A polyphenol-rich dietary pattern improves intestinal permeability in older subjects: the MaPLE
 randomised controlled trial

3

Cristian Del Bo<sup>'1§</sup>, Stefano Bernardi<sup>1§</sup>, Antonio Cherubini<sup>2</sup>, Marisa Porrini<sup>1</sup>, Giorgio Gargari<sup>1</sup>, Nicole
Hidalgo-Liberona<sup>3,4</sup>, Raúl González-Domínguez<sup>3,4</sup>, Raul Zamora-Ros<sup>3,5</sup>, Gregorio Peron<sup>3,4</sup>, Mark S
Winterbone<sup>6</sup>, Benjamin Kirkup<sup>6</sup>, Paul A Kroon<sup>6</sup>, Cristina Andres-Lacueva<sup>3,4</sup>, Simone Guglielmetti<sup>1</sup>,
Patrizia Riso<sup>1\*</sup>

8

### 9 Affiliations

10 <sup>1</sup>Università degli Studi di Milano, Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), 20133 Milan, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Geriatria, Accettazione Geriatrica e Centro di Ricerca per 11 l'Invecchiamento, IRCCS INRCA, 60127 Ancona, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Biomarkers and Nutrimetabolomics 12 13 Laboratory, Department of Nutrition, Food Sciences and Gastronomy, Faculty of Pharmacy and Food Sciences, University of Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain; <sup>4</sup>CIBER de Fragilidad y Envejecimiento 14 Saludable (CIBERfes), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, 08028 Barcelona, Spain; <sup>5</sup>Unit of Nutrition and 15 Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme, Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), Bellvitge 16 Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), Spain; <sup>6</sup>Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich Research 17 18 Park, Norwich NR4 7UQ, United Kingdom

19

20 §equally contributed as first author

- 21 \*Corresponding author: <u>patrizia.riso@unimi.it</u>
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

#### 27 ABSTRACT

Background & aim: Increased intestinal permeability (IP) can occur in older people and contribute to
the activation of the immune system and inflammation.

30 Dietary interventions may represent a potential strategy to reduce IP. In this regard, specific food 31 bioactives such as polyphenols have been proposed as potential IP modulator due to their ability to 32 affect several critical targets and pathways that control IP.

The trial aimed to test the hypothesis that a polyphenol-rich dietary pattern can decrease IP andbeneficially alter IP-associated biochemical and clinical markers in older subjects.

Methods: A randomised, controlled, cross-over intervention trial was performed. Sixty-six subjects (aged  $\geq 60$  y) with increased IP based on serum zonulin levels, were randomly allocated to one of the two arms of the intervention consisting of a control diet (C-diet) *vs. a* polyphenol-rich diet (PR-diet). Each intervention was 8-week long and separated by an 8-week wash out period. At the beginning and at the end of each intervention period, serum samples were collected for the quantification of zonulin and other biological markers. In addition, anthropometrical/physical/biochemical parameters and food intake were evaluated.

**Results:** Fifty-one subjects successfully completed the intervention and a high compliance to the dietary protocols was demonstrated. Overall, polyphenol intake significantly increased from a mean of 812 mg/day in the C diet to 1391 mg/day in the PR-diet. Two-way analysis of variance showed a significant effect of treatment (p = 0.008) and treatment *x* time interaction (p = 0.025) on serum zonulin levels, which decreased after the 8-week PR-diet. In addition, a treatment *x* time interaction was observed, showing a reduction of diastolic blood pressure (p = 0.028) following the PR-diet, that was underlined in women (p = 0.043) showing also a decrease of systolic blood pressure (p = 0.042).

49 A trend towards a reduction of total cholesterol was observed (time effect, p = 0.039) following both 50 interventions. The efficacy of this dietary intervention was higher in subjects with higher serum zonulin 51 at baseline, who showed more pronounced alterations in the markers under study. Furthermore, zonulin

52	reduction was also stronger among subjects with higher body mass index and insulin resistance at
53	baseline, thus demonstrating the close interplay between IP and metabolic features.

- 54 **Conclusions:** These data show, for the first time, that PR-diet can reduce IP evaluated as serum
- 55 zonulin levels. These findings may represent an initial breakthrough for further intervention studies
- 56 evaluating possible dietary treatments for the management of IP in different target populations.
- 57 This study was registered at www.isrctn.org as ISRCTN10214981
- 58
- 59 Keywords: zonulin; leaky gut; inflammation; flavonoids; phenolics; aging

#### 60 **1. Introduction**

61 The integrity of the intestinal barrier is fundamental for gut and human health. This barrier is maintained thanks to the active involvement of "tight junctions", in which multiprotein complexes 62 63 serve to seal the junctions between epithelial cells. Tight junctions control mucosal permeability and act as intermediates/transducers in cell signalling cascades [1]. The layer of epithelial cells represents 64 65 a physical barrier against external factors, including microbial factors, while maintaining a controlled 66 symbiosis with commensal bacteria [2]. The disruption of the junctions between epithelial cells results 67 in increased intestinal permeability (IP), also known as "leaky gut". It enables the translocation of microorganisms and/or microbial derived factors from the intestinal lumen to the blood stream, leading 68 69 to the activation of immune function and inflammation [3]. An increased IP has been proposed as a 70 potential contributor to a wide range of intestinal disorders such as irritable bowel syndrome, and inflammatory bowel, and coeliac diseases. In addition, recently, increased IP has also been proposed 71 72 as a potential cause of age-related conditions [4]. In fact, age has reported as an independent risk factor 73 for altered IP [5], and some studies have shown an increased IP over the age of 50 y due to a potential 74 progressive process of deterioration in the functions and integrity of the intestinal barrier [5]. During 75 aging, an increased IP may contribute to the onset of chronic low-grade inflammation, also known as inflamm-aging [6,7], responsible of the higher risk of several age-related diseases including metabolic 76 77 syndrome, obesity, diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. Gut microbiota seems to play a central role 78 in driving inflamm-aging, as it can release several inflammatory factors, and contribute to IP 79 (dys)regulation [8,9]. For example, gut microorganisms may act directly on IP by affecting tight junction functionality and/or indirectly by modulating inflammation [4]. Consequently, the 80 81 manipulation of gut microbiota has been proposed as a potential novel strategy to improve IP. Dietary 82 patterns and specific food bioactives are considered important factors capable to manipulate and shape 83 gut microbiota, which can positively or negatively affect IP. Recent studies discussed the role of several 84 macro and micronutrients in the modulation of IP. The results highlighted that an excessive energy 85 intake, high-fat, high-sugar and high-animal protein consumption, as well as alcohol intake are

86 associated with an alteration of the intestinal microbial ecosystem and an increased IP [10-12]. 87 Moreover, an inadequate nutrient intake (e.g. low protein intake) that often occurs in older subjects can contribute to increase IP [4]. Conversely, diets rich in low-energy dense foods (e.g. fruits and 88 89 vegetables) and fibres have been associated with a healthier gut microbiota and a reduced IP [13]. In the context of a diet-microbiota-IP axis, several food bioactives, including polyphenols, may represent 90 91 a potential strategy to positively affect microbiota composition and to improve IP and related 92 conditions [14]. Polyphenol biological functions include antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, 93 and immunomodulatory activity at both intestinal and systemic levels [2]. Despite the exact molecular 94 mechanisms are not completely understood, polyphenols may directly and/or indirectly act at different 95 levels of the intestinal barrier by regulating tight junction function, the production of numerous 96 inflammatory cytokines and the activation of antioxidant genes [2]. Furthermore, polyphenols undergo extensive modifications by the gut microbiota and, consequently, affect the intestinal microbial 97 98 ecosystem. For such reasons, polyphenols could represent elective bioactives to develop dietary 99 intervention strategies to counteract detrimental effects of IP.

100 To the best of our knowledge, human intervention studies aimed at investigating the role of polyphenols 101 in the modulation of IP are still lacking. Within this context, the MaPLE (Microbiome mAnipulation 102 through Polyphenols for managing Leakiness in the Elderly) randomised, controlled, crossover trial 103 was designed to assess whether a high intake of polyphenol-rich foods in older subjects would reduce 104 IP and improve markers of inflammation and vascular function.

105

#### 106 2. Materials and Methods

107 2.1 Setting and subjects' recruitment

108 The MaPLE trial was carried out at Civitas Vitae (OIC Foundation, Padua, Italy), an institution 109 including residential care and independent residences for older subjects. The setting was selected in 110 order to enable a significant control of most of the experimental variables affecting dietary intervention 111 studies as previously described [15]. Subjects selection was performed in collaboration with physicians and staff at OIC Foundation, based on medical examination and the evaluation of drug therapies. Thefinal eligibility was defined according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria reported below.

To be included in the trial, the subjects had to be  $\geq 60$  years old, with an adequate nutritional status, a 114 115 good cognitive status, good functional autonomy, and with an increased IP evaluated as serum zonulin level concentrations by considering reference values and other literature as previously detailed [15-116 117 18]. Exclusion criteria included: having Celiac disease, advanced stage of chronic diseases such as 118 cirrhosis, renal insufficiency (dialysis), severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or 119 severe cardiovascular disease (heart failure class III or IV NYHA - New York Heart Association). Moreover, subjects with malignant tumours that required treatment in the previous 2 years were 120 121 excluded as well as those treated with antibiotics in the last month before the intervention period.

The entire process of subject selection and randomization within the clinical trial is reported in **Figure 1.** The study protocol complied with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Milan, Italy (ref: 6/16/CE\_15.02.16\_Verbale\_All-7). All participants were informed about the study protocol and they signed an informed consent before the enrolment. The trial was registered under ISRCTN.com (ISRCTN10214981).

#### 127 2.2 Definition and set up of the dietary intervention

128 The dietary intervention protocol was developed following an initial evaluation of the nutrient composition (through MetaDieta® software by Me.Te.Da S.r.l., San Benedetto del Tronto, Italy) and 129 130 total polyphenol content (mainly through Phenol-Explorer.eu database) of the daily menu provided 131 by OIC Foundation to the host. The development of the polyphenol-rich (PR) dietary pattern was 132 designed by the substitution of some low-polyphenol products in the control diet (C-diet) with other 133 comparable PR-products (e.g. foods used for snack or breakfast) and maintaining as much as possible 134 the overall energy and nutrient composition. Specifically, subjects consumed three small portions per 135 day of the following selected PR-foods: berries and related products, blood orange and juice, 136 pomegranate juice, green tea, Renetta apple and purée, and dark chocolate (callets and cocoa powder-137 based drink), which provided a mean of 724 mg/day of total polyphenols estimated by Folin138 Ciocalteu analysis [19]. Thus, the total polyphenol intake in the intervention diet, i.e. including the

139 menu plus the PR-foods, was roughly doubled compared to the C-diet.

A schematic plan of the type and serving sizes of PR-foods consumed daily within the intervention hasbeen reported previously [15].

