad, J.; Al-Khedhairy, A.A.; Musarrat, J.; Ahamed, M. Copper Oxide Nanoparticles Induced Mitochondria Mediated Apoptosis in Human Hepatocarcinoma Cells. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e69534. [CrossRef]
- 112. Doudi, M.; Setorki, M. Acute effect of nano-copper on liver tissue and function in rat Acute effect of nano-copper on liver tissue and function in rat ffect of nano-copper on function and tissue liver of rat E. *Nanomed. J.* **2015**, *1*, 331–338.
- 113. Lei, R.; Wu, C.; Yang, B.; Ma, H.; Shi, C.; Wang, Q.; Wang, Q.; Yuan, Y.; Liao, M. Integrated metabolomic analysis of the nano-sized copper particle-induced hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity in rats: A rapid in vivo screening method for nanotoxicity. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 2008, 232, 292–301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Singh, S. Zinc oxide nanoparticles impacts: Cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, developmental toxicity, and neurotoxicity. *Toxicol. Mech. Methods* **2019**, *29*, 300–311. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 115. Pasquet, J.; Chevalier, Y.; Pelletier, J.; Couval, E.; Bouvier, D.; Bolzinger, M.A. The contribution of zinc ions to the antimicrobial activity of zinc oxide. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2014**, 457, 263–274. [CrossRef]
- 116. Singh, N.; Manshian, B.; Jenkins, G.J.S.; Griffiths, S.M.; Williams, P.M.; Maffeis, T.G.G.; Wright, C.J.; Doak, S.H. NanoGenotoxicology: The DNA damaging potential of engineered nanomaterials. *Biomaterials* 2009, 30, 3891–3914. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 117. Song, W.; Zhang, J.; Guo, J.; Zhang, J.; Ding, F.; Li, L.; Sun, Z. Role of the dissolved zinc ion and reactive oxygen species in cytotoxicity of ZnO nanoparticles. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2010**, *199*, 389–397. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 118. Tang, Y.; Xin, H.; Yang, S.; Guo, M.; Malkoske, T.; Yin, D.; Xia, S. Environmental risks of ZnO nanoparticle exposure on Microcystis aeruginosa: Toxic effects and environmental feedback. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **2018**, 204, 19–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 119. Lai, X.; Wei, Y.; Zhao, H.; Chen, S.; Bu, X.; Lu, F.; Qu, D.; Yao, L.; Zheng, J.; Zhang, J. The effect of Fe₂O₃ and ZnO nanoparticles on cytotoxicity and glucose metabolism in lung epithelial cells. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* **2015**, *35*, 651–664. [CrossRef]
- 120. Pasupuleti, S.; Alapati, S.; Ganapathy, S.; Anumolu, G.; Pully, N.R.; Prakhya, B.M. Toxicity of zinc oxide nanoparticles through oral route. *Toxicol. Ind. Health* **2012**, *28*, 675–686. [CrossRef]
- 121. Mohammed, L.; Gomaa, H.G.; Ragab, D.; Zhu, J. Magnetic nanoparticles for environmental and biomedical applications: A review. *Particuology* **2017**, *30*, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- 122. Ameta, R.; Chohadia, A.K.; Jain, A.; Punjabi, P.B. Chapter 3—Fenton and Photo-Fenton Processes. In Advanced Oxidation Processes for Waste Water Treatment; Ameta, S.C., Ameta, R., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; pp. 49–87. [CrossRef]
- 123. Wang, S.; Luo, J.; Zhang, Z.; Dong, D.; Shen, Y.; Fang, Y.; Hu, L.; Liu, M.; Dai, C.; Peng, S.; et al. Iron and magnetic: New research direction of the ferroptosis-based cancer therapy. *Am. J. Cancer Res.* **2018**, *8*, 1933–1946.
