Assessment of the Decay Rates of Microbial Source Tracking Molecular Markers and Fecal Indicator Bacteria from Different Sources

Journal:	Applied Microbiology
Manuscript ID	JAM-2018-0492
Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Microbiology
Manuscript Type:	JAM - Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Mar-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Balleste, Elisenda; University of Barcelona, Microbiology García-Aljaro, Cristina; Faculty of Biology (University of Barcelona), Department of Microbiology Blanch, Anicet; University of Barcelona, Department of Microbiology
Key Words:	Environmental health, Environmental/recreational water, Indicators, Microbial contamination, Water quality

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

- 1 Assessment of the Decay Rates of Microbial Source Tracking Molecular Markers
- 2 and Fecal Indicator Bacteria from Different Sources
- 3
- 4
- 5 Elisenda Ballesté, Cristina García-Aljaro, Anicet R. Blanch
- 6
- 7 Dept. of Genetics, Microbiology and Statistics, University of Barcelona, Barcelona,
- 8 Catalonia, Spain.
- 9 Running Head: Decay of fecal indicators of different sources
- 10 Keywords: Fecal pollution; Environmental persistence; Fecal indicators; Microbial
- 11 Source Tracking; Inactivation
- 12
- 13 #Address correspondence to Elisenda Ballesté, eballeste@ub.edu
- 14

15 ABSTRACT

16 Aims

Evaluate the T₉₀ and compare the decay of different fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) and
molecular microbial source tracking (MST) markers of human and animal sources
during summer and winter.

20 Methods and Results

21 The persistence of *Escherichia coli* and enterococci and several MST molecular 22 markers targeting host-specific Bifidobacterium and Bacteroidales species (BifHM, 23 BifCW, BifPL, HF183/BFD, Rum2Bac and Pig2Bac) was assessed at the same time using mesocosms. Dialysis bags filled with diluted wastewater from different sources 24 25 were kept in an outdoor water tank and monitored regularly to assess the inactivation rates. The T₉₀ values of *E. coli* by culture methods ranged from 1.52 to 5.69 days in 26 summer and 2.06 to 6.19 days in winter, whereas with qPCR 2.29-4.23 days in summer 27 and 4.17-8.09 days in winter. T_{90} values for enterocci ranged from 1.15 to 3.10 days in 28 29 summer and from 3.01 to 5.46 days in winter. Significant differences were observed between fecal sources for both markers. For the MST makers similar T_{90} values were 30 obtained in summer (1.05 to 1.91 days), whereas higher variability was observed in 31 winter (2.90 to 6.12 days). 32

33 Conclusions

Different inactivation kinetics were observed for the FIB from the different sources, especially for *E. coli* in ruminant samples. A higher variability among T_{90} values of the different MST markers in winter was observed, whereas similar T_{90} values were

- 37 detected in summer highlighting the stronger effect of environmental parameters during
- 38 this season.

39 Significance and Impact of the study

- 40 The diverse inactivation rates observed in bacteria from different fecal sources have
- 41 implications when these rates are used to model microbial pollution in water. The use of
- 42 FIB T₉₀ of different sources is essential to develop reliable predictive models. Since
- 43 different inactivation of *E. coli* regarding the source of pollution has been observed, the
- 44 source of the pollution has to be considered for modeling approaches.
- Keywords: Fecal pollution; Environmental persistence; Fecal indicators; Microbial
 Source Tracking; Inactivation; Decay rates

47 INTRODUCTION

Besides their ecological and ecosystem functions, freshwater systems are vital to human 48 49 life. Consequently, the fecal pollution of waterbodies poses a threat for human health 50 through the transmission of waterborne pathogens; the organic matter, nutrients and 51 pollutants that are discharged impair the environment and lead to oxygen depletion, eutrophication and the disruption of biotic communities and functions, and have an 52 economic impact when recreational and shellfish harvesting areas are affected (Colford 53 54 et al., 2007; Gourmelon et al., 2010). Monitoring fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) such as 55 E. coli (EC) and enterococci (ENT) has led to vast improvements in public health 56 protection over the past century (Ashbolt et al., 2001). However, some epidemiological studies have failed to detect a correlation between FIB and pathogens and human health 57 when pollution does not originate from a point source (Colford *et al.*, 2007). This fact 58 can be attributed to the increased health risk following exposure to human waste and the 59

widespread distribution of FIB in different animal species, thus making it impossible to 60 indicate the source of the pollution (Kelty et al., 2012; Harwood et al., 2014). Many 61 microbial source tracking (MST) markers have been described to address this issue in 62 recent years (Bernhard and Field, 2000; Reischer et al., 2006; Mieszkin et al., 2009; 63 Gómez-Doñate et al., 2012; Layton et al., 2013; Green et al., 2014) and have been 64 65 tested successfully throughout the world (Gawler et al., 2007; Ahmed et al., 2009; Shanks et al., 2010; Boehm et al., 2013; Reischer et al., 2013). Such tools make it 66 67 possible to achieve a more accurate quantitative microbial risk assessment and to manage the affected area more effectively (Reischer et al., 2008; Gourmelon et al., 68 2010; Wyer et al., 2010; McQuaig et al., 2012; Wilkes et al., 2014; Verhougstraete et 69 al., 2015). 70

71 Modeling strategies are currently used for catchment management and "ecological 72 forecast", and involve scientists, policymakers and water stakeholders. Models use 73 mainly hydrology and physicochemical parameters, but the number of studies to use fecal bacteria as a proxy for microbial pathogens is increasing significantly (Hipsey et 74 al., 2008; Coffey et al., 2013; de Brauwere et al., 2014). The incorporation of accurate 75 76 inactivation values for bacteria is therefore crucial to developing better explanatory and anticipatory prediction models. However, the inactivation of bacteria is affected by 77 multiple environmental, physicochemical and biological factors such as temperature 78 (Bertrand et al., 2012; Blaustein et al., 2013), sunlight (Sinton et al., 2002; Nguyen et 79 al., 2015), salinity (Schulz and Childers, 2011), predation (Gonzalez et al., 1992; 80 Menon et al., 2003; Bell et al., 2009) and suspended solids (Kay et al., 2005). 81 Furthermore, diverse survival rates of certain EC and ENT strains from different 82 pollution sources due to intrinsic characteristics have been reported (Anderson et al., 83 84 2005). In order to isolate the influence of specific factors, a multiple microcosm or

mesocosm approach under the same conditions is required. Despite this, the interaction 85 between them is often the factor that enhances or decreases the inactivation rate, e.g. 86 predation is enhanced by temperature (Barcina et al., 1997; Hipsey et al., 2008) and 87 sunlight increases oxygen reactive species in the presence of organic matter, thereby 88 reinforcing inactivation (Appiani and McNeill, 2015; Maraccini et al., 2012). 89 90 Microcosms/mesocosms in laboratory conditions that focus on single factors have therefore been found to differ from on-site experiments (Balleste and Blanch, 2010; 91 92 Green et al., 2011; Bae and Wuertz, 2015; He et al., 2015). In this study, an on-site approach was used in order to assess and compare the inactivation rates of certain fecal 93 94 indicator bacteria (EC and ENT) from different sources (human, porcine, bovine and poultry) and detect potential differences between them. In parallel, the inactivation of 95 several microbial source tracking molecular markers targeting *Bifidobacterium* (BifHM, 96 BifCW, BifPL) and host-specific Bacteroidales species (HF183/BFD, Rum2Bac, 97 Pig2Bac) was analyzed in order to explore differences and assess their potential to be 98 included in models to determine pollution sources in freshwater bodies. 99

100 MATERIALS AND METHODS

101 Experimental design

The inactivation of FIB and MST molecular markers was explored through an on-site experimental approach, as previously described (Balleste and Blanch, 2010; Casanovas-Massana and Blanch, 2013). To this end, dialysis bags filled with different water matrices (prepared as described below) were kept in a 60 m³ well water-fed concrete deposit, located on the grounds of the university and used for experimental purposes. Experiments were performed in two different seasons: summer (mean experiment temperature of 24.9°C) and winter (mean experiment temperature of 14.5°C).

