- 1 Looks can be deceiving: *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. (Ascidiacea) in
- 2 European harbours
- 3
- 4 Turon X¹, Casso M^{1,3}, Pascual M³, Viard F²
- 5
- 6 ¹Centre for Advanced Studies of Blanes (CEAB, CSIC), Blanes, Catalonia,
- 7 Spain
- 8 ² Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratory AD2M (UMR7144), Station
- 9 Biologique de Roscoff, Roscoff, France.
- ³Department of Genetics, Microbiology and Statistics, and IRBio, University of
- 11 Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- 12
- 13 Corresponding author: X. Turon; e-mail: <u>xturon@ceab.csic.es</u>;
- 14 ORCID:
- 15 XT: 0000-0002-9229-5541
- 16 FV: 0000-0001-5603-9527
- 17 MP: 0000-0002-6189-0612
- 18
- 19 Abstract

20 A strongly divergent lineage, putatively a new cryptic species, of colonial 21 ascidian was first detected as an anomalous sample in a population genomics 22 study of the well-known worldwide invasive species Didemnum vexillum Kott, 23 2002. This putative new taxon, found in a marina in Roscoff, France, is 24 indistinguishable from *Didemnum vexillum* in external aspect and coexists with 25 it in syntopy. However, morphological characters such as spicules and larvae 26 allow a clear-cut distinction. In accordance with the preliminary results based on 27 genome-wide analyses, morphological traits and mitochondrial sequences of 28 the Cytochrome Oxidase I gene both support the establishment of a new 29 species Didemnum pseudovexillum sp. nov. Previous unidentified sequences in 30 public databases showed that the new species is also present in NW 31 Mediterranean marinas. *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. is assigned for the 32 time being a cryptogenic species status, although its presently known disjoint 33 distribution across two biogeographic regions and its presence in ports are 34 suggestive of an introduced species. Further studies should be performed to 35 ascertain its current distribution and putative natural range and settle its native 36 vs. non-native status. This finding casts doubts on previous reports of 37 Didemnum vexillum and also calls for caution when performing fast field 38 surveys of non-indigenous species such as Rapid Assessment Surveys (RAS) or BioBlitz surveys, based solely on external characters. 39

- Keywords: ascidian, cryptogenic species, artificial substrate, biofouling, rapid
 assessment survey, Didemnidae
- This article is registered in ZooBank under urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:A10F80278DB8-46EB-8F2F-BB1E8CD4468D
- 45 *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. is registered in ZooBank under
- 46 urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:F14EE06A-7A00-4FE2-8378-262FA464EF9C

47 Introduction

48 Taxonomy is at the heart of all biological studies (Bortolus 2008), and this holds 49 particularly true in the study of introduced, non-indigenous species (NIS). 50 Typically, an introduced species appears in a short time frame in a variety of 51 geographic locations, often far away from its natural distribution range, as a 52 result of human-mediated transport. In its introduction range, it is often identified 53 by different specialists. If this happens in a group of difficult taxonomy and with 54 few specialists, there are risks of misidentifications, repeated descriptions of 55 new species, and overall failure of taxonomy to cope with a wide-scale 56 perspective (Carlton 1999; Ojaveer et al. 2014). 57 Ascidians are a group of marine invertebrates which is paradigmatic in this

58 respect. They are difficult to identify morphologically due to few diagnostic 59 characters, which are often difficult to observe. In addition, morphological, 60 chemical, and genetic variation within species suggest that many formally 61 recognized species are in fact species-complexes (e.g., López-Legentil and 62 Turon 2005; Bock et al. 2012; Teske et al. 2011). The problem is further 63 complicated by declining taxonomic expertise (a global problem not limited to 64 ascidians, Giangrande 2003). On the other hand, this group includes numerous 65 and important introduced species (Lambert 2007; Shenkar and Swalla 2011, 66 Zhan et al. 2015) with large-scale distributions, which has originated diverse 67 taxonomic problems, as the long list of synonymies of some cosmopolitan species testifies (e.g., Botrylllus schlosseri (Pallas, 1766), Botrylloides leachii 68 69 (Savigny, 1816), see Kott 1985).

70 When species had been well described, molecular barcoding can facilitate the 71 correct identification of introduced species (Comtet et al. 2015), including cryptic 72 introductions of widely-distributed ascidians (e.g., Turon et al. 2003; Bishop et 73 al. 2013; Ordóñez et al. 2016). Population-based genetic studies (e.g., 74 population genetics, phylogeography) have also unveiled that even well-known 75 introduced species had more variability than previously thought, revealing 76 divergent lineages, and putative cryptic species (i.e., species not distinguishable 77 with morphological traits) (Pante et al. 2015a). Indeed, cryptic speciation has 78 proved to be widespread, and in some cases the taxonomy has been resolved, 79 such as in the case of the model "species" Ciona intestinalis (Linnaeus, 1767) 80 (Brunetti et al. 2015; Malfant et al. 2018), while in other instances genetic 81 clades remain to be formally named (e.g., Diplosoma listerianum (Milne 82 Edwards, 1841), Perez-Portela et al. 2013, Botryllus schlosseri, López-Legentil 83 et al. 2006; Bock et al. 2012; Griggio et al. 2014).

Survey methods to detect introduced marine species (reviewed in Campbell et
al. 2007, Kakkonen et al. 2019) include non-destructive visual surveys such as
rapid assessment surveys (RAS, e.g., Cohen et al. 2005, Bishop et al. 2015,
Nall et al. 2015), photographic methods (e.g., Grey 2009), or BioBlitz surveys
(e.g., Cohen et al. 2011). Often, there is no time, money, or expertise for
sampling followed by in-depth accurate morphological or molecular analyses of
the specimens found. Thus, these surveys often rely on external characteristics

such as general aspect and pigmentation, without morphological or molecular
confirmation on voucher specimens. External characters are too variable in
many ascidians, especially colonial species, to be deemed reliable, as
demonstrated recently in surveys of *Botrylloides* spp in Europe (Viard et al.
2019). Indeed, taxonomic issues such as misidentifications or lack of resolution
at low taxonomic levels are common problems of all survey methods (Campbell
et al. 2007).

Paramount among ascidian NIS is the case of *Didemnum vexillum* Kott, 2002, a
global invader in temperate waters. This species has a highly convoluted
identification story, including several misidentifications in different areas and two
descriptions as new species (reviewed in Lambert 2009). Eventually, genetic
analyses proved that all populations so far recorded were conspecific (Stefaniak
et al. 2009) and the name *Didemnum vexillum* (wrongly described as a native
species in New Zealand, Kott 2002, see Lambert 2009) was adopted.

105 Didemnum vexillum is a species in principle easily identified based on external 106 morphological characters, particularly when abundant on artificial substrates 107 where it often smothers other organisms. In a population genomics study of 108 Didemnum vexillum (Casso et al. 2019), using Genotyping-By-Sequencing 109 methods, we routinely obtained samples from diverse localities (marinas or 110 aquaculture facilities) around the world. Unexpectedly, inclusion in the analyses 111 of specimens sampled in one location of the NE Atlantic (Roscoff-Bloscon 112 marina, English Channel, France) resulted in a drop of more than 90% in the 113 number of polymorphic loci shared among all samples, an outcome usually due 114 to the mixing of several divergent species (Pante et al. 2015b). These 115 preliminary results thus suggested that these specimens belong to a highly 116 divergent lineage. This prompted a re-examination of these samples and further 117 collections at the same marina, which uncovered the existence of a new 118 species, "vexillum"-like in appearance and living in syntopy with "true" 119 Didemnum vexillum, which is described in this paper.

