

Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC)
Institut de Ciències de la Terra “Jaume Almera”
Departament d’ Estructura i Dinàmica de la Terra

Universitat de Barcelona
Facultat de Geologia
Departament de Geodinàmica i Geofísica

**FORELAND PROPAGATION OF FOLDING AND
STRUCTURE OF THE MOUNTAIN FRONT FLEXURE
IN THE PUSHT-E KUH ARC
(ZAGROS, IRAN)**

Hadi Emami, 2008

*Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC)
Institut de Ciències de la Terra “Jaume Almera”
Departament d’Estructura i Dinàmica de la Terra*

*Universitat de Barcelona
Facultat de Geologia
Departament de Geodinàmica y Geofísica*

Programa de Doctorat: Ciències de la Terra, Bienni 2004-2006

**FORELAND PROPAGATION OF FOLDING AND
STRUCTURE OF THE MOUNTAIN FRONT FLEXURE
IN THE PUSHT-E KUH ARC
(ZAGROS, IRAN)**

Memòria presentada per Hadi Emami al Departament de
Geodinàmica i Geofísica de la Universitat de Barcelona per a optar al grau de Doctor

Director:

Jaume Vergés Masip

Tutor:

Josep M^a Casas

Barcelona June 2008

Acknowledgments

The completion of this PhD thesis is the consequence of the collaboration with a number of organisations and institutions.

First of all I would like to appreciate my supervisor Dr. Jaume Vergés for his patience during the overall period of the study, StatoilHydro (former Norsk Hydro) for providing me with 4 years of financial support for this research work, Institute of Earth Sciences “Jaume Almera” CSIC of Barcelona and StatoilHydro Research Center in Bergen (Norway).

I would like to acknowledge StatoilHydro (former Hydro Zagros Oil and Gas Tehran) for their support during several periods of field work in Zagros. I appreciate Mr. Pål Skott, the Khorram-Abad Project Manager in StatoilHydro Tehran office, for his support during the last two field campaigns in the study area collecting data for magnetostratigraphy and also his supports during the completion of this thesis. Without his help it would not have been possible to complete this work. I appreciate Mr. Ridvan Karpuz for his advices and recommendations at the beginning of this work; in fact the start point of this work was his idea.

I have to thanks Dr. Miguel Garcés for helping and advising me for magnetostratigraphy techniques, especially during the collection of the samples in Chaman Goli syncline with very bad weather conditions. Thanks to Bet Beamud for her nice help during the laboratory analysis.

I appreciate Dr. Tierry Nalpas for his help during the laboratory work in University of Rennes and special thanks to Cristina Lladó, Mr. Afshin Beheshti, Jordi Fabrega and Naiara Fernández for their GIS technical support during this study.

I have to thanks all my very nice friends at the Institute “Jaume Almera”. Also many thanks to my colleagues from the GDL: Emilio Casciello and Giulio Casini, Eduard Saura. My office neighbors: Stephane Homke, Alexander Ribo, Sergio Zlotnik, Imma Palomeras, Sergio Bea, famous Javier Fulla, and Alexandra ... and those who helped me in some way during this study. I have to thanks Mr. Goodarzi for his help and his recomandations during several field seasons we had together in the study area.

Presentation

This dissertation constitutes the work that I have done for the degree of PhD at the Department of the Geodynamic and Geophysics of the University of Barcelona (UB). It is prepared in the format recommended by university. This work conducted in the Institute of the Earth Sciences “Jaume Almera” (CSIC). It is elaborated in the framework of the a collaborative project between the Group of Dynamics of the Lithosphere at the Institute of Earth Sciences “Jaume Almera” of the CSIC in Barcelona, Spain and the StatoilHydro (former Norsk Hydro) research centre of Bergen in Norway. The study area focuses mainly in the northwest of the Zagros Fold and Thrust belt of Iran, Lurestan Province. The data set including geological maps, well data and reports have been prepared by StatoilHydro (former Hydro Zagros Oil and Gas Tehran) through the National Iranian Oil Company (NIOC). Several field campaigns have been accomplished in order to collect structural data and samples during the period of the study. The dissertation comprises five chapters, which were developed during 2003-2008. The Chapters are:

Chapter 1 General introduction to the Zagros Fold and Thrust Belt. Including a summery to geological setting, geodynamic evolution, petroleum system and objectives and methodology of this work.

