Dra. Pilar Ramírez de la Piscina *Departament de Química Inorgànica* 



## Treball Final de Grau

**Preparation and characterization of TiO2-based photocatalysts**

**Preparació i caracterització de fotocatalitzadors basats en TiO<sup>2</sup>**

Mireia Broch Gösser

*June 2014* 





*The future belongs to people who see possibilities before they become obvious.* 

Ted Levitt

En primer lloc vull agrair a tot l'equip d'Investigació de Materials Catalítics del Departament d'Inorgànica de la UB poder realitzar aquest treball amb ells. En particular a Pilar Ramírez de la Piscina, que m'ha guiat amb els seus alts coneixements en el món de la catàlisi. Al realitzar aquest treball he participat en part de la tesi del doctorand Alberto Córdoba Sola, al qual agraeixo moltíssim la ajuda que m'ha donat i, juntament amb els altres membres de l'equip, ha fet que la estància al TFG hagi estat encara més agradable.

En segon lloc agraeixo el suport i ànims que em donen la meva família i els meus amics. Amb ells al costat és sempre més fàcil.

> Aquesta obra esta subjecta a la llicència de: Reconeixement–NoComercial-SenseObraDerivada



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/es/

# **REPORT**

### **CONTENTS**



### **1. SUMMARY**

TiO2 has become one of the most promising photocatalysts for hydrogen production based on the water splitting reaction. The photocatalytic activity of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  depends on its crystalline phase, crystallinity, crystallite size and surface area. Moreover, there are different ways to increase the photocatalytic activity of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  such as coupling it with noble metals or adding other semiconductors.

In this research work,  $0.5\%$ Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>-x%M (M=In, Ga, Fe, Ru and x=0,19-1,26) photocatalysts have been prepared with two types of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  with similar textural characteristics but different crystalline phase. The study has particularly focused on the photocatalysts containing indium and gallium. Afterwards, the photocatalysts have been characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman Spectroscopy, Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) method, UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS), temperature-programmed reduction-H2 (TPR) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).

The characteristics of the catalysts that have been studied are structural, textural and superficial properties, the band gap and the reduction behaviour.

**Keywords**: TiO2, photocatalysts, water splitting, band-gap, bimetallic catalysts, incipient wetness impregnation

### **2. RESUM**

TiO2 s'ha convertit en un dels fotocatalitzadors més prometedors per la producció d'hidrogen basada en la reacció water splitting. L'activitat fotocatalítica de TiO<sub>2</sub> depèn de la seva fase cristal·lina, la cristal·linitat, la mida de cristall i l'àrea superficial. A més a més, existeixen diferents maneres d'incrementar l'activitat fotocatalítica de TiO2, tal com acoblar-la amb metalls nobles o afegir altres semiconductors.

En aquest treball s'han preparat fotocatalitzadors del tipus  $0.5\%$ Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>-x%M (M=In, Ga, Fe, Ru i  $x=0,19-1,26$ ) amb dos tipus de TiO<sub>2</sub> amb característiques texturals semblants però diferent fase cristal·lina. L'estudi s'ha centrat particularment en els fotocatalitzadors amb indi i gal·li. Posteriorment s'han caracteritzat per difracció de raig-X (XRD), espectroscòpia Raman, mètode de Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET), espectroscòpia de reflectància difusa UV–Vis (DRS), reducció a temperatura programada-H2 (TPR) i espectroscòpia fotoelectrònica de raig-X (XPS).

Les característiques dels catalitzadors que s'han estudiat són propietats estructurals, texturals i superficials, el band gap i el comportament de reducció.

**Paraules clau:** TiO<sub>2</sub>, fotocatalitzadors, water splitting, band-gap, catalitzadors bimetàl·lics, impregnació d'humitat incipient

### **3. INTRODUCTION**

### **3.1. WATER SPLITTING**

Light-driven water splitting to produce hydrogen is a promising way to solve the increasing global concerns on fossil energy and climate problems [1]. Since the discovery of Honda and Fujishima that H<sub>2</sub>O could be decomposed into H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> with a photoelectrochemical cell consisting of Pt and TiO<sub>2</sub> electrodes under a small electric bias, photocatalytic generation of  $H_2$ over TiO2 based photocatalyst has been extensively studied [2].



**Figure 1.a. Watter splitting reaction**

In Figure 1.a [2] the water-splitting process on a semiconductor photocatalyst is represented. Semiconductors possess an empty region in which no energy levels are available, called band gap (Eg), which is located between the highest occupied energy band, called valence band (VB), and the lowest empty band, called conduction band (CB). When the energy of an irradiated photon is larger than Eg, electrons (e) can be excited from the VB into the CB, leaving holes (h<sup>+</sup> ) in the VB. Whether or not the water-splitting reaction then occurs is determined by the electronic band structure of the photocatalyst. For water reduction, the CB potential must be more negative than the H+ reduction potential of  $H^+$ / $H_2$  [0.0 V vs. the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE)]. To facilitate the oxidation of water by h+, the VB edge must be more positive than the oxidation potential of  $O<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O$  [1.23 V vs. NHE]. Considering this, the theoretical minimal band gap for water splitting is 1.23 eV, which corresponds to a wavelength

of about 1010 nm. Although theoretically about 70% of solar photons can be used for water splitting, in practice, considering energy losses, this amount decreases considerably [2]. After the generation of e and h<sup>+</sup>, the steps that follow are their separation, migration from the bulk to the surface, and the actual water-splitting reaction on the surface. The charge transport efficiency is strongly affected by the crystal structure, crystallinity, and crystallite size of the sample. High crystallinity and small crystallite size can decrease the probability of recombination of e- and h+ [2].

### **3.2. TiO<sup>2</sup>**

TiO2 can crystallize in three different structures: anatase, rutile, and brookite. The first and second phases have most often been used as photocatalysts for hydrogen production [3]. For bulk TiO2, the band gaps of anatase and rutile are 3.2 and 3.0 eV [2]. Although rutile should theoretically show more photoactivity for hydrogen generation, it has been proved in many investigations that it is higher using the anatase phase. This is due to their different electronic band structures: the CB potential of rutile coincides almost with the NHE potential, whereas that of anatase is shifted cathodically by almost 0.2 V. Therefore, the driving force for water reduction is very small for rutile while the reduction takes place more easily in the anatase form. The photocatalytic activity of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  for  $H<sub>2</sub>$  evolution can be enormously improved by good selection of the structure, the crystallinity, the crystallite size, and the surface area of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and

#### **3.2.1. TiO2 modifications**

doping it with noble metals ar adding other semiconductors.