120

121 MATERIAL AND METHODS

122

123 Morphological observation

124 We examined 17 colonies of *Didemnum* spp collected in Bloscon Marina,

125 Roscoff, France (48º 41.95' N, 3º 57.93' W, Fig. 1) the 27th April 2015 and

126 preserved in absolute ethanol. We also analysed five colonies from the same 127 marina sampled the 29th June 2018, from each of which a fragment was

128 preserved in formalin and a second fragment in absolute ethanol.

129 Morphological observation concentrated on the main features of colonies and

200 zooids. Spicules were isolated from the tunic by dissolving tunic fragments in

bleach (sodium hypochlorite, 35‰ concentration) in an oven at 80°C. For

132 scanning electron microscopy (SEM), the isolated spicules were then

dehydrated in a graded alcohol series, sputter coated with gold and observed ina Hitachi TM3000 microscope.

135

136 DNA extraction and amplification

137 We analysed six of the colonies collected in the sampling of April 2015

(hereafter colonies 1-6) and four of the colonies collected in June 2018(colonies 7-10).

140 A fragment of about 590 bp of the cytochrome oxidase I (COI) mitochondrial 141 gene was amplified and sequenced using primers designed by Stefaniak et al. 142 (2009). For six colonies (1-6), the DNAs had been previously used to build the 143 genomic libraries for GBS analyses and was obtained from a single thorax for 144 each colony using a whole genome amplification (WGA) procedure as detailed 145 in Casso et al. (2019). COI amplification was carried out in 20 µL final volume 146 including 0.4µL of each primer (10 mM), 1µL MgCl₂ (25mM), 0.5 µL dNTPs 147 (1mM), 0.2 µL of Tq polymerase corresponding to 1U (GoTaq, Promega), 4µL 148 5X buffer (GoTag, Promega) and 1μ L of DNA at a concentration of 50ng/ μ L. 149 PCR started with an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of a denaturation step at 94°C for 1 min, an annealing step at 50°C for 1 min. 150 151 and an elongation step at 72°C for 1min, and a final elongation step at 72°C for 152 7 min. The amplified DNA was purified with Exo-SAP (0.2U/µl Exonuclease and 153 0.2U/µl Shrimp Phosphatase) at a proportion of 1:2 (ExoSap:PCR product). The 154 sequences for both strands were obtained at the Scientific and Technical 155 Services of the University of Barcelona. For the other four colonies (7-10), five 156 thoraces were pooled per colony and extracted using the REDExtract-N-Amp 157 Tissue kit (Sigma-Aldrich), following manufacturer's recommendations. PCR 158 amplification was done in 20 µL total reaction volume with 10 µL of REDExtract-159 N-Amp PCR reaction mix (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.8 µL (10 mM) of each primer, 6.4 160 μ L of ultra-pure water (Sigma-Aldrich) and 2 μ L of DNA at a concentration of ca. 161 5 ng/µL. PCR conditions were set as before. Sequencing was carried out (both 162 strands) at Macrogen facilities (Netherlands). The resulting sequences were 163 assembled, edited and aligned in BioEdit v.7.2.6 (Hall 1999).

- 164
- 165 Genetic analyses

166

To compare the obtained sequences with those already existing for the genus,
we performed a search in the Barcode of Life Database (BOLD) at

169 http://www.v3.boldsystems.org (accessed 20 Dec 2019). The query comprised

all COI-5P sequences available in public databases with taxonomy =

171 *Didemnum*. Sequences were recorded by species name and by Barcode Index

172 Numbers (BINs, Ratnasingham and Hebert 2013). We aligned the sequences

- 173 using the in-built BOLD aligner, eliminating sequences with contaminants and
- 174 with stop codons.

175 The sequences were trimmed to a common length of 597 bp and collapsed into 176 haplotypes using the online tool FaBox v.1.5 (Villesen 2007) at http://users-177 birc.au.dk/palle/php/fabox/index.php. The sequences obtained in the present 178 study were added to the alignment, and a preliminary NJ tree was constructed using Mega7 software (Kumar et al. 2017). A perusal of this tree showed 179 180 several inconsistencies among the downloaded sequences. For this reason and 181 for ease of presentation of results, we selected a subset of sequences based on 182 the following criteria: we deleted sequences without a species name when they 183 did not fall close to our sequences in the tree, and for species or clades with 184 many sequences, we randomly picked five haplotypes each. Finally, we deleted 185 sequences that looked clearly divergent or misplaced in the trees and whose 186 BLAST results suggested that they were erroneous sequences (possibly 187 contaminations or errors in species identification).

188 The aligned sequences were then evaluated with the modelTest function of the 189 R package phangorn (Schliep 2011) to select the best-fit evolutionary model of nucleotide substitution based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). This 190 191 model was then selected in a maximum likelihood tree search in Mega with 192 default options and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. A sequence of *Diplosoma* 193 listerianum was used as an outgroup. A species delimitation analysis was 194 performed in this tree using three approaches, different in nature and 195 properties, to ensure confidence in the outcome of the species delineation. We 196 used first multi-rate Poisson Tree Processes (mPTP, Kapli et al. 2016) as 197 implemented in the web-service available at http://mptp.h-its.org using the 198 default values. We also ran an Automatic Gap Discovery analysis (ABGD, 199 Puillandre et al. 2012) using the web-service 200 (https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/abgd/) with simple distance and a relative gap 201 width of one. We explored a range of prior intraspecific divergences between 202 0.01 and 0.1. Finally, we used the single threshold general mixed Yule 203 coalescent model (GMYC) (Pons et al. 2006); the analysis was performed with 204 the R library splits (Ezard et al. 2009), using an ultrametric tree built with Mega 205 using the RelTime method (Tamura et al. 2012).

206

207

208 Results

209

All of the colonies collected in 2015 and two of those collected in 2018 belonged

to the new species, while another three colonies sampled in 2018 were

212 morphologically assignable to *Didemnum vexillum* based on spicules and zooid

213 characteristics (no larvae present) following Lambert (2009) and Ordóñez et al.

214 (2015). This morphological distinctiveness was also confirmed with sequence

215 data (see below).

217 Description

218

219 *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. Turon & Viard

Holotype: colony 8 Bloscon Marina, Roscoff, 29/06/2018. Paratypes: colonies 1
to 4, Bloscon Marina, Roscoff, 27/04/2015; colony 7, Bloscon Marina, Roscoff,
25/06/2018. Deposited at the Center of Resources for Animal Biodiversity
(formerly Museum of Zoology) of the University of Barcelona, refs CRBA-90721
(holotype) and CRBA-90722 to CRBA-90726 (paratypes).

Etymology: the name *pseudovexillum* refers to the close external resemblance of this species to *Didemnum vexillum*, and thus calls for caution to avoid confusing the two species on the basis of external aspect.