142

#### 143 2.3 Experimental design

The trial consisted of an 8-week, randomised, repeated measure cross-over intervention study (i.e. PRdiet *vs* C-diet). Volunteers were randomly allocated in one of the two arms of the intervention starting with PR-diet or C-diet according to a computerized randomization protocol [15]. Subjects assigned to the PR-diet received the 3-daily portions of selected PR-products described before. During the C-diet period, subjects followed the regular menus provided by the nursing home that were previously evaluated for their nutritional composition. After a wash-out period (8 weeks) performed to avoid any carry-over effect, the groups were switched to the other treatment.

At the beginning and at the end of each intervention periods all participants underwent to physical and general condition examinations (i.e. height, weight, blood pressure and clinical signs). In addition, biological samples were collected for the analysis of metabolic and functional markers.

154

#### 155 2.4 Compliance

To ensure adequate compliance to the dietary intervention protocol PR-rich foods, that were in part or completely not consumed, were registered at the end of each day. In addition, weighted food diaries were filled in during the trial to assess the adherence to both dietary treatments (PR- and C- diet) [15].

159

#### 160 2.5 Anthropometrical and physical evaluations

161 Height and weight were measured according to Lohman et al international guidelines [20]; body mass

162 index (BMI) was calculated according to the formula – weight (kg)/height (m<sup>2</sup>). Reference scores were

defined according to international guidelines [20]. Blood pressure was obtained in resting, seatedposition following the JNC 7 guidelines [21].

165

#### 166 2.6 Blood sampling and analysis

After an overnight fast, blood samples were drawn in Vacutainer tubes containing silicon gel for serum
and maintained at room temperature for at least 30 min. Serum was then obtained by tube centrifugation
(1400 g x 15 min, 4°C), splitted in small aliquots into specific vials and stored at -80°C until analysis.
Samples were used for the evaluation of several metabolic and functional parameters [15].

In particular, glucose, insulin, lipid profile (total cholesterol, triglycerides), liver and renal function 171 172 (i.e. aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase, creatinine) were analysed using a standardized routine-use automatic biochemical analyser (ILAB 650, 173 Instrumentation Laboratory, Lexington, MA). Serum concentration of low-density lipoprotein 174 175 cholesterol (LDL-C) and non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) were estimated by using 176 the Friedewald formula [22] and by subtracting HDL-C from total cholesterol (TC), respectively. In 177 addition, the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was performed, and 178 values > 3 were considered as a criterion for insulin resistance [23]. The Cockroft-Gault (C-G) index based on creatinine clearance was calculated according to the formula previously defined in literature 179 180 [24,25].

181

#### 182 2.7 Evaluation of IP

Serum samples for IP evaluation (at recruitment and at each time point of intervention) were defrosted at room temperature and serum zonulin level was assessed by using the Immunodiagnostik® ELISA kit (Bensheim, Germany). The assay, based on a competitive Elisa method, consisted in the addition to each sample (including standard and control samples) of a biotinylate zonulin tracer (at first step) and the use of a pre-coated 96-well plate with polyclonal anti-zonulin antibody. The peroxidaselabelled streptavidin addition was used to bind the biotinylate zonulin tracer. After the reaction, the plate reader TECAN Infinite F200 (Tecan Group Ltd. Mannedorf, Switzerland) was used to read the fluorescence at 450 nm. Serum zonulin concentrations were quantified by using a standard curve calculated by a 4-parameter algorithm as reported by the manufacturer.

- 192
- 193 2.8 Evaluation of inflammatory markers
- 194 C-reactive protein (CRP), Tumour Necrosis Factor  $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) levels were

195 quantified using specific ELISA kits (R&D Systems, Biotechne, Abingdon, UK). Specifically, CRP

196 (DCRP00), IL-6 (HS600B), and TNF- $\alpha$  (HSTA00E) were quantified in serum at the beginning and

- 197 the end of each intervention period.
- 198
- 199 *2.9 Evaluation of vascular markers*

Serum samples at each time point were used to quantify vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) by using an ELISA kit (Booster® from Vinci Biochem S.r.l., Vinci, Italy). After competitive treatment with antibodies and fluorophore, fluorescence was read by a TECAN Infinite F200 plate reader. A 4-parameter algorithm was used to create the standard curve and to calculate serum concentrations.

205

206 2.10 Statistical analysis

Sample size was calculated based on previous published data [18,26]. It was estimated that 50 subjects
were needed to detect a 30% decrease in plasma zonulin with 80% power and alpha=0.05 with an
estimated drop-out rate of 15%.

Differences between treatments were computed by ANOVA for repeated measures design (using the Least Significant Difference - LSD test - as post hoc analysis to evaluate differences among means). In addition, although a relatively high zonulin level was used as an inclusion criterion [15], we found interesting to verify whether the response to dietary treatments could differ in subjects stratified with respect to median serum zonulin levels at baseline, as it was also reported in recent publications [9,10]. 215 Specifically, subjects were stratified in two groups: LSZ group (lower serum zonulin levels; i.e.  $\leq$ 216 median value) and HSZ group (higher serum zonulin levels; i.e. > median level). The regression and 217 correlation analyses (Spearman and Kendal test) were carried out to highlight associations between 218 zonulin levels (HSZ vs LSZ) and physiological and biochemical parameters. In addition, a further statistical analysis in which subjects were stratified in two groups based on median values for BMI and 219 220 HOMA-index was performed in order to investigate the contribution of metabolic characteristics on IP 221 and related markers. Potential gender differences were also considered in the analyses. Significance 222 was set at  $p \le 0.05$ . P values in the range 0.05 were considered as trends. All analyses wereperformed using the R statistic software version 3.4.2. 223

224

#### 225 3. **Results**

#### 226 *3.1 Recruitment phase workflow*

Of the initial 491 older subjects considered, 349 were excluded after evaluation by OIC physicians since they did not meet the inclusion criteria and 70 subjects declared not to be interested into participate for personal reasons. A total of 72 subjects were further screened and 3 subjects were excluded for low serum zonulin levels. Difficulty in drawing blood was the reason for excluding others 3 subjects.

Finally, 66 subjects (27 men, 39 women) were enrolled in the trial, but only 51 subjects completed the entire intervention study. A schematic flowchart of the protocol, reporting all the information from the recruitment until the end of the study, is shown in **Figure 1**.

235

#### 236 *3.2 Baseline characteristics of the participants*

The main characteristics at baseline of the 51 subjects who completed the study protocol are providedin **Table 1**. Age ranged between 60 and 98 years old with a median value of 77 years old. Age

distribution was comparable in men and women. A high inter-individual variability was observed for
several markers and in particular BMI (IQR: 22.5;30.7), glucose (IQR: 86;113) and total cholesterol
levels (IQR 167;242).

242

#### 243 3.3 Correlation analysis of subjects' characteristics based on HSZ or LSZ at baseline

Serum zonulin levels were positively correlated with creatinine (p = 0.033) and triglycerides (p = 0.004) considering all participants (**Figure 2A**). However, the correlation of zonulin with creatinine clearance, as C-G index, was not significant. A positive correlation was evidenced among inflammatory markers (i.e. IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , CRP); in addition, a positive correlation emerged between CRP levels and BMI (p = 0.021), and TNF- $\alpha$  and TG (p = 0.0009) (**Figure 2A**).

When subjects were stratified according to high *versus* low serum zonulin levels at baseline, HSZ group showed a positive correlation between zonulin and HOMA index (p = 0.037), and creatinine (p = 0.025) (**Figure 2B**). This last correlation was not confirmed when C-G index was used. Regarding LSZ subjects, no significant correlation was observed between serum zonulin levels and the other markers under study (**Figure 2C**).

254

#### 255 *3.4 Compliance to the dietary intervention*

256 The nutrient composition of the diet consumed by participants during both treatment periods is reported 257 in Table 2. A comparable pattern of food consumption was evidenced, except for the PR-products 258 provided in the PR-diet. Energy and overall composition of the diet did not differ in the two periods of 259 intervention (PR-diet vs C-diet). Following the PR-diet a small decrease in animal proteins and lipids 260 and an increase in carbohydrates and fibre intake (less than 1 g as a mean) was observed with respect to the C-diet. Overall, a high adherence to the dietary protocol was registered: the subjects accepted 261 262 and easily consumed all the PR-products provided daily and no adverse effects were reported. On the 263 whole, during the PR-diet treatment subjects increased their total polyphenol intake by approximately 70% (**Table 2**). 264

#### 265 *3.5 Effect of dietary interventions on markers under study*

Table 3 shows the results concerning anthropometrical and physical characteristics, biochemical,inflammatory and vascular markers evaluated before and after each treatment.

- A treatment x time interaction was observed for diastolic blood pressure (p = 0.024) and uric acid levels
- (p = 0.034). Post hoc analysis evidenced a significant reduction of diastolic blood pressure following
- the PR-diet intervention, while uric acid decreased following the C-diet.
- 271 Overall, body weight and BMI measured along the study resulted different in the two treatment periods
- 272 (p = 0.023 and p = 0.017, respectively) being lower during C-diet intervention. Finally, a time effect
- 273 (p = 0.039) was observed for total cholesterol with a trend towards reduction following both 274 interventions. No significant effect was found for the remaining variables.
- Considering gender (**Table 3A and 3B, supplementary material**), a significant time effect was observed within men for TC (p = 0.003), LDL-C (p = 0.020) and the ratio TC/HDL-C (p = 0.039), LSD test showed a significant reduction after the PR-diet but not the control diet. A significant treatment effect was found for AST (p = 0.042) and CRP (p = 0.032) that showed a trend towards a reduction following both interventions.
- Regarding women, a treatment *x* time interaction was evidenced for systolic (p = 0.042) and diastolic blood pressure (p = 0.043) showing a reduction after the PR-diet, but not after the C-diet. A significant effect of treatment was observed for triglycerides (p = 0.030).
- 283

#### 284 *3.6 Effect of intervention on IP and related markers*

In **Table 3** are reported the results on serum zonulin levels before and after each treatment. A significant treatment (p = 0.008) and treatment *x* time interaction (p = 0.025) was observed showing a decrease in serum zonulin levels after the PR-diet. After stratifying by gender (**Table 3A and 3B**, **supplementary material**), significant treatment and treatment *x* time interaction (p = 0.004 and p = 0.010 respectively) were detected for women. 290 The analysis of data based on HSZ or LSZ highlighted the importance of baseline zonulin level as a 291 significant contributor to the impact of the dietary intervention. In fact, HSZ subjects were those with the higher IP reduction (p = 0.026) following PR-diet and a significant decrease of diastolic blood 292 293 pressure (p = 0.01), glucose levels (p = 0.049) and a trend towards a reduction of IL-6 (p = 0.097); conversely a significant increase in uric acid levels (p = 0.03) was found after C-diet (data not shown). 294 295 After stratifying subjects by BMI (**Table 1**), a significant reduction of zonulin levels (p = 0.007) and DBP (p = 0.024) was observed after PR diet in the group with BMI higher than the median value. 296 297 Additionally, a significant increase in uric acid and IL-6 serum levels (p = 0.027 and p = 0.049respectively) was found during the C-diet (data not shown). 298

Similarly, by considering HOMA-index (i.e. higher *vs.* lower depending on median basal values) as stratification factor, a significant reduction of serum zonulin levels (p = 0.027) and DBP (p = 0.013) following the PR-diet and an increase (p = 0.027) in uric acid after C-diet was observed (data not shown).