Four different water matrices were prepared, one for each fecal source: human (HM), 109 pig (PG), ruminant (CW) and poultry (PL). To that end, sewage collected from a 110 wastewater treatment plant that serves a population equivalent of 300,000 was used to 111 prepare the human source matrix, while wastewater collected from three different 112 abattoirs (for slaughtering pigs (8,000 animals per day), ruminants (400 animals per 113 114 day) and poultry (96,000 animals per day)) was used to prepare the animal water matrices. Wastewater samples were diluted 1:10 in unfiltered groundwater collected 115 116 from the outdoor deposit and used to fill the dialysis bags. The aim was to evaluate the T_{90} and compare the decay of the different MST markers and FIB of different sources. 117 The experiment was performed in duplicate using dialysis bags with a porous cut-off of 118 14 KDa (Medicell dialysis tubing; Visking, London, UK), prepared in advance in 119 accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Two dialysis bags were filled per 120 121 sampling day and fecal source with 50-100 ml of each water matrix. They were then placed at a depth of 10-25 cm from the water surface in the outdoor tank. Two dialysis 122 bags per fecal source were collected at different time intervals during the sampling 123 period: samples were collected every 24h at the beginning of the experiment and every 124 48-72h towards the end to cover a wider range of inactivation. The sampling periods 125 were run for two weeks in summer (water temperature between 25-28°C and pH 8-8.7) 126 127 and for three weeks in winter (water temperature between 8.5-14.5°C and pH 7.6-8). 128 Prolonged incubation was avoided due to degradation of the membranes, which is faster 129 in high temperatures. Samples were collected at around 10 a.m. every day. Turbidity (NTU) was measured in water from the dialysis bags using a HACH Ratio Turbidimeter 130 18900 (HACH Company, Düsseldorf, Germany). 131

Water temperature and pH were measured daily at sampling time and continuousenvironmental data (temperature, solar radiation, etc.) were obtained from local

134 meteorological services based in the same university facilities135 [http://www.infomet.am.ub.es/].

136 Enumeration of fecal indicator bacteria by culture media

137 The enumeration of EC and ENT fecal indicator bacteria was performed using the 138 membrane filtration technique. Different volumes regarding the bacterial concentration were analyzed by filtration through a filter with a pore size of 0.45 μ m (EZ-PAK, 139 140 Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). EC were enumerated in accordance with ISO standard 141 16649-1:2001 (ISO, 2001) and filters were placed on Mineral Modified Glutamate Agar (MMGA) (Scharlab, Barcelona, Spain) for 4 h at 37°C to allow bacterial resuscitation to 142 take place. After the enrichment, filters were transferred to chromogenic Tryptone Bile 143 144 Glucuronic Agar medium (TBX) (Scharlab) and incubated for 18 h at 44°C. ENT were enumerated in accordance with ISO standard 7899-2:2000 (ISO, 2000) and filters were 145 placed in Enterococcus Agar medium (Difco, Madrid, Spain) and incubated for 48 h at 146 37°C, before being confirmed with Bile Esculin Agar (Difco) for 4 h at 44°C. 147

148 Nucleic acid extraction

Ten milliliters from each sample were concentrated by filtration through a filter with a 149 pore size of 0.22 µm (SO-PAK, Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), from which DNA was 150 extracted. Filters were placed in 0.5 ml of GITC buffer (5 M guanidine thiocyanate, 100 151 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 0.5% sarkosyl) and frozen at -20°C in lysis buffer until DNA 152 153 extraction. The DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) with some modifications, as previously reported in the 154 bibliography (Gourmelon et al., 2007): the proteinase K step was omitted, and 700 µl of 155 QIAGEN AL buffer was added to the filters kept in GITC buffer. Filtration and DNA 156 extraction controls were run together with the samples. 157

158 MST marker quantification by real-time quantitative PCR

The different MST markers described in the bibliography (Table S1) were analyzed by 159 real-time qPCR to target the 16S rRNA gene from host specific Bifidobacterium 160 161 species: BifHM, BifCW and BifPL (Gómez-Doñate et al., 2012), and host specific Bacteroidales species: HF183 (Haugland et al., 2010), Rum2Bac (Mieszkin et al., 162 2010) and Pig2Bac (Mieszkin et al., 2009). BifHM and HF183 markers have been 163 linked to human sources, BifCW and Rum2Bac to ruminant sources, Pig2Bac to porcine 164 sources and BifPL to poultry sources. The primers, probes and protocols described in 165 the bibliography were used, unless otherwise indicated in Table S1. As an alternative to 166 the culture methods, the EC 16S rRNA gene was enumerated by qPCR as an indirect 167 measure of total EC genomes using a TaqMan assay with the probe and primers 168 previously described (Huijsdens et al., 2002). 169

Amplification was performed in a 20 µl reaction mixture using TaqMan Environmental 170 Master Mix 2.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) in a StepOne Real-Time 171 PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The mixture contained 900 nM of each primer, 250 172 173 nM of the corresponding probe and 5 μ L of the DNA sample. With regard to the quantification of HF183, the mixture contained 1 mM of each primer, 80 nM of 6-174 carboxyfluorescein (FAM)-labeled TagMan probe (Applied Biosystems) and 5 µL of 175 176 DNA. The thermal-cycler conditions were 10 min at 95°C, 40 denaturation cycles of 15 177 s at 95°C, and 1 min of annealing and extension at 60°C.

All samples, negative controls, and extraction and filtration blanks were run in duplicate, and analyses were repeated when discordance between duplicates was detected. Ten fold dilutions of the wastewater samples were assayed to detect inhibition problems. Results were expressed as gene copies/100 ml (GC 100 ml⁻¹). Five points on

the standard curves were included in duplicate for each run and were generated from 182 different 10-fold serial dilutions of the linearized plasmid containing the target gene. 183 pGEM®-T Easy Vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used for HMBif, PLBif, 184 CWBif and EC, linearized using Scal restriction digestion; pBluescript II SK (Agilent 185 Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used for HF183, linearized using NotI 186 187 restriction digestion; and pCR2.1 was used for Rum2Bac and Pig2Bac (kindly supplied by Professor M. Gourmelon, IFREMER, Brest, France), linearized using NotI 188 189 restriction digestion. The quantification limits for Pig2Bac, Rum2Bac and HF183 were 70, 4 and 3 gene copies (GC) per reaction, respectively, the quantification limits for 190 Bifidobacterium markers were 45, 40 and 25 GC per reaction for the human, poultry 191 and ruminant assays, respectively and the quantification limit for EC was 16 GC per 192 reaction. 193

194 Data analysis

The results obtained from the culture medium analyses (expressed as colony forming 195 units per 100 ml (CFU 100 ml⁻¹)) and the qPCR analyses (expressed as gene copies per 196 100 ml (GC 100 ml⁻¹)) were used to calculate the inactivation kinetics for EC, ENT and 197 198 the six MST molecular markers analyzed in each experiment. Measurements were \log_{10} transformed and daily reductions were used to calculate the first-order decay models 199 200 proposed by Chick and co-workers (Chick, 1908). The following equations were used to 201 calculate the decay rates (K_s) and the time required to achieve a 90% reduction in the 202 initial population (T₉₀ values [days]):

$$N_t/N_0 = 10^{-k_s t}$$

 $T_{90} = 1/k_s$

where N_t is the CFU 100 ml⁻¹ or 16S rRNA GC 100 ml⁻¹ at time t, and N_0 is the CFU 100 ml⁻¹ or 16S rRNA gene GC 100 ml⁻¹ at time 0.

The data were analyzed using the statistical software R version 3.2.2 (R Core Team, 205 2016) through the RStudio interface (RStudio Team, 2015), and the "PMCMR" 206 207 (Pohlert, 2016). Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD) post-hoc multiple-comparison test was performed to detect 208 significant differences between markers, seasons and fecal sources. Significant 209 differences in means were determined at an alpha level of 0.05. The Pearson's 210 correlation coefficient was used to determine any relationship between cultured-EC and 211 qPCR-EC measurements. SigmaPlot 10.0 (Systat Software Inc.) was used to plot the 212 inactivation graphs. 213

214 **RESULTS**

215 Initial and environmental conditions

During the summer assay, the water temperature ranged from 25 °C to 28°C, the average 216 environmental temperature ranged from 21.7 °C to 28.3°C, with a mean of 24.9°C, and 217 the maximum global solar radiation ranged from 784 W/m^2 to 1100 W/m^2 (Table S2). In 218 winter, the water temperature ranged from 8.5°C to 11°C, with a peak of 14.5°C on the 219 last sampling day, the average environmental temperature ranged from 11.2°C to 220 18.2°C, with a mean of 12.9°C, and maximum global solar radiation values ranged from 221 273 W/m^2 to 871 W/m^2 (Table S2). The groundwater used to prepare the different water 222 matrices presented conductivity of around 2.0-3.5 mS during both seasons, with a pH of 223 8-8.7 in summer and 7.6-8 in winter at sample collection times. Thus, assays run in 224 225 summer were subjected to higher temperatures, higher solar irradiation, higher alkalinity

due to an increase in photosynthesis, and greater expected predation rates, as reported

elsewhere (Barcina *et al.*, 1997), than in winter.

Low levels of EC and ENT were detected in the groundwater used as a matrix before 228 the wastewater was added (EC <10 CFU 100 ml⁻¹ and ENT < 5 CFU 100 ml⁻¹). The 229 MST molecular markers were not detected, with the exception of BifPL, which 230 presented values of 3.1 x 10^3 and 6.6 x 10^3 GC 100 ml⁻¹ (Table S3). However, the 231 232 different MST markers were detected in great abundance in the original wastewater samples used (Table S3) and remained above the limit of detection of the qPCR 233 methods after being diluted 1:10 to prepare the different water matrices. Turbidity 234 235 differed between experiments, with lower values in the HM and PL samples (17-27 and 20-34 NTU) than in the PG and CW samples (35-113 and 40-120 NTU). Turbidity 236 237 increased over the course of the experiment due to aggregation of the suspended organic matter (OM) during both seasons. 238

239 Persistence of fecal indicator bacteria

In summer, the inactivation of EC and ENT by culture methods (culture-EC) followed a first-order exponential model, whereas a "shoulder" pattern was mostly observed in winter (Fig. 1). However, in these cases, a linear decay followed the one to two days of the no-decay period (lag). All points were therefore used for the analysis considering simplest model. For EC measured by qPCR (qPCR-EC), similar trends were observed (Fig. 1).