The colonies are large and encrusting, and are highly abundant in the marina studied. When the available space is occupied, the colonies tend to generate uprising lobes giving them a tri-dimensional appearance. The colour is yellowish-orange, and the surface shows darker canals surrounding zones with

232 zooidal apertures. Overall, the aspect is indistinguishable from *Didemnum* 233 vovillum colonies found in close syntopy (exactly the same walls) in the marine

vexillum colonies found in close syntopy (exactly the same walls) in the marinastudied (Fig. 2).

235 The colony surface has a whitish tinge due to the presence of spicules, with 236 white rims corresponding to spicule accumulations in the oral siphons (Fig. 3A). 237 The colony thickness reaches 2-3 mm. There is a thin distal tunic layer with 238 more or less abundant spicules (never so abundant as to give this layer a 239 coriaceous consistence) and a thick basal layer poor in spicules (Fig. 3B). Inbetween lie the thoraces of the zooids, whose abdomens are embedded in the 240 241 upper part of the basal layer. The cavity of the colony runs between these two 242 tunic layers, with the main canals penetrating the basal tunic between 243 abdomens (Fig. 3B).

The spicules are generally between 20-30 μ m in diameter, reaching up to 40 μ m (Fig 4A-C). They have many somewhat bluntly tipped short rays, about 30 in the visible field, and ca. 10 in optical section. This is stark contrast with the spicules of *Didemnum vexillum* from the same locality, with fewer (ca. 12 visible, 7 in optical section) and more pointed rays (Fig. 4D), in agreement with previous descriptions (Lambert 2009; Ordóñez et al. 2015).

250 The thoraces (Fig. 3C) are strongly contracted and measure ca. 0.5 mm. They 251 have six small pointed lobes in the oral siphon, a wide atrial aperture exposing 252 most of the branchial sac and no atrial languet. There are four stigmata rows, 253 the exact number of stigmata could not be counted due to the strong 254 contraction. The thoracic organs break away easily, but when present they lie in 255 the lower part of the thorax and have an ear-like appearance (Fig. 3C). There is 256 a muscular appendix of variable length, but generally shorter than the thorax 257 itself, perhaps due to its contractibility. It originates in the anterior part of the 258 oesophageal neck.

The abdomens reach ca. 0.6 mm; they contain a simple digestive system with an oval stomach. Many zooids have testis, consisting of a single follicle with a coiled sperm duct describing 6-7 turns (Fig. 3D). Some abdomens have also incubating oocytes, generally a single large one, sometimes a second smaller oocyte (Fig. 3E). In some cases, both testis and a small oocyte are present.

264 There are embryos and larvae in most of the colonies examined from both April 265 2015 and June 2018. They are free in the basal layer of tunic. The larvae (Fig. 266 3F-H) measure ca. 0.5 mm. They have 3 adhesive papillae and a variable 267 number of finger-like ectodermal ampullae. Four pairs are present in young 268 larvae and, as they mature, more ectodermal ampullae are added. Careful 269 examination is necessary to assess their number and disposition, but we never 270 observed 6 pairs of ampullae. In contrast, there are always 6 pairs of them in 271 mature Didemnum vexillum larvae (Lambert 2009, Ordóñez et al. 2015). Some 272 arrangements found in our specimens are: 4 pairs plus a dorsal unpaired 273 ampulla, 4 pairs plus a single dorsal and a single ventral ampulla, 5 pairs, 5

- 274 pairs plus a single dorsal ampulla.
- 275
- 276 Genetic analyses
- 277

Of the sequenced specimens, colonies 1-6 (sampled in 2015) and colonies 7-8
(sampled in 2018), all morphologically assigned here to *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov., shared the same haplotype, while colonies 9 and 10
(2018), which were identified as *Didemnum vexillum*, had a different haplotype
each. The three sequences have been uploaded to GenBank (accession
numbers, colonies 1-8: MN952978, colony 9: MN952979, colony 10,
MN952980)

285 The initial Didemnum dataset obtained from BOLD comprised 254 records, of which 214 had Barcode Index Numbers (BINs) assigned. They represented 36 286 287 nominal species and 51 BINs. This original alignment is available as Online Resource 21. Using the Barcode Gap Analysis tool of BOLD we found an 288 289 intraspecific distance of 5.84±0.32% (mean±SE) and a distance to the nearest 290 species of 13.29±0.34%. The BIN Discordance Analysis tool of BOLD detected 291 three discordant BINs with multiple species-level designations. Another 20 BINs 292 were taxonomically concordant, while 28 BINs comprised only singletons.

293 After trimming to 597 bp and collapsing identical haplotypes, we obtained an 294 alignment of 161 sequences, to which we added the sequences obtained in the 295 present study. Congruent with the results of the BIN Discordance Analysis, a 296 preliminary NJ tree (not shown) detected again some sequence misplacement 297 (i.e., sequences assigned to the same species name but appearing in diverse 298 clusters). We then prepared a refined dataset selecting a maximum of 5 299 sequences belonging to a given species or clade, deleting sequences without 300 species names (except those topologically close to our sequences) and those 301 that were highly divergent and/or had suspicious BLAST results. Note that, for

302 *Didemnum vexillum*, we included sequences of the two main clades recognized 303 in Stefaniak et al. (2012) that we named as in that work (Clades A and B). This 304 reduced dataset allowed us to refine the alignment, eliminating gaps introduced 305 by the divergent sequences, to a final length of 582 bp. The final dataset, 306 available as Online Resource 2, comprised 66 sequences, to which a sequence 307 of *Diplosoma listerianum* (GenBank accession number KF791870) was added 308 as outgroup.

309 The final dataset comprised 20 *Didemnum* species and 29 BINs. We re-ran the

BOLD Barcode Gap Analysis, and obtained lower values of intraspecific

distance (3.26±0.24%, mean±SE) and distance to the nearest species

 $(12.93\pm0.19\%)$ than with the initial dataset. With the final dataset there was no

- 313 discordant BINs (assessed with the Discordance Analysis tool), with 11
- 314 concordant BINs and 18 singleton BINs.

315 The modelTest function of *phangorn* revealed that the best-fit model of 316 nucleotide selection for our *Didemnum* dataset was the General Time 317 Reversible model with a gamma distributed rate variation among sites and a 318 proportion of invariable sites (GTR+G+I). This model was input in the ML tree 319 construction algorithm of Mega and the corresponding phylogenetic tree 320 obtained is depicted in Fig. 5 (G parameter=0.795, I parameter=17.61%). The 321 sequences obtained from specimens sampled in Roscoff either grouped with 322 Didemnum vexillum Clade A (colonies 9 and 10), confirming morphological identification, or formed a clade (the single haplotype shared by colonies 1-8) 323 324 with sequences of two unidentified *Didemnum* species from Catalan harbours, 325 labelled as *Didemnum* sp1 and *Didemnum* sp2 in the work by López-Legentil et 326 al. (2015). The distance between the Roscoff sequences and *Didemnum* sp2 327 was 2%, and with *Didemnum* sp1 it was 4.9%. This clade of three sequences 328 had a bootstrap support of 99%. The sister clade (albeit poorly supported, 329 <50%) in the tree comprised two sequences identified as *Didemnum* 330 cineraceum (Sluiter, 1898) from Brazil (Oliveira et al. 2017) and one sequence 331 from Australia identified as Didemnum cf. albopunctatum Sluiter, 1909 (Erwin et 332 al. 2014). The Roscoff sequences had between 12.9 and 16.4% divergence 333 with the sequences of this sister clade.