303

#### 304 **4. Discussion**

305 In this study, we have shown that modifying the diet of older subjects by including small portions of PR-products can positively affect IP, evaluated as serum zonulin concentrations. Interestingly, greater 306 307 reductions in serum zonulin concentrations following the PR-diet were observed in the HSZ sub-group, 308 which was accompanied by decreases in diastolic blood pressure, glucose and IL-6 levels (even if the 309 latter was not statistically significant). This supports the notion that the efficacy of PR-diet could 310 depend on the baseline IP condition here evaluated as zonulin level. Zonulin, also known as 311 prehaptoglobin-2, is a 47-kDa protein produced mainly by epithelial cells (e.g. in the gut) which is able to reversibly modulate paracellular permeability [27]. In fact, zonulin is a fundamental regulator of 312 313 intercellular junctions since it can bind the epidermal growth factor receptor through the activation of 314 protease-activated receptor 2. The derived complex induces the signalling pathway causing tight 315 junction disassembly (induced by the phosphorylation of zonula occludens proteins) thus enabling the

paracellular passage of factors between the luminal environment and the inner part of the mucosa. For this reason, zonulin has been considered as a good (surrogate) marker of impaired intestinal barrier function and increased IP as it happens in different physiological and pathological conditions [18]. Moreover, several studies have reported correlations between the results obtained through the most common and validated IP test (based on lactulose/mannitol urine excretion evaluation following standardised sugar intake) and those with serum zonulin levels [17,28-31].

Increased serum zonulin levels and impaired IP condition have been previously found in individuals with metabolic disorders, such as diabetes and obesity [28]. In this regard, we documented a significant association between serum zonulin levels and HOMA index at baseline in subjects classified in the HSZ group but not in the LSZ group suggesting an important contribution of zonulin in discriminating subjects suffering metabolic dysregulation [28].

327 Similarly, we observed a more pronounced IP reduction after the PR-diet in subjects with higher BMI
and HOMA index at baseline, which supports the hypothesis of a link between IP and metabolic
329 disorders.

Previous studies have also reported that leaky gut can play a significant role in age-related inflammation and frailty. Interestingly, Qi et al [32] found, in a preliminary exploratory study, higher serum zonulin levels in older subjects with respect to young ones. Moreover, a positive association between zonulin levels and markers of inflammation (TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6) was shown, and an inverse one with physical performance (muscle strength and steps/day). In another study, higher levels of zonulin were associated with gastrointestinal symptoms and psychological distress suggesting the contribution of IP to these signs that are frequently found in the older population [33].

It has been suggested that increased serum zonulin levels also reflect the host response to an inflammatory process, suggesting that a two-way interaction can be present between inflammation and IP [34]. This is also supported by the observation of increased IP in most of the inflammation-related diseases both at intestinal (e.g. inflammatory bowel disease, irritable bowel syndrome, celiac disease)

14

and systemic levels (e.g. obesity, type 2-diabetes) including the age-related low-grade systemicinflammation [35].

The study of the inflammatory state is complex, because each of the available inflammatory markers 343 344 provide different information on a multifaceted process that is dependent on the triggers and is modulated by both the host and environmental conditions. One of the most used markers is the C-345 346 reactive protein (CRP) which is considered a hallmark for inflammation and a sensitive risk factor for 347 cardiovascular diseases. CRP is one of the major acute proteins phase reactants secreted in response to 348 increased levels of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, interleukin-1 $\beta$  and TNF- $\alpha$ . High levels of serum CRP, IL-6 and TNF-α have been reported in smokers, obese subjects, diabetics and older adults 349 350 [36]. In our experimental conditions, we documented that the 8-week intervention with the PR-diet 351 failed to modulate inflammatory markers, in line with other intervention studies with polyphenol-rich 352 foods both in adults and older individuals [37-42]. Other clinical trials providing tart cherry juice, 353 supplements of resveratrol, freeze-dried strawberries, purée and dried bilberries or juice for different 354 time periods (from 4 to 26 weeks of intervention) observed an effect on inflammation strictly dependent 355 on the markers analysed, the trial characteristics and the target subjects considered [43-48].

356 With regard to the vascular function markers, it is well known that vascular oxidative stress increases with age and different studies found elevated levels of both VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 in older compared 357 358 to younger individuals [49-50]. High polyphenol intake has been inversely associated with a reduced 359 risk of cardiovascular events and mortality [51], possibly by decreasing the levels of reactive oxygen 360 species and adhesion molecules or by inducing the production of vasodilators [52]. In the present study, we could not demonstrate an effect of the PR dietary pattern in terms of modulation of ICAM-1 and 361 362 VCAM-1 as it has been previously documented following an intervention with freeze-dried wild blueberry drink, freeze-dried polyphenol-rich whole grape powder, green tea extract or beverage [37-363 364 39]. However, a protective effect was found by other research groups following the administration of 365 different berries and grape products [53-54]. Nevertheless, no specific information on direct association 366 between IP and vascular function has been previously reported.

367 The aging process is not only associated to a physiological alteration of blood vessels and vascular 368 function but also with increasing systolic blood pressure. Therefore, hypertension, in particular systolic hypertension is very common in older subjects representing a major risk factor for cardiovascular 369 370 disease and strokes [54]. Data from the literature suggest a potential role of polyphenols and polyphenol-rich foods in the modulation of blood pressure [55]. In the present study, most of the 371 subjects showed normal blood pressure levels or a mild hypertension treated with drugs [56]. The PR-372 373 diet intervention significantly reduced diastolic blood pressure in both men and women. Our results 374 are partially in line with that of other studies reporting partial or no effects of these foods on blood pressure [57-64]. In addition, it is noteworthy to highlight that we found also a significant reduction of 375 376 systolic blood pressure in women, but not in men. In this regard, the impact of gender in the response 377 to treatments of hypertension has been recently reviewed underlying the kidneys, renin-angiotensin 378 system, relaxin, and developmental programming as potential contributors to the differences observed 379 [65].

380 Aging is associated with numerous physiological dysfunctions at cellular and tissue levels, including 381 deregulation of lipids and glucose metabolism. Dietary polyphenols seem to play a role in the 382 regulation of glucose homeostasis, insulin sensitivity and lipid metabolism [51,66,67]. In our study the PR-diet did not modify glucose and lipid parameters, apart from a reduction trend in total and LDL-C. 383 384 Similar findings were observed for tea and tea extracts [68-70], orange juice/hesperidin [64], 385 pomegranate [66,67] and different fruit juices [71]. On the contrary, beneficial effects were 386 documented following the consumption of cocoa products, dark chocolate, and flavan-3-ols [58,72,73], 387 berries [74,75] and black cumin [76,77]. Nevertheless, despite the overall lack of significant effect of 388 the PR-diet on metabolic features of the host, the degree of IP at baseline was found to affect the impact 389 of the treatment on glucose levels, which was significantly reduced only in the HSZ group, as 390 previously discussed. It is also interesting that a decrease in TC and LDL-CHOL was only found in 391 men together with a significant decrease in CRP levels. However, the small sample size may represent

a limitation not enabling a strong emphasis on a potential gender specific response to the dietarytreatment.

394 Overall, the main outcome of the MaPLE RCT is evidence that support the notion that IP reduction 395 can be obtained through a sustainable inclusion in the diet of polyphenol-rich food sources and this may support also the reliability of non-invasive dietary intervention as potential strategies to improve 396 397 IP in the older subjects. It is noteworthy that only limited research has been carried out to provide 398 evidence for the efficacy of dietary treatments in the management of IP [2], and just one observation 399 was recently published considering both healthy adults and older subjects as target population; 400 although that, the study did not find an effect of dietary fibre (i.e. sugar beet derived pectins) on 401 multiple IP parameters [78]. In the present trial, with respect to that by Wilms et al. [78], the inclusion 402 of PR products, the type, duration and strict control of dietary intervention (i.e. compliance to 403 polyphenol-rich products intake and overall dietary plan during the whole intervention study) and 404 subjects' characteristics could have been reasons to explain the difference in the results obtained. 405 Finally, since older subjects are generally low consumers of dietary fibre, the possibility to introduce 406 other beneficial molecules could be of utmost importance for the exploitation directed to the 407 maintenance of host functional and metabolic homeostasis.

The MaPLE study has several strengths represented by the well-controlled protocol of intervention including the setting, the daily preparation of products and the continuous interaction with the participants. On the other hand, it has also some limitations related mainly to the relatively small sample size. Furthermore, the evaluation of IP using also the gold standard method (i.e. multi-sugar test, difficult to apply in the population under study) or multiple IP markers could have provided more insight on the impact of the diet on this condition.

414

#### 415 **5.** Conclusions

In conclusion, the MaPLE RCT has demonstrated the feasibility and efficacy of a PR dietary pattern,providing approximately 700 mg of total polyphenols daily for 8 weeks, in the modulation of IP

evaluated by means of serum zonulin levels and on limited associated markers. These results are noveland have potentially important clinical implications. Further intervention studies should be performed

aimed at investigating the role of non-pharmacological treatment in the management of IP.

421

420

#### 422 Authors' contributions

PR and SG designed the trial and in collaboration with AC, CAL and PAK optimised the study protocol 423 including the selection of clinical and biochemical markers and the development of the polyphenol-424 425 rich diet. CDB contributed to the development of the study protocol and with PR, SG and SB drafted 426 the first version of the manuscript. CDB and SB performed the analysis of zonulin, VCAM-1 and 427 ICAM-1. BK and MSW performed the evaluation of inflammatory markers. GG performed the 428 statistical analysis in collaboration with RGD and GP. MP, NHL and RZR contributed to the 429 elaboration of dietary polyphenol intake. All the authors critically revised the draft and approved the 430 final version.

