

Best Approach Regions for Potential Spaces Author(s): José A. Raposo and Javier Soria

Source: Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 125, No. 4 (Apr., 1997), pp.

1105-1109

Published by: American Mathematical Society Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2162171

Accessed: 06/02/2009 08:15

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=ams.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We work with the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.

BEST APPROACH REGIONS FOR POTENTIAL SPACES

JOSÉ A. RAPOSO AND JAVIER SORIA

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. We characterize the approach regions so that the non-tangential maximal function is of weak-type on potential spaces, for which we use a simple argument involving Carleson measure estimates.

1. Introduction

The classical theorem of Fatou, about the non-tangential convergence of the harmonic extension of functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, has been widely studied in the recent years. Nagel and Stein ([NS]) have found a characterization of those regions for which Fatou's theorem remains valid. As Littlewood showed ([Li]), convergence on tangential directions fails for some functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, Nagel, Rudin and Shapiro ([NRS]) were able to show that if one considers functions in some particular subspaces (potential spaces), with an appropriate regularity, then it is possible to find a tangential approach region, so that Fatou's theorem holds true.

The main result we prove below is in the spirit of [NS]; namely, we find a characterization of the approach regions for which the associated maximal operator is of weak-type, with respect to the spaces considered in [NRS]. The condition that we obtain (Theorem 2.6(b)) says that the measure of the cross section at level t of the region is of the order of $r(t)^n$, where r is determined by the potential space. In particular, when we deal with $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $r(t) \approx t$ and we obtain the main theorem of [NS]. As a consequence, we give an example of such a region, not contained in any of those considered in [NRS].

The techniques we use in the proof of the theorem are based on the work of Andersson and Carlsson ([AC]), for the case of non-tangential approach regions, and an extension of the notion of Carleson measure to general domains ([CS]).

Let us first set up some of the definitions we need in the sequel (see also [CS]).

Definition 1.1. - An open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ is an approach region if $0 \in \overline{\Omega}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we set $\Omega_x = x + \Omega$.

- If P is the Poisson kernel in \mathbb{R}^n , $P_t(y) = t^{-n}P(t^{-1}y)$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the maximal operator associated to Ω is

$$N_{\Omega}f(x) = \sup_{(y,t)\in\Omega_x} |f*P_t(y)|.$$

Received by the editors August 9, 1994 and, in revised form, October 4, 1995.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B25, 42B20.

Key words and phrases. Weak-type inequalities, maximal functions, approach regions.

This work has been partially supported by DGICYT PB94-0879.

For the following set of definitions we will consider a function $r: \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Later on, this function will be chosen in a particular way, satisfying some extra conditions.

Definition 1.2. Fix $r: \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$.

- $-\Gamma_r(x) = \{(y,t); |x-y| < r(t)\}.$
- Given a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the r-tent,

$$T_r(E) = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \bigcup_{x \notin E} \Gamma_r(x).$$

- Given an outer measure μ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ , we say that it is an r-Carleson measure if there exists a constant C > 0 such that, for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$,

$$\mu(T_r(B(x,t))) \leq Ct^n.$$

Remark 1.3. If r(t) = t, we deal with the classical situation of cones, tents and Carleson measures. Also, we could have chosen cubes instead of balls in the definition of r-Carleson measures.

There are some equivalent ways to define these tents.

Proposition 1.4. (a) $T_r(E) = \{(y,t); B(y,r(t)) \subset E\} = \{(y,t); d(y,\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E) \ge r(t)\}.$ (b) $T_r(B(x,t)) = x + T_r(B(0,t)).$

Proof. (a) can be proved as in Lemma 5(iii) of [CS] and (b) is easy.

In order to obtain estimates for the maximal operator, we need to consider arbitrary open sets. An important example is given by the following result.

Proposition 1.5. If μ is an r-Carleson measure, then there exists C > 0 such that for all open sets $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mu(T_r(G)) \leq C|G|$.