334 The species delineation analysis, made with mPTP, identified 19 putative 335 species, mostly coherent with taxonomic identifications (20 nominal species in 336 the tree), but with a few exceptions (Fig. 5). Interestingly, the clade comprising 337 colonies 1-8, Didemnum sp2, and Didemnum sp1 was identified as one of these 338 putative species. The ABGD method identified 29 distinct entities (i.e. putative 339 species), with again some incongruences with taxonomic identification (Fig. 5). 340 In agreement with the mPTP results, the colonies 1-8, *Didemnum* sp2 and 341 *Didemnum* sp 1 were identified as a single putative species. Finally, the GMYC 342 method identified 30 groups, which were the same as in the ABGD analysis, 343 with the only exception that *Didemnum* sp1 was placed as a separate entity 344 from the one formed by colonies 1-8 and *Didemnum* sp2 (Fig 5).

346 DISCUSSION

347

348 The morphological analyses confirmed that in the Bloscon marina in Roscoff 349 (English Channel, France), *Didemnum vexillum* coexists with a new species, 350 Didemnum pseudovexillum sp. nov. Both species are abundant and can be 351 intermingled in the same micro-habitat (here the same walls in the marina 352 studied). There is virtually no external difference between them. On close 353 examination it seems that *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. tends to have 354 more oral siphon openings in the darker canal areas, and there is a more 355 marked whitish tinge in the oral siphons due to spicule accumulation. However, 356 in this species, as stated by Lambert (2009) for Didemnum vexillum as well, 357 spicule density varies between colonies and even between various parts of the 358 same colony. Clearly, these external characters are too unreliable to be used in 359 the field. On the other hand, the spicules are clearly different and proved a 360 useful diagnostic character. Larvae are also different, as Didemnum vexillum 361 larvae have consistently 6 pairs of ectodermal finger-like antero-lateral 362 ampullae, while Didemnum pseudovexillum sp. nov. has between 4 and 5 pairs. 363 The number of coils in the sperm duct is also lower (6-7) than in Didemnum vexillum (8-11, Lambert 2009; Ordóñez et al. 2015). Finally, a recent study 364 365 (Casso et al. 2020) showed that the microbiome communities of Didemnum 366 vexillum and Didemnum pseudovexillum sp. nov. (referred to as Didemnum sp. in that work) were also markedly different. In Casso et al. (2020), the 367 microbiome of Didemnum vexillum in its native and introduced range was 368 369 examined, and samples of Didemnum pseudovexillum were used for 370 comparison, showing that even congeneric species living in the same kind of 371 environment had species-specific microbiomes.

372 The phylogenetic tree revealed a clade highly supported by bootstrap analysis 373 (99%) comprising the *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. sequences obtained 374 in Roscoff and two sequences previously reported by López-Legentil et al. 375 (2015) from Catalan harbours (NW Mediterranean, Fig. 1). In that work, they 376 were named *Didemnum* sp1 (collected in L'Escala, 42°07.00' N; 3° 08.60' E) 377 and Didemnum sp2 (sampled in Port de la Selva, 42°20.20' N; 3°11.90' E). 378 Unfortunately, the specimens from this study are no longer available, but one of 379 us (XT) kept pictures of them and notes. The images revealed colonies small 380 but with the same colouration as the ones from Roscoff. For *Didemnum* sp2 we 381 kept morphological notes and, although the colony was not reproductive, 382 spicules and zooid morphology were in complete agreement with the 383 description of *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. Unfortunately, there were no 384 observations available on Didemnum sp1. The three methods of species 385 delineation gave overall coherent results, but ABGD and GMYC tended to split 386 the clades into species more than the mPTP method (29-30 vs 19 inferred 387 species). It should be noted that the mPTP analysis yielded results that 388 matched closely the nominal species assignment (20 species), albeit with some 389 exceptions. Concerning our samples, the clade comprising Didemnum 390 pseudovexillum sp. nov., Didemnum sp2 and Didemnum sp1 was recognized

391 as a putative species by mPTP and ABGD, but *Didemnum* sp1 was placed as a 392 distinct entity by GMYC. The *Didemnum* sp2 sequence was highly similar (98%) 393 to the haplotype observed for the eight colonies sampled in Roscoff (98%), 394 while Didemnum sp1 had 4.9% divergence. This slightly higher divergence is 395 likely to explain the discrepancy between the results of the species delineation 396 methods. However, the divergence between Didemnum pseudovexillum sp. 397 nov. and *Didemnum* sp 1 is well below the range of interspecies differences in 398 the genus (Stefaniak et al. 2009, and present results). In addition, the tendency 399 of GYMC to over-split has been pointed out in other studies (e.g., Pentinsaari et 400 al. 2017). So, albeit further studies are necessary, we consider colonies 1-8, 401 Didemnum sp1 and Didemnum sp2 to belong to the same species. Whatever 402 the final placement of *Didemnum* sp 1, *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. is 403 present both in Atlantic and Mediterranean harbours. This conclusion implies 404 that, despite genetic COI uniformity in Roscoff, there may be a notable 405 intraspecies genetic variability for that gene. Furthermore, during the genomic 406 study of Didemnum vexillum performed by Casso et al. (2019) in the population 407 of Roscoff (not included in that work when it was realized that it was a different 408 species), we found 1,716 polymorphic loci with a mean of 2.72 alleles/locus 409 (authors' unpublished results), a value in the range of the variability found in the 410 Ddidemnum vexillum populations analysed (2.71-3.32 alleles/locus, Casso et al. 411 2019). Thus, the level of genetic variability of *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. 412 nov. seems to be as high as that of similar introduced species. Further specific 413 studies are necessary to assess the exact degree of genetic variation in 414 populations of the new species.

415 The sister clade of *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. comprised two 416 sequences of Didemnum cineraceum from Brazil (Oliveira et al. 2017). This 417 species has been reported from both sides of the Atlantic and the Pacific 418 (Monniot 1983; Monniot and Monniot 1994; Monniot 1995; Rocha and Bonnet 419 2009; Lambert 2019). It has a very different type of larva (twice as large and 420 gemmiparous, Monniot 1983; Neves 2015). The sister clade included also a 421 sequence identified as Didemnum cf. albopunctatum by Erwin et al. (2014). This 422 Australian specimen had a very different colony aspect and spicules. This sister 423 clade is thus unlikely to be the same species, as also supported by the three 424 methods used in the species delineation analysis.

425 The native versus non-native status of the new species is unclear, and it should 426 be classed for the time being as cryptogenic (Carlton 1996). It is, however, 427 noteworthy that Didemnum pseudovexillum sp. nov. has been found, so far, 428 only on artificial structures, and it displays a disjoint distribution across the 429 Mediterranean Sea and the English Channel, two distinct biogeographic 430 provinces. It is thus tempting to classify the new species as non-native in these 431 places, or at least in one of the two provinces. Numerous NIS, among them 432 many ascidians, are shared by Mediterranean and English Channel harbours, 433 such as Botrylloides violaceus Oka, 1927 and Botrylloides diegensis Ritter & 434 Forsyth, 1917 (Viard et al. 2019). This pattern might be due to bivalve 435 aquaculture activities, known to host many native and non-native tunicates 436 (Carman et al., 2010), which might act as a relay towards other artificial habitats such as marinas. Non-native colonial tunicates, including *Didemnum* and *Botrylloides* species, might have been "hitch-hiked" with imports of oysters and
mussels between Mediterranean and Atlantic regions of France and Spain. A
more complete knowledge of the current geographic distribution and habitat is
necessary to assign a definite status to *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov.