There are some drawbacks that make the photocatalytic activity of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  very low: first of all, the required large overpotential for the evolution of  $H_2$  and  $O_2$ . Second, the fast recombination of photoinduced e- and h<sup>+</sup> before migrating to the surface to split water (about 90% of photogenerated carriers recombine after excitation) [4]. Third, the inability to make use of visible light as the band gap of TiO<sub>2</sub> is about 3.2 eV and only ca. 4–5% of the solar light can be used for  $H_2$  production, while the other ca. 40% of visible light can not be utilized [5].

Therefore, there are many possible modifications of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  such as coupling with noble metals, doping  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  with other ions, coupling with other semiconductors and adding sacrificial reagents to the reaction solution [2]. Some of the possible strategies to increase the photoactivity of TiO<sub>2</sub> are described in more detail below.

Decreasing the overpotential for  $H_2$  evolution can be achieved by loading TiO<sub>2</sub> with co-catalysts of noble metals, such as Pt, Pd, Au, Rh, and Ag. These metals have a low overpotential for hydrogen, which can make it easier to generate  $H_2$ . The metals can thus serve as active sites for H<sub>2</sub> production on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface (as shown in Figure 1.b [2] where Pt and RuO<sub>2</sub> have been added).The lower the overpotential of the metal, the higher the activity it shows. Among the cited metals, Pt is the most widely used because it has the lowest overpotential and shows the highest activity for  $H_2$  generation [6].



**Figure 1.b. Water splitting process of TiO2 loaded with Pt and RuO<sup>2</sup>**

The process of recombination of the carriers  $($  e and  $h<sup>+</sup>)$  can occur in two different processes: in the charge transfer from the bulk to an active site or during interfacial charge transfer from an active site to an H2O molecule. The transportation rate can be improved by increasing the crystallinity of TiO<sub>2</sub> or by loading TiO<sub>2</sub> with noble metals, such as Pt. This noble metal helps to inhibit the recombination of the carriers by acting as an electron trap [7]. The survival time of the charges on the surface can be prolonged by coupling  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  with other semiconductors or by adding sacrificial reagents to the reaction solution [2].

Semiconductors that possess different redox energy levels, on account of their corresponding CB and VB, can help to achieve a more efficient charge separation. When  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and the semiconductor are activated by light, photoinduced e would be injected from the semiconductor with a more negative CB level to the positive one, while  $h$ + would be transferred from the semiconductor with a more positive VB level to the negative on, as can be seen in a general process in Figure 1.c [8]. Therefore, a wide separation of photoinduced charges is achieved, which consequently increases their lifetime as well as the efficiency of the interfacial charge transfer to water. Some semiconductors that have been satisfactory used are  $In_2O_3$ , Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CdS, ZnO, SiC, Cu<sub>2</sub>O, CuO, SnO<sub>2</sub>, WO<sub>3</sub>, V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and others.



**Figure 1.c. Charge separation in In2O3/TiO2 semiconductor combination system** 

Another way to enhance the photocatalytic activity of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  is adding a sacrificial reagent to the reaction solution. These can be electron donors or acceptors, which are more easily oxidized or reduced. Sacrificial agents that act as hole scavengers are, for example, organic compounds such as methanol, ethanol, lactic acid, formaldehyde, CN- and EDTA, as well as some biomass-derived carbohydrates. The chemical reaction that occurs when a sacrificial agent such as methanol is present in the reaction solution is the oxidation of CH<sub>3</sub>OH by  $h<sup>+</sup>$ , and the following reduction of  $H^+$  by  $e^-$ .

Considering the possible improvements that can be done on  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , the aim of this work is to prepare and characterize TiO2-based photocatalysts adding 0.5 wt% of Pt and different content of M (M=Ga, In, Fe, Ru)

Once the modifications of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  have been analyzed, the photocatalysts will be tested in reaction within the framework of the PhD of Alberto Córdoba Sola.

### **3.3. PHOTOCATALYST CHARACTERIZATION TECHNIQUES**

In order to study the modifications done on  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , there are many possible characterization techniques that can be carried out on the samples. The relevant information that can be obtained from each technique will be explained in the next paragraphs:

#### **3.3.1. Powder X-ray diffraction**

XRD is a technique used to identify bulk phases and to estimate crystallite size. The crystalline atoms cause a beam of incident X-rays to diffract into many specific directions. The angles and intensities of the diffracted beams are measured [9]. From this information diffraction patterns can be obtained, and there is one for each material that presents crystallinity. These diffraction patterns can be found in different XRD databases.

 In this work, XRD has been used to identify the crystalline phases in the catalysts: anatase, rutile and brookite for  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and other possible phases of the added compounds; calculate the crystallite size and determine the anatase/rutile ratio.

#### **3.3.2. BET**

The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) theory serves to explain the physical adsorption of gas molecules on a solid surface, which is used to obtain the surface area, the pore size distribution and the pore volume of the material. This theory is based on the Langmuir equation which is applied on monolayer molecular adsorption, and the BET extends to multilayer adsorption with the following hypotheses: (a) gas molecules physically adsorb on a solid in layers infinitely; (b) there is no interaction between each adsorption layer; and (c) the Langmuir theory can be applied to each layer.

 The specific surface area of a material is determined by physical adsorption (Van der Waals attraction) of a gas, such as  $N_2$  at a temperature in which  $N_2$  is liquid, on the surface of the solid, and by calculating the amount of adsorbate gas corresponding to a monomolecular layer

on the surface. The amount of gas adsorbed can be measured by volumetric or continuous flow procedure [9], [10], [11].

Adsorption isotherms are obtained by measuring the amount of gas adsorbed across a wide range of relative pressures at a constant temperature (usually  $77K$  for  $N_2$ ). Desorption isotherms are achieved by measuring gas removed as pressure is reduced.