Chapter 2 Fold analysis and structural interpretation of the Zangul anticline in Pusht-e Kuh, Lurestan area. In this chapter we present a 3D geometry for Zangul anticline.

Chapter 3 Structure of the Mountain Front Flexure along the Anaran anticline in the Pusht-e Kuh Arc (NW Zagros, Iran): Insights from sand box models. This chpter has been submitted as:

*Emami, H., J. Vergés, T. Nalpas, P. Gillespie, and E. P. Blanc, in press, Structure of the Mountain Front Flexure along the Anaran anticline in the Pusht-e Kuh Arc (NW Zagros, Iran): Insights from sand box models, in P. Leturmy, and C. Robin, eds., Tectonic and Stratigraphic evolution of Zagros and Makran during the Meso-Cenozoic, **Geological Society of London Special Volume.***

Chapter 4 Timing and sequence of folding in the NW Zagros, Iran constrained by Magnetostratigraphy analysis. This chapter will be submitted as:

*Emami, H., J. Vergés, M. Garcés, S. Homke, B. B., and P. Scott, (submitted), Timing and sequence of folding in the NW Zagros in Iran: constrained by magnetostratigraphic analysis. **Earth and Planetary Science Letters.***

Chapter 5 Summary of the previous chapters.

In addition to that the author of this study has been collaborated to the additional publications in the study area:

*Homke, S., J. Vergés, M. Garcés, H. Emami, and R. Karpuz, 2004, Magnetostratigraphy of Miocene–Pliocene Zagros foreland deposits in the front of the Pusht-e Kuh Arc (Lurestan Province, Iran): **Earth and Planetary Science Letters**, v. 225, p. 397– 410.*

*Vergés, J., R. Karpuz, J. Efstatiou, M. H. Goodarzi, H. Emami, and P. Gillespie, in press, Multiple Detachment Folding in Pusht-e Kuh Arc, Zagros. Role of Mechanical Stratigraphy, in K. McClay, J. Shaw, and J. Suppe, eds., **AAPG Memoir on "Thrust Fault Related Folding"**.*

Abstract

The focus of this work is to determine the folding characteristics including geometry, interaction of the surface and subsurface geometries, kinematic evolution of the structures and timing of deformation in Pusht-e Kuh Arc in Lurestan Province of Zagros fold and thrust belt in Iran.

Multidisciplinary methods have been used for different objectives in this thesis. Structural characteristic and fold geometry in two different units have been studied in the Zangul anticline. The Zangul anticline is a four closures anticline, with an open box folding shape and slightly verging to the SW. Its amplitude is of about 1.5 km and its half wavelength is ~ 4.5 km. The geometric construction of several cross-sections showing a regular position of the axial traces intersections indicates that the Triassic Dashtak evaporites may represent one of the major intermediate detachment levels in the sedimentary pile. The significant result is that the grouping of Asmari folds forms synforms (SE termination of the Zangul anticline) and antiforms (NW termination), which is so important for oil exploration.

The Anaran anticline on top of the Mountain Front Flexure represents the most external fold of the Pusht-e Kuh Arc. This anticline is asymmetric with a long and gently dipping backlimb and a very steep forelimb. However, the most characteristic tectonic feature is the large amount of normal faults that cut the crestal and forelimb domains of the anticline. These normal faults, formed by layer-parallel extension during folding, limit a crestal graben and are not very deep. In addition to these normal faults, the potential tectonic decoupling across the intermediate Gachsaran detachment level and the lack of reflections imaging the Anaran anticline forelimb in newly acquired seismic lines preclude the understanding of the geometry of the fold at depth. We propose a geometric and evolution model for the Anaran anticline with the help of sand box models and growth strata ages. We also explore the potential effects of erosion and sedimentation coeval to folding in the development of the Anaran anticline. The characteristic geometry of the Anaran anticline is directly related to its singular position on top of the Mountain Front Flexure. However, the proposed model may be applied to other folds on top of this major basement-related thrust as for example the Siah Kuh and Khaviz anticlines in Pusht-e Kuh Arc and Dezful Embayment domains.

