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#### Abstract

We use literature data on solubilities of 46 compounds in the water-isopropanol (IPA) system to obtain the corresponding partition coefficients, $P$, for transfer from water to water-IPA mixtures. We have then used our previously constructed linear free energy equation to obtain equations that correlate $\log _{10} P$ at water-IPA intervals across the entire water-IPA system. These equations can then be used to predict partition coefficients and solubilities of further compounds in the water-IPA systems at 298 K . The coefficients in our linear free energy equation encode information on the physicochemical properties of the water-IPA mixtures. We show that the hydrogen bond basicity of the water-IPA mixtures only increases slightly from water to IPA, but that the hydrogen bond acidity of the mixtures decreases markedly from water to IPA in a smooth continuous manner.

We have also used data on ions and on ionic species to set out equations for the estimation of their partition coefficients from water to water-IPA mixtures. We find that for partition from water to IPA itself, $\log _{10} P=-1.81$ for $\mathrm{H}^{+}$
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## 1 Introduction

There is now considerable interest in the solubility of solid compounds in organic solvents, both in mono solvents and in water-solvent mixtures. Of the latter, water-alcohol mixtures have been well studied, particularly water-methanol, water-ethanol and water-isopropanol (IPA) mixtures. The main methods used to analyze the solubility of a given compound in a particular water-solvent system are the Joubain-Acree method [1, 2], and the Kamlet-Taft sovatochromic equation [3-4]. These methods can be used to interpolate solubilities of the given compound at various water-solvent compositions but cannot predict solubilities of other compounds in the given water-solvent system. We have set out linear free energy relationships, LFERs, for the partition of neutral and ionic compounds in the water-ethanol [5, 6] and water-methanol [7] systems that can be used to predict partition coefficients, and then solubilities, of further compounds in these systems. These LFERs appear to be the only ones available for such predictions, and in view of the importance of the water-IPA system, we have now extended our method to the latter system.

## 2 Methodology

We start with our well-known LFER, Eq. 1, [8-12] for the partition of neutral molecules (non-electrolytes) from water to another solvent or solvent system,
$\log _{10} P=c+e \boldsymbol{E}+\mathbf{s} \boldsymbol{S}+a \boldsymbol{A}+b \boldsymbol{B}+v \boldsymbol{V}$

In Eq. 1 , the dependent variable is $\log _{10} P$, where $P$ is the water to solvent partition coefficient for a series of non-electrolytes in a given water to solvent system. The independent variables are descriptors as follows. [8-11]. $\boldsymbol{E}$ is the non-electrolyte (or solute) excess molar refractivity in units of $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) / 10, \boldsymbol{S}$ is the solute dipolarity/ polarizability, $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ are the overall or summation solute hydrogen bond acidity and basicity, and $\boldsymbol{V}$ is the solute McGowan characteristic volume in units of $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) / 100$ [13]. The use of Eq. 1 has been reviewed several times [14-16]; the review of Clarke and Mallon [16] is particularly detailed. In brief, values of $\log _{10} P$ in a specific water to solvent system are obtained for a set of compounds with known values of the descriptors $\boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}$. Then a set of simultaneous equations on the lines of Eq. 1 is set up with the values of $\log _{10}$ $P$ as the dependent variable, and the five descriptors as the independent variables. The set of simultaneous equations is then solved to obtain the (unknown) coefficients $e, s, a, b$ and $v$.

The method we use makes use of the solubilities of neutral molecules in water and water-IPA mixtures. Provided that no hydrate or solvate formation takes place, the partition coefficient, $P$, for transfer from water to a given water-IPA solvent can be obtained from the solubility of a neutral solute in the two systems, Eq. 2, where $S_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $S_{\mathrm{w}}$ are the molar solubilities, mol $\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, at 298 K in a water-IPA system and in water, respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=S_{\mathrm{s}} / S_{\mathrm{w}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solubilities are known for a number of neutral molecules in the water-IPA system. We used only data for compounds that had been studied across the entire composition range, and in Table 1 we list the compounds that we used together with their descriptors,

Table 1. Descriptors for the compounds used in the analysis

| Solute | E | $S$ | A | B | $V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Hydroxybenzoic acid | 0.900 | 0.85 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 0.9900 |
| Benzene | 0.610 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.7160 |