The K_s and T₉₀ were measured for every source, indicator, season and replicate (Table 1, Table S4). Different T_{90} values for FIB were observed (EC and ENT) with respect to the pollution sources analyzed. In this study, FIB from PG and CW sources showed generally higher persistence rates than those observed for HM and PL sources (Table 1). These differences were significant for culture-EC, qPCR-EC and ENT when the seasonal effects were considered (P-value < 0.001). However, the T_{90} values of ENT showed higher levels of similarity between sources (1.24-3.12 in summer and 3.00-5.54 in winter) than those observed for EC, in particular for culture-EC (1.54-5.73 in summer and 1.64-6.35 in winter), which presented wider variability between sources.

Seasonal differences between the T_{90} values were also analyzed. In this case, ENT and qPCR-EC showed higher inactivation rates in summer than in winter, and lasted around twice as long at low temperatures (P-value < 0.001 and P-value = 0.006, respectively). Although not statistically significant, a higher inactivation rate in summer was generally observed by culture-EC, with the exception of PL samples, for which no differences were reported between the seasons.

At T₀, the abundance of EC detected by qPCR was 1.8-7.9 times higher than that 261 detected by culture methods. This difference was greater in winter (4.2-7.95) than in 262 summer (1.8-4.5), thus suggesting that there were more dead or viable but non-263 culturable bacteria at cold temperatures. The differences among the two detection 264 265 methods increased with time, and were 250-1100 times greater by the end of experiment. When a general comparison of culture-EC and qPCR-EC methods was 266 carried out, a higher T₉₀ was observed with qPCR methods, but similar T₉₀ values were 267 reported in two assays (PL summer and CW winter) and higher T₉₀ values were 268 269 observed through the culture technique in CW summer. When bovine EC T_{90} s were 270 disregarded, a correlation between the two techniques was observed (Pearson's r =271 0.88).

When the persistence of the FIBs from the same fecal source was compared by taking the seasons into account, there were generally no significant differences between the T_{90} values of culture-EC and those of ENT. Meanwhile, the persistence rate of both differed from the T_{90} of qPCR-EC, thus revealing that the DNA showed a longer detection time, as expected (P-value < 0.001 for culture-EC and ENT in HM and PG, P-value = 0.005 for culture-EC and 0.018 for ENT in PL). The only exception was in the ruminant experiment, in which the T_{90} values observed for culture-EC and qPCR-EC were similar, but differed from those of ENT (P-value < 0.001).

280 Persistence of MST markers by qPCR

281 The persistence of the markers BifHM, BifCW, BifPL targeting human, bovine and poultry-specific Bifidobacterium species and the markers HF183, Pig2Bac and 282 Rum2Bac targeting human, porcine and bovine-related *Bacteroidales* group species was 283 analyzed by qPCR. The two human markers (BifHM and HF183) were analyzed in the 284 prepared sewage matrix. At the start of the experiment (T_0) , both markers showed 285 similar concentrations $(4.1 \cdot 10^6 \pm 0.9 \cdot 10^6 \text{ and } 2.0 \cdot 10^6 \pm 1.0 \cdot 10^6 \text{ GC } 100 \text{ ml}^{-1}$. 286 respectively). They were detected for just 2–3 days in summer when T₉₀ values of 2.20 287 and 1.05 days, respectively, were obtained. However, they were detected during the 10 288 289 sampling days in winter (Fig. 2), when higher T_{90} value differences were reported between them: 6.12 and 3.26 days, respectively (Table 2, Table S5). 290

The levels of the BifCW marker were much higher than those of Rum2Bac at the start of the experiment $(4.7 \cdot 10^6 \pm 4.2 \cdot 10^6 \text{ GC } 100 \text{ ml}^{-1} \text{ and } 8.3 \cdot 10^4 \pm 6.5 \cdot 10^4 \text{ GC } 100 \text{ ml}^{-1}$, respectively). Despite this, both markers were detected for just 3 days in summer and showed similar T₉₀ values (1.62 and 1.48 days, respectively). In winter, however, BifCW was detected for 15 days and Rum2Bac for 9 days, with respective T₉₀s of 5.17 and 4.64 days (Table 2, Table S5). The porcine MST marker Pig2Bac presented a high concentration $(3.5 \cdot 10^8 \pm 2.5 \cdot 10^8 \text{ GC}$ 100 ml⁻¹) at the start of the experiment, which made the detection time longer: 8 days in summer and 15 days in winter (Table 2). Nonetheless, low T₉₀ values were reported (1.60 days in summer and 2.90 days in winter). The BifPL levels were similar to the other molecular markers $(2.9 \pm 1.5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ GC} 100 \text{ ml}^{-1})$. This MST marker was detected for 7 days in summer, with a T₉₀ value of 1.91 days, and for 12 days in winter, with a T₉₀ value of 3.77 days.

The T₉₀ values observed in summer for the six molecular markers showed very similar 304 305 values; they ranged from 1.05 days for HF183 to 2.20 days for BifHM, and nonsignificant differences were observed between the six. However, Tukey's HSD post-hoc 306 test showed significant differences between BifHM and HF183 (P-value = 0.0415). 307 308 Moreover, differences between the T_{90} values of molecular markers were observed in winter (P-value < 0.001), and these ranged from the shortest T₉₀ of 2.90 days for 309 310 Pig2Bac to the longest of 6.12 days for BifHM (Table 2, Table S5). Tukey's HSD posthoc test showed no differences between Rum2Bac and BifCW or between Pig2Bac, 311 HF183 and BifPL. When the seasonal differences for each molecular marker were 312 analyzed, all persisted longer in winter than in summer, but the differences were non-313 significant for Rum2Bac and BifPL (Table 2). Additionally, since three of the markers 314 target Bifidobacterium species and the other three species are from the Bacteroidales 315 group, the differences between the two groups were analyzed. In general, 316 317 Bifidobacterium markers persisted longer than Bacteroidales in both seasonal assays (Pvalue: 0.020). 318

The T_{90} of MST molecular markers and FIB were compared for each fecal source. Similar inactivation rates of HF183 were observed with culture-EC and ENT, whereas the inactivation rate of BifHM (especially in winter) was significantly higher than the rest of the markers (P-value < 0.001 for HF183, culture-EC, ENT and 0.002 for qPCR-EC). For the ruminant wastewater experiments, a similar inactivation rate was observed for Rum2Bac, CWBif and ENT. In the pig wastewater experiments, Pig2Bac persisted for significantly less time than FIB (P-value = 0.001 for culture-EC and P-value < 0.001 for ENT and qPCR-EC), and for the poultry experiment, BifPL showed a similar persistence rate to the FIB markers.

328 **DISCUSSION**

329 In this study, an on-site experimental approach was used to compare the inactivation rates of FIB and several MST molecular markers in water from different fecal pollution 330 331 sources. The approach used dialysis bags to ensure that the water and solutes diffused into the environment and were exposed to multiple environmental parameters. 332 Therefore, the system responded to the physical, chemical and environmental changes 333 of the surroundings. The first-order decay function was used in order to be able to 334 compare all decays using the simplest model. However, if this data would be used for 335 modeling purposes a delayed Chick-Watson model should be explored for some winter 336 assays when greater initial resistance to inactivation has been detected (Brooks and 337 Field, 2017). 338

339	Several studies have analyzed the persistence of EC and ENT, generally coming from a
340	unique pollution source; however they do not question the fact that there may be
341	differences among different pollution sources (Marti et al., 2011; Solecki et al., 2011;
342	Blaustein et al., 2013; Ahmed et al., 2014). This aspect is in particular relevant for
343	modeling, when different inactivation rates regarding the source have to be considered
344	to predict the duration of a specific pollution event. In this study different inactivation
345	rates were observed between the FIB depending on the source of the fecal pollution; this

was particularly evident for EC in pig and ruminant assays. With respect to the ruminant 346 experiments, high T₉₀ values were obtained for FIB compared to the other assays. They 347 also showed smaller differences between the seasons, thus suggesting that other factors 348 play a key role in the inactivation of the markers and have to be considered. For 349 example, other studies reported differences between strains of EC and ENT of various 350 351 animal sources (McLellan et al., 2003; Ahmed et al., 2006). Furthermore, certain strains 352 isolated from diverse sources showed different adaptations to the environment, with 353 contrasting persistence times (Anderson et al., 2005; He et al., 2015). For example, FIB from cattle manure have been reported to have a higher persistence rate than sewage 354 (Korajkic *et al.*, 2013). This variability has been attributed to the different intrinsic 355 properties of FIB from particular fecal sources or to the characteristics of waste 356 composition and microbial community structures in the original sample. In this study, 357 358 the water collected from the ruminant and porcine abattoirs contained more organic matter (OM) (e.g. blood) than HM and PL samples. The OM aggregates throughout the 359 experiment probably acted as a shelter for live bacteria to protect them from sunlight 360 and predators. Furthermore, dissolved and particulate OM has been reported to act as a 361 362 photosensitizer. When it absorbs solar irradiation, it produces oxygen reactive species such as singlet oxygen (¹O₂), superoxide and hydroxyl radicals (Appiani and McNeill, 363 364 2015). A lower inactivation rate of EC than ENT in a wetland system has been 365 associated with the null effect of singlet oxygen on the former (Nguyen et al., 2015). 366 The difference was attributed to cell membrane characteristics: gram-negative bacteria have an extra outer membrane that may limit the uptake of exogenous photosensitizers, 367 thereby reducing cell damage. This hypothesis could also explain the greater persistence 368 369 rate of EC compared to ENT in the ruminant experiment. It is important to notice that this accumulation of OM may be an artifact of performing the experiment inside 370

372

371 dialysis bags, in real environmental conditions the aggregates maybe dissolved or

deposited.