The BET equation (eq. 1) to describe specific surface area is [12]:

$$
\frac{p}{n^a \cdot (p^o - p)} = \frac{1}{n_m^a \cdot C} + \frac{(C-1) \cdot p}{n_m^a \cdot C \cdot p^o}
$$

**Equation 1. BET**

Where: n<sup>a</sup> is the amount adsorbed at the relative pressure  $p/p^{\circ}$  and  $n^{\circ}$  is the monolayer capacity.

#### **3.3.3.Ultraviolet-visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy**

This technique can refer to absorption spectroscopy or reflectance spectroscopy in the ultraviolet-visible spectral region. From the UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra it is possible to calculate the band gap, this is, the energy difference between the top of the valence gap and the bottom of the conduction band; e are able to jump from one band to another. The value of the band gap is very significant in semiconductor materials, specifically in this work it is aimed to decrease the band gap for the reasons explained in the introduction. The UV-vis reflectance has been done on the bulk supports and on the modified samples, in order to study the band gap modification.

#### **3.3.4. Raman spectroscopy**

Raman is a spectroscopic technique based on inelastic scattering of monochromatic light, usually from a laser source. Inelastic scattering means that the frequency of photons in monochromatic light changes upon interaction with a sample. Photons of the laser light are absorbed by the sample and then reemitted. Frequency of the reemitted photons is shifted up or down in comparison with original monochromatic frequency, which is called the Raman

effect. This shift provides information about vibrational, rotational and other low frequency transitions in molecules [13].

Raman was carried out in this work as a complementary technique to XRD to identify the crystalline phases of the catalysts and to study the possible presence of crystalline phases in the formation of new species.

### **3.3.5. Temperature-programmed reduction-H<sup>2</sup>**

Technique that gives the  $H_2$  consumption required to reduce the reducible species in the given conditions present in the sample and reveals the temperature at which the reduction of each species occurs.

A TPR analysis consists in flowing a gas (typically hydrogen in an inert carrier gas such as nitrogen or argon) through the sample usually at RT. While the gas is flowing, the temperature of the sample is increased with time and the consumption of hydrogen by adsorption/reaction is monitored. Changes in the concentration of the gas mixture downstream from the reactor are determined. This information yields to the total consumed volume of  $H_2$  [9], [14].

In this work TPR has been executed in order to determine the reduction temperature of platinum and to study the possible reduction of other species that are present in the photocatalysts.

### **3.3.6. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy**

XPS is one of the most widely used surface analysis technique. It consists in the irradiation of the sample with mono-energetic X-rays causing photoelectrons to be emitted from the sample surface; it is only used for surface analysis due to the short range of the photoelectrons that are excited from the solid. The binding energy is obtained from the equation:  $E_k = hV - E_b - \phi$ , based on the photoelectric effect: an atom absorbs a photon of energy hν; a core or valence electron with binding energy  $E_b$  is ejected with kinetic energy, and  $\phi$  is the work function of the spectrometer [9], [15]. From the BE and intensity of a photoelectron peak, the elemental identity, chemical state, and content of an element are determined. The shape of each peak and the BE can be slightly altered by the chemical state of the emitting atom.

XPS has been performed in this work in order to study the surface composition. The atomic ratios have been obtained from the XPS spectra and the sensitivity factors which are characteristic of each atom and each level.

#### **3.3.7. Inductively coupled plasma spectrometry**

ICP analytical techniques can quantitatively measure the elemental content of a material from the ppt to the wt% range. Solid samples are dissolved or digested in a liquid, usually an acidic aqueous solution. The sample solution is sprayed into the core of an inductively coupled argon plasma, which reaches temperatures of aprox. 8000ºC. At such temperatures, all analyte species are atomized, ionized and thermally excited, and they can then be detected and quantified with an emission spectrometer (OES) [16].

ICP has been used in order to determine the exact wt% of the deposited metals.

### **4. OBJECTIVES**

Taking into account the possible modifications that can be done on  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  in order to increase its photocatalytic activity and knowing the techniques that can be useful to characterize the catalysts, the main objectives of this work are:

-To prepare 0.5wt%Pt/TiO2-M photocatalysts (M=In, Ga, Fe, Ru), using two TiO2 supports with similar textural characteristics but different crystaline phase composition. The M content is in the range 0.4-2.4 atoms per nm<sup>2</sup> of support.

-To study the structural, textural and superficial characteristics, the band gap modification and the reduction behaviour of the photocatalysts using the characterization techniques XRD, Raman spectroscopy, BET, TPR, DRS and XPS.

### **5. EXPERIMENTAL**

### **5.1. CHEMICALS**

- -TiO2 Degussa P25
- -TiO2 Sigma Aldrich
- -H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O
- $-In(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O$
- $-Ga(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O$
- $-Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O$
- -RuCl<sup>3</sup>
- -Water HPLC grade

### **5.2. PREPARATION OF PHOTOCATALYSTS**

In this work, 9 different photocatalysts have been prepared (weight: 3 g) using commercial  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ as a support in two different forms: Degussa P25 (anatase:rutile ratio 4:1) and Sigma Aldrich (anatase). All the samples contain around 0.5 wt% of Pt and different weight percentage of indium, gallium, iron or ruthenium.

They have been named following the next pattern: Pt/A-xM or Pt/AR-xM where A is Sigma Aldrich TiO<sub>2</sub> and AR is Degussa P25 TiO<sub>2</sub>; M=In, Ga, Fe or Ru and x is the wt% of M in the range 0.19-1.26 %.

The photocatalysts have been prepared by the incipient wetness impregnation, which consists in filling all the pores of the support with the metal precursor aqueous solution.

The first step is the impregnation of the support with the solution that contains the precursor of the co-dopant M (M=In, Ga, Fe, Ru) equivalent to the desired wt%. After that, the photocatalyst is dried in the oven at 80 $^{\circ}$ C for 2h, and finally it is calcined at 400 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min, with a heating rate of 100ºC/h. The second step is the impregnation with the solution of the precursor of platinum equivalent to 0.5 wt%. Afterwards, it is dried at 80ºC for 1 h, and finally it is calcined at 400 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h with a heating rate of  $5^{\circ}$ C/min.