- Naqvi, S.; Samim, M.; Abdin, M.Z.; Ahmed, F.J.; Maitra, A.N.; Prashant, C.K.; Dinda, A.K. Concentration-dependent toxicity of iron oxide nanoparticles mediated by increased oxidative stress. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 2010, 5, 983–989. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Ran, Q.; Xiang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Xiang, L.; Li, F.; Deng, X.; Xiao, Y.; Chen, L.; Chen, L.; Li, Z. Eryptosis Indices as a Novel Predictive Parameter for Biocompatibility of Fe₃O₄ Magnetic Nanoparticles on Erythrocytes. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 16209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Soenen, S.J.H.; Nuytten, N.; De Meyer, S.F.; De Smedt, S.C.; De Cuyper, M. High intracellular iron oxide nanoparticle concentrations affect cellular cytoskeleton and focal adhesion kinase-mediated signaling. *Small* **2010**, *6*, 832–842. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Morris, J.B.; Olzinski, A.R.; Bernard, R.E.; Aravindhan, K.; Mirabile, R.C.; Boyce, R.; Willette, R.N.; Jucker, B.M. p38 MAPK inhibition reduces aortic ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide uptake in a mouse model of atherosclerosis: MRI assessment. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2008, 28, 265–271. [CrossRef]
- 128. Shubayev, V.I.; Pisanic, T.R.; Jin, S. Magnetic nanoparticles for theragnostics. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2009, 61, 467–477. [CrossRef]
- 129. Buyukhatipoglu, K.; Clyne, A.M. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles change endothelial cell morphology and mechanics via reactive oxygen species formation. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* 2011, *96*, 186–195. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez-López, E.; Esteruelas, G.; Ortiz, A.; Espina, M.; Prat, J.; Muñoz, M.; Cano, A.; Calpena, A.C.; Ettcheto, M.; Camins, A.; et al. Dexibuprofen biodegradable nanoparticles: One step closer towards a better ocular interaction study. *Nanomaterials* 2020, 10, 720. [CrossRef]
- 131. Jose, A.; Sunaja Devi, K.R.; Pinheiro, D.; Lakshmi Narayana, S. Electrochemical synthesis, photodegradation and antibacterial properties of PEG capped zinc oxide nanoparticles. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol.* **2018**, *187*, 25–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wuelfing, W.P.; Gross, S.M.; Miles, D.T.; Murray, R.W. Nanometer gold clusters protected by surface-bound monolayers of thiolated poly(ethylene glycol) polymer electrolyte. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 12696–12697. [CrossRef]
- Karakoti, A.S.; Das, S.; Thevuthasan, S.; Seal, S. PEGylated inorganic nanoparticles. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, 50, 1980–1994. [CrossRef]
- Reznickova, A.; Slavikova, N.; Kolska, Z.; Kolarova, K.; Belinova, T.; Hubalek Kalbacova, M.; Cieslar, M.; Svorcik, V. PEGylated gold nanoparticles: Stability, cytotoxicity and antibacterial activity. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2019, 560, 26–34. [CrossRef]

- Luo, M.; Shen, C.; Feltis, B.N.; Martin, L.L.; Hughes, A.E.; Wright, P.F.A.; Turney, T.W. Reducing ZnO nanoparticle cytotoxicity by surface modification. *Nanoscale* 2014, 6, 5791–5798. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Otsuka, H.; Nagasaki, Y.; Kataoka, K. PEGylated nanoparticles for biological and pharmaceutical applications. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2003, 55, 403–419. [CrossRef]
- 137. Salassi, S.; Canepa, E.; Ferrando, R.; Rossi, G. Anionic nanoparticle-lipid membrane interactions: The protonation of anionic ligands at the membrane surface reduces membrane disruption. *RSC Adv.* **2019**, *9*, 13992–13997. [CrossRef]
- 138. Ayata, N.; Sezer, A.D.; Bucak, S.; Turanlı, E.T. Preparation and in vitro characterization of monoclonal antibody ranibizumab conjugated magnetic nanoparticles for ocular drug delivery. *Braz. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2020, *56*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- 139. Sharma, A.; Goyal, A.K.; Rath, G. Recent advances in metal nanoparticles in cancer therapy. *J. Drug Target.* **2018**, *26*, 617–632. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 140. Cecchi, F.; Rabe, D.C.; Bottaro, D.P. Targeting the HGF/Met signaling pathway in cancer therapy. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* **2012**, *16*, 553–572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 141. Li, S.; Bouchy, S.; Penninckx, S.; Marega, R.; Fichera, O.; Gallez, B.; Feron, O.; Martinive, P.; Heuskin, A.C.; Michiels, C.; et al. Antibody-functionalized gold nanoparticles as tumor-Targeting radiosensitizers for proton therapy. *Nanomedicine* 2019, 14, 317–333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 142. Chia, S.L.; Leong, D.T. Reducing ZnO nanoparticles toxicity through silica coating. Heliyon 2016, 2, e00177. [CrossRef]