431

#### 432 **Conflict of interest**

433 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

434

435

#### 436 Acknowledgments

This work was completed as part of the MAPLE project (Gut and Blood Microbiomics for Studying
the Effect of a Polyphenol-Rich Dietary Pattern on Intestinal Permeability in the Elderly) supported
within the European Joint Programming Initiative "A Healthy Diet for a Healthy Life" (JPI HDHL,
http:// www.healthydietforhealthylife.eu/) granted by Mipaaft (Italy, D.M. 8245/7303/ 2016),
MINECO (Spain, PCIN-2015-238), and BBSRC (U.K., BB/R012512/1). Cristina Andres-Lacueva was
also supported by grant 2017SGR1546 from the Generalitat de Catalunya's Agency AGAUR, funds
from CIBERFES (co-funded by the FEDER Program from the European Union), and ICREA

Academia Award 2018. Additional funding was provided by the Biotechnology and Biological 444 445 Sciences Research Council (UK) through an Institute Strategic Programme Grant ('Food Innovation 446 and Health'; Grant No. BB/R012512/1 1 and its constituent projects BBS/E/F/000PR10343 (Theme 1, 447 Food Innovation) and BBS/E/F/000PR10346 (Theme 3, Digestion and Fermentation in the Lower GI Tract) to the Quadram Institute Bioscience. Raúl González-Domínguez thanks the "Juan de la Cierva" 448 program from MINECO (FJCI-2015-26590). Raul Zamora-Ros was supported by the "Miguel Servet" 449 450 program (CP15/00100) from the Institute of Health Carlos III (Co-funded by the European Social Fund 451 (ESF) - ESF investing in your future). Cristian Del Bo' was supported by "Piano di sostegno alla ricerca- Linea 2, azione A-grant number PSR2019- CDELB and PSR2018-CDELB". 452

453 We sincerely thank all the volunteers for their valuable contribution and dedication to the study. We 454 are grateful to the nursing and medical staff working at Opera Immacolata Concezione (OIC Foundation, Padua, Italy) for their coordinating activities in the nursing home and for the success of 455 456 the study. In particular we would like to acknowledge Alberto Fantuzzo, Chiara Cavazzini, Lorella 457 Pinton, Paolo Bergantin, Rosanna Ceccato, Pamela Soranzo, and Silvana Giraldini. We are also 458 grateful to all the physicians (Michela Rigon, Lorena D'Aloise, Antonio Merlo, Elisabetta 459 Bernardinello, Nadia Malacarne, Silvana Bortoli, Fabiola Talato, Agostino Corsini, Maria Licursi, 460 Nicoletta Marcon, Angela Sansone), the nurses and other personnel at OIC who were essential to 461 complete the study successfully. In addition, we would like to thank Giulia Minto and Nicola Fassetta 462 for their help during the intervention period. Finally, the authors are grateful to Barry Callebaut, Indena, 463 Melinda, Oranfrizer, Roberts Berrie, Zuegg for their in-kind contribution of the products.

464

#### 465 Supplementary data

466 Table 3A and 3B are provided as supplementary material.

468

Figure 2 – Correlations between the different markers at baseline in the whole group of older
subjects (A), in HSZ subjects (serum zonulin levels > median) (B) and LSZ subjects (serum
zonulin levels ≤ median) (C)

The heatmap represents the R value of Spearman's correlation. Asterisks indicate the Kendall rank correlation: \* P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.001.

474

Legend: BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TC, Total
cholesterol, HDL-C, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein-cholesterol;
TG, triglycerides; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; GGT, gammaglutamyl transpeptidase; HOMA index, homeostasis model assessment index; C-G index, CockcroftGault index; sVCAM-1, vascular cells adhesion molecules-1; ICAM-1, intercellular cells adhesion
molecules-1; CRP, C-reactive protein; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor –α; IL-6, interleukin-6

#### 481 6. References

- 482 [1] Lee SH. Intestinal Permeability Regulation by Tight Junction: Implication on Inflammatory
  483 Bowel Diseases. Intest Res 2015;13:11. https://doi.org/10.5217/ir.2015.13.1.11.
- 484 [2] Bernardi S, Del Bo' C, Marino M, Gargari G, Cherubini A, Andres-Lacueva C, et al.
- 485 Polyphenols and intestinal permeability: rationale and future perspectives. J Agric Food Chem
- 486 2019:https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b02283. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b02283.
- 487 [3] Fasano A. Leaky gut and autoimmune diseases. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2012;42:71–8.
  488 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12016-011-8291-x.
- 489 [4] Bischoff SC, Barbara G, Buurman W, Ockhuizen T, Schulzke J-D, Serino M, et al. Intestinal
- 490 permeability–a new target for disease prevention and therapy. BMC Gastroenterol
- 491 2014;14:189. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-014-0189-7.
- 492 [5] Nicoletti C. Age-associated changes of the intestinal epithelial barrier: local and systemic
  493 implications 2015. https://doi.org/10.1586/17474124.2015.1092872.
- 494 [6] Franceschi C, Zaikin A, Gordleeva S, Ivanchenko M, Bonifazi F, Storci G, et al. Inflammaging
- 495 2018: An update and a model. Semin Immunol 2018.
- 496 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2018.10.008.
- 497 [7] Xia S, Zhang X, Zheng S, Khanabdali R, Kalionis B, Wu J, et al. An update on inflamm-

498 aging: mechanisms, prevention, and treatment. J Immunol Res 2016.

- 499 https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/8426874.
- 500 [8] Yu LC-H, Wang J-T, Wei S-C, Ni Y-H. Host-microbial interactions and regulation of
- 501 intestinal epithelial barrier function: From physiology to pathology. World J Gastrointest
- 502 Pathophysiol 2012;3:27. https://doi.org/10.4291/wjgp.v3.i1.27.
- 503 [9] Mokkala K, Röytiö H, Munukka E, Pietilä S, Ekblad U, Rönnemaa T, et al. Gut Microbiota
- 504 Richness and Composition and Dietary Intake of Overweight Pregnant Women Are Related to
- 505 Serum Zonulin Concentration, a Marker for Intestinal Permeability. J Nutr 2016;146:1694–
- 506 700. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.116.235358.

- 507 [10] Mörkl S, Lackner S, Meinitzer A, Mangge H, Lehofer M, Halwachs B, et al. Gut microbiota,
  508 dietary intakes and intestinal permeability reflected by serum zonulin in women. Eur J Nutr
  509 2018. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-018-1784-0.
- 510 [11] Ott B, Skurk T, Hastreiter L, Lagkouvardos I, Fischer S, Büttner J, et al. Effect of caloric
- 511 restriction on gut permeability, inflammation markers, and fecal microbiota in obese women.
- 512 Sci Rep 2017. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12109-9.
- 513 [12] Rohr MW, Narasimhulu CA, Rudeski-Rohr TA, Parthasarathy S. Negative Effects of a High514 Fat Diet on Intestinal Permeability: A Review. Adv Nutr 2019.
- 515 https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmz061.
- 516 [13] Guerreiro CS, Calado Â, Sousa J. Diet, Microbiota, and Gut Permeability The Unknown
  517 Triad in Rheumatoid Arthritis. Front Med 2018;5:1–7.
- 518 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2018.00349.
- 519 [14] Peron G, Hidalgo-Liberona N, González-Domínguez R, Garcia-Aloy M, Guglielmetti S,
- 520 Bernardi S, et al. Exploring the Molecular Pathways behind the Effects of Nutrients and
- 521 Dietary Polyphenols on Gut Microbiota and Intestinal Permeability: A Perspective on the
- 522 Potential of Metabolomics and Future Clinical Applications. J Agric Food Chem 2019:doi:
- 523 10.1021/acs.jafc.9b01687. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b01687.
- 524 [15] Guglielmetti S, Bernardi S, Del Bo' C, Cherubini A, Porrini M, Gargari G., et al. Effect of a
- 525 polyphenol-rich dietary pattern on intestinal permeability and gut and blood microbiomes in
- 526 older subjects: study protocol of the MaPLE randomised controlled trial. BMC Geriatr
- 527 2020:20:70. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-020-1472-9.
- 528 [16] Li C, Gao M, Zhang W, Chen C, Zhou F, Hu Z, et al. Zonulin Regulates Intestinal
- 529 Permeability and Facilitates Enteric Bacteria Permeation in Coronary Artery Disease. Sci Rep
  530 2016;6:29142. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29142.
- 531 [17] Ciccia F, Guggino G, Rizzo A, Alessandro R, Luchetti MM, Milling S, et al. Dysbiosis and
- zonulin upregulation alter gut epithelial and vascular barriers in patients with ankylosing

- spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76:1123–32. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2016210000.
  - [18] Moreno-Navarrete JM, Sabater M, Ortega F, Ricart W, Fernández-Real JM. Circulating zonulin, a marker of intestinal permeability, is increased in association with obesity-associated insulin resistance. PLoS One 2012;7:e37160. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037160.
- 538 [19] A Agbor G, Vinson JA, Donnelly PE. Folin-Ciocalteau Reagent for Polyphenolic Assay. Int J
- 539 Food Sci Nutr Diet 2014;3:147–56. https://doi.org/10.19070/2326-3350-1400028.
- 540 [20] Lohman TJ, Roache AF, Martorell R. Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual.
- 541 Med Sci Sport Exerc 1992. https://doi.org/10.1249/00005768-199208000-00020.
- 542 [21] Chobanian A V., Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL, et al. Seventh
- report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of
- 544 High Blood Pressure. Hypertension 2003;42:1206–52.
- 545 https://doi.org/10.1161/01.HYP.0000107251.49515.c2.
- 546 [22] Knopfholz J, Disserol CCD, Pierin AJ, Schirr FL, Streisky L, Takito LL, et al. Validation of
  547 the friedewald formula in patients with metabolic syndrome. Cholesterol 2014;2014:261878.
- 548 https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/261878.

535

536

537

- 549 [23] Antuna-Puente B, Disse E, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Laville M, Capeau J, Bastard JP. How can we
  550 measure insulin sensitivity/resistance? Diabetes Metab 2011;37:179–88.
- 551 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2011.01.002.
- 552 [24] Drinka, PJ, Langer E. The Cockroft-Gault formula. J Am Geriatr Soc 1989;37.
- 553 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1989.tb02250.x
- 554 [25] Ferreira JP, Girerd N, Pellicori P, Duarte K, Girerd S, Pfeffer MA, et al. Renal function
- estimation and Cockroft-Gault formulas for predicting cardiovascular mortality in population-
- based, cardiovascular risk, heart failure and post-myocardial infarction cohorts: The Heart
- 557 "OMics" in AGEing (HOMAGE) and the high-risk myocardial. BMC Med 2016.
- 558 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-016-0731-2.

- 559 [26] Valentini L, Ramminger S, Haas V, Postrach E, Werich M, Fischer A, et al. Small intestinal
  560 permeability in older adults. Physiol Rep 2014;2:e00281. https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.281.
- 561 [27] Fasano A. Intestinal permeability and its regulation by zonulin: diagnostic and therapeutic
- implications. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012;10:1096–100.
- 563 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2012.08.012.
- 564 [28] Sapone A, De Magistris L, Pietzak M, Clemente MG, Tripathi A, Cucca F, et al. Zonulin
- upregulation is associated with increased gut permeability in subjects with type 1 diabetes and
  their relatives. Diabetes 2006;55:1443–9. https://doi.org/10.2337/db05-1593.
- 567 [29] Ajamian M, Steer D, Rosella G, Gibson PR. Serum zonulin as a marker of intestinal mucosal
  568 barrier function: May not be what it seems. PLoS One 2019.
- 569 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210728.
- 570 [30] Bernardi, Del Bo', Guglielmetti, Cherubini, Kroon, Kirkup, et al. Role of a Polyphenol-Rich
  571 Dietary Pattern in the Modulation of Intestinal Permeability in Older Subjects: The MaPLE
  572 Study. Proceedings 2019. https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2019011008.
- 572 Study. 1 loccedings 2017. https://doi.org/10.5570/proceedings2017011008.
- 573 [31] Wegh CAM, De Roos NM, Hovenier R, Meijerink J, Besseling-Van Der Vaart I, Van Hemert
- 574 S, et al. Intestinal Permeability Measured by Urinary Sucrose Excretion Correlates with Serum
- 575 Zonulin and Faecal Calprotectin Concentrations in UC Patients in Remission. J Nutr Metab
- 576 2019. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2472754.
- 577 [32] Qi Y, Goel R, Kim S, Richards EM, Carter CS, Pepine CJ, et al. Intestinal permeability
- 578 biomarker zonulin is elevated in healthy aging. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2017;18:810-e1.
- 579 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2017.05.018.
- 580 [33] Ganda Mall JP, Östlund-Lagerström L, Lindqvist CM, Algilani S, Rasoal D, Repsilber D, et al.
- 581 Are self-reported gastrointestinal symptoms among older adults associated with increased
- intestinal permeability and psychological distress? BMC Geriatr 2018.
- 583 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-018-0767-6.
- 584 [34] Zak-Golab A, Kocelak P, Aptekorz M, Zientara M, Juszczyk L, Martirosian G, et al. Gut

- 585 microbiota, microinflammation, metabolic profile, and zonulin concentration in obese and
- normal weight subjects. Int J Endocrinol 2013;2013:674106.