The magnetostratigraphy dating technique applied to the syntectonic detrital sediments of the Agha Jari Formation in two locations across the Pusht-e Kuh Arc. The first location is the Afrineh syncline in the center part of the folded belt displaying field evidences of growth strata in the uppermost part of the Agha Jari Formation. The second section is located in front of the High Zagros Fault across the Chaman Goli

syncline with the same stratigraphy as in Afrineh syncline. The magnetostrigraphy dating technique in this study provides with the ages of the Agha Jari units and permits to constrain the sequence of the folding associated to growth strata across this particular part of the Zagros fold belt. Both sections are showing good paleomagnetic results with sequence of normal and reverse polarity intervals. They show very good correlation to the Global Polarity Time Scale. The correlation to the GPTS shows the base of the growth in Afrineh syncline dated at about 11.8 Ma. The onlap geometry in Afrineh syncline is indication of deformation pulse associated to the folding. This phase of folding is about 5.4 ± 0.5 Ma earlier than folding in the front of the Pusht-e Kuh Arc. The correlation of the magnetic polarity sequence to the GPTS shows age of ~ 13.9 Ma in Afrineh and ~ 17.2 Ma in Chaman Goli synclines for the base of the Agha Jari Formation. It shows that both Agha Jari and Bakhtyari prograde from hinterland to foreland in agreement to other foreland basin in the World. The age of the folding becomes younger towards the foreland and therefore implying a foreland ward sequence of deformation that started at about 20 Ma in hinterland, reached the frontal folds at about 7.6 Ma and continued to about 2.5-1.5 Ma.

Table of content

1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION:	3
1.1 TECTONIC SETTING OF THE ARABIAN PLATE	3
1.2 MORPHO-TECTONIC UNITS OF THE ZAGROS OROGENIC BELT	4
1.3 GEODYNAMIC EVOLUTION AND TECTONO-STRATIGRAPHIC SETTING OF THE ZAGROS FOLD AND THRUST BELT	6
1.4 ZAGROS OROGENY AND PETROLEUM SYSTEM	11
1.5 THE PUSHT-E KUH ARC, LURESTAN STRATIGRAPHY PROVINCE,	13
1.6 OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY	17
2. FOLD SHAPE ANALYSIS AND STRUCTURAL INTERPRETATION OF ZANGUL ANTICLINE IN PUSHT-E KUH ARC LURESTAN AREA (ZAGROS, IRAN):	29
2.1 INTRODUCTION	29
2.2 OBJECTIVES	30
2.3 THE ZAGROS MOUNTAIN BELT	31
2.4 THE ZANGUL ANTICLINE.....	34
2.4.1 Location.....	34
2.4.2 Morphology and geology.....	34
2.5 CROSS-SECTION CONSTRUCTION (METHODOLOGY)	41
2.5.1 NE-SW cross-sections.....	42
2.5.2 Longitudinal cross-sections.....	51
2.6 ZANGUL ANTICLINE 3D VISUALIZATION.....	53
2.7 DISCUSSION	55
2.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	56
3. STRUCTURE OF THE MOUNTAIN FRONT FLEXURE ALONG THE ANARAN ANTICLINE IN THE PUSHT-E KUH ARC (NW ZAGROS, IRAN): INSIGHTS FROM SAND BOX MODELS	61
3.1 ABSTRACT	61
3.2 INTRODUCTION	61
3.3 THE STRATIGRAPHY OF THE PUSHT-E KUH ARC	64
3.4 THE MOUNTAIN FRONT FLEXURE AND THE ANARAN ANTICLINE.....	66
3.5 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	73
3.6 ANALOGUE MODEL RESULTS.....	76
3.6.1 Model 1.....	76
3.6.2 Model 2.....	77
3.6.3 Model 3.....	78
3.6.4 Model 4.....	78
3.7 DISCUSSION	81
3.7.1 The geometry of the Anaran anticline at surface and depth.....	81
3.7.2 The geometry of the normal faulting	83
3.7.3 The role of the syntectonic sedimentation and erosion	84
3.7.4 Evolution of the Anaran anticline.....	85
3.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	89
4. TIMING AND SEQUENCE OF FOLDING IN THE NW ZAGROS, IRAN CONSTRAINED BY MAGNETOSTRATIGRAPHY	95
4.1 INTRODUCTION	95
4.2 TIMING OF ZAGROS COLLISION: A REVIEW	96
4.3 FORELAND STRATIGRAPHY AND LOCATION STUDY	98
4.3.1 Afrineh syncline.....	100
4.3.2 Chaman Goli syncline	105
4.4 MAGNETOSTRATIGRAPHY	110
4.4.1 Sampling strategy.....	110
4.4.2 Paleomagnetic analysis.....	111
4.4.3 Correlation with the Geomagnetic Polarity Time Scale.....	118
4.5 RESULTS	122
4.5.1 Age of Gachsaran, Agha Jari and Bakhtyari formations	122