442 In the presence of a species suspected of being introduced, extreme care 443 should be taken before describing it as a new species to ensure that it has not 444 been described elsewhere. Failure to recognize a species as introduced and the 445 creation of a new name for it leads to the so-called "pseudo-indigenous species" 446 (Carlton 2009), a problem that has already occurred in ascidians. For instance, 447 Didemnum vexillum was "re-described" as Didemnum vestum Kott in Kott 448 (2004a) in New England. Styela clava Herdman, 1881, was similarly "re-449 described" as Styela mammiculata Carlisle, 1954 in the English Channel (Millar 450 1960). Clavelina phlegraea Salfi, 1929 was the name given to Mediterranean 451 specimens of Clavelina oblonga Herdman, 1880 (Ordóñez et al. 2016).

452 To avoid the pseudo-indigenous species problem, we revised all described 453 species of *Didemnum*. There are 237 species recognized in the Ascidiacea 454 World Database (http://www.marinespecies.org/ascidiacea/, Shenkar et al. 2019) as of December 2019. For each species we consulted primary literature 455 456 (original descriptions whenever possible) and assessed colony aspect and 457 spicules in the first place. In species where these characters were coherent with 458 Didemnum pseudovexillum sp. nov. we further checked the literature for zooid 459 and larval descriptions. The results of this perusal showed that the species 460 found in Roscoff had not been previously described. Some species showing 461 similarities are listed below. Of note here is that, with a few exceptions, there 462 are no COI data for these species, and obtaining genetic information would be 463 invaluable to complement the morphological perusal done.

Didemnum perlucidum Monniot, 1983 is another introduced species that forms
large investing colonies on artificial substrates, and is widespread in tropical
and subtropical waters worldwide (Smale and Childs 2012; Dias et al. 2016;
Lambert 2019). However, this species is usually whitish, and the spicules are
different, with fewer and more pointed rays, from those of *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. (Monniot 1983; Neves 2015). Genetically, *Didemnum perlucidum* is also clearly different from the new species (Fig. 5).

- 471 *Didemnum lahillei* (Hartmeyer, 1909) has honey-coloured colonies with sparse
 472 spiculation. It can be abundant in shallow waters in Europe (Lafargue and Wahl
 473 1987). However, the spicules are burr-like and the larvae have 5-6 pairs of
 474 ectodermal ampullae (Lafargue and Wahl 1987).
- *Didemnum psammatodes* (Sluiter, 1895) is an invasive species, often reported
 from harbours, occurring in all warm waters (Kott 2001; Monniot 2016). It can
 form large colonies, sometimes with tri-dimensional structure, and has brownish
 colour and sparse spiculation. It is characterized by the abundance of faecal
 pellets embedded in the colony, which is not observed in *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. In addition, the spicules of *Didemnum psammatodes*

- 481 include burr-like spicules (Monniot 1983; Kott 2001) not present in *Ddidemnum*
- 482 *pseudovexillum* sp. nov. In our phylogenetic tree (Fig. 5), *Didemnum*
- 483 *psammatodes* appears closely related to *Didemnum vexillum*, but markedly 484 different from *Didemnum pseudovexillum* appears
- 484 different from *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov.

Didemnum spumosum Kott in Kott, 2004b, reported from Australia, has
complex, three-dimensional colonies and similar zooid and spicule morphology.
However, the sperm duct has more coils (10) and the larvae are larger than in *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. (0.75 mm, Kott 2004b).

- *Didemnum mesenbrinum* Monniot in Monniot et al. 2001, forms large crusts
 covering all substrata in South Africa. Its colour is whitish or cream and the
 spicules are not very abundant (Monniot et al. 2001). The spicules are similar to
 the ones of *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov., but the atrial aperture of the
 zooids is different, being narrow or even slit-like (in contracted thoraces) instead
 of exposing most of the branchial sac as in the new species.
- We summarize in Table 1 the main morphological differences between the new
 species and the three widespread invasive species in the genus (*Didemnum vexillum, Didemnum perlucidum, Didemnum psammatodes*) as well as with the
 closest species in our genetic tree (*Didemnum cineraceum*).
- In conclusion, a new species of *Didemnum* is described which is present in
 some Atlantic and Mediterranean marinas. It can be dominant in fouling
 communities on artificial substrates, as it was the case in the marina of Roscoff
 (Britanny, France), where all the colonies sampled in 2015 and more than half
- 503 of those collected in 2018 were *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov.
- 504 Morphological and genetic data support the establishment of a new species. Its 505 status should be considered cryptogenic until more information can be
- 506 gathered, but it is likely an introduced species of unknown origin.
- 507 This case study adds to previous ones (e.g. *Botrylloides* spp., Viard et al. 2019) 508 calling for caution when using field survey methods (such as RAS, or BioBlitz 509 surveys), based on easy-to-use external morphological characters, to monitor 510 colonial tunicates. This is unfortunate as these taxa are among the most 511 invasive species at a global level. It is important to note that fast field 512 assessment surveys, such as RAS, are a powerful and needed tool, allowing a 513 cost-effective surveillance of large territories with a high temporal frequency 514 (Campbell et al. 2007; Kakkonen et al. 2019). They actually proved effective to 515 monitor the spread of already reported NIS (e.g., Cohen et al. 2005; Bishop et 516 al. 2015) as well as to discover novel NIS (e.g., Asterocarpa humilis (Heller, 517 1878), Bishop et al. 2013). We thus certainly do not suggest that these field 518 assessment methods should be abandoned. However, we do advocate for 519 regular control of species lists obtained with these methods, for instance by 520 means of genetic barcoding methods or by request to taxonomic specialists (if 521 available). This would ensure the correctness of NIS lists, particularly in the 522 context of surveillance programmes, such as the Marine Framework Strategy 523 Directive, as any mistake can be propagated in public databases. In the case of 524 Didemnum vexillum, because of its external morphological similarity with

525 *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov., observation of diagnostic molecular, such 526 as COI sequencing, or morphological characters, such as spicules, should be 527 compulsory, as well as keeping voucher specimens fixed in both formalin and 528 ethanol. Our findings also imply the need for checking previous reports of 529 *Didemnum vexillum* because of potential confusion with the new species.

530

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to Laurent Lévêque and the diving team
(Mathieu Camusat, Yann Fontana, Wilfried Thomas) of the Marine & Diving
Facilities of the FR2424 - Station Biologique de Roscoff, for the field sampling.
We thank Andrea Fernández and Gustavo Carreras for help with the
sequencing work. All necessary authorizations for field sampling by diving in
Roscoff were given by decisions of the Prefect of the Brittany Region (Decision

537 85/2015 of 18/02/2015 and Decision 154/2018 of 02/02/2018).