Different fecal sources contain diverse bacterial strains with different levels of 373 374 resistance to environmental processes. This can have implications if this parameter is used to model microbial populations in water and can reduce the effectiveness of water 375 quality protection standards. For example, if the T_{90} obtained from sewage in this study 376 had been used in the model, the presence of EC may have been underestimated when 377 the pollution originated from ruminant sources. A recent meta-analysis using first-order 378 decay rates, based on previously published data, show a large variation in the data 379 leading to wide credible intervals ranging for example from a T_{90} of 1.6 to 55.7 in case 380 of E. coli (Brooks and Field, 2016). This study establishes a mean decay rate constant 381 with credible variation intervals. The majority of FIB results in our study fit within 382 383 these intervals except ruminant EC and ENT in both seasons and swine ENT in summer showing higher T₉₀ values. Since the source of the pollution may introduce differences 384 385 in the decay, incorporating MST molecular markers can result in additional information about the catchment area, by helping identify not only land uses, but also proper FIB 386 kinetics. 387

As expected, the detection of EC by qPCR showed a longer inactivation rate and was generally twice as long as when detected by culture methods. A few exceptions were reported in summer, when a higher inactivation rate was observed, thereby suggesting that both the decay rate of microorganisms and the total degradation of the DNA were affected. However, the numbers of EC enumerated by qPCR and culture methods diverged throughout the experiment and were greater by the end. This suggests that there was less recovery of culturable EC than DNA detection over time. This fact has to 395 be seriously considered when considering changing the detection of EC for water

396 quality analysis by culture to molecular methods (Heijnen and Medema, 2009).

397 In summer, a more homogenous decay rate was observed between the different MST 398 markers analyzed in this study compared with the winter decay rates. This similarity may be associated with the high number of factors that inactivated the bacteria and 399 degraded the DNA (e.g. temperature, predation and irradiation). In winter, meanwhile, 400 more diverse decay trends were observed between the markers. When there is less 401 sunlight, lower temperatures and less predation activity, persistence and inactivation 402 rates are probably linked to the intrinsic characteristics of bacteria (cell resilience, 403 404 membrane structure, physiological characteristics, etc.) and show a more diverse pattern, as also revealed by the biphasic behavior in some instances. Thus, in summer, 405 the similar behavior can be assigned to the different MST molecular markers, whereas 406 in winter, it is necessary to consider the different inactivation rates of the markers, as 407 already reported for mtDNA and Bacteroidales markers (Green et al., 2011; He et al., 408 409 2015). In this study, a longer persistence time was detected for host-specific Bifidobacterium species compared to Bacteroidales. A longer persistence time for Bif. 410 adolescentis compared to HF183 has previously been reported in other studies that 411 show similar T₉₀ values (when temperature differences are taken into account) 412 (Jeanneau et al., 2012; He et al., 2016). Since both are anaerobic genera, the different 413 decay rates could be attributed to different oxygen tolerance times (Rolfe et al., 1978; 414 Andriantsoanirina et al., 2013) or different predation rates, depending on the cell wall 415 characteristics (gram positive vs. negative) (Barcina et al., 1997; Pernthaler, 2005). 416

417 Several studies have been conducted regarding the inactivation of FIB and MST418 molecular markers; some rely on microcosms or laboratory conditions, whereas others

rely on on-site assays that take environmental parameter fluctuations into account 419 (Ahmed et al., 2014). Moreover, they use different methods to report the results (e.g. 420 the use of linear or bi-phasic models to calculate the inactivation rate; the use of 421 422 common or natural logarithms to calculate the inactivation constant; and reporting of the T_{90} or T_{99}) and different parameters throughout the experiments (sunlight, predation, 423 424 temperature, etc.), thereby making it difficult to compare the data. However, the decay 425 constant measured at different temperatures can be adjusted to the same temperature by 426 means of the Arrhenius equation (Van Kessel et al., 2007; Bae and Wuertz, 2015). A recent publication compiled some studies and standardized the results so that they could 427 428 be compared (natural log and T_{99}). The data obtained in this study have been compared with this approach, and similar inactivation rates for HF183 and other *Bacteroidales* 429 molecular markers have shown to be consistent with other studies (K_s ranging between -430 0.48 and -1.1) (Bae and Wuertz, 2009; Dick et al., 2010; Jeanneau et al., 2012; Liang et 431 al., 2012; Bae and Wuertz, 2015; He et al., 2016) and fall inside the credible interval 432 established by Brooks (Brooks and Field, 2016). Other ruminant and porcine 433 Bacteroidales molecular markers showed similar inactivation rates to those obtained in 434 435 this study for Rum2Bac and Pig2Bac markers (Marti et al., 2011; Solecki et al., 2011; Sokolova et al., 2012; Brooks and Field 2016). However, other experiments have shown 436 437 shorter or longer persistence rates that could be attributed to the different experimental 438 designs, which are usually performed in microcosms under controlled conditions 439 (darkness, filtered water, etc.) (Okabe and Shimazu, 2007; Bae and Wuertz, 2015). Even though the initial concentrations of these molecular markers were higher than those of 440 FIB, the MST molecular markers studied were detected for only 2-3 days in summer, 441 with the exception of Pig2Bac and BifPL. Although Pig2Bac showed a lower 442 persistence rate, its longer detection time can be explained by the high concentrations in 443

the initial sample (mean $5 \cdot 10^9$ GC 100 ml⁻¹). A slightly higher T₉₀ value with a smaller detection limit for BifPL made the detection time of this marker longer. Thus, the marker concentrations at the beginning of the assays (point source in environmental situations), together with the limit of detection of the molecular method, are important factors that should be considered when the MST molecular markers are selected.

The use of reliable inactivation values is essential for water quality management 449 strategies when developing explanatory and anticipatory prediction models. Many 450 studies have used FIB, mainly EC, as a proxy for microbial pathogens (Hispey *et al.*, 451 2008; Coffey et al., 2013; de Brauwere et al., 2014; Jonsson and Agerberg 2015). 452 However, in this study a different inactivation rate has been showed for FIB from 453 different fecal pollution sources showing, what can have implications when using this 454 parameter for modeling the microbial populations in water, and can reduce efficacy of 455 regulatory standards for protection of water quality. For example, if the T_{90} obtained in 456 this study from sewage would be used to model, the presence of EC may be 457 458 underestimated when the pollution is originated from ruminant sources. Therefore, the inclusion of MST markers in such models can provide complementary information of 459 the catchment not only by helping to identify land uses but also to select the proper FIB 460 kinetics and obtain more accurate models. 461

In conclusion, reporting and understanding bacterial inactivation rates and systematizing the method used by studies may facilitate data collection and help select the ideal parameters for the geographical area or climatic conditions. The inactivation of FIB and MST molecular markers is affected by: a) the season, which affects temperature, sunlight, predation rates and alkalinity; b) different intrinsic characteristics of the indicator/marker strains, which are more important in winter, when the environmental parameters have less impact; c) the source of the pollution and therefore
bacteria with different levels of resistance to the environmental conditions; and/or d) the
role of the OM associated with the water matrix as a shelter for microorganisms. The
different decay trends for FIB observed in human and animal fecal pollution sources is a
key issue when natural inactivation rates are used to model the kinetics of the fecal
pollution in a water catchment. Thus, incorporating the MST molecular markers would
facilitate microbial fecal pollution modeling.

475 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 476 This work was supported by the European Union AQUAVALENS project (FP7-
- 477 KBBE.2012.2.5-01, grant agreement No.: 311846), the Spanish government (research
- 478 project CGL2011-25401) and the Catalan government (2014-SGR-0007).