| Toluene | 0.601 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.8570 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.613 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.9980 |
| Propylbenzene | 0.604 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 1.1390 |
| Butylbenzene | 0.600 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 1.2800 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 0.602 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 1.1390 |
| tert-Butylbenzene | 0.619 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 1.2800 |
| Piperonal | 0.990 | 1.35 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 1.0230 |
| Helium | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0680 |
| Naproxen | 1.510 | 2.02 | 0.60 | 0.67 | 1.7820 |
| Gallic Acid | 1.290 | 1.42 | 1.53 | 0.90 | 1.1080 |
| Decanedioic acid | 0.350 | 1.36 | 1.12 | 0.87 | 1.6660 |
| Nitrous oxide | 0.068 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.2810 |
| Oxiracetam | 1.035 | 1.75 | 0.70 | 1.48 | 1.1350 |
| Benzoic acid | 0.730 | 0.90 | 0.59 | 0.40 | 0.9320 |
| 2-Bromobenzoic acid | 1.000 | 1.00 | 0.64 | 0.53 | 1.1070 |
| 3-Bromobenzoic acid | 1.000 | 1.10 | 0.64 | 0.27 | 1.1070 |
| 2-Iodobenzoic acid | 1.310 | 1.27 | 0.74 | 0.46 | 1.1900 |
| 3-Iodobenzoic acid | 1.310 | 1.27 | 0.64 | 0.26 | 1.1900 |
| 5,7-Dibromo-8- |  |  |  |  |  |
| hydroxyquinoline | 2.100 | 2.16 | 0.09 | 0.78 | 1.4530 |
| 2,6-Dichloro-4-nitroaniline | 1.566 | 1.83 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 1.2350 |
| 2-Methoxy-4-nitroaniline | 1.220 | 1.68 | 0.17 | 0.46 | 1.1900 |
| Risperidone | 2.830 | 2.30 | 0.00 | 1.93 | 3.0400 |
| Microflavin | 1.794 | 2.28 | 0.65 | 2.29 | 3.2760 |
| Rebamipide | 2.450 | 2.40 | 0.87 | 2.09 | 2.5870 |
| Monobenzone | 1.420 | 1.63 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 1.5830 |
| 5-Nitrosalicylaldehyde | 1.240 | 2.15 | 0.69 | 0.56 | 1.1060 |
| Buprofezin | 1.719 | 2.17 | 0.00 | 1.71 | 2.4520 |
| 4-Amino-2,6- | 1.660 | 2.59 | 0.25 | 1.45 | 2.9170 |
| dimethoxypyrimidine | 1.050 | 1.37 | 0.25 | 0.73 | 1.1330 |
| 6-Chloroguanine | 2.144 | 1.64 | 0.53 | 0.79 | 1.0450 |
| 4-Formylbenzoic acid | 1.010 | 1.38 | 0.65 | 0.52 | 1.0880 |
| Allopurinol | 1.590 | 2.08 | 0.30 | 0.78 | 0.8820 |
| Ketoconazole | 3.140 | 3.31 | 0.00 | 2.56 | 3.7210 |
| Lamotrigine | 2.267 | 2.03 | 0.35 | 0.96 | 1.6450 |
| Celecoxib | 2.040 | 2.44 | 0.60 | 1.26 | 2.4680 |
| a-Trichloromethylbenzyl acetate | 1.101 | 1.43 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 1.7220 |
| Benzafibrate | 1.893 | 2.49 | 0.77 | 1.77 | 2.6820 |
| Apixaban | 3.690 | 4.10 | 0.35 | 2.78 | 3.3490 |
| Milrinone | 1.627 | 1.67 | 0.13 | 1.21 | 1.5940 |
| Edaravone | 1.354 | 1.24 | 0.18 | 0.87 | 1.3440 |
| Nisoldipine |  |  |  | 1.21 | 2.5010 |
| Bifonazole |  |  |  |  |  |


| Dinitolmide | 1.626 | 2.27 | 0.30 | 0.88 | 1.4620 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Isoniazid | 1.190 | 1.77 | 0.71 | 1.14 | 1.0315 |
| Clotrimazole | 2.400 | 1.65 | 0.00 | 1.24 | 2.6230 |

There are various scales used to express solubilities. Since partition coefficients are normally expressed on the molar concentration scale, we converted, where necessary, solubilities recorded on other scales to molar solubilities. Several different solvent compositions are used: mol fraction, weight $\%$ or volume $\%$, and we converted experimental solubilities on the mol fraction or weight $\%$ scale to solubilities on the volume $\%$ solvent scale. Then from the molar solubilities we used Eq. 2 to obtain values of $\log _{10}$ $P$ for transfer of the neutral solutes from water to various vol\% IPA systems. These are given in Table 2, together with references for the data on solubilities [17-54].