### **5.3. CHARACTERIZATION EQUIPMENTS AND CONDITIONS**

#### **5.3.1 ICP**

The content of Pt, In, Ga, Fe, Ru and  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  was determined by inductively-coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry using a Perkin Elmer Optima 3200RL equipment.

### **5.3.2 X-ray diffraction**

X-ray diffraction analysis of the samples was performed on a Xpert PRO-diffractometer equipped with a CuKα radiation source ( $\lambda$ =1.5406 Å) and a graphite monochromator. The XRD patterns were collected between 2θ=20<sup>°</sup>-100<sup>°</sup>, with a step width of 0.05<sup>°</sup> counting 3 s at each step.

The average crystallite size was estimated using the Scherrer equation,  $D = k \cdot \lambda / \beta_{20} \cos \theta_{\text{max}}$ , where k is a constant which depends on the morphology of the crystalline phases presented (k=1),  $\lambda$  is the X-ray wavelength,  $\beta_{2\theta}$  is the width of the anatase (1 0 1) and rutile (1 1 0) diffraction peaks at half-height, and cos  $\theta_{\text{max}}$  is the Bragg angle at the peak maximum position [17].

The rutile/anatase ratio can be also calculated from the XRD data according to the formula [18]:

$$
W_{\rm R} = \frac{A_{\rm R}}{0.884A_{\rm A} + A_{\rm R}}
$$

#### **Equation 2. Percentage of rutile phase**

Where  $W_R$  is the percentage of rutile, and  $A_A$  and  $A_R$  are, respectively, the integrated areas of the (1 0 1) peak of anatase and the (1 1 0) peak of rutile.

### **5.3.3 Nitrogen adsorption/desorption**

According to the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) approaches, specific surface areas, pore volumes and pore size distribution of the powder samples were determined by  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77 K using a Micromeritics TriStar II 3000 apparatus. The degasification of the sample was carried out at 250ºC for 5h under  $N_2$  flow.

### **5.3.4 UV–vis diffuse reflectance**

The UV–Vis spectra of the samples were obtained with a PerkinElmer Lambda 950 UV/Vis Spectrometer with a 3 nm slit width, and a speed of  $654.92$  nm·min<sup>-1</sup>. BaSO<sub>4</sub> was used as reference.

The reflectance spectra were analyzed using the Kubelka-Munk formalism to convert the reflectance into the equivalent absorption coefficient, where  $R \approx$  is the reflectance of an infinitely thick sample with respect to a reference at each wavelength.

$$
F(R_{\infty}) = \frac{(1-R_{\infty})^2}{2R_{\infty}}
$$

**Equation 3. Kubelka-Munk formalism**

Band gap values of all photocatalysts have been calculated by linearization of the slope to the X axis (wavelength, nm) with the Y axis ((F(R∞)·hv)<sup>n</sup>). This process for obtaining Band Gap is called Tauc Plot, where n=1/2 denotes a directly allowed transition [19].

### **5.3.5 Raman spectroscopy**

The Raman spectra of the samples were measured using a Jobin-YvonLabRam HR 800 in micro-Raman mode equipped with a CCD detector coupled with an Olympus BXFM optical microscope. The samples were excited with the 532 nm solid state laser. The spectrum acquisition consisted in 3 accumulations of 5 s for each sample. The samples were studied at 50x magnification. Laser power was limited at 1.5 mW.

### **5.3.6 TPR**

Temperature programmed H2-reduction was performed using a Micromeritcs Autochem II 2920 system. The reduction profiles were obtained by passing a flow with  $10\%$  H<sub>2</sub>/Ar at a rate of 50 cm<sup>3</sup> ·min-1 (STP) through the sample (around 50 mg) placed in a U-shaped quartz reactor. The temperature was increased from 253 K to 973 K at a heating rate of 10  $\degree$  min-1, and the amount of hydrogen consumed was determined on a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) as a function of temperature.

### **6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 lists the characteristics of the supports A and AR, and the prepared photocatalysts. The content of Pt and the co-doped M has been obtained by ICP. As can be observed, the wt% of Pt that has been obtained in all the samples is near the desired value.

<b>Name</b>	wt% of Pt	$wt\%$ of M	M atoms /nm <sup>2</sup> TiO <sub>2</sub>	<b>Crystallite</b> size (nm) <b>Anatase/Rutile</b>	$\%$ wt of <b>Rutile</b> phase	<b>Surface</b> area (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	Pore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	<b>Band</b> gap (eV)
A (Aldrich)	$\overline{a}$	$\blacksquare$	ä,	$26/-$	45.0		0.27	3.20
AR (P25)	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\blacksquare$	۰	26/49	14.4%	51.3	0.22	3.04
Pt/A-1.14In	0.49	1.14	1.3	$26/-$	45.9 $\blacksquare$		0.24	3.15
Pt/A-1.43In	0.44	1.43	1.7	$26/-$	45.7 $\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$		0.23	3.13
Pt/AR-1.90In	0.42	1.9	1.8	27/48	13.5%	45.7	0.25	2.95
Pt/A-0.19Ga	0.51	0.19	0.4	$26/-$	٠	45.7	0.24	3.14
Pt/A-0.61Ga	0.47	0.61	1.2	$26/-$	ä,	45.5	0.24	3.15
Pt/A-1.26Ga	0.45	1.26	2.4	$26/-$	$\blacksquare$	44.5	0.24	3.15
<b>Pt/AR-0.77Ga</b>	0.47	0.77	1.2	27/47	14.2%	47.0	0.28	2.93
Pt/A-0.75Fe	0.48	0.75	1.8	$25/-$	ä,	44.9	0.24	2.96
Pt/A-0.29Ru	0.46	0.29	0.4	$26/-$	$\blacksquare$	46.2	0.26	3.01

**Table 1. Characteristics of supports and photocatalysts** 

Pt/A-0.19Ga Pt/A-1.26Ga ntensity (u.a.) Intensity (u.a.) Pt/A-0.61Ga Pt/A-1.14In Pt/A-1.43In ♨  $\phi$  0  $\dot{Q}$  $\circ$   $\circ$ C  $\infty$ Ó  $\circ$ o A (Aldrich)  $9<sub>o</sub>$ ά 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100  $2 \theta$  (°)

In Figure 2.a, 2.b and 2.c the XRD patterns of the supports A and AR, and the obtained photocatalysts are shown.