479 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

480 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

481 **REFERENCES**

- Ahmed, W., Goonetilleke, A., Powell, D., Chauhan, K., Gardner, T. (2009) Comparison
 of molecular markers to detect fresh sewage in environmental waters. *Water Res* 43, 4908–4917.
- Ahmed, W., Gyawali, P., Sidhu, J.P.S., Toze, S. (2014) Relative inactivation of faecal
 indicator bacteria and sewage markers in freshwater and seawater microcosms. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 59, 348–354.
- Ahmed, W., Neller, R., Katouli, M. (2006) Population similarity of enterococci and
 Escherichia coli in surface waters: A predictive tool to trace the sources of fecal
 contamination. J Water Health 4, 347–356.
- Anderson, K.L., Whitlock, J.E., Valerie, J., Harwood, V.J. (2005) Persistence and
 differential survival of fecal indicator bacteria in subtropical waters and sediments. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71, 3041–3048.
- 494 Andriantsoanirina, V., Allano, S., Butel, M.J., Aires, J. (2013) Tolerance of

- 495 *Bifidobacterium* human isolates to bile, acid and oxygen. *Anaerobe* **21**, 39–42.
- Appiani, E., McNeill, K. (2015) Photochemical production of singlet oxygen from
 particulate organic matter. *Environ Sci Technol* 49, 3514–3522.

Ashbolt, N.J., Grabow, W.O.K., Snozzi, M. (2001) Indicators of microbial water
quality. In Guidelines, Standards and Health: Assessment of Risk and Risk Management
for Water-Related Infectious Disease ed. Fewtrell, L. and Bartram, J. pp. 289–316.
London: IWA.

Bae, S., Wuertz, S. (2015) Decay of host-associated *Bacteroidales* cells and DNA in continuous-flow freshwater and seawater microcosms of identical experimental design and temperature as measured by PMA-qPCR and qPCR. *Water Res.* **70**, 205–213.

Bae, S., Wuertz, S. (2009) Discrimination of viable and dead fecal *Bacteroidales*bacteria by quantitative PCR with propidium monoazide. *Appl Env. Microbiol* 75,
2940–2944.

- Balleste, E., Blanch, A.R. (2010) Persistence of *Bacteroides* species populations in a
 river as measured by molecular and culture techniques. *Appl Env. Microbiol* 76, 7608–
 7616.
- 511 Barcina, I., Lebaron, P., Vives-Rego, J. (1997) Survival of allochthonous bacteria in 512 aquatic systems: A biological approach. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **23**, 1–9.

Bell, A., Layton, A.C., McKay, L., Williams, D., Gentry, R., Sayler, G.S. (2009)
Factors influencing the persistence of fecal *Bacteroides* in stream water. *J Environ Qual.* 38, 1224–1232.

Bernhard, A.E., Field, K.G. (2000) A PCR assay to discriminate human and ruminant
feces on the basis of host differences in *Bacteroides-Prevotella* genes encoding 16S
rRNA. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 66, 4571–4574.

- Bertrand, I., Schijven, J.F., Sánchez, G., Wyn-Jones, P., Ottoson, J., Morin, T.,
 Muscillo, M., Verani, M., Nasser, A., de Roda Husman, A.M., Myrmel, M., Sellwood,
 J., Cook, N., Gantzer, C. (2012) The impact of temperature on the inactivation of enteric
 viruses in food and water: A review. *J Appl Microbiol* 112, 1059–1074.
- Blaustein, R.A., Pachepsky, Y., Hill, R.L., Shelton, D.R., Whelan, G. (2013) *Escherichia coli* survival in waters: Temperature dependence. *Water Res.* 47, 569–578.
- Boehm, A.B., Van De Werfhorst, L.C., Griffith, J.F., Holden, P.A., Jay, J.A., Shanks,
 O.C., Wang, D., Weisberg, S.B. (2013) Performance of forty-one microbial source
 tracking methods: A twenty-seven lab evaluation study. *Water Res.* 47, 6812–6828.
- Brooks, L.E., Field, K.G. (2017) Global model fitting to compare survival curves for
 fecal indicator bacteria and ruminant-associated genetic markers. *J Appl Microbiol.* 122,
 1704-1713
- Brooks, L.E., Field, K.G. (2016) Bayesian meta-analysis to synthesize decay rate constant estimates for common fecal indicator bacteria. *Water Res.* **104**, 262–271.
- Casanovas-Massana, A., Blanch, A.R. (2013) Determination of fecal contamination
 origin in reclaimed water open-air ponds using biochemical fingerprinting of

- enterococci and fecal coliforms. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* **20**, 3003–3010.
- 536 Chick, H. (1908) An Investigation of the laws of disinfection. J Hyg 8, 92–158.

Coffey, R., Dorai-Raj, S., O'Flaherty, V., Cormican, M., Cummins, E. (2013) Modeling
of pathogen indicator organisms in a small-scale agricultural catchment using SWAT. *Hum Ecol Risk Assess* 19, 232–253.

- Colford, J.M., Wade, T.J., Schiff, K.C., Wright, C.C., Griffith, J.F., Sandhu, S.K.,
 Burns, S., Sobsey, M., Lovelace, G., Weisberg, S.B. (2007) Water quality indicators
 and the risk of illness at beaches with nonpoint sources of fecal contamination. *Epidemiology* 18, 27–35.
- de Brauwere, A., Ouattara, N.K., Servais, P. (2014) Modeling fecal indicator bacteria
 concentrations in natural surface waters: A Review. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.*44, 2380–2453.
- 547 Dick, L.K., Stelzer, E.A., Bertke, E.E., Fong, D.L., Stoeckel, D.M. (2010) Relative 548 decay of *Bacteroidales* microbial source tracking markers and cultivated *Escherichia* 549 *coli* in freshwater microcosms. *Appl Env. Microbiol* **76**, 3255–3262.
- 550 Gawler, A.H., Beecher, J.E., Brandao, J., Carroll, N.M., Falcao, L., Gourmelon, M.,
- Masterson, B., Nunes, B., Porter, J., Rince, A., Rodrigues, R., Thorp, M., Walters, J.M.,
 Meijer, W.G. (2007) Validation of host-specific *Bacteriodales* 16S rRNA genes as
 markers to determine the origin of faecal pollution in Atlantic Rim countries of the
 European Union. *Water Res.* 41, 3780–3784.
- 555 Gómez-Doñate, M., Ballesté, E., Muniesa, M., Blanch, A.R. (2012) New molecular 556 quantitative PCR assay for detection of host-specific *Bifidobacteriaceae* suitable for 557 microbial source tracking. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **78**, 5788–95.
- Gonzalez, J.M., Iriberri, J., Egea, L., Barcina, I. (1992) Characterization of culturability,
 protistan grazing, and death of enteric bacteria in aquatic ecosystems. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 58, 998–1004.
- Gourmelon, M., Caprais, M.P., Segura, R., Le, M.C., Lozach, S., Piriou, J.Y., Rince, A.
 (2007). Evaluation of two library-independent microbial source tracking methods to
 identify sources of fecal contamination in French estuaries. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73, 4857–4866.
- Gourmelon, M., Lazure, P., Saux, J.C. Le, Caprais, M.P., Guyader, F.S. Le, Catherine,
 M., Pommepuy, M. (2010) Microbial modelling in coastal environments and early
 warning systems: useful tools to limit shellfish microbial contamination. In Safe
 Management of Shellfish and Harvest Waters ed. Rees, G., Pond, K., Kay, D., Bartram,
 J., Santo Domingo, J. pp. 297–318. London: IWA
- Green, H.C., Haugland, R.A., Varma, M., Millen, H.T., Borchardt, M.A., Field, K.G.,
 Walters, W.A., Knight, R., Sivaganesan, M., Kelty, C.A., Shanks, O.C. (2014)
 Improved HF183 quantitative real-time PCR assay for characterization of human fecal
 pollution in ambient surface water samples. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 80, 3086–3094.
- 574 Green, H.C., Shanks, O.C., Sivaganesan, M., Haugland, R.A., Field, K.G. (2011) 575 Differential decay of human faecal *Bacteroides* in marine and freshwater. *Env* 576 *Microbiol* **13**, 3235–3249.