538

539 Funding: This research was funded by the project PopCOmics (CTM2017-

540 88080, MCIU/AEI/FEDER/UE) from the Spanish Government. Additional

541 support for sampling and surveys in Brittany came from the AquaNIS2.0 project,

542 supported by the Foundation TOTAL. This is a contribution from the

543 Consolidated Research Group "Benthic Biology and Ecology" SGR2017-1120 544 (Catalan Government).

545

546 Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

547

548 Ethical Approval: All applicable international, national, and/or institutional
549 guidelines for animal testing, animal care and use of animals were followed by
550 the authors.

551

552 Sampling and field studies: All necessary permits for sampling have been 553 obtained by the authors from the competent authorities and are mentioned in

- the acknowledgments. This study is compliant with CBD and Nagoya protocols.
- 555

556 Data Availability Statement: The sequences obtained in this study have been
557 deposited in GenBank with accession numbers MN952978-80. All datasets

analysed during this study are included as supplementary information files.

559

560 Author Contribution Statement: XT and FV conceived the research. FV

561 contributed samples. MC and MP generated and analysed genetic data, with

562 contribution from FV and XT. XT analysed morphological details and wrote the

563 first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the manuscript and 564 approved its contents.

565

566 REFERENCES

- 567 Bishop JDD, Roby C, Yunnie ALE, Wood CA, Lévêque L, Turon X, Viard F
 568 (2013) The Southern Hemisphere ascidian *Asterocarpa humilis* is
 569 unrecognised but widely established in NW France and Great Britain. Biol
- 570 Invasions 15:253-260
- 571 Bishop JD, Wood CA, Yunnie AL, Griffiths CA (2015) Unheralded arrivals: non572 native sessile invertebrates in marinas on the English coast. Aquat
 573 Invasions 10:249-264
- 574 Bock DG, MacIsaac HJ, Cristescu ME (2012) Multilocus genetic analyses
 575 differentiate between widespread and spatially restricted cryptic species in a
 576 model ascidian. Proc R Soc B 279:2377-2385
- 577 Bortolus A (2008) Error cascades in the biological sciences: the unwanted 578 consequences of using bad taxonomy in ecology. AMBIO 37:114-118
- Brunetti R, Gissi C, Pennati R, Caicci F, Gasparini F, Manni L (2015)
 Morphological evidence indicates that *Ciona intestinalis* (Tunicata,
 Ascidiacea) type A and type B are different species: *Ciona robusta* and *Ciona intestinalis*. J Zoolog Syst Evol Res 53:186-193
- 583 Campbell ML, Gould B, Hewitt CL (2007) Survey evaluations to assess marine
 584 bioinvasions. Mar Pollut Bull 55:360-378
- 585 Carlisle DB (1954) *Styela mammiculata* n.sp., a new species of ascidian from
 586 the Plymouth area J. Mar. biol. Ass. UK 33:329-334
- 587 Carlton JT (1996) Biological invasions and cryptogenic species Ecology
 588 77:1653-1655
- 589 Carlton JT (1999) The scale and ecological consequences of biological
 590 invasions in the world's oceans. In: Sandlund OT, Schei PJ, Viken Å (eds)
 591 Invasive species and biodiversity management. Kluwer Academic
 592 Publishers, Dordrecht, pp 195–212
- 593 Carlton JT (2009) Deep invasion ecology and the assembly of communities in
 594 historical time. In: Rilov G, Crooks JA (eds) Biological invasions in marine
 595 ecosystems. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp 13-56
- 596 Carman MR, Morris JA, Karney RC, Grunden DW (2010) An initial assessment
 597 of native and invasive tunicates in shellfish aquaculture of the North
 598 American east coast. J Appl Ichthyol 26:8-11
- Casso M, Turon M, Marco N, Pascual M, Turon X (2020) The microbiome of the
 worldwide invasive ascidian *Didemnum vexillum*. Front Mar Sci.
 doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.00201
- 602 Casso M, Turon X, Pascual M (2019) Single zooids, multiple loci: independent
 603 colonisations revealed by population genomics of a global invader. Biol
 604 Invasions 21:3575-3592.
- Cohen AN, Harris LH, Bingham BL, Carlton JT, Chapman JW, Lambert CC,
 Lambert G, Ljubenkov JC, Murray SN, Rao LC, Reardon K, Schwindt E
 (2005) Rapid Assessment Survey for exotic organisms in southern
 California bays and harbors, and abundance in port and non-port areas.
- 609 Biol Invasions 7:995-1002

- 610 Cohen AN, McCann L, Davis T, Shaw L, Ruiz G (2011) Discovery and 611 significance of the colonial tunicate Didemnum vexillum in Alaska. Aquat 612 Invasions 6: 263-271 Comtet T, Sandionigi A, Viard F, Casiragi M (2015) DNA (meta)barcoding of 613 614 biological invasions: a powerful tool to elucidate invasion processes and 615 help managing aliens. Biol Invasions 17:905-922 616 Dias PJ, Rocha R, Godwin S, Tovar-Hernández MA, Delahoz MV, McKirdy S, de Lestang P, McDonaid JI, Snow M (2016) Investigating the cryptogenic 617 618 status of the sea squirt *Didemnum perlucidum* (Tunicata, Ascidiacea) in 619 Australia based on a molecular study of its global distribution Aquat 620 Invasions 11: 239-245 621 Erwin PM, Pineda MC, Webster N, Turon X, López-Legentil S. 2014. Down under the tunic: bacterial biodiversity hotspots and widespread ammonia-622 623 oxidizing archaea in coral reef ascidians. ISME J 8:575-588 624 Ezard T, Fujisawa T, Barraclough TG. 2009. SPLITS: SPecies' Limits by 625 Threshold Statistics. R package version 1.0-18/r45, 2009. http://R-Forge.R-626 project.org/projects/splits/. Accessed 14 Jan 2020. 627 Giangrande A (2003) Biodiversity, conservation, and the 'Taxonomic 628 impediment'. Aguat Conserv 13:451-459. doi:10.1002/agc.584 629 Griggio F, Voskoboynik A, Iannelli F, Justy F, Tilak MK, Turon X, Pesole G, 630 Douzery EJP, Mastrototaro F, Gissi C (2014) Ascidian mitogenomics: 631 comparison of evolutionary rates in closely related taxa provides evidence 632 of ongoing speciation events. Genome Biol Evol 6:591-605 633 Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and 634 analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucl Acids Symp Ser 41:95-98 635 Hartmeyer R (1909) Ascidien (continuation of work by Seeliger). In: Bronn HG 636 (ed) Klassen und Ordnungen des Tier-Reichs. CF Winter'sche 637 Verlagshandlung, Leipzig 638 Heller C (1878) Beitrage zur nahern Kenntniss der Tunicaten. Sitzber. Acad. 639 Wiss. Wien. 77: 2-28 640 Herdman WA (1880) Preliminary report on the Tunicata of the Challenger 641 expedition. Part 2. Proc R Soc Edinburgh 10(2): 714-726 642 Herdman WA (1881) Preliminary report on the Tunicata of the Challenger 643 expedition. Cynthiidae. Proc Roy Soc Edinburgh 11(3): 52-88 644 Kakkonen JE, Worsfold TM, Ashelby CW, Taylor A, Beaton K (2019) The value 645 of regular monitoring and diverse sampling techniques to assess aguatic 646 non-native species: a case study from Orkney. Manag Biol Invasion 10:46-647 79 648 Kapli T, Lutteropp S, Zhang J, Kobert K, Pavlidis P, Stamatakis A, Flouri T (2016) Multi-rate Poisson tree processes for single-locus species 649 delimitation under maximum likelihood and Markov chain Monte Carlo. 650 651 Bioinformatics 33:1630-1638 652 Kott P (1985) The Australian Ascidiacea. Part 1, Phlebobranchia and 653 Stolidobranchia. Mem Qd Mus 23:1-440 654 Kott P (2001) The Australian Ascidiacea. Part 4, Aplousobranchia (3), 655 Didemnidae. Mem Qd Mus 47:1-407 656 Kott P (2002) A complex didemnid ascidian from Whangamata, New Zealand. J
- 657 Mar Biol Ass UK 82:625-628