**Figure 2.a. XRD pattern of A, Pt/A-Ga and Pt/A-In samples**



**Figure 2.b. XRD pattern of A, Pt/A-Fe and Pt/A-Ru samples**



**Figure 2.c. XRD pattern of AR, Pt/AR-In and Pt/AR-Ga samples**

In the XRD patterns of A and all the Pt/A-M samples (Figure 2.a and 2.b), the characteristic peaks of the anatase phase can be found. The most intense peaks are marked with a circle symbol (JCPDS 00-021-1272). Moreover, a peak of the rutile phase with a very low intensity at  $27.5^\circ$  can be seen; it is marked with a square symbol. (JCPDS 01-077-0446). As can be observed, the intensity of the rutile peak is not altered by the metal deposition. Moreover, in the Pt/A-0.29Ru XRD pattern, there are also two minor peaks present that correspond to  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ , at 28º and 35º (JCPDS 00-021-1172).

In the XRD spectra of AR and the Pt/AR-M samples, the most intense peaks of both phases anatase and rutile are present, marked with "circle" and "square". The weight fraction of rutile of the support and the catalysts has been calculated [18], and it has not been altered after the metal deposition. No other peaks corresponding to possible compounds of the deposited metals have been found in the XRD patterns of the catalysts.

The crystallite size of the anatase and rutile phase of the samples has been calculated on the basis of the full widths at half-maximum of the anatase peak (101) and the rutile peak (110) [17]. The values are shown in Table 1. In the A and AR based samples, the crystallite size of the anatase phase has barely changed respect the one of the support (26 nm). When it comes to the crystallite size of the rutile phase, which is quite larger than the anatase phase crystallite size (~47 nm) [20], this has not been altered by adding Pt and In or Ga. It can be concluded that the metal deposition in this wt% range does not affect the crystallite size of the support, nor the anatase/rutile ratio.

Raman spectroscopy has been performed on the photocatalysts as a complementary technique to XRD in order to identify the crystalline phases. Figure 3.a, 3.b (see Appendix) and 3.c show the Raman spectra of A, AR and the prepared photocatalysts.



**Figure 3.a. Raman spectra of A, Pt/A-In and Pt/A-Ga samples**



In the Raman spectra of A and the Pt/A-M samples, the characteristic bands of the anatase phase are clearly appreciable: 142 (Eg), 195 (Eg), 395 (B1g), 515 and 637 (Eg) [21]. No rutile phase bands are present.

In Figure 4.3 the Raman spectra of AR and the samples Pt/AR-1.9In and Pt/AR-0.77Ga can be observed. Both anatase and rutile phase bands are appreciable. The bands corresponding to the rutile phase appear at  $\sim$  446 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $\sim$  610 cm<sup>-1</sup> [22].

From the Raman spectra of the samples, it can be concluded that the deposition of metals and the posterior calcination have not changed the anatase/rutile ratio. Otherwise, the formation of any other possible compound, as could be an oxide of these metals, has not been observed. These facts confirm what has been seen in XRD.

Figure 4.a, **4.b** and **4.c** (see Appendix) show the  $N_2$  adsorption/desorption isotherms of the supports A and AR, and the prepared photocatalysts.



**Figure 4.a. N2 adsorption/desorption isotherm of A, Pt/A-In and Pt/A-Ga samples**

It can be considered that the isotherm of the supports and the prepared photocatalysts is Type IV, according to the IUPAC [12]. The distinctive feature of this type of isotherm is the presence of a hysteresis loop. This type of isotherm is characteristic of mesoporous solids. The hysteresis loop is associated with capillary condensation taking place in mesopores, and the limiting uptake over a range of high  $p/p^{\circ}$ . The initial part of the isotherm is attributed to monolayer-multilayer adsorption.

The hysteresis loop of both supports and the Pt/A-M and Pt/AR-M photocatalysts is type H1 ( IUPAC). Type H1 is often given for adsorbents with a narrow distribution of uniform pores [23]. However, the shape of the hysteresis loop of AR is slightly different; this could be related to the pore size distribution, which is wider for AR, as seen in Figure 5.c.

Figure 5.a, 5.b (see Appendix) and 5.c show the pore size distribution of A, AR and the prepared photocatalysts; these have been represented from the BJH Desorption dV/dlog(D) Pore Volume data.



**Figure 5.a. Pore size distribution of A, Pt/A-In and Pt/A-Ga samples**



**Figure 5.c. Pore size distribution of AR, Pt/AR-In and Pt/AR-Ga samples**

The pore size distribution of A has not been affected after the metal deposition and concentrates around 20-30 nm.

Nevertheless, the pore size distribution of AR is very wide (20-150 nm), and after the metal deposition the distribution becomes very narrow around 30 nm.

Table 1 lists the surface area and the pore volume of the doped and undoped A and AR.

The surface area of the Pt/A-M photocatalysts has barely changed with respect to the one of the support. In the case of the Pt/AR-M catalysts, both Pt/AR-1.9In and Pt/AR-0.77Ga have experienced a slight decrease of the surface area, but which is still very low. When it comes to the pore volume of the Pt/A-M and Pt/AR-M photocatalysts, the obtained values are very similar to the pore volumes of the supports.

It can be concluded that the metal deposition on the supports does not affect the surface area nor the pore volume. Nevertheless, in the Pt/AR-M catalysts, the pore size distribution has changed from a wide to a narrow distribution around 30 nm, which corresponds to the pore size distribution of the Pt/A-M catalysts.

Band gaps of all photocatalysts have been obtained through the Tauc-Plot method, as shown in Figure 6.a, **6.b** and **6.c** ( see Appendix).



**Figure 6.a. Tauc-Plot representation of A, Pt/A-In and Pt/A-Ga samples**

The band gap values of all the photocatalysts have decreased respect the Eg of the supports after the metal deposition. The Eg decrease has been significantly higher in the Pt/A-0.29Ru and Pt/A-0.75Fe catalysts. The samples with the smallest band-gap value are the Pt/AR-M catalysts.