- 143. Malvindi, M.A.; De Matteis, V.; Galeone, A.; Brunetti, V.; Anyfantis, G.C.; Athanassiou, A.; Cingolani, R.; Pompa, P.P. Toxicity assessment of silica coated iron oxide nanoparticles and biocompatibility improvement by surface engineering. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e85835. [CrossRef]
- 144. Soumbo, M.; Scarangella, A.; Villeneuve-Faure, C.; Bonafos, C.; Roques, C.; Makasheva, K. Combined effect of proteins and AgNPs on the adhesion of yeast Candida albicans on solid silica surfaces. In Proceedings of the 2020 IEEE 20th International Conference on Nanotechnology (IEEE-NANO), Montreal, QC, Canada, 29–31 July 2020; pp. 242–245. [CrossRef]
- 145. Santo-Orihuela, P.L.; Foglia, M.L.; Targovnik, A.M.; Miranda, V.M.; Desimone, M.F. Nanotoxicological Effects of SiO₂ Nanoparticles on Spodoptera frugiperda Sf9 Cells. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *17*, 465–470. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Worthington, K.L.S.; Adamcakova-Dodd, A.; Wongrakpanich, A.; Mudunkotuwa, I.A.; Mapuskar, K.A.; Joshi, V.B.; Allan Guymon, C.; Spitz, D.R.; Grassian, V.H.; Thorne, P.S.; et al. Chitosan coating of copper nanoparticles reduces in vitrotoxicity and increases inflammation in the lung. *Nanotechnology* **2013**, *24*, 395101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 147. Boyles, M.S.P.; Kristl, T.; Andosch, A.; Zimmermann, M.; Tran, N.; Casals, E.; Himly, M.; Puntes, V.; Huber, C.G.; Lütz-Meindl, U.; et al. Chitosan functionalisation of gold nanoparticles encourages particle uptake and induces cytotoxicity and pro-inflammatory conditions in phagocytic cells, as well as enhancing particle interactions with serum components. *J. Nanobiotechnol.* 2015, *13*, 84. [CrossRef]
- 148. Wu, M.; Guo, H.; Liu, L.; Liu, Y.; Xie, L. Size-dependent cellular uptake and localization profiles of silver nanoparticles. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2019**, *14*, 4247–4259. [CrossRef]
- 149. Park, M.V.D.Z.; Neigh, A.M.; Vermeulen, J.P.; de la Fonteyne, L.J.J.; Verharen, H.W.; Briedé, J.J.; van Loveren, H.; de Jong, W.H. The effect of particle size on the cytotoxicity, inflammation, developmental toxicity and genotoxicity of silver nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* 2011, 32, 9810–9817. [CrossRef]
- 150. Chithrani, B.D.; Ghazani, A.A.; Chan, W.C.W. Determining the size and shape dependence of gold nanoparticle uptake into mammalian cells. *Nano Lett.* **2006**, *6*, 662–668. [CrossRef]
- 151. Chen, Y.S.; Hung, Y.C.; Liau, I.; Huang, G.S. Assessment of the in vivo toxicity of gold nanoparticles. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2009**, *4*, 858–864. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 152. Khodashenas, B.; Ghorbani, H.R. Synthesis of silver nanoparticles with different shapes. *Arab. J. Chem.* **2019**, *12*, 1823–1838. [CrossRef]
- 153. Lee, Y.J.; Ahn, E.Y.; Park, Y. Shape-dependent cytotoxicity and cellular uptake of gold nanoparticles synthesized using green tea extract. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2019**, *14*, 129. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 154. Chang, Y.; Li, K.; Feng, Y.; Cheng, Y.; Zhang, M.; Wang, Z.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, H. Achievement of safer palladium nanocrystals by enlargement of {100} crystallographic facets. *Nanotoxicology* **2017**, *11*, 907–922. [CrossRef]
- 155. Yaqoob, S.B.; Adnan, R.; Rameez Khan, R.M.; Rashid, M. Gold, Silver, and Palladium Nanoparticles: A Chemical Tool for Biomedical Applications. *Front. Chem.* **2020**, *8*, 376. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 156. Xie, X.; Liao, J.; Shao, X.; Li, Q.; Lin, Y. The Effect of shape on Cellular Uptake of Gold Nanoparticles in the forms of Stars, Rods, and Triangles. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 3827. [CrossRef] [PubMed]