Table 2. Values of compound partition coefficients, as $\log _{10} P$, from water to various water-IPA systems with $\%$ volume IPA at 298 K .

|  | $\%$ Volume IPA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compound | Ref | 100 | 95 | 90 | 80 | 70 |
| 2-Hydroxybenzoic acid | 17 | 2.390 | 2.202 | 2.105 | 1.920 | 1.701 |
| Benzene | 18 | 2.110 | 2.065 | 2.003 | 1.866 | 1.698 |
| Toluene | 18 | 2.605 | 2.521 | 2.456 | 2.305 | 2.117 |
| Ethylbenzene | 18 | 3.222 | 3.092 | 3.017 | 2.839 | 2.633 |
| Propylbenzene | 18 | 3.661 | 3.479 | 3.386 | 3.167 | 2.953 |
| Butylbenzene | 18 | 4.325 | 3.932 | 3.829 | 3.599 | 3.357 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 18 | 3.727 | 3.588 | 3.475 | 3.287 | 3.073 |
| tert-Butylbenzene | 18 | 4.138 | 3.910 | 3.813 | 3.592 | 3.363 |
| Piperonal | 18 | 1.574 | 1.750 | 1.897 | 1.962 | 1.844 |
| Helium | 18 | 0.496 | 0.448 | 0.400 | 0.307 | 0.222 |
| Naproxen | 20 |  | 3.342 | 3.365 | 3.325 | 3.178 |
|  | 21 | 0.716 | 0.735 | 0.764 | 0.760 | 0.689 |
| Gallic acid | 22 | 2.320 | 2.345 | 2.513 | 2.627 | 2.454 |
| Decanedioic acid | 23 | 0.681 | 0.664 | 0.604 | 0.466 | 0.349 |
| Nitrous oxide | 24 | -2.183 | -2.329 | -2.393 | -2.396 | -2.256 |
| Oxiracetam | 25 | 2.088 | 2.028 | 2.129 | 1.767 | 1.352 |
| Benzoic acid | 25 |  | 2.116 | 1.795 | 1.239 |  |


| 3-Bromobenzoic acid | 27 | 2.944 | 2.816 | 2.700 | 2.481 | 2.220 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2-Iodobenzoic acid | 27 | 2.555 | 2.469 | 2.296 | 1.772 | 1.326 |
| 3-Iodobenzoic acid | 27 | 3.240 | 3.112 | 2.980 | 2.733 | 2.420 |
| 5,7-Dibromo-8- | 28 | 1.499 | 1.491 | 1.478 | 1.437 | 1.380 |
| hydroxyquinoline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,6-Dichloro-4-nitroaniline | 29 | 1.770 | 1.615 | 1.373 | 0.929 | 0.615 |
| 2-Methoxy-4-nitroaniline | 30 | 3.863 | 1.664 | 1.549 | 1.340 | 1.120 |
| Risperidone | 31 | 3.073 | 3.033 | 2.731 | 2.198 | 1.743 |
| Microflavin | 32 | 1.205 | 0.975 | 1.989 | 1.314 | 0.893 |
| Rebamipide | 33 | 3.049 | 2.898 | 2.708 | 0.493 | 0.317 |
| Monobenzone | 34 | 0.883 | 0.702 | 0.546 | 0.327 | 1.836 |
| 2-Hydroxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde | 35 | 1.791 | 1.340 | 1.035 | 0.659 | 0.441 |
| Buprofezin | 36 | 0.821 | 0.650 | 0.573 | 0.484 | 0.407 |
| 4-Amino-2,6- | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| dimethoxypyrimidine |  | 0.414 | 0.421 | 0.413 | 0.416 | 0.398 |
| 6-Chloroguanine | 38 | 1.636 | 1.428 | 1.226 | 0.892 | 0.650 |
| 4-Formylbenzoic acid | 39 | -0.840 | -0.146 | 0.039 | 0.031 | -0.043 |
| Allopurinol | 40 | 2.819 | 3.335 | 3.363 | 2.905 | 2.331 |
| Ketoconazole | 41 | 3.795 | 2.311 | 2.403 | 2.178 | 1.808 |
| Lamotrigine | 42 | 4.013 | 3.530 | 3.330 | 2.733 | 2.114 |
| Celecoxib | 43 | 2.436 | 2.923 | 3.927 | 3.750 | 3.324 |
| a-Trichloromethylbenzyl acetate | 44,45 | 0.226 | 0.760 | 1.963 | 1.515 | 1.154 |
| Bezafibrate | 46 | 1.017 | 0.934 | 0.846 | 0.676 | 0.481 |
| Apixaban | 47 | 1.433 | 1.507 | 1.624 | 0.648 | 0.502 |
| Milrinone | 48 | 4.258 | 4.260 | 4.224 | 4.168 | 1.610 |
| Edaravone | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nisoldipine | 50 | 0.948 | 0.801 | 0.652 | 0.409 | 0.250 |
| Bifonazole | 50 | -1.307 | -0.814 | -0.517 | -0.207 | -0.072 |
| Dinitolmide | 51 | 4.161 | 4.909 | 4.578 | 3.807 | 3.056 |
| Isoniazid | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |

106 Table 2 (continued)

| Compound | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2-Hydroxybenzoic acid | 1.503 | 1.202 | 0.905 | 0.602 | 0.411 | 0.191 | 0 |
| Benzene | 1.501 | 1.265 | 0.972 | 0.571 | 0.395 | 0.167 | 0 |
| Toluene | 1.896 | 1.625 | 1.275 | 0.777 | 0.560 | 0.250 | 0 |
| Ethylbenzene | 2.390 | 2.090 | 1.702 | 1.087 | 0.812 | 0.391 | 0 |
| Propylbenzene | 2.698 | 2.355 | 1.895 | 1.199 | 0.908 | 0.437 | 0 |
| Butylbenzene | 3.081 | 2.714 | 2.210 | 1.417 | 1.011 | 0.474 | 0 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 2.817 | 2.484 | 2.040 | 1.354 | 0.752 | 0.256 | 0 |
| tert-Butylbenzene | 3.091 | 2.743 | 2.272 | 1.511 | 0.945 | 0.397 | 0 |
| Piperonal | 1.621 | 1.342 | 1.045 | 0.755 | 0.482 | 0.227 | 0 |
| Helium | 0.146 | 0.082 | 0.033 | -0.001 | -0.017 | -0.017 | 0 |


| Naproxen | 2.933 | 2.601 | 2.192 | 1.717 | 1.185 | 0.607 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gallic acid | 0.588 | 0.479 | 0.373 | 0.273 | 0.181 | 0.096 | 0 |
| Decanedioic acid | 2.131 | 1.749 | 1.359 | 0.984 | 0.633 | 0.310 | 0 |
| Nitrous oxide | 0.258 | 0.187 | 0.133 | 0.089 | 0.054 | 0.024 | 0 |
| Oxiracetam | -2.006 | -1.678 | -1.305 | -0.918 | -0.551 | -0.234 | 0 |
| Benzoic acid | 1.012 | 0.743 | 0.529 | 0.356 | 0.215 | 0.097 | 0 |
| 2-Bromobenzoic acid | 0.759 | 0.415 | 0.194 | 0.064 | 0.001 | -0.015 | 0 |
| 3-Bromobenzoic acid | 1.965 | 1.599 | 1.272 | 0.905 | 0.550 | 0.252 | 0 |
| 2-Iodobenzoic acid | 0.979 | 0.708 | 0.494 | 0.322 | 0.181 | 0.062 | 0 |
| 3-Iodobenzoic acid | 2.134 | 1.718 | 1.356 | 0.944 | 0.580 | 0.258 | 0 |
| 5,7-Dibromo-8-hydroxyquinoline | 1.302 | 1.199 | 1.061 | 0.882 | 0.653 | 0.365 | 0 |
| 2,6-Dichoro-4-nitroaniline | 0.404 | 0.260 | 0.161 | 0.090 | 0.039 | 0.001 | 0 |
| 2-Methoxy-4-nitroaniline | 0.907 | 0.711 | 0.534 | 0.376 | 0.236 | 0.110 | 0 |
| Risperidone | 1.359 | 1.035 | 0.762 | 0.528 | 0.327 | 0.151 | 0 |
| Microflavin | 0.615 | 0.424 | 0.288 | 0.186 | 0.109 | 0.048 | 0 |
| Rebamipide | 0.206 | 0.133 | 0.085 | 0.052 | 0.028 | 0.012 | 0 |
| Monobenzone | 1.447 | 1.110 | 0.820 | 0.570 | 0.352 | 0.161 | 0 |
| 2-Hydroxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde | 0.117 | 0.069 | 0.039 | 0.021 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0 |
| Buprofezin | 0.303 | 0.209 | 0.142 | 0.093 | 0.055 | 0.025 | 0 |
| 4-Amino-2,6- | 0.333 | 0.263 | 0.200 | 0.143 | 0.091 | 0.045 | 0 |
| dimethoxypyrimidine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-Chloroguanine | 0.336 | 0.272 | 0.226 | 0.156 | 0.105 | 0.065 | 0 |
| 4-Formylbenzoic acid | 0.473 | 0.341 | 0.239 | 0.159 | 0.095 | 0.041 | 0 |
| Allopurinol | -0.089 | -0.104 | -0.098 | -0.080 | -0.054 | -0.025 | 0 |
| Ketoconazole | 1.813 | 1.375 | 1.008 | 0.699 | 0.437 | 0.212 | 0 |
| Lamotrigine | 1.440 | 1.111 | 0.826 | 0.579 | 0.365 | 0.178 | 0 |
| Celecoxib | 1.605 | 1.191 | 0.853 | 0.574 | 0.340 | 0.139 | 0 |
| a-Trichloromethylbenzyl acetate | 2.795 | 2.249 | 1.728 | 1.244 | 0.803 | 0.399 | 0 |
| Bezfibrate | 0.869 | 0.643 | 0.459 | 0.308 | 0.182 | 0.074 | 0 |
| Apixaban | 0.338 | 0.241 | 0.178 | 0.137 | 0.111 | 0.070 | 0 |
| Milrinone | 0.383 | 0.288 | 0.209 | 0.143 | 0.088 | 0.040 | 0 |
| Edaravone | 1.412 | 1.176 | 0.933 | 0.699 | 0.478 | 0.273 | 0 |
| Nisoldipine | 3.724 | 3.386 | 3.040 | 2.592 | 1.979 | 1.110 | 0 |
| Bifonazole | 2.681 | 2.140 | 1.630 | 1.162 | 0.738 | 0.351 | 0 |
| Dinitolmide | 0.149 | 0.084 | 0.042 | 0.014 | -0.004 | -0.016 | 0 |
| Isoniazid | -0.011 | 0.017 | 0.028 | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.023 | 0 |
| Clotrimazole | 2.392 | 1.821 | 1.331 | 0.911 | 0.547 | 0.227 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Once the coefficients in Eq. 1 have been obtained for a given solvent system, it is then 110 possible to extend the scope of the equation to include partitions of permanent ions, such 111 as $\mathrm{K}^{+}$or $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$, cationic species derived from the deprotonation of carboxylic acids and
phenols, and anionic species derived from the protonation of bases [6, 7, 55-61]. Data on these partitions can be used to obtain the ionic descriptors $\boldsymbol{J}^{+}$and $\boldsymbol{J}$ in Eq. 3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{10} P=c+e \boldsymbol{E}+\mathbf{s} \boldsymbol{S}+a \boldsymbol{A}+b \boldsymbol{B}+v \boldsymbol{V}+j^{+} \boldsymbol{J}^{+}+j \boldsymbol{J} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $j^{+} \boldsymbol{J}^{+}$term refers to cations and the $\bar{j} \boldsymbol{J}$ term refers to anions. Cations have $\mathbf{J}^{-}=0$, anions have $\boldsymbol{J}^{+}=0$ and neutral compounds have $\overline{\boldsymbol{J}}=\boldsymbol{J}^{+}=0$, so that the solvent coefficients $c, e, s, a, b$ and $v$ are the same for neutral molecules, ions and ionic species [55-61]. Thus for transfer from water to methanol, Eq. 4 applies to neutral compounds only, and Eq. 5 applies to neutral compounds, ions and ionic species [7].