Figure 7.a, 7.b and 7.c show the TPR profile of the prepared photocatalysts. Table 2 lists the maximum temperature of the reduction peaks and the experimental  $H_2$  consumption for each sample.

The required amount of  $H_2$  to reduce the content of Pt in each photocatalyst, considering that it is present in the maximum oxidation state, Pt<sup>4+</sup>, according to the reaction: PtO<sub>2</sub> + 2H<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Pt +  $2H<sub>2</sub>O$  is aprox. 0.003 mmol. As can be noticed in Table 2, the experimental  $H<sub>2</sub>$  consumption has been higher in all photocatalysts. This is related to the partial reduction of the oxide of the co-doped metal.

In the TPR profiles of the Pt/A-In samples, a wide tail of  $H_2$  consumption is observed in the temperature range 100-150  $^{\circ}$ C, which possibly indicates the  $1n^{n+}$  reduction. The reduction peaks that appear at the lowest temperatures are the ones of the Pt/AR-M catalysts. This could be due to a higher affinity of Pt with AR than with A, because of the rutile presence.

Moreover, it stands out that the peaks that correspond to the reduction of the co-doped metals appear at lower temperatures than if they were alone. This can be related to the Pt spillover mechanism of  $H<sub>2</sub>$ , which consists in the adsorption of molecular hydrogen followed by its dissociation and migration of the atomic hydrogen from the metal to the support bulk [24], which makes the co-doped metals more easily reducible. The TPR profile of the Pt/A-0.29Ru sample shows two reduction peaks, which remarks with more clarity the reduction of both Pt and the co-doped metal.

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 7.a,7.b and 7.c. TPR profile of the photocatalysts**

<b>Sample</b>	Pt/A-	Pt/A-	Pt/AR-	Pt/A-	Pt/A-	Pt/A-	Pt/AR-	Pt/A-	Pt/A-
	1.14 <sub>ln</sub>	1.43 <sub>ln</sub>	1.9 <sub>ln</sub>	$0.19$ Ga	$0.61$ Ga	1.26Ga	0.77Ga	0.75Fe	0.29Ru
Tmax. ( <sup>o</sup> C)	63.3	73.6	59.7	46.3	60	66	33.5	60.4	38.3/127.8
H <sub>2</sub> consump.(mmol)	0.006	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.005	0.005	0.007	0.006	0.006/0.012

**Table 2. Maximum reduction temperature and H2 cosumption of the photocatalysts** 

The calcined photocatalysts have also been characterized by XPS; O 1s, Ti 2p, Pt 4f, Ga 2p, In 3d, Fe 2p and Ru 3d core levels were analyzed as shown in Figure 8.a, 8.b, 8.c, 8.d, 8.e, 8.f and 8.g.

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

**Figure 8.a. XPS spectra corresponding the O 1s core level of the photocatalysts**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 8.b. XPS spectra corresponding the Ti 2p core levels of the photocatalysts**

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Figure 8.c. XPS spectra corresponding the Pt 4f core levels of the photocatalysts**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 8.d. XPS spectra corresponding the Ga 2p core levels of the photocatalysts**

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Figure 8.e. XPS spectra corresponding the In 3d core levels of Pt/A-1.43In**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 8.f. XPS spectra corresponding the Fe 2p core levels of Pt/A-0.75Fe**

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Figure 8.g. XPS spectra of the Ru 3d core level of Pt/A-0.29Ru**

The BE for the core level O 1s of all the samples is  $530\pm0.1$  eV (Figure 8.a), which corresponds to previous BE values for this core level of oxide in  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  [25]. Moreover, the O 1s peak is not symmetric with a slight shoulder at higher BE, and this could be due to a minor contribution of a different oxide which commonly can be superficial OH- . The peak of this core level in Pt/A-1.26Ga is wider than in the other catalysts, this could be because it is the sample with the highest content of co-doped metal, and it has a different chemical environment.

Figure 8.b reveals the XPS spectra of the core levels of Ti  $2p_{3/2}$  and Ti  $2p_{1/2}$ . The BE value of Ti  $2p_{3/2}$  is 458.7 $\pm$ 0.1 eV, corresponding to literature values of this core level for Ti(IV) in TiO<sub>2</sub> [25]. Both Ti 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Ti 2p<sub>1/2</sub> core levels of the Pt/A-1.26Ga sample present a shoulder on the right side at lower BE, which is commonly associated to Ti(III). In this XPS spectra the In  $3d_{3/2}$  can be found, which will be commented below.

Figure 8.c shows the XPS spectra of the core levels Pt 4f  $_{5/2}$  and Pt 4f  $_{7/2}$  of the photocatalysts. The peak of the Pt  $4f_{7/2}$  in this spectra is wide with a maximum BE value at 72.65 eV. In the BE region of this core level, different Pt species can be found such as PtO<sub>2</sub>, PtO and even Pt<sup>0</sup> [26]. The BE where the peaks of the core levels appear also depends on the chemical environment, so it cannot be confirmed which exact Pt species are present; probably a mixture of them.

Figure 8.d reveals the XPS spectra of the core level Ga  $2p_{3/2}$  of the Ga containing photocatalysts. The BE of the peak of Ga  $2p_{3/2}$  is 1118.19 $\pm$ 0.2 eV, which is in concordance with the values of  $Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  1117-1118 eV [27].

Figure 8.e shows the XPS spectra of the core level In  $3d_{5/2}$  of the Pt/A-1.43In sample. The BE value of the peak of In  $3d_{5/2}$  in this spectra appears at 445 eV; this core level in In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> can be found at a BE of 444.2 eV, and in  $In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  at higher BE [8].

Figure 8.f shows the XPS spectra of Fe  $2p_{1/2}$  and Fe  $2p_{3/2}$  of the Pt/A-0.75Fe sample. The peak of the Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> core level, in this case situated around  $BE = 711$  eV, can indicate that the iron is present in form of  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  [27].

