857 Letters to the Editor/Matters Arising

## Sequential study of bone mineral density in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus

A loss of bone mineral density has been reported1-3 in premenopausal women with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), but its pathogenesis is uncertain.4 Studies on the sequential changes in bone mass in these patients are scarce.35

Recently, we studied 74 premenopausal women with SLE without any complications or treatment (except for glucocorticoids) that could interfere with bone mineral density. We excluded nine osteoporotic patients according the established World Health Organisation criteria,6 because they were on treatment for low bone density. We repeated the measurement of bone mass after 18 months in 25 consecutive patients, all of whom had continued on glucocorticoid treatment during this period.

Bone mineral density was measured in the lumbar spine (L2-L4) and femoral neck (FN) by dual energy x ray absorptiometry (DXA), using a densitometer (Hologic QDR 1000). Measurement of the bone mineral content, calibrating with the Hologic X-calibre QDR-1 anthropomorphic spine phantom of the known mineral content, was accurate to 0.5%. The precision measurement was better than 0.01 g cm<sup>-2</sup> (coefficient of variation = 1.0% at bone mineral density 1.0 g cm<sup>-2</sup>). Disease activity was assessed with the University College Hospital/Middlesex SLE scoring system,7 by a numerical score graded from 1 to 4 (inactive to severely active

The results were expressed as the mean (SD). For all conventional analyses we used the SPSS/PC software package. A t test was used and the correlations were calculated by linear regression analysis. Results were considered significant at P < 0.05.

At the time of the first densitometry, the mean age was 31.7 (6.8) years, and disease duration was 91 (64) months.

After 18 months there was no significant decrease in bone mineral density, despite glucocorticoid treatment (table), in either the lumbar spine or the femoral neck. No fractures were found. Serum calcium, phosphorus, creatinine, and alkaline phosphatase, and 24 hour urine calcium and phosphorus did not change during this period.

Using linear regression, there was no correlation between bone mineral density or changes in bone mineral density and the prednisone dose (cumulative and baseline). We found no correlation between disease duration or mean disease activity grade and bone mineral density. No other correlations with bone mineral density were found.

This study shows that in premenopausal SLE patients lumbar and femoral bone min-

Characteristics of 25 patients at baseline and after 18 months

	Baseline (mean (SD))	18 months (mean (SD))
L2-L4 (g cm <sup>-2</sup> )	1.00 (0.09)	1.00 (0.1)*
F.neck (g cm <sup>-2</sup> )	0.80 (0.07)	0.79 (0.08)*
P.baseline (mg)	17 (2)	16 (9.4)
P.cumulative (g)	35 (2)	41 (5)
Activity grade	2.47 (0.4)	2.41 (0.5)

<sup>\*</sup> All P values > 0.05 versus baseline.

eral density did not change with respect to baseline values after 18 months, despite continuous glucocorticoid treatment (the mean dose was 9.2 mg/day during the 18 months). Recently, Pons et al 3 reported similar results in a study of 31 premenopausal women followed for a mean of 36.6 months. Kalla et al 5 also found no changes in bone mineral density in 56 SLE patients over 18 months.

The effect of glucocorticoids on SLE osteoporosis is controversial.1-3 We did not find any correlation between prednisone dose and bone mineral density in chronic steroid users. The effects of glucocorticoids on the bone mass are most pronounced early in the course of steroid treatment.<sup>89</sup> These data may partly explain our results. Also, a multifactorial action could explain the lack of correlation between the dose of glucorticoids and bone Glucocorticoids may density. paradoxically inhibit bone resorption that has been stimulated by PGE<sub>2</sub> or cytokines. 10 11

In conclusion, after 18 months there were no significant decreases in bone mineral density in premenopausal SLE patients on glucocorticoid treatment. The reported reduction in bone mineral density in these patients may occur at the onset of the disease. Nevertheless, future studies to demonstrate a small loss of bone mineral density in SLE will probably require more patients and a longer period of study.

F FORMIGA I M NOLLA I MOGA D ROIG-ESCOFET Internal Medicine and Rheumatology Service, Hospital "Princeps d'Espanya", CSU de Bellvitge, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain.