Proof. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. We find a Whitney decomposition of G (see [St]), given by a sequence of cubes $\{Q_k\}_k$ with disjoint interior so that $G = \bigcup_k Q_k$, and diam $(Q_k) \approx \operatorname{d}(Q_k, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus G)$. Now, it suffices to show that there exists a constant C = C(n) > 0 so that if $Q_k^* = CQ_k$, then

$$T_r(G) \subset \bigcup_k T_r(Q_k^*).$$

Choose $(y,t) \in T_r(G)$. Then $d(y,\mathbb{R}^n \setminus G) \geq r(t)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $y \in Q_k$. We want to prove that $(y,t) \in T_r(Q_k^*)$, which is equivalent (by Proposition 1.4) to showing that $B(y,r(t)) \subset Q_k^*$. Let x_k be the center of Q_k . If |z-y| < r(t), then $|z-x_k| < r(t) + \operatorname{diam}(Q_k)/2$. Therefore, it all reduces to finding C, so that $r(t) \leq C \operatorname{diam}(Q_k)$. Let $u \notin G$ and $x \in Q_k$:

$$r(t) \le \operatorname{d}(y, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus G) \le |y - x| + |x - u| \le \operatorname{diam}(Q_k) + |x - u|.$$

Taking the infimum on u and x, we finally obtain

$$r(t) \leq \operatorname{diam}(Q_k) + \operatorname{d}(Q_k, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus G) \leq C \operatorname{diam}(Q_k).$$

2. Best approach regions

We now give the characterization of those approach regions for which the maximal operator N_{Ω} is of weak-type on a certain space. It is very interesting to observe that the boundedness properties of this operator are determined by the geometry of the regions. We make this clearer by introducing the following sets.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ be an approach region and $r: \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. We define,

- $-\Omega_x(t) = \{y; (y,t) \in \Omega_x\}.$
- $-S_r(x,t) = \{y; \Omega_y \cap T_r(B(x,t)) \neq \emptyset\}.$ $\mu_{\Omega}(E) = |\{x; \Omega_x \cap E \neq \emptyset\}|, E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+.$

As in [NRS], we introduce the following potential space (associated now to a finite measure).

Definition 2.2. Let ν be a positive finite measure in \mathbb{R}^n . For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we define

$$L^p_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigg\{ f; f = F * d\nu, F \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \bigg\},\,$$

endowed with the quotient norm $||f||_{L^p_{\nu}} = \inf\{||F||_p; f = F * d\nu\}$. We will denote by $V(x,t) = V_t(x) = P_t * d\nu(x)$, the harmonic extension of ν .

Remark 2.3. Some interesting examples are given when $d\nu(x) = G_{\alpha}(x) dx$, where G_{α} is the Bessel potential (see [St]). Observe also that if $\nu = \delta$, the Dirac delta, then $L^p_{\nu} = L^p$.

Definition 2.4. Let Ω be an approach region and $r: \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. We say that Ω satisfies the r condition if $\Gamma_r(x,t)\subset\Omega$, for all $(x,t)\in\Omega$, where $\Gamma_r(x,t)=$ $\{(y,s); |y-x| < r(s) - r(t)\}.$

Observe that if r(t) = t, this is equivalent to the cone condition assumed in [NS]. The following result gives very precise information on the region Γ_r determined by a measure ν . The proof is easy and we will omit it (see also [NRS]).

Proposition 2.5. Let ν be a positive finite measure in \mathbb{R}^n and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Set $r(t) = \|V_t\|_{r'}^{-p/n}$. Then, r is a continuous increasing function, $0 < r(t) < \infty$, t > 0and $t^{-1}r(t) \longrightarrow (\|\nu\| \|P\|_{p'})^{-p/n}$ as $t \longrightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if $d\nu(x) = k(x) dx$, with $k \in L^1 \setminus L^{p'}$, then $r(t) \longrightarrow 0$ as $t \longrightarrow 0$ (and hence r is onto) and $r(t)/t \longrightarrow \infty$ as $t \longrightarrow 0$. Also, if ν is an atomic measure, then $r(t) \approx t$, for t > 0.

We now give the proof of our main result. We will restrict the hypothesis to a particular choice of ν (see Remark 2.7 for some extensions).