Figure 8.g shows the XPS spectra of the core level Ru  $3d_{5/2}$  of the Pt/A-0.29Ru sample. In this case, it is difficult to assign the oxidation state of ruthenium due to its low intensity and its proximity to the C 1s core level. Previous works that have studied  $Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>$  assign BE values of Ru  $3d_{5/2}$  around 280.7 eV as possible presence of Ru as cations. Moreover, sources of XPS match similar BE to RuO<sub>2</sub>, RuO<sub>x</sub> and Ru<sup>o</sup> [28], so it cannot be exactly known which Ru species are present in the sample.

Table 3 lists the ratios (Pt/Ti)<sub>ICP</sub> and (Pt/Ti)<sub>XPS</sub>, (Pt/(M+Ti))<sub>XPS</sub> and (M/Ti)<sub>XPS</sub>

<b>SAMPLE</b>	(Pt/Ti) <sub>ICP</sub>	(Pt/Ti)xps	$(Pt/(Ti+M))$ <sub>XPS</sub>	(M/Ti)xps
Pt/A-1.43In	0.00193	0.0173	0.0163	0.1025
Pt/A-0.19Ga	0.00217	0.0183	0.0163	0.0163
Pt/A-0.61Ga	0.00201	0.0163	0.0152	0.0672
Pt/A-1.26Ga	0.00194	0.0142	0.0132	0.1377
Pt/AR-0.77Ga	0.00196	0.0132	0.0111	0.0764
Pt/A-0.75Fe	0.00208	0.0163	0.0162	0.0730
Pt/A-0.29Ru	0.00201	0.0183	0.0183	0.0050

 **Table 3. ICP and XPS atomic ratios of the photocatalysts** 

Although all photocatalysts have a similar Pt content and ICP shows that the  $(Pt/Ti)<sub>ICP</sub>$  is similar between them, the  $(Pt/Ti)_{XPS}$  is rather different. The behaviour that can be noted in all photocatalysts is that  $(Pt/Ti)_{XPS}$  is considerably higher than  $(Pt/Ti)_{ICP}$ , which reveals that the segregation of platinum in  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  on the surface is high.

In the gallium catalysts, the behaviour of Pt/AR-0.77Ga is slightly different from the others; although (Pt/Ti)<sub>ICP</sub> is similar to Pt/A-1.26Ga, (Pt/Ti)<sub>XPS</sub> is lower, which could mean that the platinum segregation is better in A than in AR when gallium is present.

Figure 8.h shows the relation between  $(M/Ti)_{XPS}$  and the M loading per nm<sup>2</sup> of support of the photocatalysts. As can be observed, the segregation of metal is not always constant: it depends most of all on metal and also on its content. In the gallium samples, the relation between the ratios is linear. The surface segregation of indium is similar to the one of the gallium samples. It seems that ruthenium and iron have the worst surface segregation

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 8.h (M/Ti)XPS vs. M atoms/nm<sup>2</sup> of support** 

### **7. CONCLUSIONS**

-Pt/TiO2-M (M=In, Ga, Fe, Ru) photocatalysts have successfully been prepared using two different supports, with the desired content of Pt and within the expected range of M loading per nm<sup>2</sup> of support.

-The crystalline phase of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  has not been affected by the metal deposition and the following thermal treatment in neither of the supports. As well as in A, in the Pt/A-M photocatalysts the anatase phase is clearly observed by XRD and Raman spectra and a very low content of rutile phase can be seen by XRD. In AR and in the Pt/AR-M samples, both anatase and rutile phases are clearly appreciable by XRD and Raman spectra and the ratio anatase:rutile of the support has not changed after its treatment. Moreover, the crystallite size of both supports has barely changed. This information leads to the conclusion that the structural characteristics of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  are not affected by the deposition of these metals in this wt% range.

-The N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherm of A and AR is type IV with an hysteresis loop type H1, which corresponds to mesoporous solids, and has not been affected by the metal deposition. The pore size distribution of A is in the range of 20-30 nm, and has not changed in the Pt/A-M photocatalysts. The pore size distribution of AR, which is wide, becomes highly narrow around 30 nm in the Pt/AR-M photocatalysts, having a similar pore size than the Pt/A-M photocatalysts. The surface area and the pore volume of the prepared photocatalysts have similar values to the supports. The achieved pore size distribution of the Pt/AR-M catalysts similar to the distribution of the Pt/A-M catalysts, together with the equal content of Pt and other similar properties, make the prepared photocatalysts worth to be compared in further studies of reaction.

-The Pt/A-M and Pt/AR-M catalysts have smaller band gap values than the supports, possibly due to the introduction of an intermediate state between the valence band and the conduction band of the support. The Eg decrease has been higher in the catalysts containing Fe and Ru than in the others.

-TPR has shown that the experimental  $H_2$  consumption for the photocatalysts reduction has been higher than the maximum required to reduce the content of Pt in its maximum possible oxidation state, 4<sup>+</sup> . This can indicate that also partial reduction of the co-doped metal oxide is taking place. The exception to this behaviour is the sample with less content of Ga (Pt/A-0.19Ga). The reduction peaks in the Pt/AR-M samples appear at lower temperatures than the Pt/A-M catalysts, which can indicate a better affinity of Pt with AR than with A, possibly due to the presence of rutile. Moreover, the reduction of the co-doped metals takes place at low temperatures because of the  $H_2$  spillover effect by Pt.

-The XPS study has shown that the O 1s core level corresponds to TiO2 oxide. Its asymmetry can indicate that superficial OH $\cdot$  are also present. The Ti 2p $_{3/2}$  core level indicates the presence of Ti(IV). Moreover, the shape of O 1s and Ti  $2p_{3/2}$  in the sample Pt/A-1.26Ga (most M content) is wider than these core levels in the other samples. This could indicate a different chemical environment and the presence of Ti(III) species. The core level Pt  $4f_{7/2}$  possibly indicates that platinum is present in a mixture of oxidation states 4+ and 2+. The core levels Ga 2p<sub>3/2</sub>, In 3d<sub>5/2</sub>, Fe  $2p_{3/2}$  and Ru  $3d_{5/2}$  indicate that the co-doped metals are probably in form of oxides.