587 https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/674106.

- 588 [35] Fukui H. Increased Intestinal Permeability and Decreased Barrier Function: Does It Really
  589 Influence the Risk of Inflammation? Inflamm Intest Dis 2016.
- 590 https://doi.org/10.1159/000447252.
- [36] Milan-Mattos JC, Anibal FF, Perseguini NM, Minatel V, Rehder-Santos P, Castro CA, et al.
  Effects of natural aging and gender on pro-inflammatory markers. Brazilian J Med Biol Res
  2019. https://doi.org/10.1590/1414-431x20198392.
- [37] Riso P, Klimis-Zacas D, Del Bo' C, Martini D, Campolo J, Vendrame S, et al. Effect of a wild

595 blueberry (Vaccinium angustifolium) drink intervention on markers of oxidative stress,

- inflammation and endothelial function in humans with cardiovascular risk factors. Eur J Nutr
  2013. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-012-0402-9.
- 598 [38] Bardagjy AS, Hu Q, Giebler KA, Ford A, Steinberg FM. Effects of grape consumption on
  599 biomarkers of inflammation, endothelial function, and PBMC gene expression in obese
- subjects. Arch Biochem Biophys 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2018.04.003.
- 601 [39] Basu A, Du M, Sanchez K, Leyva MJ, Betts NM, Blevins S, et al. Green tea minimally affects
  602 biomarkers of inflammation in obese subjects with metabolic syndrome. Nutrition 2011.
- 603 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2010.01.015.
- 604 [40] Cory H, Passarelli S, Szeto J, Tamez M, Mattei J. The Role of Polyphenols in Human Health
- and Food Systems: A Mini-Review. Front Nutr 2018. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2018.00087.
- 606 [41] Paquette M, Medina Larqué AS, Weisnagel SJ, Desjardins Y, Marois J, Pilon G, et al.
- 607 Strawberry and cranberry polyphenols improve insulin sensitivity in insulin-resistant, non-
- diabetic adults: A parallel, double-blind, controlled and randomised clinical trial. Br. J. Nutr.,
- 609 2017. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114517000393.
- 610 [42] Curtis PJ, Van Der Velpen V, Berends L, Jennings A, Feelisch M, Umpleby AM, et al.

- 611 Blueberries improve biomarkers of cardiometabolic function in participants with metabolic
- 612 syndrome-results from a 6-month, double-blind, randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr
  613 2019. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqy380.
- 614 [43] Chai SC, Davis K, Wright RS, Kuczmarski MF, Zhang Z. Impact of tart cherry juice on
- 615 systolic blood pressure and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in older adults: A randomized
- 616 controlled trial. Food Funct 2018. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8fo00468d.
- 617 [44] Veronica Witte A, Kerti L, Margulies DS, Flöel A. Effects of resveratrol on memory
- 618 performance, hippocampal functional connectivity, and glucose metabolism in healthy older
- adults. J Neurosci 2014. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0385-14.2014.
- 620 [45] Schumacher HR, Pullman-Mooar S, Gupta SR, Dinnella JE, Kim R, McHugh MP.
- 621 Randomized double-blind crossover study of the efficacy of a tart cherry juice blend in
- treatment of osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee. Osteoarthr Cartil 2013.
- 623 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2013.05.009.
- 624 [46] Moazen S, Amani R, Homayouni Rad A, Shahbazian H, Ahmadi K, Taha Jalali M. Effects of
- 625 freeze-dried strawberry supplementation on metabolic biomarkers of atherosclerosis in
- subjects with type 2 diabetes: A randomized double-blind controlled trial. Ann Nutr Metab
- 627 2013. https://doi.org/10.1159/000356053.
- 628 [47] Kolehmainen M, Mykkänen O, Kirjavainen P V., Leppänen T, Moilanen E, Adriaens M, et al.
- Bilberries reduce low-grade inflammation in individuals with features of metabolic syndrome.

630 Mol Nutr Food Res 2012. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.201200195.

- [48] Karlsen A, Paur I, Bøhn SK, Sakhi AK, Borge GI, Serafini M, et al. Bilberry juice modulates
  plasma concentration of NF-κB related inflammatory markers in subjects at increased risk of
- 633 CVD. Eur J Nutr 2010. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-010-0092-0.
- 634 [49] Herrera EA, Verkerk MM, Derks JB, Giussani DA. Antioxidant treatment alters peripheral
- 635 vascular dysfunction induced by postnatal glucocorticoid therapy in rats. PLoS One 2010.
- 636 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009250.

- 637 [50] Richter V, Rassoul F, Purschwitz K, Hentschel B, Reuter W, Kuntze T. Circulating vascular
  638 cell adhesion molecules VCAM-1, ICAM-1, and E-selectin in dependence on aging.
  639 Gerontology 2003. https://doi.org/10.1159/000071710.
- 640 [51] Del Bo' C, Bernardi S, Marino M, Porrini M, Tucci M, Guglielmetti S, et al. Systematic
- 641 Review on Polyphenol Intake and Health Outcomes: Is there Sufficient Evidence to Define a
- 642 Health-Promoting Polyphenol-Rich Dietary Pattern? Nutrients 2019;11:1355.
- 643 https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11061355.
- 644 [52] Barona J, Aristizabal JC, Blesso CN, Volek JS, Fernandez ML. Grape polyphenols reduce
- blood pressure and increase flow-mediated vasodilation in men with metabolic syndrome. J
- 646 Nutr 2012;142:1626–32. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.112.162743.
- [53] Lehtonen HM, Suomela JP, Tahvonen R, Yang B, Venojärvi M, Viikari J, et al. Different
- berries and berry fractions have various but slightly positive effects on the associated variables
  of metabolic diseases on overweight and obese women. Eur J Clin Nutr 2011.
- 650 https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2010.268.
- [54] Chrysant SG. Aggressive systolic blood pressure control in older subjects: benefits and risks.
  Postgrad Med 2018. https://doi.org/10.1080/00325481.2018.1433434.
- 653 [55] Godos J, Vitale M, Micek A, Ray S, Martini D, Del Rio D, et al. Dietary Polyphenol Intake,
- Blood Pressure, and Hypertension: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational
- 655 Studies. Antioxidants (Basel, Switzerland) 2019;8. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox8060152.
- [56] Diao D, Wright JM, Cundiff DK, Gueyffier F. Pharmacotherapy for mild hypertension.
- 657 Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006742.pub2.
- 658 [57] Peng X, Zhou R, Wang B, Yu X, Yang X, Liu K, et al. Effect of green tea consumption on
- blood pressure: A meta-analysis of 13 randomized controlled trials. Sci Rep 2014.
- 660 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep06251.
- 661 [58] Desch S, Schmidt J, Kobler D, Sonnabend M, Eitel I, Sareban M, et al. Effect of cocoa
- products on blood pressure: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Hypertens 2010.

663 https://doi.org/10.1038/ajh.2009.213.

- [59] Li SH, Zhao P, Tian HB, Chen LH, Cui LQ. Effect of grape polyphenols on blood pressure: A
   meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PLoS One 2015.
- 666 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137665.
- 667 [60] Martini D, Chiavaroli L, González-Sarrías A, Bresciani L, Palma-Duran SA, Dall'asta M, et
- al. Impact of foods and dietary supplements containing hydroxycinnamic acids on
- 669 cardiometabolic biomarkers: A systematic review to explore inter-individual variability.
- 670 Nutrients 2019. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11081805.
- [61] Zhu Y, Sun J, Lu W, Wang X, Wang X, Han Z, et al. Effects of blueberry supplementation on
- blood pressure: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. J Hum
- 673 Hypertens 2017. https://doi.org/10.1038/jhh.2016.70.
- 674 [62] Ried K, Fakler P, Stocks NP. Effect of cocoa on blood pressure. Cochrane Database Syst Rev
  675 2017. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008893.pub3.
- [63] Ried K, Sullivan T, Fakler P, Frank OR, Stocks NP. Does chocolate reduce blood pressure? A
  meta-analysis. BMC Med 2010. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-8-39.
- [64] Mohammadi M, Ramezani-Jolfaie N, Lorzadeh E, Khoshbakht Y, Salehi-Abargouei A.
- Hesperidin, a major flavonoid in orange juice, might not affect lipid profile and blood
- 680 pressure: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials.
- 681 Phyther Res 2019. https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.6264.
- 682 [65] Reckelhoff JF. Gender differences in hypertension. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 2018.
- 683 https://doi.org/10.1097/MNH.00000000000404.
- 684 [66] Sahebkar A, Simental-Mendía LE, Giorgini P, Ferri C, Grassi D. Lipid profile changes after
- pomegranate consumption: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled
  trials. Phytomedicine 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2015.12.014.
- 687 [67] Huang H, Liao D, Chen G, Chen H, Zhu Y. Lack of efficacy of pomegranate supplementation
- for glucose management, insulin levels and sensitivity: Evidence from a systematic review and

- 689 meta-analysis. Nutr J 2017. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-017-0290-1.
- 690 [68] Araya Quintanilla F, Gutiérrez Espinoza H, Moyano Gálvez V, Muñoz Yánez MJ,
- 691 Pavez L, García K. Effectiveness of black tea versus placebo in subjects with
- hypercholesterolemia: A PRISMA systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Metab
  Syndr Clin Res Rev 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2019.05.019.
- [69] Li X, Wang W, Hou L, Wu H, Wu Y, Xu R, et al. Does tea extract supplementation benefit
  metabolic syndrome and obesity? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Nutr 2019.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2019.05.019.
- [70] Zhao Y, Asimi S, Wu K, Zheng J, Li D. Black tea consumption and serum cholesterol
  concentration: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Clin Nutr
  2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2014.06.003.
- [71] Murphy MM, Barrett EC, Bresnahan KA, Barraj LM. 100 % Fruit juice and measures of
   glucose control and insulin sensitivity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised
   controlled trials. J Nutr Sci 2017. https://doi.org/10.1017/jns.2017.63.
- 703 [72] Jia L, Liu X, Bai YY, Li SH, Sun K, He C, et al. Short-term effect of cocoa product
  704 consumption on lipid profile: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr
- 705
   2010. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2009.28202.