- Harwood, V.J., Staley, C., Badgley, B.D., Borges, K., Korajkic, A. (2014) Microbial
 source tracking markers for detection of fecal contamination in environmental waters:
 relationships between pathogens and human health outcomes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 38,
 1–40.
- Haugland, R.A., Varma, M., Sivaganesan, M., Kelty, C., Peed, L., Shanks, O.C. (2010)
 Evaluation of genetic markers from the 16S rRNA gene V2 region for use in
 quantitative detection of selected *Bacteroidales* species and human fecal waste by
 qPCR. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 33, 348–357.
- He, X., Chen, H., Shi, W., Cui, Y., Zhang, X.-X.X. (2015) Persistence of mitochondrial
 DNA markers as fecal indicators in water environments. *Sci Total Env.* 533, 383–390.
- He, X., Liu, P., Zheng, G., Chen, H., Shi, W., Cui, Y., Ren, H., Zhang, X.-X. (2016)
 Evaluation of five microbial and four mitochondrial DNA markers for tracking human
 and pig fecal pollution in freshwater. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 35311.
- Heijnen, L. and Medema, G. (2009) Method for rapid detection of viable *Escherichia coli* in water using real-time NASBA. *Water Research* 43, 3124–3132.
- Hipsey, M.R., Antenucci, J.P., Brookes, J.D. (2008). A generic, process-based model of
 microbial pollution in aquatic systems. *Water Resour Res* 44. W07408.
- Huijsdens, X.W., Linskens, R.K., Mak, M., Meuwissen, S.G.M., VandenbrouckeGrauls, C.M.J.E., Savelkoul, P.H.M. (2002) Quantification of bacteria adherent to
 gastrointestinal mucosa by real-time PCR. *J Clin Microbiol* 40, 4423–4427.
- ISO (2001) Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs Horizontal method for the
 enumration of B-glucoronidase-positive *Escherichia coli* Part 1: Colony-count
 technique at 44°C using membranes and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl B-glucuronide
 (ISO 16649-1:2001 04). Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization of
 Standardization
- ISO (2000). Water Quality Detection and Enumeration of Intestinal Enterococci Part
 Membrane Filtration Method (ISO 7899-2: 2000). International Organization of
 Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland
- Jeanneau, L., Solecki, O., Wery, N., Jarde, E., Gourmelon, M., Communal, P.Y., JadasHecart, A., Caprais, M.P., Gruau, G., Pourcher, A.M. (2012) Relative decay of fecal
 indicator bacteria and human-associated markers: a microcosm study simulating
 wastewater input into seawater and freshwater. *Env Sci Technol* 46, 2375–2382.
- Jonsson, A. and Agerberg, S. (2015) Modelling of *E. coli* transport in an oligotrophic
 river in northern Scandinavia. *Ecological Modelling* 306, 145–151.
- Kay, D., Stapleton, C.M., Wyer, M.D., McDonald, A.T., Crowther, J., Paul, N., Jones,
 K., Francis, C., Watkins, J., Wilkinson, J., Humphrey, N., Lin, B., Yang, L., Falconer,
 R.A., Gardner, S. (2005) Decay of intestinal enterococci concentrations in high-energy
 estuarine and coastal waters: towards real-time T90 values for modelling faecal
 indicators in recreational waters. *Water Res* 39, 655–667.
- Kelty, C.A., Varma, M., Sivaganesan, M., Haugland, R.A., Shanks, O.C. (2012)
 Distribution of genetic marker concentrations for fecal indicator bacteria in sewage and

618 animal feces. *Appl Env Microbiol* **78**, 4225–4232.

Korajkic, A., McMinn, B.R., Harwood, V.J., Shanks, O.C., Fout, G.S., Ashbolt, N.J.
(2013) Differential Decay of Enterococci and *Escherichia coli* Originating from Two
Fecal Pollution Sources. *Appl Env Microbiol* **79**, 2488–2492.

Layton, B.A., Cao, Y., Ebentier, D.L., Hanley, K., Ballesté, E., Brandão, J., 622 Byappanahalli, M., Converse, R., Farnleitner, A.H., Gentry-Shields, J., Gidley, M.L., 623 Gourmelon, M., Lee, C.S., Lee, J., Lozach, S., Madi, T., Meijer, W.G., Noble, R., Peed, 624 L., Reischer, G.H., Rodrigues, R., Rose, J.B., Schriewer, A., Sinigalliano, C., 625 Srinivasan, S., Stewart, J., Van De Werfhorst, L.C., Wang, D., Whitman, R., Wuertz, S., 626 Jay, J., Holden, P.A., Boehm, A.B., Shanks, O., Griffith, J.F. (2013) Performance of 627 human fecal anaerobe-associated PCR-based assays in a multi-laboratory method 628 evaluation study. Water Res. 47, 6897-908. 629

- Liang, Z., He, Z., Zhou, X., Powell, C.A., Yang, Y., Roberts, M.G., Stoffella, P.J.
 (2012) High diversity and differential persistence of fecal *Bacteroidales* population
 spiked into freshwater microcosm. *Water Res* 46, 247–257.
- Maraccini, P.A., Ferguson, D.M., Boehm, A.B. (2012) Diurnal variation in *Enterococcus* species composition in polluted ocean water and a potential role for the
 enterococcal carotenoid in protection against photoinactivation. *Appl Env Microbiol* 78,
 305–310.
- Marti, R., Mieszkin, S., Solecki, O., Pourcher, A.M., Hervio-Heath, D., Gourmelon, M.,
 (2011) Effect of oxygen and temperature on the dynamic of the dominant bacterial
 populations of pig manure and on the persistence of pig-associated genetic markers,
- assessed in river water microcosms. *J Appl Microbiol* **111**, 1159–1175.
- McLellan, S.L., Daniels, A.D., Salmore, A.K. (2003) Genetic characterization of
 Escherichia coli populations from host sources of fecal pollution by using DNA
 fingerprinting. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 69, 2587–2594.
- 644 McQuaig, S., Griffith, J., Harwood, V.J. (2012) Association of fecal indicator bacteria 645 with human viruses and microbial source tracking markers at coastal beaches impacted 646 by nonpoint source pollution. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **78**, 6423–6432.
- Menon, P., Billen, G., Servais, P. (2003) Mortality rates of autochthonous and fecal
 bacteria in natural aquatic ecosystems. *Water Res.* 37, 4151–4158.
- Mieszkin, S., Furet, J.P., Corthier, G., Gourmelon, M. (2009) Estimation of pig fecal
 contamination in a river catchment by real-time PCR using two pig-specific *Bacteroidales* 16S rRNA genetic markers. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75, 3045–3054.
- Mieszkin, S., Yala, J.F., Joubrel, R., Gourmelon, M. (2010) Phylogenetic analysis of *Bacteroidales* 16S rRNA gene sequences from human and animal effluents and
 assessment of ruminant faecal pollution by real-time PCR. J Appl Microbiol 108, 974–
 984.
- Nguyen, M.T., Jasper, J.T., Boehm, A.B., Nelson, K.L. (2015) Sunlight inactivation of
 fecal indicator bacteria in open-water unit process treatment wetlands: Modeling
 endogenous and exogenous inactivation rates. *Water Res.* 83, 282–92.
- 659 Okabe, S., Shimazu, Y. (2007) Persistence of host-specific Bacteroides-Prevotella 16S

- rRNA genetic markers in environmental waters: effects of temperature and salinity.
 Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 76, 935–944.
- Pernthaler, J. (2005) Predation on prokaryotes in the water column and its ecological
 implications. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 3, 537–546.
- Pohlert, T. (2016) *The pairwise nultiple comparison of mean ranks package (PMCMR)*.
 R package. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=PMCMR.
- R Core Team (2016) R: *A Language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna:
 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Reischer, G.H., Ebdon, J.E., Bauer, J.M., Schuster, N., Ahmed, W., Åström, J., Blanch,
 A.R., Blöschl, G., Byamukama, D., Coakley, T., Ferguson, C., Goshu, G., Ko, G., De
 Roda Husman, A.M., Mushi, D., Poma, R., Pradhan, B., Rajal, V., Schade, M.A.,
 Sommer, R., Taylor, H., Toth, E.M., Vrajmasu, V., Wuertz, S., MacH, R.L., Farnleitner,
 A.H. (2013) Performance characteristics of qPCR assays targeting human- and
 ruminant-associated *Bacteroidetes* for microbial source tracking across sixteen countries
 on six continents. *Environ Sci Technol* 47, 8548–8556.
- Reischer, G.H., Haider, J.M., Sommer, R., Stadler, H., Keiblinger, K.M., Hornek, R.,
 Zerobin, W., Mach, R.L., Farnleitner, A.H. (2008) Quantitative microbial faecal source
 tracking with sampling guided by hydrological catchment dynamics. *Environ Microbiol*10, 2598–2608.
- Reischer, G.H., Kasper, D.C., Steinborn, R., Mach, R.L., Farnleitner, A.H. (2006)
 Quantitative PCR method for sensitive detection of ruminant fecal pollution in
 freshwater and evaluation of this method in alpine karstic regions. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72, 5610–5614.
- Rolfe, R.D., Hentges, D.J., Campbell, B.J., Barrett, J.T. (1978) Factors related to the oxygen tolerance of anaerobic bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **36**, 306–313.
- RStudio Team (2015) *RStudio: Integrated development environment for R.* Boston,
 MA: RStudio Inc.
- Schulz, C.J., Childers, G.W. (2011) Fecal Bacteroidales diversity and decay in response
 to variations in temperature and salinity. *Appl Env. Microbiol* 77, 2563–2572.
- Shanks, O.C., White, K., Kelty, C.A., Hayes, S., Sivaganesan, M., Jenkins, M., Varma,
 M., Haugland, R.A. (2010) Performance assessment PCR-based assays targeting *Bacteroidales* genetic markers of bovine fecal pollution. *Appl Env Microbiol* 76, 1359–
 1366.
- Sinton, L.W., Hall, C.H., Lynch, P.A., Davies-Colley, R.J. (2002) Sunlight inactivation
 of fecal indicator bacteria and bacteriophages from waste stabilization pond effluent in
 fresh and saline waters. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68, 1122–1131.
- Sokolova, E., Astrom, J., Pettersson, T.J., Bergstedt, O., Hermansson, M. (2012) Decay
 of *Bacteroidales* genetic markers in relation to traditional fecal indicators for water
 quality modeling of drinking water sources. *Env Sci Technol* 46, 892–900.
- Solecki, O., Jeanneau, L., Jarde, E., Gourmelon, M., Marin, C., Pourcher, A.M. (2011)
 Persistence of microbial and chemical pig manure markers as compared to faecal

indicator bacteria survival in freshwater and seawater microcosms. *Water Res* 45, 4623–
4633.