4.5.2 <i>Timing of deformation in Afrineh and Chaman Goli synclines</i>	124
4.6 DISCUSSION: SEQUENCE OF FOLDING AND FORELAND BARN EVOLUTION IN ZAGROS FOLD BELT .	125
4.7 CONCLUSIONS	129
5. SUMMARY	135
5.1 FOLD SHAPE ANALYSIS AND STRUCTURAL INTERPRETATION	135
5.2 ANALOGUE MODELS AND MOUNTAIN FRONT FAULT	136
5.3 MAGNETOSTRATIGRAPHY AND TIMING OF DEFORMATION	137
5.3.1 <i>Afrineh syncline</i>	137
5.3.2 <i>Chaman Goli syncline</i>	138
5.4 FUTURE WORK.....	139
REFERENCES.....	143
RESUMEN EN CASTELLANO.....	155

Table of figures

Chapter 1. General introduction

Fig. 1	Major tectonic elements of the Arabian and Iranian plates	3
Fig. 2	Zagros Fold and Thrust belt and structural division	6
Fig. 3	Tectonic evolution of the Paleo-Tethys and Neo-Tethys as well as the Position of Arabian and Iranian plates during the Paleozoic-Mesozoic	9
Fig. 4	Maps showing the evolution of the Neo-Tethys	10
Fig. 5	Stratigraphy and source rocks-reservoir-seal relationships for the Dezful Embayment and the adjacent areas	12
Fig. 6	Geological map of the Pusht-e Kuh Arc	16
Fig. 7	Stratigraphy and mechanical units in the Pusht-e Kuh Arc	17
Fig. 8	Workflow shows the structure of the study, different objectives and methodology	18
Fig. 9	Workflow showing the methodology has been used in Chapter 2	20
Fig. 10	Workflow showing the application of the analogue models	22
Fig. 11	Construction of vector component diagram, from Butler (1992)	24
Fig. 12	Workflow showing the application of the magnetostratigraphy in chapter 4	25