706

[73]

cocoa, and flavan-3-ols on cardiovascular health: A systematic review and meta-analysis of
randomized trials. Am J Clin Nutr 2012. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.111.023457.

Hooper L, Kay C, Abdelhamid A, Kroon PA, Cohn JS, Rimm EB, et al. Effects of chocolate,

- 709 [74] Luís Â, Domingues F, Pereira L. Association between berries intake and cardiovascular
- diseases risk factors: A systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of
  randomized controlled trials. Food Funct 2018. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7fo01551h.
- 712 [75] Huang H, Chen G, Liao D, Zhu Y, Xue X. Effects of Berries Consumption on Cardiovascular
- 713 Risk Factors: A Meta-analysis with Trial Sequential Analysis of Randomized Controlled
- 714 Trials. Sci Rep 2016. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23625.

715 [76] Askari G, Rouhani MH, Ghaedi E, Ghavami A, Nouri M, Mohammadi H. Effect of Nigella
716 sativa (black seed) supplementation on glycemic control: A systematic review and meta-

analysis of clinical trials. Phyther Res 2019. https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.6337.

- 718 [77] Sahebkar A, Beccuti G, Simental-Mendía LE, Nobili V, Bo S. Nigella sativa (black seed)
- effects on plasma lipid concentrations in humans: A systematic review and meta-analysis of
- randomized placebo-controlled trials. Pharmacol Res 2016.
- 721 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2016.02.008.
- 722 [78] Wilms E, Jonkers DMAE, Savelkoul HFJ, Elizalde M, Tischmann L, Vos P de, et al. The
- impact of pectin supplementation on intestinal barrier function in healthy young adults and
- 724 healthy elderly. Nutrients 2019;11:1–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11071554.
- [79] Makki K, Deehan EC, Walter J, Bäckhed F. The Impact of Dietary Fiber on Gut Microbiota in
  Host Health and Disease. Cell Host Microbe 2018.
- 727 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.05.012.

728









Variables	Median (IQR)	Mean (SD)
Age (y)	77 (70;87)	78.0 ± 10.3
Body weight (kg)	73.6 (62;83)	$73.1 \pm 14.0$
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.7 (22.5;30.7)	$26.8\pm5.5$
SBP (mm Hg)	125 (120;130)	125.6 ±10.8
DBP (mm Hg)	75 (70;80)	$74.5\pm8.2$
Glucose (mg/dL)	95 (86;113)	$113.5 \pm 67.2$
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.87 (0.62;1.05)	$0.9 \pm 0.29$
Uric Acid (mg/dl)	5.10 (4.20;6.60)	$5.5 \pm 1.76$
TC (mg/dL)	194 (167;242)	$196.3\pm50.1$
HDL-C (mg/dL)	45 (37;55)	$46.5\pm14.9$
LDL-C (mg/dL)	120 (85;146)	$120.5\pm36.7$
TC/HDL-C (ratio)	4.18 (3.54;5.43)	$4.45 \pm 1.17$
LDL/HDL-C (ratio)	2.57 (2.08;3.45)	$2.72\pm0.76$
TG (mg/dL)	117 (89;169)	146.1 ± 93.4
AST (U/L)	17 (13;22)	$17.8\pm5.7$
ALT (U/L)	11 (8;19)	$13.4\pm7.2$
GGT (U/L)	23 (17;46)	38.1 ± 39.0
Insuline uU/mL	6.20 (4.70;9.20)	$8.4\pm6.4$
HOMA index	1.55 (1.15;2.50)	$2.9 \pm 5.4$
C-G index	69.4 (53.7;82.5)	$74.8\pm40.5$
Zonulin (ng/mL)	40 (34.5;49.2)	$42.2 \pm 11.8$
sVCAM-1 (ng/mL)	967.9 (628.0;1327.1)	$1239 \pm 1683$

Table 1 – Baseline characteristics of subjects selected for the study

sICAM-1 (ng/mL)	51.4 (43.9;65.4)	$55.6\pm20.5$
CRP (mg/L)	3.5 (1.6;9.8)	$7.02\pm8.0$
TNF-α (pg/mL)	1.2 (1.0;1.8)	$1.6 \pm 1.2$
IL-6 (pg/mL)	3.1 (1.9;5.4)	$4.5 \pm 4.1$

All data are presented as median and interquartile range (IQR) and as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TC, Total cholesterol, HDL-C, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoproteincholesterol; TG, triglycerides; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; HOMA index, homeostasis model assessment index; C-G index, Cockcroft-Gault, sVCAM-1, vascular cells adhesion molecules-1; ICAM-1, intercellular cells adhesion molecules-1; CRP, C-reactive protein; TNF- $\alpha$ , tumour necrosis factor-alpha; IL-6, interleukin-6

Variables	PR- diet	C diet	P value
Energy (Kcal)	$1537 \pm 183$	$1559 \pm 153$	0.365
Total carbohydrates (% of energy)	$47.2 \pm 5.4$	$45.2\pm5.2$	0.016
Protein (% of energy)	$17.7 \pm 1.8$	$18.0\pm1.9$	0.185
Animal proteins (% of energy)	$66.5\pm8.2$	$68.9 \pm 7.3$	0.013
Vegetable proteins (% of energy)	$27.3\pm6.5$	$28.7\pm6.8$	0.100
Total lipids (% of energy)	$34.9\pm4.7$	$36.9\pm4.7$	0.012
SFA (% of energy)	$11.3 \pm 2.3$	$11.8\pm2.5$	0.179
MUFA (% of energy)	$15.2 \pm 2.8$	$16.4 \pm 2.7$	0.012
PUFA (% of energy)	$3.2 \pm 0.8$	$4.0 \pm 1.5$	< 0.001
ω-3 (% of energy)	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	0.291
ω-6 (% of energy)	$2.6\pm0.7$	3.4 ± 1.3	< 0.001
Total Fibre (g/1000 kcal)	$11.4 \pm 1.8$	$10.5 \pm 1.8$	0.005
Cholesterol (mg)	$216.3\pm 62.2$	210.8 ± 67.0	0.587
Total carbohydrates (g)	$188.6 \pm 24.2$	$184.2\pm27.0$	0.286
Proteins (g)	$66.7\pm10.5$	$68.9\pm8.7$	0.063
Animal proteins (g)	$45.0\pm9.8$	$48.0\pm8.7$	0.003
Vegetable proteins (g)	$17.7 \pm 3.8$	$19.3 \pm 3.7$	0.001
Total lipids (g)	59.1 ± 13.3	63.1 ± 11.3	0.040
SFA (g)	$19.2\pm5.5$	$20.3\pm5.3$	0.209
MUFA (g)	$26.0\pm5.5$	$28.6\pm6.0$	0.004
PUFA (g)	$5.6 \pm 2.0$	$6.9 \pm 2.6$	< 0.001
Total ω-3 (g)	$1.0 \pm 0.4$	$1.1 \pm 0.4$	0.315
Total ω-6 (g)	$4.5 \pm 1.7$	$5.7 \pm 2.3$	< 0.01

# Table 2 – Effect of intervention on nutrient and polyphenol intake

Fibre (g/day)	$17.4 \pm 3.3$	$16.4 \pm 3.2$	0.006
Calcium (mg)	$736.9\pm207.7$	$875.0\pm233.2$	< 0.001
Iron (mg)	$8.5\pm1.7$	$9.2\pm1.6$	0.003
Vitamin $B_{12}(\mu g)$	$6.2\pm 6.5$	$5.4\pm 6.3$	0.537
Vitamin C (mg)	$128.8\pm47.2$	$111.7\pm40.1$	0.012
Vitamin E (mg)	$8.5\pm2.2$	$8.9\pm2.3$	0.366
Vitamin B <sub>1</sub> (mg)	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.2$	0.123
Folates (µg)	$233.3\pm 66.0$	$250.8\pm72.7$	0.126
Vitamin B <sub>6</sub> (mg)	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$1.5 \pm 0.3$	0.079
Total Polyphenols (mg/day)	$1391.2\pm188.1$	812.3 ± 193.1	< 0.001

All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD); Data with P<0.05 are significantly different. PR, polyphenol-rich diet; C, control diet; SFA, saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids;  $\omega$ -3, omega-3 fatty acids;  $\omega$ -6, omega-6 fatty acids

# Table 3- Effect of 8-week intervention with PR-diet and C-diet on anthropometrical, physical, biochemical, functional characteristics and serum zonulin levels in the whole group of subjects

Variables	Before	After	Before	After	P for	P for	P for
(n = 51)	PR-diet	PR-diet	C diet	C diet	Т	t	T x t
Body weight (kg)	73.4 ± 14.5	73.7 ± 14.6	72.8 ± 13.7	72.6 ± 13.9	0.023	0.779	0.126
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$26.9\pm5.7$	$27.0\pm5.7$	$26.7\pm5.4$	$26.6\pm5.6$	0.017	0.677	0.090
SBP (mmHg)	$127.2 \pm 12.7$	$124.5\pm14.6$	$126.5\pm9.8$	$126.2\pm10.4$	0.749	0.107	0.234
DBP (mmHg)	$76.7\pm8.6$	$73.8\pm9.4$	$75.5\pm6.8$	$76.9\pm7.5$	0.345	0.285	0.024
Glucose (mg/dL)	$114.4\pm68.2$	$107.4\pm42.8$	$108.6\pm42.3$	$105.7\pm38.2$	0.163	0.096	0.360
Creatinine (mg/dL)	$0.89\pm0.29$	$0.89\pm0.32$	$0.89\pm0.35$	$0.87\pm0.31$	0.386	0.220	0.422
Uric Acid (mg/dL)	$5.6 \pm 1.8$	$5.7 \pm 1.7$	$5.8 \pm 1.9$	$5.5 \pm 1.7$	0.793	0.361	0.034
TC (mg/dL)	$194.9 \pm 51.1$	$189.5\pm49.7$	$191.6\pm49.2$	$188.1\pm50.9$	0.411	0.039	0.700
HDL (mg/dL)	47.1 ± 14.6	$46.6 \pm 14.0$	$47.0 \pm 14.9$	$46.9 \pm 15.6$	0.876	0.607	0.695
LDL (mg/dL)	119.3 ± 36.6	115.4 ± 33.9	116.4 ± 35.3	114.1 ± 36.9	0.321	0.054	0.646
TC/HDL (ratio)	$4.3 \pm 1.2$	$4.2\pm1.0$	$4.3 \pm 1.1$	$4.2 \pm 1.1$	0.610	0.107	0.511
LDL/HDL-C (ratio)	$2.6\pm0.7$	$2.6\pm0.7$	$2.6\pm0.7$	$2.6\pm0.7$	0.426	0.238	0.775