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log _{10} P=0.276+0.334 \boldsymbol{E}-0.714 \boldsymbol{S}+0.243 \boldsymbol{A}-3.320 \boldsymbol{B}+3.549 \boldsymbol{V}  \tag{4}\\
& \log _{10} P=0.276+0.334 \boldsymbol{E}-0.714 \boldsymbol{S}+0.243 \boldsymbol{A}-3.320 \boldsymbol{B}+3.549 \boldsymbol{V}-2.609 \boldsymbol{J}^{+}+3.027 \boldsymbol{J} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The most straightforward method of obtaining general method of obtaining data for the determination of the ionic descriptors is from Gibbs energies of transfer of ions from water to a given solvent, $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{t}}$ (or the equivalent $\log _{10} P$ ). In order to obtain 'single-ion' values, some particular convention needs to be established. A common such convention, and one that we have used throughout our work, is the TATB convention that $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\operatorname{or} \log _{10} P\right)$ for $\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{As}^{+}$or $\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}^{+}=\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{o}}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\log _{10} P\right)$ for $\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{~B}^{-}$. Kalidas, Marcus and Hefter [62-64] have published compilations of Gibbs energies of transfer of ions using the TATB convention.

For ionic species, the most useful procedure is by an electrochemical method, based on the determination of pKa values in water and the given solvent. For anions derived from carboxylic acids or phenols, Eq. 6 is used. Here $P\left(\mathrm{~A}^{-}\right)$is the required partition coefficient from water to a solvent of an anion, $P(\mathrm{HA})$ is the partition coefficient of the neutral
compound, $P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$is the partition coefficient of the hydrogen ion, and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{aq})$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{s})$ are the pKa values of the compound in water and the given solvent.
$\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{~A}^{-}\right)=\log _{10} P(\mathrm{HA})-\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)+\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{aq})-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{s})$

A similar equation can be used for the determination of partition coefficients of protonated amine cations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right)=\log _{10} P(\mathrm{~B})+\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)-\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{~s}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. $7, \mathrm{BH}^{+}$refers to the protonated amine and B to the neutral amine. To be consistent with our previous work, all values for single ions, including $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$in Eq. 5 and Eq. 7, must be based on the TATB convention. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{s})$ values for carboxylic acids and phenols in water-IPA are available [65-70], but we have been unable to find any data on the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of bases in the IPA systems.