Chapter 2. Fold shape analysis and structural interpretation of Zangul anticline

Fig. 13	Geological map of the Pusht-e Kuh Arc and location of the Zangul anticline	32
Fig. 14	Simplified stratigraphic column of the Lurestan stratigraphy province	33
Fig. 15	Geological map of the Zangul anticline	36
Fig. 16	3D view of the Zangul anticline toward the NW	37
Fig. 17	Equal area stereo plot of the bedding attitude of the Zangul anticline	38
Fig. 18	A-E Close view pictures of the Zangul anticline	39
Fig. 19	Geological map with location of the cross-sections and longitudinal sections	44
Fig. 20	Serial cross sections (1 to 11) from SE to NW of the Zangul anticline	45
Fig. 21	Longitudinal sections along the axial trace of the Zangul anticline and the synclines	52
Fig. 22	3D Geometry of the Zangul anticline for base Asmari and top Ilam formations	54

Chapter 3. Structure of the Mountain Front Flexure along the Anaran anticline

Fig. 23	Typical foreland basement-involved thrust fault	62
Fig. 24	Tectonic map of the Zagros Fold Belt showing the position and geometry of the Mountain Front Flexure	63
Fig. 25	Simplified stratigraphic column of the Lurestan Province	65
Fig. 26	Morphotectonic transects across the Dezful Embayment and Pusht-e Kuh Arc domains	67
Fig. 27	Map view of the Anaran anticline	70
Fig. 28	Two 3-D views of the Anaran anticline from the south	71
Fig. 29	Field pictures of the Anaran anticline	72
Fig. 30	Diagrams showing the initial configuration of analogue models	74
Fig. 31	Models 1 and 2 show the final stages of shortening corresponding to 13.6% (7.5 cm)	77
Fig. 32	Map and cross-section composition for Model 3	79
Fig. 33	Map and cross-section composition for Model 4	80
Fig. 34	Proposed model for the Anaran anticline and Mountain Front Flexure	82
Fig. 35	Proposed evolution for the Central Anaran anticline above the Mountain Front Flexure	88

Chapter 4. Timing and sequence of folding in the NW Zagros, Iran constrained by magetostratigraphy

Fig. 36	Tectonic map of NW Zagros to show the location of Afrineh and Chaman Goli growth synclines	98
Fig. 37	Simplified stratigraphy of the Lurestan Province (present Pusht-e Kuh Arc)	100
Fig. 38	Geological Map of the Afrineh syncline	102
Fig. 39	Stratigraphic section for top Gachsaran and Agha Jari formations in Afrineh syncline	103
Fig. 40	Helicopter and field views of the north-eastern limb of the Afrineh growth syncline	104
Fig. 41	Geological cross-section AA' crossing the Afrineh growth syncline	105
Fig. 42	Geological map of the Chaman Goli syncline in the footwall of the High Zagros Fault	107
Fig. 43	Field pictures showing the complex structure of the Chaman Goli syncline in the footwall of the High Zagros Fault	108
Fig. 44	Stratigraphic section for Agha Jari and Bakhtyari formations in Chaman Goli syncline	109
Fig. 45	Geological cross-section across the Chaman Goli syncline	110
Fig. 46	Demagnetization vector endpoint diagrams of representative samples from Afrineh and Chaman Goli synclines	113
Fig. 47	Equal Area stereographic projection of the ChRM directions of the Afrineh and Chaman Goli syncline sections	114
Fig. 48	Local Magnetic Polarity Stratigraphy of the Afrineh syncline section	116
Fig. 49	Local Magnetic Polarity Stratigraphy of the Chaman Goli syncline section	117

Fig. 50	Correlation of the Afrineh Local Magnetic Polarity Stratigraphy with the GPTS	120
Fig. 51	Correlation of the Chaman Goli Local Magnetic Polarity Stratigraphy with the GPTS	121
Fig. 52	Rates of sediment accumulation derived from the correlation of the LMPS with the GPTS	122
Fig. 53	Plots showing the age of Gachsaran, Agha Jari and Bakhtyari formations as well as the ages of pre-growth and growth units	124
Fig. 54	Magnetic polarity correlation for study successions to the GPTS in addition to Zarrinabad (Pusht-e Kuh Arc) and Changuleh (foreland) successions	128
Table I	Mean directions and Fisher statistics from Afrineh and Chaman Goli sections	115