Van Kessel, J.S., Pachepsky, Y.A., Shelton, D.R., Karns, J.S. (2007) Survival of *Escherichia coli* in cowpats in pasture and in laboratory conditions. *J Appl Microbiol*103, 1122–1127.

Verhougstraete, M.P., Martin, S.L., Kendall, A.D., Hyndman, D.W., Rose, J.B. (2015)
Linking fecal bacteria in rivers to landscape, geochemical, and hydrologic factors and
sources at the basin scale. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 112, 10419–10424.

Wilkes, G., Brassard, J., Edge, T.A., Gannon, V., Gottschall, N., Jokinen, C.C., Jones,
T.H., Khan, I.U.H., Marti, R., Sunohara, M.D., Topp, E., Lapen, D.R. (2014) Long-term
monitoring of waterborne pathogens and microbial source tracking markers in paired
agricultural watersheds under controlled and conventional tile drainage Management. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 80, 3708–3720.

Wyer, M.D., Kay, D., Watkins, J., Davies, C., Kay, C., Thomas, R., Porter, J.,
Stapleton, C.M., Moore, H. (2010) Evaluating short-term changes in recreational water
quality during a hydrograph event using a combination of microbial tracers,
environmental microbiology, microbial source tracking and hydrological techniques: a

case study in Southwest Wales, UK. *Water Res* 44, 4783–4795.

Ce perez

Figure 1. Persistence of *E. coli* measured by culture (A, D) and qPCR methods (B, E)
and enterococci (C, F) for each experimental approach, including four fecal pollution
sources: human (HM, filled circle), ruminant (CW, triangle), porcine (PG, filled
triangle) and poultry (PL, circle) and two seasons: summer (A, B and C) and winter (D,
E and F). X-axis is time expressed in days.

724

- Figure 2. Inactivation of host-specific *Bifidobacterium* and *Bacteroidales* proposed as
- 726 MST molecular markers for human (BifHM (circle) and HF183 (triangle)), ruminant
- 727 (Rum2Bac (square), BifCW (rhombus)), porcine (Pig2Bac (filled triangle)) and poultry
- 728 (BifPL (hexagon)) analyzed in this study in summer (A) and winter (B).

ee perez

730	Table 1. T ₉₀ and standard deviation (SD) of <i>E. coli</i> measured by culture and qPCR
731	methods (culture-EC, qPCR-EC) and enterococci (ENT) for each fecal source: human
732	(HM), ruminant (CW), porcine (PG) and poultry (PL)), and season (summer and
733	winter). Values are the average of two independent experiments.

Fecal		cultu	re-EC	qPC]	R-EC	ENT		
<mark>source</mark>		T ₉₀	SD	T ₉₀	SD	T ₉₀	SD	
HM	Summer	1.52	0.08	2.62	0.16	1.15	0.17	
	Winter	2.06	0.01	4.26	0.39	3.01	0.11	
CW	Summer	5.69	0.13	3.87	0.58	2.19	0.22	
Cw	Winter	6.19	0.16	6.14	0.64	4.44	0.01	
PC	Summer	2.71	0.10	4.23	0.73	3.10	0.25	
PG	Winter	4.55	0.30	8.09	0.38	5.46	0.18	
PL	Summer	2.34	0.17	2.29	0.11	1.39	0.05	
	Winter	2.21	0.07	4.17	0.41	3.52	0.19	

Table 2. T₉₀ of the six MST molecular markers analyzed in the inactivation experiments
(BifHM, BifCW, BifPL, HF183, Rum2Bac and Pig2Bac), standard deviation (SD) and
days during they were detected throughout the experiment. Values are the average of
two independent experiments.

		Summer			Winter	Diff. seasons P-value	
	T ₉₀	SD	Days detected	T ₉₀	SD	Days detected	
BifHM	2.20	0.27	3	6.12	0.03	10	0.0282
HF183	1.05	0.19	2	3.26	0.23	10	0.0099
BifCW	1.62	0.12	3	5.17	0.22	15	0.0073
Rum2Bac	1.48	0.02	3	4.64	0.44	9	0.0616
BifPL	1.91	0.58	7	3.77	0.01	12	0.1377
Pig2Bac	1.60	0.01	8	2.90	0.10	15	0.0311

el.ez

741 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Table S1. List of primers and probes used for quantitative real time PCR to detect the

16S rRNA gene of host-specific microbial molecular markers and *E. coli*.

744

Table S2. Climatic data including minimum, maximum and average environmental
temperature (Tmin, Tmax, Tmean), maximum and average global radiation (Max GR,
Mean GR) and daily cumulative global solar radiation (DCGSR) for all the sampling
dates.

749

Table S3. Enumeration of fecal indicator bacteria (*E. coli* and Enterococci (CFU 100 ml⁻¹) and microbial source tracking molecular markers (BifHM, HF183, BifCW,
Rum2Bac, Pig2Bac, BifPL (gc 100 ml⁻¹) at source samples (groundwater and wastewater samples from human (HM), ruminant (CW), porcine (PG) and poultry (PL) sources) used to prepare the different experiments. ND: Not detected; NA: Not available

755

Table S4. Inactivation data (K_s , R^2 , and T_{90}) of *E. coli* measured by culture and qPCR methods (culture-EC, qPCR-EC) and enterococci (ENT) for each experiment: human sewage (HM), cow wastewater (CW), porcine wastewater (PG) and poultry wastewater (PL)) performed during two seasons (summer and winter).

760

Table S5. Inactivation data for each experiment (K_s , R^2 , and T_{90}) of the six microbial source tracking molecular markers (MST) evaluated in this study.





Oligonucleotide	Sequence (5' - 3')	Reference	
EC-For	CATGCCGCGTGTATGAAGAA		
EC-Rev	CGGGTAACGTCAATGAGCAAA	(1)	
EC-Probe	TATTAACTTTACTCCCTTCCTCCCCGCTGAA		
HF183	ATCATGAGTTCACATGTCCG		
BFDRev	CGTAGGAGTTTGGACCGTGT	(2)	
BFDFAM	FAM-CTGAGAGGAAGGTCCCCCACATTGGA-BHQ1		
BacFw	GGCGCACGGGTGAGTAAC	Gomez-Donate,	
BacRev	TGTGGGGGGACCTTCCTCTC	personal communication	
Pig2Bac	6-FAM-TCCACGGGATAGCC-MGB-NFQ	(3)	
BacB2-590F	ACAGCCCGCGATTGATACTG		
Bac708Rm	CAATCGGAGTTCTTCGTGATATCTAA	Modified from	
Rum-2-Bac	FAM-ATGAGGTGGATGGAATT-MGB-NFQ	(4)	
Bif-F	TTCGGGTTGTAAACCGCTTTT		
Bif-R	TACGTATTACCGCGGCTGCT		
HMBif	FAM-TCGGGGTGAGTGTACCT-MGB-NFQ	(5)	
CWBif	FAM-TTCGGCCGTGTTGAGT-MGB-NFQ	(5)	
PLBif	FAM-GAGAGTGAGTGTACCCGTT-MGB-NFQ		
PGBif	FAM-CGCAAGTGAGTGTACCTT-MGB-NFQ		

Table S1. List of primers and probes used for quantitative real time PCR to detect the 16S rRNA gene of host-specific microbial molecular markers and *E. coli*.

Table S2. Climatic data including minimum, maximum and average environmental temperature (Tmin, Tmax, Tmean), maximum and average global radiation (Max GR, Mean GR) and daily cumulative global solar radiation (DCGSR) for all the sampling dates.