## 3 Results and Discussion

Application of Eq. 1 to the data in Table 2 is straightforward and yields 11 equations, one for each of the water-IPA systems. The obtained regression coefficients are given in Table 3 , together with the number of compounds, $N$, and the regression standard deviation, $S D$. For solution of a set of simultaneous equations, these are the only statistical parameters calculated. We also checked on the effect of using a smaller selection of parameters but found decidedly poorer statistics. Thus for the set of 46 compounds partitioned from water to $100 \%$ IPA, $S D=0.096$ (Table 3) using five descriptors. If $E$ is omitted $S D=0.155$, if $S$ is omitted $S D$ is 0.352 , if $A$ is omitted $\mathrm{SD}=0.242$, if $B$ is omitted $\mathrm{SD}=1.028$ and if $V$ is omitted $S D=1.498$ so that all five descriptors are needed. Also given in Table 3 are details of our $100 \%$ isopropanol regression [71] that we have obtained previously with a much larger data set. $(N=148)$

Table 3. Regression coefficients in the LFER, Eq. 1, for the partition of neutral compounds from water to water-isopropanol mixtures, as $\log _{10} P$ at 298 K .

| \% IPA | $S D$ | $N$ | c | $e$ | $s$ | $a$ | $b$ | $v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.159 | 148 | 0.099 | 0.343 | -1.049 | 0.406 | -3.827 | 4.033 |
| 100 | 0.096 | 46 | 0.167 | 0.346 | -1.024 | 0.299 | -3.725 | 3.926 |
| 95 | 0.234 | 46 | 0.109 | 0.426 | -0.815 | 0.218 | -3.639 | 3.620 |
| 90 | 0.349 | 46 | 0.128 | 0.416 | -0.730 | 0.222 | -3.598 | 3.465 |
| 80 | 0.458 | 46 | 0.138 | 0.269 | -0.540 | 0.132 | -3.431 | 3.184 |
| 70 | 0.502 | 46 | 0.160 | 0.120 | -0.395 | 0.048 | -3.150 | 2.866 |
| 60 | 0.510 | 46 | 0.174 | 0.006 | -0.280 | -0.015 | -2.803 | 2.517 |
| 50 | 0.488 | 46 | 0.167 | -0.091 | -0.169 | -0.080 | -2.382 | 2.132 |
| 40 | 0.441 | 46 | 0.115 | -0.156 | -0.054 | -0.102 | -1.937 | 1.725 |
| 30 | 0.355 | 46 | -0.028 | -0.189 | 0.098 | -0.068 | -1.433 | 1.252 |
| 20 | 0.287 | 46 | -0.001 | -0.217 | 0.126 | -0.151 | -0.860 | 0.802 |
| 10 | 0.154 | 46 | -0.030 | -0.117 | 0.098 | -0.044 | -0.457 | 0.400 |
| 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{a}$ Ref. 55.

The regression coefficients for partition into $100 \%$ IPA using the 148 [71] or 46 data sets are very similar, suggesting that the 46 data set is a representative sample set. The $S D$ values for many of the regressions are larger than we would like. However, plots of the coefficients against \% IPA are quite smooth curves, see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, suggesting that the coefficients themselves are reasonable and could be used to predict further values of $\log _{10} P$ for compounds for which we have descriptors. These are available for some 8500 neutral compounds [72,73]. Once $\log _{10} P$ values are predicted, they can then be used to predict solubilities through Eq. 2.


Fig. 1. Plots of the $a$-coefficient, $\bullet$ the $b$-coefficient, $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ and the $s$-coefficient, $\square$ against \% IPA


Fig. 2. Plots of the e-coefficient, ■ and the v-coefficient, • against \% IPA

The coefficients in Eq. 1 are not just fitting coefficients, but they encode the physicochemical properties of the corresponding solvent. With regard to water-alcohol systems, the $a$-coefficient and the $b$-coefficient are particularly important. The $a$ coefficient is a measure of the hydrogen bond basicity of the solvent compared to that of water (because solutes that are hydrogen bond acids will interact with hydrogen bond solvents) and the $b$-coefficient is a measure of the hydrogen bond acidity of the solvent compared to that of water (because solutes that are hydrogen bond bases will interact with solvents that are hydrogen bond acids).

In Fig. 3, we show plots of the $a$-coefficient and the $b$-coefficient against $\%$ alcohol for the water-methanol, the water-ethanol and the water-IPA systems. In all three cases, the $a$ coefficient hardly alters with $\%$ alcohol, so that the hydrogen bond basicity of the three water-alcohol systems remains almost the same as that of water itself. In contrast, the $b$ coefficients become progressively smaller with increase in the $\%$ alcohol, so that the solvent hydrogen bond acidity becomes much less than that of water with increase in the \% alcohol


Fig. 3. Plots of the $a$-coefficient against the $\%$ alcohol for the water-methanol, $\square$ the waterethanol, o and the water-IPA • systems, and plots of the $b$-coefficient against the $\%$ alcohol for the water-methanol, $\square$ the water-ethanol, $\Delta$ and the water-IPA $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ systems.