		111.0 201.7	$155.0 \pm 72.7$	0.772	0.205	0.701
$17.7 \pm 5.4$	$17.4 \pm 5.2$	$17.7 \pm 5.3$	$17.9\pm5.3$	0.632	0.840	0.509
$13.7 \pm 7.2$	$13.2\pm6.6$	$13.5\pm6.8$	$13.9\pm6.5$	0.656	0.831	0.382
38.7 ± 31.9	$37.1 \pm 30.7$	38.8 ± 39.6	$36.8\pm29.0$	0.954	0.354	0.903
$8.3\pm6.6$	$7.2 \pm 3.6$	$8.4\pm6.7$	$7.3 \pm 4.4$	0.467	0.068	0.639
$2.9\pm5.5$	$2.0\pm1.9$	$2.7\pm4.6$	$2.1\pm2.2$	0.153	0.145	0.810
$72.8\pm36.0$	$74.8\pm40.5$	$74.3\pm40.8$	74.6 ± 38.7	0.494	0.189	0.449
$980.4\pm527.8$	$1037.4\pm683.9$	1319.9 ± 1713.2	$1094.4\pm703.0$	0.095	0.462	0.197
$54.9\pm20.5$	$59.9 \pm 28.8$	57.9 ± 23.8	55.7 ± 22.8	0.665	0.352	0.600
$6.8\pm8.7$	$5.9\pm7.6$	$5.0 \pm 5.6$	$6.3\pm7.7$	0.364	0.846	0.158
$1.5 \pm 1.1$	$1.4\pm0.6$	$1.4\pm0.7$	$1.4 \pm 0.6$	0.148	0.376	0.562
$4.5\pm3.7$	$4.3\pm5.1$	$4.2 \pm 3.8$	5.3 ± 9.3	0.500	0.628	0.189
$41.9 \pm 10.4$	$39.0\pm8.9$	$42.8 \pm 10.9$	44.3 ± 12.5	0.008	0.462	0.025
	$17.7 \pm 5.4$ $13.7 \pm 7.2$ $38.7 \pm 31.9$ $8.3 \pm 6.6$ $2.9 \pm 5.5$ $72.8 \pm 36.0$ $980.4 \pm 527.8$ $54.9 \pm 20.5$ $6.8 \pm 8.7$ $1.5 \pm 1.1$ $4.5 \pm 3.7$ $41.9 \pm 10.4$	$17.7 \pm 5.4$ $17.4 \pm 5.2$ $13.7 \pm 7.2$ $13.2 \pm 6.6$ $38.7 \pm 31.9$ $37.1 \pm 30.7$ $8.3 \pm 6.6$ $7.2 \pm 3.6$ $2.9 \pm 5.5$ $2.0 \pm 1.9$ $72.8 \pm 36.0$ $74.8 \pm 40.5$ $980.4 \pm 527.8$ $1037.4 \pm 683.9$ $54.9 \pm 20.5$ $59.9 \pm 28.8$ $6.8 \pm 8.7$ $5.9 \pm 7.6$ $1.5 \pm 1.1$ $1.4 \pm 0.6$ $4.5 \pm 3.7$ $4.3 \pm 5.1$ $41.9 \pm 10.4$ $39.0 \pm 8.9$	$17.7 \pm 5.4$ $17.4 \pm 5.2$ $17.7 \pm 5.3$ $13.7 \pm 7.2$ $13.2 \pm 6.6$ $13.5 \pm 6.8$ $38.7 \pm 31.9$ $37.1 \pm 30.7$ $38.8 \pm 39.6$ $8.3 \pm 6.6$ $7.2 \pm 3.6$ $8.4 \pm 6.7$ $2.9 \pm 5.5$ $2.0 \pm 1.9$ $2.7 \pm 4.6$ $72.8 \pm 36.0$ $74.8 \pm 40.5$ $74.3 \pm 40.8$ $980.4 \pm 527.8$ $1037.4 \pm 683.9$ $1319.9 \pm 1713.2$ $54.9 \pm 20.5$ $59.9 \pm 28.8$ $57.9 \pm 23.8$ $6.8 \pm 8.7$ $5.9 \pm 7.6$ $5.0 \pm 5.6$ $1.5 \pm 1.1$ $1.4 \pm 0.6$ $1.4 \pm 0.7$ $4.5 \pm 3.7$ $4.3 \pm 5.1$ $4.2 \pm 3.8$ $41.9 \pm 10.4$ $39.0 \pm 8.9$ $42.8 \pm 10.9$	$17.7 \pm 5.4$ $17.4 \pm 5.2$ $17.7 \pm 5.3$ $17.9 \pm 5.3$ $13.7 \pm 7.2$ $13.2 \pm 6.6$ $13.5 \pm 6.8$ $13.9 \pm 6.5$ $38.7 \pm 31.9$ $37.1 \pm 30.7$ $38.8 \pm 39.6$ $36.8 \pm 29.0$ $8.3 \pm 6.6$ $7.2 \pm 3.6$ $8.4 \pm 6.7$ $7.3 \pm 4.4$ $2.9 \pm 5.5$ $2.0 \pm 1.9$ $2.7 \pm 4.6$ $2.1 \pm 2.2$ $72.8 \pm 36.0$ $74.8 \pm 40.5$ $74.3 \pm 40.8$ $74.6 \pm 38.7$ $980.4 \pm 527.8$ $1037.4 \pm 683.9$ $1319.9 \pm 1713.2$ $1094.4 \pm 703.0$ $54.9 \pm 20.5$ $59.9 \pm 28.8$ $57.9 \pm 23.8$ $55.7 \pm 22.8$ $6.8 \pm 8.7$ $5.9 \pm 7.6$ $5.0 \pm 5.6$ $6.3 \pm 7.7$ $1.5 \pm 1.1$ $1.4 \pm 0.6$ $1.4 \pm 0.7$ $1.4 \pm 0.6$ $4.5 \pm 3.7$ $4.3 \pm 5.1$ $4.2 \pm 3.8$ $5.3 \pm 9.3$ $41.9 \pm 10.4$ $39.0 \pm 8.9$ $42.8 \pm 10.9$ $44.3 \pm 12.5$	$17.7 \pm 5.4$ $17.4 \pm 5.2$ $17.7 \pm 5.3$ $17.9 \pm 5.3$ $0.632$ $13.7 \pm 7.2$ $13.2 \pm 6.6$ $13.5 \pm 6.8$ $13.9 \pm 6.5$ $0.656$ $38.7 \pm 31.9$ $37.1 \pm 30.7$ $38.8 \pm 39.6$ $36.8 \pm 29.0$ $0.954$ $8.3 \pm 6.6$ $7.2 \pm 3.6$ $8.4 \pm 6.7$ $7.3 \pm 4.4$ $0.467$ $2.9 \pm 5.5$ $2.0 \pm 1.9$ $2.7 \pm 4.6$ $2.1 \pm 2.2$ $0.153$ $72.8 \pm 36.0$ $74.8 \pm 40.5$ $74.3 \pm 40.8$ $74.6 \pm 38.7$ $0.494$ $980.4 \pm 527.8$ $1037.4 \pm 683.9$ $1319.9 \pm 1713.2$ $1094.4 \pm 703.0$ $0.095$ $54.9 \pm 20.5$ $59.9 \pm 28.8$ $57.9 \pm 23.8$ $55.7 \pm 22.8$ $0.665$ $6.8 \pm 8.7$ $5.9 \pm 7.6$ $5.0 \pm 5.6$ $6.3 \pm 7.7$ $0.364$ $1.5 \pm 1.1$ $1.4 \pm 0.6$ $1.4 \pm 0.7$ $1.4 \pm 0.6$ $0.148$ $4.5 \pm 3.7$ $4.3 \pm 5.1$ $4.2 \pm 3.8$ $5.3 \pm 9.3$ $0.500$ $41.9 \pm 10.4$ $39.0 \pm 8.9$ $42.8 \pm 10.9$ $44.3 \pm 12.5$ $0.008$	$17.7 \pm 5.4$ $17.4 \pm 5.2$ $17.7 \pm 5.3$ $17.9 \pm 5.3$ $0.632$ $0.840$ $13.7 \pm 7.2$ $13.2 \pm 6.6$ $13.5 \pm 6.8$ $13.9 \pm 6.5$ $0.656$ $0.831$ $38.7 \pm 31.9$ $37.1 \pm 30.7$ $38.8 \pm 39.6$ $36.8 \pm 29.0$ $0.954$ $0.354$ $8.3 \pm 6.6$ $7.2 \pm 3.6$ $8.4 \pm 6.7$ $7.3 \pm 4.4$ $0.467$ $0.068$ $2.9 \pm 5.5$ $2.0 \pm 1.9$ $2.7 \pm 4.6$ $2.1 \pm 2.2$ $0.153$ $0.145$ $72.8 \pm 36.0$ $74.8 \pm 40.5$ $74.3 \pm 40.8$ $74.6 \pm 38.7$ $0.494$ $0.189$ $980.4 \pm 527.8$ $1037.4 \pm 683.9$ $1319.9 \pm 1713.2$ $1094.4 \pm 703.0$ $0.095$ $0.462$ $54.9 \pm 20.5$ $59.9 \pm 28.8$ $57.9 \pm 23.8$ $55.7 \pm 22.8$ $0.665$ $0.352$ $6.8 \pm 8.7$ $5.9 \pm 7.6$ $5.0 \pm 5.6$ $6.3 \pm 7.7$ $0.364$ $0.846$ $1.5 \pm 1.1$ $1.4 \pm 0.6$ $1.4 \pm 0.7$ $1.4 \pm 0.6$ $0.148$ $0.376$ $4.5 \pm 3.7$ $4.3 \pm 5.1$ $4.2 \pm 3.8$ $5.3 \pm 9.3$ $0.500$ $0.628$ $41.9 \pm 10.4$ $39.0 \pm 8.9$ $42.8 \pm 10.9$ $44.3 \pm 12.5$ $0.008$ $0.462$

All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Data with P<0.05 are significantly different. T: treatment effect; t: time effect; T *x* t: treatment *x* time interaction.

PR, polyphenol-rich diet; C, control diet; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TC, Total cholesterol, HDL-C, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein-cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; HOMA index, homeostasis model assessment index; C-G index, Cockcroft-Gault index; sVCAM-1, vascular cells adhesion molecules-1; ICAM-1, intercellular cells adhesion molecules-1; CRP, C-reactive protein; TNF- $\alpha$ , tumour necrosis factor – $\alpha$ ; IL-6, interleukin-6



# CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial\*

Section/Topic	ltem No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract			
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	1
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	2,3
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	4,5
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	5
Methods			
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	7
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	5
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	5,6
Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	6,7
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed	7,8,9
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	/
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	9,10
	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	7
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	7
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers),	7
concealment mechanism		describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	7
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those	7

		assessing outcomes) and how	
	11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	/
Statistical methods	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	9,10
	12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	9,10
Results			
Participant flow (a diagram is strongly	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome	10-13
recommended)	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	10,11
Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	/
	14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped	/
Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	11
Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups	/
Outcomes and estimation	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	/
	17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	/
Ancillary analyses	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	10-13
Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)	/
Discussion			
Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	17,18
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	18
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	14-18
Other information			
Registration	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	2
Protocol	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	/
Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	19

\*We strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If relevant, we also recommend reading CONSORT extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and pragmatic trials. Additional extensions are forthcoming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see <u>www.consort-statement.org</u>.