	Tmin	Tmax	Tmean	Max GR W/m2	Mean GR W/m2	DCGSR W/m2
08/07/14	18.2	25.9	21.7	1030	335	47968
09/07/14	19.9	26.2	21.8	1033	271	38872
10/07/14	19.6	28.7	23.3	974	353	50513
11/07/14	19.3	28.6	23.4	966	348	49876
12/07/14	19.7	24.9	22.7	1100	309	44235
13/07/14	19.7	28.5	24.1	1017	338	48395
14/07/14	22.4	26.1	23.6	1076	197	28271
15/07/14	21.8	28.2	25.0	784	216	30889
16/07/14	22.6	30.9	27.1	956	339	48606
17/07/14	24.7	31.1	28.3	947	334	47857
18/07/14	24.2	32.1	27.7	975	285	40765
19/07/14	24.7	29.8	27.0	1009	269	38578
20/07/14	22.7	32.5	27.7	1001	291	41649
21/07/14	22.1	29.8	25.1	980	345	49477
Mean	21.54	28.81	24.89	989.14	302.14	43282.21
10/02/15	6.9	18.1	12.0	620	162	23396
11/02/15	8.1	16.3	11.8	635	127	18273
12/02/15	8.9	15.4	11.8	605	116	16680
13/02/15	11.2	17.2	13.3	610	151	21741
14/02/15	9.4	16	13.1	273	67	9672
15/02/15	10.1	15.4	12.4	491	77	11159
16/02/15	8.8	17.4	12.8	646	152	21837
17/02/15	11	16.7	13.4	269	53	7700
18/02/15	10.5	16.2	13.0	696	144	20798
19/02/15	8.8	14.6	11.6	647	169	24326
20/02/15	7.9	15.8	11.3	636	164	23596
21/02/15	8.9	13.3	11.2	583	66	9460
22/02/15	7.7	17	11.8	708 🦉	196	28192
23/02/15	7.4	20.3	13.3	631	128	18510
24/02/15	8.6	14.8	11.3	705	195	28118
25/02/15	9	20.1	13.2	503	131	18876
26/02/15	10.6	17.5	13.5	619	88	12703
27/02/15	10	17.1	13.3	871	153	22102
28/02/15	7.6	14.9	11.2	716	164	23581
01/03/15	11.5	19.3	15.2	694	150	21510
02/03/15	14.5	23.9	18.2	729	129	18447
03/03/15	13.1	18.1	15.1	733	181	25860
04/03/15	8.5	14.5	11.6	319	31	4435
05/03/15	7.6	20.3	13.7	787	224	31990
Mean	9.4	17.1	12.9	613.6	134.1	19290.1

Table S3. Enumeration of fecal indicator bacteria (*E. coli* and Enterococci (CFU 100 ml⁻¹) and microbial source tracking molecular markers (BifHM, HF183, BifCW, Rum2Bac, Pig2Bac, BifPL (gc 100 ml⁻¹) at source samples (groundwater and wastewater samples from human (HM), ruminant (CW), porcine (PG) and poultry (PL) sources) used to prepare the different experiments. ND: Not detected; NA: Not available

	Groundwater		HM		C	W	P	G	PL	
	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter
E. coli	$8.0 \cdot 10^{0}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{0}$	$7.09 \cdot 10^{6}$	$8.14 \cdot 10^{6}$	$2.25 \cdot 10^{7}$	$1.50 \cdot 10^{7}$	$9.95 \cdot 10^8$	$1.07 \cdot 10^{7}$	$2.86 \cdot 10^{7}$	$1.07 \cdot 10^{7}$
ENT	$3.5 \cdot 10^{0}$	$0.5 \cdot 10^{0}$	$7.95 \cdot 10^5$	$7.45 \cdot 10^5$	$2.05 \cdot 10^{6}$	$1.95 \cdot 10^{6}$	$2.65 \cdot 10^{6}$	$5.50 \cdot 10^4$	$9.34 \cdot 10^5$	$5.18 \cdot 10^{5}$
BifHM	ND	ND	9.96 ·10 ⁷	5.40 ·10 ⁷	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
HF183	ND	ND	$1.14 \cdot 10^{8}$	2.64·10 ⁶	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
BifCW	ND	ND	NA	NA	3.85·10 ⁷	7.90 ·10 ⁷	NA	NA	NA	NA
Rum2Bac	ND	ND	NA	NA	8.84·10 ⁶	3.79·10 ⁵	NA	NA	NA	NA
Pig2Bac	ND	ND	NA	NA	NA	NA	4.19·10 ⁹	7.06·10 ⁸	NA	NA
BifPL	$6.63 \cdot 10^3$	$3.12 \cdot 10^{3}$	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	$2.14 \cdot 10^7$	1.65·10 ⁷

ee perez

Table S4. Inactivation data (K_s , R^2 , and T_{90}) of *E. coli* measured by culture and qPCR methods (culture-EC, qPCR-EC) and enterococci (ENT) for each experiment: human sewage (HM), cow wastewater (CW), porcine wastewater (PG) and poultry wastewater (PL)) performed during two seasons (summer and winter).

Source	Season		cultu	re-EC	qPC	R-EC	ENT		
			1	2	1	2	1	2	
HM	Summer	K_s	-0.684	-0.639	-0.398	-0.366	-0.787	-0.969	
		R^2	0.942	0.884	0.782	0.755	0.951	0.989	
		T_{90}	1.46	1.57	2.51	2.73	1.27	1.03	
	Winter	K _s	-0.486	-0.483	-0.251	-0.221	-0.340	-0.324	
		R^2	0.973	0.982	0.931	0.861	0.949	0.905	
		T_{90}	2.06	2.07	3.979	4.53	2.94	3.09	
CW	Summer	Ks	-0.179	-0.173	-0.289	-0.234	-0.426	-0.490	
		R^2	0.962	0.955	0.859	0.615	0.899	0.922	
		T_{90}	5.59	5.78	3.46	4.28	2.35	2.04	
	Winter	K_s	-0.159	-0.164	-0.152	-0.176	-0.226	-0.225	
		R^2	0.938	0.924	0.944	0.968	0.953	0.936	
		T_{90}	6.31	6.08	6.59	5.69	4.43	4.46	
PG	Summer	K_s	-0.332	-0.370	-0.270	-0.211	-0.305	-0.342	
		R^2	0.808	0.772	0.968	0.861	0.976	0.989	
		T_{90}	2.72	2.70	3.71	4.74	3.27	2.93	
	Winter	K_s	-0.210	-0.231	-0.120	-0.128	-0.179	-0.188	
		R^2	0.935	0.903	0.954	0.961	0.924	0.936	
		T_{90}	4.76	4.33	8.36	7.82	5.58	5.33	
PL	Summer	K_s	-0.450	-0.407	-0.421	-0.452	-0.734	-0.701	
		R^2	0.844	0.859	0.843	0.995	0.958	0.939	
		T_{90}	2.22	2.46	2.37	2.21	1.36	1.43	
	Winter	K_s	-0.461	-0.442	-0.224	-0.257	-0.295	-0.273	
		R^2	0.903	0.908	0.902	0.991	0.893	0.976	
		<i>T</i> ₉₀	2.17	2.26	4.46	3.88	3.39	3.66	

		BifHM		HF183		Bif	BifCW		Rum2Bac		Pig2Bac		BifPL	
		1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	
Summer	K_s	-0.498	-0.418	-1.091	-0.848	-0.654	-0.587	-0.684	-0.669	-0.627	-0.623	-0.431	-0.666	
	R^2	0.972	0.927	0.870	0.894	0.718	0.741	0.866	0.973	0.894	0.752	0.953	0.996	
	T_{90}	2.01	2.39	0.916	1.18	1.53	1.71	1.46	1.49	1.60	1.61	2.32	1.50	
Winter	K_s	-0.163	-0.164	-0.293	-0.323	-0.188	-0.200	-0.231	-0.202	-0.354	-0.338	-0.265	-0.249	
	R^2	0.802	0.839	0.966	0.967	0.919	0.920	0.961	0.979	0.974	0.960	0.953	0.955	
	T_{90}	6.15	6.10	3.42	3.10	5.33	5.01	4.33	4.95	2.83	2.96	3.78	3.77	
							76	Vie	24					

Table S5. Inactivation data for each experiment (K_s , R^2 , and T_{90}) of the six microbial source tracking molecular markers (MST) evaluated in this study.

- 1. Huijsdens XW, Linskens RK, Mak M, Meuwissen SGM, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CMJE, Savelkoul PHM. 2002. Quantification of Bacteria Adherent to Gastrointestinal Mucosa by Real-Time PCR. J Clin Microbiol 40:4423–4427.
- Haugland RA, Varma M, Sivaganesan M, Kelty C, Peed L, Shanks OC. 2010. Evaluation of genetic markers from the 16S rRNA gene V2 region for use in quantitative detection of selected Bacteroidales species and human fecal waste by qPCR. Syst Appl Microbiol2010/07/27. 33:348–357.
- Mieszkin S, Furet JP, Corthier G, Gourmelon M. 2009. Estimation of pig fecal contamination in a river catchment by real-time PCR using two pig-specific Bacteroidales 16S rRNA genetic markers. ApplEnvironMicrobiol 75:3045–3054.
- Mieszkin S, Yala JF, Joubrel R, Gourmelon M. 2010. Phylogenetic analysis of Bacteroidales 16S rRNA gene sequences from human and animal effluents and assessment of ruminant faecal pollution by real-time PCR. J Appl Microbiol 108:974– 984.
- Gomez-Donate M, Balleste E, Muniesa M, Blanch AR. 2012. New Molecular Quantitative PCR Assay for Detection of Host-Specific Bifidobacteriaceae Suitable for Microbial Source Tracking. Appl Environ Microbiol2012/06/12. 78:5788–5795.

ee perie