Calculation of the ionic descriptors is not so straightforward, because of a lack of data on the partition of ions. However, some data on the transfer of ions from water to IPA and water-IPA mixtures are available [62-64]. All our studies on the transfer of ions use the TATB convention, so that key data on ions are the partition coefficients for the tetraphenylarsonium cation and the tetraphenylborate anion. A value for the transfer of the latter from water to $100 \%$ IPA is given as $\log _{10} P=2.59$ on the $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PeBu}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+} / \mathrm{BPh}_{4}{ }^{-}$ convention [65], as compared to values for transfer to methanol (3.98), ethanol (3.73) and propan-1-ol (4.37), all on the TATB convention [62-64]. By comparison to all these values we have taken $\log _{10} \mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{~B}^{-}$as 3.75 . The value for transfer of $\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{As}^{+}$from water to various solvents is always a little larger than that for $\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{~B}^{-}$and we take $\log _{10} \mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{As}^{+}$as 3.85 for
transfer from water to IPA. Once these values for the TATB ions have been assigned, it is possible to use data on the alkali metal cations and the halide anions [62-64] to derive $\log _{10}$ $P$ values on the TATB convention.

To obtain $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{~A}^{-}\right)$from Eq. 5 requires a knowledge of pKa in water and in IPA and water-IPA mixtures. These are available for a number of carboxylic acids and phenols [6570]. We also need $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. We find that with $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)=-1.81$ for transfer from water to $100 \%$ IPA we could include anions derived from carboxylic acids and phenols. Chantooni and Kolthoff [65] give values of $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$from water to methanol and from methanol to IPA, leading to -1.60 or -1.90 for transfer from water to IPA, so that our value of -1.81 is in excellent agreement.
Finally, we have descriptors (that include $\boldsymbol{J}^{+}$and $\boldsymbol{J}$ ) for a number of $\alpha$-amino-acids [74] that we can use.

We finished up with data for transfer from water to IPA of 40 ions and anionic species, see Table 4, that yielded $J^{+}=-2.983$ and $J=3.190$ in Eq. 3. Although we have had to make a number of approximations, the resulting values of $J^{+}, J$ and also of $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$ seem quite reasonable by comparison to those for other alcohols [6, 7, 65], see Table 5. We caution, however, that we have no data on the partition of protonated bases from water to IPA. A knowledge of these values would considerably help to strengthen our analysis.

The $S D$ between calculated and observed values of $\log _{10} P$ in Table 4 is 0.12 , in line with the overall $S D$ of 0.096 (Table 3).

Table 4. Values of $\log _{10} P$ for the transfer of ions and ionic species from water to isopropanol

| Solute | $\log _{10} P$ calc | $\log _{10} P$ obs | Ref |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}+$ | -2.80 | -2.81 | 62,63 |
| $\mathrm{Rb}+$ | -3.04 | -3.07 | 62,63 |
| $\mathrm{Cs}+$ | -2.71 | -2.74 | 62,63 |
| $\mathrm{Ph} 4 \mathrm{As}+$ | 3.66 | 3.85 | See text |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-$ | -3.98 | -3.93 | 62,63 |
| $\mathrm{Br}-$ | -3.45 | -3.41 | 62,63 |
| $\mathrm{I}-$ | -2.98 | -2.96 | 62,63 |


| BPh4- | 3.88 | 3.75 | See text |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzoic acid, anion | -2.82 | -2.85 | 70 |
| 3,4-Dimethylbenzoic acid, | -2.35 | -2.41 | 69 |
| anion | -1.77 | -1.44 | 70 |
| 3-Nitrophenol, anion | -1.81 | -1.79 | 70 |
| 4-Nitrophenol, anion | -1.76 | -1.71 | 69 |
| 4-Chlorophenol, anion | -1.52 | -1.23 | 69 |
| 3-Bromophenol, anion | -1.48 | -1.61 | 69 |
| 4-Bromophenol, anion | -1.37 | -1.59 | 68 |
| Carprofen, anion | -1.11 | -1.09 | 68 |
| Ibuprofen, anion | -0.90 | -1.22 | 68 |
| Butibufen, anion | -2.43 | -2.36 | 68 |
| Fenbufen, anion | -2.20 | -2.44 | 68 |
| Ketoprofen, anion | -1.54 | -1.52 | 68 |
| Flurbiprofen, anion | -1.71 | -1.69 | 68 |
| Naproxen, anion | -1.16 | -0.92 | 68 |
| Diclofenac, anion | -1.35 | -1.36 | 74 |
| Betaine | -3.29 | -3.32 | 74 |
| Glycine | -3.19 | -3.12 | 74 |
| $\alpha-A l a n i n e$ | -2.88 | -2.92 | 74 |
| a-Aminobutanoic acid | -2.75 | -2.76 | 74 |
| b-Alanine | -2.34 | -2.40 | 74 |
| Norvaline | -1.75 | -1.80 | 74 |
| Norleucine | -2.58 | -2.63 | 74 |
| Valine | -2.07 | -2.00 | 74 |
| Leucine | -1.88 | -1.90 | 74 |
| Phenylalanine | -4.76 | -4.68 | 74 |
| Biapenem | -2.46 | -2.49 | 74 |
| L-Tyrosine | -1.99 | -2.01 | 74 |
| D-Tryptophan | -1.75 | -1.76 | 74 |
| D-Histidine | -1.08 | -1.08 | 74 |
| Aspartic acid | -1.54 | -1.57 | 74 |
| L-Cysteine | -1.51 | -1.52 | 74 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenylalanine |  |  |  |