658 Kott P (2004a) A new species of Didemnum (Ascidiacea, Tunicata) from the 659 Atlantic coast of North America. Zootaxa 732:1-10. 660 Kott P (2004b) New and little-known species of Didemnidae (Ascidiacea, 661 Tunicata) from Australia (part 1). J Nat Hist 38:731-774 Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics 662 analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol 33:1870–1874 663 664 Lafargue F, Wahl M (1987) The didemnid ascidian fauna of France. Ann Inst 665 océanogr, Paris 63:1-46. 666 Lambert G (2007) Invasive sea squirts: a growing global problem J Exp Mar Biol 667 Ecol 342:3-4 Lambert G (2009) Adventures of a sea squirt sleuth: unraveling the identity of 668 Didemnum vexillum, a global ascidian invader. Aquat Invasions 4:5-28 669 670 Lambert G (2019) Fouling ascidians (Chordata: Ascidiacea) of the Galapagos: 671 Santa Cruz and Baltra Islands. Aquat Invasions 14:132-149 672 Linnaeus C (1767) Systema naturae per regna tria naturae: secundum classes, 673 ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Ed. 12. 1., Regnum Animale. 1 & 2. Holmiae, Laurentii Salvii. Holmiae 674 675 Stockholm, Laurentii Salvii. pp 533-1327 676 López-Legentil S, Turon X (2005) How do morphotypes and chemotypes relate to genotypes? The colonial ascidian Cystodytes (Ascidiacea: Polycitoridae). 677 678 Zool Scripta 34:3-14 López-Legentil S, Turon X, Planes S (2006) Genetic structure of the star sea 679 680 squirt, Botryllus schlosseri, introduced in southern European harbours. Mol 681 Ecol 15: 3957-3967 López-Legentil S, Legentil ML, Erwin PM, Turon X (2015) Harbor networks as 682 683 introduction gateways: contrasting patterns of native and introduced ascidians. Biol Invasions 17:1623-1638 684 685 Malfant M, Darras S, Viard F (2018) Coupling molecular data and experimental 686 crosses sheds light about species delineation: a case study with the genus 687 *Ciona.* Sci Rep 8:1480 688 Millar RH (1960) The identity of the ascidians Styela mammiculata Carlisle and 689 S. clava Herdman. J. Mar. biol. Ass. UK 39:509-511 Milne Edwards H (1841) Observations sur les ascidies composées des côtes de 690 691 la Manche. Mem Acad Sci Paris 18 :217-326 Monniot C, Monniot F (1994) Additions to the inventory of Eastern tropical 692 693 Atlantic ascidians: arrival of cosmopolitan species. Bull Mar Sci 54:71-93. 694 Monniot C, Monniot F & Griffiths CL (2001) South African ascidians. Ann S 695 African Mus 108: 1-141 696 Monniot F (1983) Ascidies littorals de Guadeloupe. I. Didemnidae. Bull Mus nath Hist nat, Paris, 4e Sér. 16, Section A, 1:5-49. 697 698 Monniot F (1995) Ascidies de Nouvelle-Calédonie. XV. Le genre Didemnum. 699 Bull Mus nath Hist nat, Paris, 4^e Sér. 5, Section A, 2-4:299-344 700 Monniot F (2016) Ascidians (Tunicata) of the French Guiana expedition. 701 Zootaxa 4114:201-245 702 Neves IM (2015) Didemnidae ascidians (Tunicata, Ascidiacea) from Bocas del 703 Toro – Panamá. PhD Thesis Dissertation, Universidade Federal do Paraná 704 Ojaveer H, Galil BS, Gollasch S, Marchini A, Minchin D, Occhipinti-Ambrogi A, 705 Olenin S (2014) Identifying the top issues of marine invasive alien species 706 in Europe Management of Biol Invasions 5:81-84

707	Oka A (1927) Zur kenntnis der japanishen Botryllidae (Vorlaufige Mitteilung).
708	Proc Imp Acad 3: 607-609
709	Oliveira FAS, Michonneau F, Lotufo TMC (2017) Molecular phylogeny of
710	Didemnidae (Ascidiacea: Tunicata). Zool J Linn Soc 180:603-612
711	Ordóñez V, Pascual M, Fernández-Tejedor M, Pineda MC, Tagliapietra D,
712	Turon X (2015) Ongoing expansion of the worldwide invader Didemnum
713	vexillum (Ascidiacea) in the Mediterranean Sea: high plasticity of its
714	biological cycle promotes establishment in warm waters. Biol Invasions
715	17:2075-2085
716	Ordóñez V, Pascual M, Fernández-Tejedor M, Turon X (2016) When invasion
717	biology meets taxonomy: Clavelina oblonga (Ascidiacea) is an old invader
718	in the Mediterranean Sea. Biol Invasions 18:1203-1215
719	Pallas PS (1766) Elenchus zoophytorum sistens generum adumbrationes
720	generaliores et specierum cognitarum succintas descriptiones, cum selectis
721	auctorum synonymis. Fransiscum Varrentrapp, Hagae
722	Pante E, Puillandre N, Viricel A, Arnaud-Haond S, Aurelle D, Castelin M,
723	Chenuil A, Destombe C, Forcioli D, Valero M, Viard F, Samadi S (2015a)
724	Species are hypotheses: avoid connectivity assessments based on pillars of
725	sand. Mol Ecol 24:525-544
726	Pante E, Abdelkrim J, Viricel A, Gey D, France SC, Boisselier MC, Samadi S
727	(2015b) Use of RAD sequencing for delimiting species. Heredity 114:450-
728	459
729	Pentinsaari M, Vos R, Mutanen M (2017) Algorithmic single-locus species
730	delimitation: Effects of sampling effort, variation and nonmonophyly in four
731	methods and 1870 species of beetles. Mol Ecol Resour 17:393-404
732	Pérez-Portela R. Arranz V. Rius M. Turon X (2013) Cryptic speciation or global
733	spread? The case of a cosmopolitan marine invertebrate with limited
734	dispersal capabilities. Sci Rep 3:3197
735	Pons J. Barraclough TG. Gomez-Zurita J. Cardoso A. Duran DP. Hazell S.
736	Kamoun S, Sumlin WD, Vogler AP (2006) Sequence-based species
737	delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of undescribed insects. Syst Biol 55:595-
738	609
739	Puillandre N, Lambert A, Brouillet S, Achaz G (2011) ABGD, Automatic Barcode
740	Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. Mol Ecol 21:1864-1877
741	Ratnasingham S, Hebert PDN (2013) A DNA-based registry for all animal
742	species: the Barcode Index Number (BIN) system. PLoS ONE 8: e66213
743	Ritter WE. Forsyth RH (1917) Ascidians of the littoral zone of southern
744	California, Univ California Publ Zool 16:439-512
745	Rocha RM Bonnet NYK (2009) Ascidias (Tunicata, Ascidiacea) introduzidas no
746	Arquipélago de Alcatrazes, Sao Paulo, Iberingia, Sér Zool, Porto Alegre
747	99·27-35
748	Salfi M (1929) Sulla blastogenesi in <i>Clavelina</i> e su una nuova specie del
749	genere. Pub Staz Zool Napoli 9:195–201
750	Savigny JC (1816) Mémoires sur les animaux sans vertèbres, seconde partie
751	CLE Panckoucke Paris
752	Schlien KP (2011) phangorn: phylogenetic analysis in R Bioinformatics 27:502-
752	
100	しざし