- 1 Kalla AA, Fataar AB, Jessop SJ, Bewerunge L. Loss of trabecular bone mineral density in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1993;36:1726–34.
- 2 Formiga F, Moga I, Nolla JM, Pac M, Mitjavila F, Roig-Escofet D. Loss of bone mineral density in premenopausal women with systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Rheum Dis 1995;
- 3 Pons F, Peris P, Guañabens N, Font J, Huguet M, Espinosa G, et al. The effect of systemic lupus erythematosus and long-term steroid therapy on bone mass in pre-menopausal women. Br J Rheumatol 1995;34:742-6
- 4 Dhillon VB, Davies MC, Hall ML, Round JM, Ell PJ, Jacobs HS, et al. Assessment of the effect of oral corticosteroids on bone mineral density in systemic lupus erythematosus: a preliminary study with dual energy x ray absorptiometry. Ann Rheum Dis 1990;49:624
- 5 Kalla A, Bewerunge L, Swanelvelder S, Meyers OL, Fataar AB. Lack of corticosteroid effect on trabecular bone density over 18 months in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995;38:S359.
- 6 World Health Organisation. Assessment of fracture risk and its application to screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis. WHO Technical Report Series, No 843. Geneva: WHO, 1994.
- 7 Isenberg DA, Shoenfeld Y, Schwartz RS. Multi-ple serologic reactions and their relationship to clinical activity in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 1984;27:132-8.
- OCascio V, Bonuci E, Imbimbo B, et al. Bone loss after glucocorticoid therapy. Calcif Tissue Int 1984;36:435–8.
- Rickers H, Deding A, Christiansen C, et al. Mineral loss in cortical and trabecular bone during high-dose prednisone treatment. Calcif Tissue Int 1984;36:269-72.
- 1 Issue Int 1984;36:269-72.
  10 Lukert BP, Raisz LG. Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: pathogenesis and management. Ann Intern Med 1990;112:352-64.
  11 Manolagas SC, RL Jilka. Bone marrow, cytokines, and bone remodeling. Emerging insights into pathophysiology of osteoporosis. N Engl J Med 1995;332:305-11.

## **MATTERS ARISING**

## Unilateral Heberden's nodes in a case of **Erb-Duchenne** paralysis

Referring to the letter by Etherington and Spector ("Asymmetrical nodular osteoarthritis in a patient with a hemiparesis", Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, November 1995, p 936), we would like to offer a contribution based on our recent experience.

Although Stecher and Karnosh<sup>1</sup> assert that apparent involution of Heberden's nodes on the hemiplegic side should be attributed exclusively to the effects of a reduction of soft tissues, in spite of the existence of x ray nodes, Forestier,2 Hench and McEwen,3 and Thompson and Bywaters<sup>4</sup> suggest "neurogenic protection" against nodes on the affected side.

This particular characteristic of Heberden's and Bouchard's nodes, was pointed out both in hemiplegic subjects following a central cerebral lesion—as in the case of Etherington and Spector5—or in upper limbs affected by peripheral paralysis (obstetric paralysis or traumatic interruption of a nerve).

Our case is a 45 year old female who presented with Erb-Duchenne paralysis and Heberden's nodes limited to the healthy side, while the affected arm shows a lack of musculoskeletal development (radius, ulna, carpus, metacarpus, and phalanges) (figure)

In analogy with what has been pointed out in the case of rheumatoid arthritis and hemiplegia<sup>67</sup> it is possible formulate several hypotheses:

- (1) A protective action of central or peripheral neurological lesions with respect to osteoarthritic or arthritic8 manifestations on the affected side, through interruption of the central reflex arc, which is responsible for the symmetric lesions in rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthrosis.
- (2) Attenuation of superimposed inflammation in osteoarthrosis by the conditioning of the biochemical expression of the neurogenic inflammation (mediated by substance P, a neuropeptide which acts specifically at low concentrations on "formyl peptide" receptors; this leads to the activation of polymorphonuclear cells and is present both early and in large quantities in inflammed synovium).
- Not be ignored, in our opinion, is the physiological decline of the proprioception in subjects of advancing age10 and the absence of the normal proprioceptive stimuli linked to the "microtraumatic" use of the hands which is limited only to the affected hand. Such absence is also presumably responsible for lack of node production related to enthesitic ossification at the distal phalanx level.

We agree with Etherington and Spector<sup>5</sup> about the possibility that several valid concepts relating to the protective effects of neurological lesions, either central or periph-



## Sequential study of bone mineral density in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus.

F Formiga, J M Nolla, I Moga, et al.

Ann Rheum Dis 1996 55: 857 doi: 10.1136/ard.55.11.857-a

Updated information and services can be found at:

http://ard.bmj.com/content/55/11/857.1.citation

These include:

**References** Article cited in:

http://ard.bmj.com/content/55/11/857.1.citation#related-urls

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

**Notes** 

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/