Table 5. Values of $J^{+}, J$ and of $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$for some alcohols

| Alcohol | $j^{+}$ | $j-$ | $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Methanol | -2.609 | 3.027 | $-1.53[7]$ |
| Ethanol | -3.172 | 3.146 | $-1.95[6]$ |
| Propan-1-ol | -3.077 | 2.834 | $-0.80[65]$ |


| Butan-1-ol | -3.605 | 2.685 | $-0.90[65]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Propan-2-ol | -2.983 | 3.190 | -1.81 This work |
| t-Butyl alcohol |  |  | $-2.00[65]$ |

Once we have values for the partition of anions and cations from water to $100 \%$ IPA, we can use these, together with the available data for transfer from water to the more aqueous systems, to estimate values for partition from water to water-IPA mixtures. We have also data on pKa for carboxylic acids and phenols in water-IPA mixtures [65-70], and we can calculate $\log _{10} P$ values for the $\alpha$-amino-acids [74]. These yield values of $j^{+}$and $j{ }^{-}$ for water-IPA mixtures. However, we regard our values as only provisional, especially because we are unable to include any values for the partition of protonated bases. We give in Table 6 a summary of our provisional findings

Table 6. Results on calculations on water-isopropanol mixtures.

| Vol $\%$ | $j+$ | $j-$ | $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{As}^{+}\right)$ | $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{BPh}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$ | $\log _{10} P\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | -0.705 | 0.183 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.050 |
| 20 | -1.077 | 0.404 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.050 |
| 30 | -1.053 | 0.921 | 1.65 | 1.55 | -0.080 |
| 40 | -0.621 | 1.526 | 2.10 | 2.00 | -0.100 |
| 50 | -0.776 | 2.027 | 2.55 | 2.45 | -0.050 |
| 60 | -1.021 | 2.532 | 2.95 | 2.85 | -0.147 |
| 70 | -1.356 | 2.873 | 3.30 | 3.20 | -0.125 |
| 80 | -1.847 | 3.117 | 3.60 | 3.50 | -0.063 |
| 90 | -1.999 | 3.145 | 3.80 | 3.70 | -0.300 |
| 95 | -2.433 | 3.005 | 3.85 | 3.75 | -0.821 |
| 100 | -2.983 | 3.190 | 3.85 | 3.75 | -1.806 |

As shown in Fig. 3, there is a large variation in the solvent $b$-coefficient across the water-methanol, water-ethanol and water-isopropanol systems. Since the complementary solute property to solvent hydrogen bond basicity is the solute hydrogen bond acidity we might expect that there would be a large effect on solvation of the potassium ion, for example, leading to large effects on $\log _{10} P$. Indeed, $\log _{10} P$ for $\mathrm{K}^{+}$varies from -1.47 (to
methanol) ${ }^{63}$ to -2.87 (to ethanol) ${ }^{63}$ and to -2.81 (to isopropanol). In a similar vein, the very small variation in solvent hydrogen bond acidity, Fig. 3, would be expected to lead to a small variation in $\log _{10} P$ for the (basic) chloride ion. But values for $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$are -2.31 (to methanol), ${ }^{64}-3.56$ (to ethanol) ${ }^{64}$ and -3.93 (to isopropanol), much larger differences than expected, so other factors must be involved.

## 4 Conclusions

We have shown that the general LFER, Eq. 1, used to correlate solubilities and watersolvent partition coefficients of neutral compounds in a wide range of mono solvents and in water-methanol and water-ethanol systems can be applied to solubilities and partition coefficients in the water-IPA system at various $\%$ volume IPA from water to IPA itself. The obtained equations can then be used to predict solubilities and partition coefficients at 298 K for a wide variety of neutral compounds over the entire range of water-IPA mixtures. The equations we have constructed encode the physicochemical properties of the waterIPA mixtures, and we show that the hydrogen bond acidity of the mixtures slightly increases from water to IPA, and the hydrogen bond basicity of the mixtures greatly diminishes from water to IPA in a smooth manner.

Using the somewhat limited data on the transfer of ions and ionic species, we have obtained the coefficients in the ionic Eq. (3) that can now be used to estimate the transfers of other ions and ionic species for which we have the necessary descriptors [55, 56]. Important findings are values of $\log P$ for the partition of the hydrogen ion from water to water-IPIA mixtures, including the value of -1.80 for transfer from water to IPA itself.
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