754 Shenkar N, Gittenberger A, Lambert G, Rius M, Rocha R, Swalla BJ, Turon X 755 (2019) Ascidiacea World Database. http://www.marinespecies.org/ascidiacea. Accessed 12 December 2019 756 757 Shenkar N, Swalla BJ (2011) Global Diversity of Ascidiacea. PLoS ONE 758 6:e20657 759 Sluiter CP (1895) Tunicaten. In: Semon R (ed). Zoologische Forschungsreisen in Australien und den malagischen Archipel. Denkschr. Gesellsch, Jena 760 761 8:163-186 Sluiter CP (1898) Tuniciers recueillis en 1896 par la Chazalie dans la mer des 762 763 Antilles. Mem Soc Zool France 11: 5-34 764 Sluiter CP (1909) Die Tunicaten der Siboga-Expedition. Part 2. Die merosomen 765 Ascidien. Siboga-Expedition 56:1-112 766 Smale DA, Childs S (2012) The occurrence of a widespread marine invader, 767 Didemnum perlucidum (Tunicata, Ascidiacea) in Western Australia. Biol 768 Invasions 14:1325-1330 Stefaniak L, Lambert G, Gittenberger A, Zhang H, Lin S (2009) Genetic 769 770 conspecificity of the worldwide populations of Didemnum vexillum Kott, 771 2002. Aquat Invasions 4:29-44 772 Tamura K, Battistuzzi FU, Billing-Ross P, Murillo O, Filipski A, Kumar S (2012) 773 Estimating divergence times in large molecular phylogenies. PNAS 774 109:19333-19338. Teske PR, Rius M, McQuaid CD, Styan CA, Piggott MP, Benhissoune S, 775 776 Fuentes-Grünewald C, Walls K, Page M, Attard CRM, Cooke GM, 777 McClusky CF, Banks SC, Barker NP, Beheregaray LB (2011) "Nested" 778 cryptic diversity in a widespread marine ecosystem engineer: a challenge 779 for detecting biological invasions. BMC Evol Biol 11:1-13 780 Turon X, Tarjuelo I, Duran S, Pascual M (2003) Characterising invasion processes with genetic data: an Atlantic clade of Clavelina lepadiformis 781 782 (Ascidiacea) introduced into Mediterranean harbours. Hydrobiologia 503:29-783 35 784 Viard F, Roby C, Turon X, Bouchemousse S, Bishop J (2019) Cryptic diversity 785 and database errors challenge non-indigenous species surveys: an 786 illustration with Botrylloides spp. in the English Channel and the 787 Mediterranean Sea. Front Mar Sci 6:615. doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00615 788 Villesen P (2007) FaBox: an online toolbox for fasta sequences. Mol Ecol Res 789 7:965-968 790 Zhan A, Briski E, Bock DG, Ghabooli S, MacIsaac HJ (2015) Ascidians as 791 models for studying invasion success. Mar Biol 162:2449-2470 792

793 Table Legends

Table 1. Summary of the main morphological characters of *Didemnum*

795 pseudovexillum sp. nov. compared to the three widespread invasive species of

the genus (*Didemnum vexillum*, *Didemnum psammatodes*, *Didemnum*

797 *perlucidum*) and with the closest species in the genetic tree (*Didemnum*

- 798 cineraceum).
- 799
- 800 Figure Legends

801

Fig. 1 Map of southwestern Europe with indication of the type locality of *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. (Atlantic), and the two localities where its
presence has been inferred from previous data (Mediterranean). The map has

805 been drawn with package rworldmap of R (https://cran.r-

806 project.org/web/packages/rworldmap/index.html).

807

Fig. 2 Images of several colonies from the marina of Bloscon (June 2018).

809 Images a and d correspond to *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov.; images b
810 and c to *Didemnum vexillum*. Scale bars: 1 cm. Picture credits: L. Lévêque, F.
811 Viard – Station Biologique de Roscoff

811 Viard – Station Biologique de Roscoff.

Fig. 3 *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov. a, image of the colony surface; b,
colony section, arrows point to canals; c, ventral view of a thorax, showing a
thoracic organ (arrow); d, abdomen with testis; e, abdomen with a large and a
small oocyte; f-h, images of three different larvae. Scale bars: a and b, 2 mm; ch: 250 μm (note common scale bar).

Fig 4 a-c, spicules from three colonies of *Didemnum pseudovexillum* sp. nov.;
d, spicules from a colony of *Didemnum vexillum* from the same marina. Scale
bars: 20 μm

Fig 5 Maximum Likelihood tree of the *Didemnum* dataset. For each branch,
GenBank accession number and sequence id is provided. Numbers in main
branches indicate bootstrap support values (when >50%). Clades suggested to

823 correspond to species are indicated by asterisks (mPTP method), by inverted

triangles (ABGD method, and by triangles (GMYC method). The three clades of *Didemnum vexillum* (following the same names as in Stefaniak et al. 2012) are

826 indicated.

828 Supplementary Material

- 830 **Online Resource 1** Fasta file containing the initial alignment of *Didemnum*
- 831 sequences downloaded from BOLD systems.
- 832 **Online Resource 2** Fasta file with the final, refined *Didemnum* alignment used
- 833 in the phylogenetic analyses.

		Spicule	Spicule		Sperm duct	Ampullae		
Species	Colour	density	size (µm)	Spicule shape ¹	turns	(pairs)	Remarks	References
Didemnum pseudovexillum	yellowish/orange	low	20-40	10 rays/blunt	6-7	4-5		This work
Didemnum vexillum	yellowish/orange	low	20-60	7 rays/pointed	8-11	6		This work, Kott (2002), Ordóñez et al. (2015)
Didemnum psammatodes	cream, brown, gray	low	<35	many rays/burr-like ²	6-8	4	fecal pellets embedded	Monniot (1983), Kott (2001), Neves (2015)
Didemnum perlucidum	white, gray, yellow, brown	low	<40	6-9 rays/pointed	6-8	4		Monniot (1983), Neves (2015)
Didemnum cineraceum	brown, black, deep purple	low	15-30	many rays/burr-like	7-9	6-10	gemmiparous larva	Monniot (1983), Neves (2015)

¹ Number of rays in optical section given

² Kott (2001) describes spicules with short conical rays in addition to the burr-like spicules











8.1

Online resource 1

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material Online Resource 1.txt Online resource 2

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material Online Resource2.txt