-By XPS and ICP, the ratio Pt/Ti has been compared in the photocatalysts bulk and surface, and there is evidence of a high platinum segregation to the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface, which seems better in A than in AR. Moreover, the segregation of M has been studied:  $(Ga/Ti)_{ICP}$  and  $(Ga/Ti)_{XPS}$  follow a linear relation. The behaviour of the In segregation is similar to Ga. Nevertheless, the surface segregation of Fe and Ru appears to be lower.

-Taking into account that high platinum segregation and low values of band gap are relevant characteristics for good photocatalytic activity of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , the photocatalysts prepared for this research work are highly relevant to be tested in reaction in further studies.

### **8. REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- 1. Haiqiang Lu, Jianghong Zhao, Li Li, Liming Gong, Jianfeng Zheng, Lexi Zhang, Zhijian Wang, Jian Zhang and Zhenping Zhu. Energy Environ. Sci., 2011, 4, 3384.
- 2. Dennis Y. C. Leung, Xianliang Fu, Cuifang Wang,Meng Ni,Michael K. H. Leung, Xuxu Wang, and Xianzhi Fu. ChemSusChem 2010, 3, 681 – 694.
- 3. F. Albert Cotton, G. Wilkinson, C. A. Murillo, Manfred Bochmann, Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 6th edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1999, pp. 695– 714.
- 4. D. E. Skinner, D. Philip Colombo, J. J. Cavaleri, R. M. Bowman . J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 7853 –7856.
- 5. Rufino M. Navarro Yerga Dr., M. Consuelo Álvarez Galván Dr., F. del Valle, José A. Villoria de la Mano and José L. G. Fierro Prof. ChemSusChem 2009, 2, 471 –485
- 6. J. S. Jang, S. H. Choi, H. G. Kim, J. S. Lee. J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 17200– 17205
- 7. H.S. Mazloomi Tabaei, M. Kazemeini<sub>,</sub> M. Fattahi Scientia Iranica. 2012, 19(6),1626-1631
- 8. Junbo Zhong, Jianzhang Li, Jun Zeng, Xiyang He, Shengtiang Huang, Weidong Jiang, Minjiao Li. Appl. Phys A 2014,115, 1231–1238
- 9. R.A. van Santen, P.W.N.M. van Leeuwen, J.A. Moulijn, B.A. Averill (1999). *CATALYSIS: AN INTEGRATED APPROACH.* Elsevier. Amsterdam-Lausanne-New York-Oxford-Shannon-Singapore-Tokyo
- 10. http://particle.dk/methods-analytical-laboratory/surface-area-bet/surface-area-bet-theory June 2014
- 11. http://cma.tcd.ie/misc/Surface\_area\_and\_porosity.pdf June 2014
- 12. K. S. W. Sing. Pure and Applied Chemistry 1985, 57, 4: 603–619
- 13. http://content.piacton.com/Uploads/Princeton/Documents/Library/UpdatedLibrary/Raman\_Sp ectroscopy\_Basics.pdf June 2014
- 14. http://www.micromeritics.com/Repository/Files/Autochem%20II%202920%20technique%20T PR.pdf June 2014
- 15. D. Briggs and M.P. Seah (Editors), *Practical Surface Analysis by Auger and X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy*, Wiley, New York, 1983
- 16. http://www.eag.com/mc/inductively-coupled-plasma-spectroscopy.html June 2014
- 17. Dong Suk Kim, Seung-Yeop Kwak. Applied Catalysis A: 2007, 323, 110–118
- 18. H. Zhang, J.F. Banfield. J. Phys. Chem. 2000, B 104, 3481-3487
- 19. *Shimadzu* Application News, *No.A428*
- 20. Kairat Sabyrov , Vanessa Adamson and R. Lee Penn. CrystEngComm 2014,16,1488
- 21. Enjun Wang, Wensheng Yang and Yaan Cao. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, *113* (49), pp 20912– 20917
- 22. F.D. Hardcastle. Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science 2011 Vol. 65
- 23. Jean Rouquerol, Françoise Rouquerol, Philip Llewellyn, Guillaume Maurin, Kenneth S.W. Sing. *Adsorption by Powders and Porous Solids: Principles, Methodology and Applications*. Academic Press, 6/9/2013
- 24. Flávio FACCIN, Fabiana Flores GUEDES, Edilson Valmir BENVENUTTI, Celso Camilo MORO. Eclet. Quím. 2002 vol.27 (São Paulo)
- 25. M.C. Biesinger, L.W.M. Lau, A.R. Gerson, R.St.C. Smart, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2010, 257, 887
- 26. Tery L. Barr. J. Phys. Chem., 1978, 82, N° 16, 1801-1810
- 27. John F. Moulder, William F. Stickle, Peter E. Sobol and Kenneth D. Bomben. *Handbook of Xray Photoelectron Spectroscopy*. Perkin-Elmer Corporation 1992
- 28. Lin, Xiahui ; Yang, Kai ; Si, Ruiru ; Chen, Xun ; Dai, Wenxin ; Fu, Xianzhi. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 2014, 147:585-591

### **9. ACRONYMS**

XRD: X-ray diffraction BET: Brunauer-Emmet-Teller

DRS: UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy

- TPR: temperature-programmed reduction
- XPS: X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
- ICP: Inductively coupled plasma spectrometry
- Eg: band gap
- VB: valence band
- CB: conduction band
- NHE: normal hydrogen electrode
- EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
- RT: Room Temperature
- BE: binding energy
- M: metal
- HPLC: High performance liquid chromatography
- A: Sigma Aldrich TiO<sup>2</sup>
- AR: Degussa P25 TiO<sup>2</sup>
- JCPDS: Joint Committee Powder Diffraction Standards

## **APPENDICES**

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 3.b. Raman spectra of A, Pt/A-Ru and Pt/A-Fe samples**

**Figure 4.b. N2 adsorption/desorption isotherm of A, Pt/A-Ru and Pt/A-Fe samples** 

![](_page_48_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 4.c. N2 adsorption/desorption isotherm of AR, Pt/AR-In and Pt/AR-Ga samples**

**Figure 5.b. Pore size distribution of A, Pt/A-Ru and Pt/A-Fe samples** 

![](_page_50_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 6.c. Tauc-Plot representation of AR, Pt/AR-1.9In and Pt/AR-0.77Ga**

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)