# 1 Table 3A- Effect of 8-week intervention with PR-diet and C-diet on anthropometrical, physical, biochemical, functional markers and serum

## 2 zonulin levels in women

Women (n = 29)	Before	After	Before	After	P for	P for	P for
	PR-diet	PR-diet	C diet	C diet	Т	t	T x t
Body weight (kg)	68.8 ± 13.8	69.0 ± 13.8	68.3 ± 12.8	68.2 ± 13.4	0.062	0.878	0.416
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$27.0\pm5.7$	$27.1\pm5.7$	$26.9\pm5.4$	$26.8\pm5.7$	0.071	0.785	0.416
SBP (mmHg)	$125.4\pm8.8$	$120.6\pm12.3$	$124.8\pm9.5$	$125.7\pm8.3$	0.089	0.165	0.042
DBP (mmHg)	$74.6 \pm 7.1$	$71.7\pm9.2$	$73.5\pm6.8$	$74.7\pm7.0$	0.362	0.299	0.043
Glucose (mg/dL)	$117.1 \pm 80.9$	$109.3\pm50.2$	$113.2\pm60.2$	$107.9\pm47.8$	0.375	0.167	0.698
Creatinine (mg/dL)	$0.81\pm0.31$	$0.83\pm0.37$	$0.82\pm0.40$	$0.78\pm0.33$	0.211	0.481	0.134
Uric Acid (mg/dL)	$5.5 \pm 2.0$	$5.5 \pm 1.9$	$5.6 \pm 2.2$	$5.2 \pm 1.8$	0.390	0.184	0.079
TC (mg/dL)	$200.7\pm54.7$	$201.7\pm53.8$	$197.1\pm51.5$	$194.5\pm57.3$	0.183	0.787	0.645
HDL-C (mg/dL)	$49.2\pm16.9$	$48.9 \pm 16.2$	$48.9 \pm 17.0$	$48.8 \pm 18.1$	0.764	0.813	0.945
LDL-C (mg/dL)	121.3 ± 39.5	$121.3\pm36.8$	$119.6\pm36.5$	$117.4 \pm 41.2$	0.341	0.608	0.691
TC/HDL (ratio)	$4.4\pm1.2$	$4.4 \pm 1.1$	$4.3 \pm 1.2$	$4.3 \pm 1.2$	0.261	0.957	0.712
HDL/LDL-C (ratio)	$2.6\pm0.7$	$2.6\pm0.8$	$2.6 \pm 0.8$	$2.6 \pm 0.8$	0.614	0.999	0.234
TG (mg/dL)	$149.3 \pm 99.3$	$152.8\pm86.5$	$142.8\pm97.6$	$139.7 \pm 92.0$	0.030	0.973	0.593

AST (U/L)	$17.4\pm5.7$	$17.4\pm5.9$	$16.8\pm5.5$	$16.5\pm4.4$	0.180	0.731	0.732
ALT (U/L)	$13.3\pm8.2$	$12.7\pm7.2$	$12.3\pm6.1$	$12.2\pm5.2$	0.304	0.596	0.709
GGT (U/L)	$32.7\pm32.5$	$33.4\pm30.6$	$36.7\pm45.8$	$31.4\pm26.7$	0.621	0.449	0.257
Insuline (uU/mL)	$8.8 \pm 8.2$	$7.4 \pm 4.3$	$9.3 \pm 8.1$	$7.4\pm4.8$	0.711	0.092	0.785
HOMA index	$3.5 \pm 7.2$	$2.2 \pm 2.3$	$3.3 \pm 6.0$	$2.3\pm2.7$	0.790	0.181	0.593
C-G index	$68.8\pm32.4$	$69.0\pm32.9$	$69.8\pm33.1$	$71.1\pm32.9$	0.179	0.524	0.660
sVCAM-1 (ng/mL)	$1025.1\pm499.2$	$1097.8\pm562.6$	$1609.0 \pm 2172.6$	$1250.3\pm773.9$	0.066	0.467	0.208
sICAM-1 (ng/mL)	$56.6 \pm 18.7$	$59.9\pm25.0$	$55.9\pm20.8$	$54.1\pm20.1$	0.336	0.200	0.121
CRP (mg/L)	$6.4\pm7.8$	$6.0\pm8.1$	$5.6\pm6.4$	$8.3\pm10.6$	0.448	0.424	0.140
TNF-α (pg/mL)	$1.7 \pm 1.3$	$1.5\pm0.6$	$1.5\pm0.8$	$1.4\pm0.6$	0.303	0.266	0.583
IL-6 (pg/mL)	$4.6\pm3.3$	$5.0\pm 6.3$	$4.8 \pm 4.4$	$6.7 \pm 11.9$	0.174	0.487	0.328
Zonulin (ng/mL)	$41.0\pm9.0$	$38.5\pm9.5$	$42.3 \pm 10.1$	$45.8\pm10.0$	0.004	0.694	0.010

- 4 All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD); Data with P<0.05 are significantly different.
- 5 T: treatment effect; t: time effect; T *x* t: treatment *x* time interaction

6 PR, polyphenol-rich diet; C, control diet; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP,

- 7 diastolic blood pressure; TC, Total cholesterol, HDL-C, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C,
- 8 low density lipoprotein-cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine
- 9 aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; HOMA index, homeostasis model
- 10 assessment index; C-G index, Cockcroft-Gault index; sVCAM-1, vascular cells adhesion molecules-
- 11 1; ICAM-1, intercellular cells adhesion molecules-1; CRP, C-reactive protein; TNF-α, tumour necrosis
- 12 factor  $-\alpha$ ; IL-6, interleukin-6

# 13 Table 3B - Effect of 8-week intervention with PR-diet and C-diet on anthropometrical, physical, biochemical, functional markers and serum

## 14 zonulin levels in men

Men (n = 22)	Before	After	Before	After	P for	P for	P for
	PR-diet	PR-diet	C diet	C diet	Т	t	T x t
Body weight (kg)	79.4 ± 13.6	$79.8 \pm 13.5$	$78.7 \pm 12.7$	$78.4 \pm 12.7$	0.142	0.815	0.199
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$26.6\pm5.7$	$26.6\pm5.8$	$26.5\pm5.6$	$26.4\pm5.5$	0.228	0.436	0.125
SBP (mmHg)	$129.6 \pm 16.5$	$129.5\pm16.1$	$128.6\pm10.1$	$126.9 \pm 12.8$	0.536	0.432	0.600
DBP (mmHg)	$79.3\pm9.8$	$76.7\pm9.0$	$78.0\pm6.0$	$79.8\pm7.2$	0.558	0.683	0.073
Glucose (mg/dL)	$110.8\pm48.2$	$105\pm31.6$	$102.5 \pm 25.3$	$102.8\pm20.4$	0.295	0.358	0.317
Creatinine (mg/dL)	$1.0\pm0.2$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	$1.0\pm0.2$	0.733	0.308	0.460
Uric Acid (mg/dL)	$5.7 \pm 1.4$	$5.9 \pm 1.4$	$6.0 \pm 1.5$	$6.0 \pm 1.5$	0.203	0.521	0.259
TC (mg/dL)	$187.4\pm45.9$	$173.4 \pm 39.4$	$184.3 \pm 46.2$	$179.7\pm40.9$	0.677	0.003	0.106
HDL-C (mg/dL)	$44.4\pm10.6$	$43.5\pm10.0$	44.5 ± 11.5	44.5 ± 11.5	0.597	0.616	0.522
LDL-C (mg/dL)	116.6 ± 33.1	$107.7\pm28.5$	$112.3 \pm 34.0$	$109.8\pm30.6$	0.714	0.020	0.954
TC/HDL-C (ratio)	$4.33 \pm 1.07$	$4.07\pm0.80$	$4.26\pm0.95$	$4.19 \pm 1.05$	0.829	0.039	0.284
LDL/HDL-C (ratio)	$2.7\pm0.7$	$2.5\pm0.6$	$2.6\pm0.6$	$2.5\pm0.7$	0.549	0.110	0.129
TG (mg/dL)	$128.2\pm67.7$	$115.9\pm55.4$	$140.2\pm85.5$	$130.2 \pm 96$	0.282	0.082	0.893

AST (U/L)	$18.2\pm5.0$	$17.5 \pm 4.3$	$18.8\pm5.0$	$19.6\pm5.9$	0.042	0.933	0.220
ALT (U/L)	$14.3\pm5.6$	$13.8\pm5.9$	$15.2\pm7.3$	$16.1\pm7.4$	0.074	0.729	0.387
GGT (U/L)	$46.7\pm30.0$	$42\pm30.8$	$41.7\pm30.4$	$43.8\pm31.1$	0.590	0.610	0.131
Insuline (uU/mL)	$7.5 \pm 3.3$	$6.9\pm2.5$	$7.2 \pm 4.0$	$7.2 \pm 4.0$	0.872	0.509	0.498
HOMA index	$2.1 \pm 1.1$	$1.8 \pm 1.0$	$1.9 \pm 1.2$	$1.8 \pm 1.0$	0.453	0.274	0.588
C-G index	$78.0\pm40.7$	$82.4 \pm 48.4$	$80.2\pm49.4$	$79.1\pm45.7$	0.694	0.156	0.125
sVCAM-1 (ng/mL)	$921.6\pm569.6$	$957.8\pm824.6$	$939.0\pm653.2$	$888.8\pm547.8$	0.737	0.920	0.724
sICAM-1 (ng/mL)	$56.6\pm23.0$	$60.0\pm33.7$	$60.4\pm27.7$	$57.9\pm26.2$	0.695	0.886	0.305
CRP (mg/L)	$7.3\pm9.9$	$5.7\pm7.1$	$4.1\pm4.5$	$3.7 \pm 4.0$	0.032	0.384	0.644
TNF-α (pg/mL)	$1.3\pm0.9$	$1.4 \pm 0.5$	$1.2\pm0.4$	$1.3 \pm 0.5$	0.218	0.721	0.859
IL-6 (pg/mL)	$4.3\pm4.1$	$3.4 \pm 2.5$	$3.3\pm2.6$	$3.3 \pm 3.0$	0.255	0.347	0.300
Zonulin (ng/mL)	43.1 ± 12.1	$39.7\pm8.1$	$43.5\pm12.1$	$42.4\pm15.2$	0.409	0.141	0.497

15

16 All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Data with P<0.05 are significantly different. T: treatment effect; t: time effect; T x t:

17 treatment *x* time interaction

18 PR, polyphenol-rich diet; C, control diet; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TC, Total cholesterol,

19 HDL-C, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein-cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT,

20 alanine aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; HOMA index, homeostasis model assessment index; C-G index, Cockcroft-Gault

index; sVCAM-1, vascular cells adhesion molecules-1; ICAM-1, intercellular cells adhesion molecules-1; CRP, C-reactive protein; TNF- $\alpha$ , tumour necrosis factor  $-\alpha$ ; IL-6, interleukin-6