Theorem 2.6. Let $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a positive radially decreasing kernel and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Assume $k \notin L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Set $d\nu(x) = k(x) dx$ and $r(t) = ||V_t||_{n'}^{-p/n}$. Let Ω be an approach region satisfying the r condition. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (a) $N_{\Omega}: L^p_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded.
- (b) There exists C > 0 such that, for all t > 0, $|\Omega(t)| \leq Cr(t)^n$.
- (c) There exists C>0 such that, for all $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $|S_r(x,t)|\leq Ct^n$.
- (d) μ_{Ω} is an r-Carleson measure.
- (e) There exists C > 0 such that, for all $f \in L^p_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lambda > 0$,

$$|\{x; N_{\Omega}f(x) > \lambda\}| \le C|\{x; N_{\Gamma_r}f(x) > \lambda\}|.$$

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Fix t > 0. Since

$$||V_t||_{p'} = \sup_{||F||_p = 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V_t(x) F(-x) \, dx \right| = \sup_{||F||_p = 1} |V_t * F(0)|,$$

we can find $F \in L^p$, $||F||_p = 1$ such that $\lambda \equiv |V_t * F(0)| \ge ||V_t||_{p'}/2$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $(0,t) \in \Omega_x$ and $f = F * d\nu$. Then $|\Omega(t)| = |\{y; (0,t) \in \Omega_y\}|$ and

$$N_{\Omega}f(x) = \sup_{(y,s)\in\Omega_x} |V_s * F(y)| \ge |V_t * F(0)| = \lambda.$$

Hence, $x \in \{N_{\Omega}f > \lambda/2\}$ and

$$|\Omega(t)| \le |\{N_{\Omega}f > \lambda/2\}| \le \frac{C}{\lambda^p} ||f||_{L^p}^p \le Cr(t)^n.$$

 $(b)\Rightarrow(c)$. Let us first show that for $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, $S_r(x,r(t))=\{y;(x,t)\in\Omega_y\}$. In fact, if $(x,t)\in\Omega_y$, then $\Omega_y\cap T_r(B(x,r(t)))\neq\emptyset$, and by definition this implies $y\in S_r(x,r(t))$. Conversely, if $\Omega_y\cap T_r(B(x,r(t)))\neq\emptyset$, there exists $(z,s)\in\Omega_y$ so that $B(z,r(s))\subset B(x,r(t))$. Thus, we can find $z'\in\Omega_y(s)$ with |z'-x|< r(t)-r(s), and since Ω satisfies the r condition, $(x,t)\in\Omega_y$.

Thus, we easily obtain that $|S_r(x, r(t))| = |\Omega(t)|$, and by Proposition 2.5, given t > 0 there exists t' > 0 so that r(t') = t. Hence,

$$|S_r(x,t)| = |S_r(x,r(t'))| = |\Omega(t')| \le Cr(t')^n = Ct^n.$$

 $(c)\Rightarrow (d).$ It is clear that μ_{Ω} is an outer measure. Now, given $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+,$

$$\mu_{\Omega}\Big(T_r(B(x,t))\Big) = \Big|\Big\{y; \Omega_y \cap T_r(B(x,t))
eq \emptyset\Big\}\Big| = |S_r(x,t)| \le Ct^n.$$

 $(d) \Rightarrow (e)$. Since $\{x; N_{\Gamma_r} f(x) > \lambda\}$ is an open set and

$$\left|\left\{x;N_{\Omega}f(x)>\lambda\right\}\right|=\mu_{\Omega}\left(\left\{(y,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+;|f*P_t(y)|>\lambda\right\}\right),$$

(e) is a consequence of the fact that

$$\{(y,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+; |f * P_t(y)| > \lambda\} \subset T_r(\{x; N_{\Gamma_r} f(x) > \lambda\})$$

(see [CS]) and Proposition 1.5.

 $(e) \Rightarrow (a)$. This is immediate since N_{Γ_r} is always a bounded operator (see [NRS]).

Remark 2.7. (i) In Theorem 2.6 one can still give partial results with weaker conditions. In fact, it suffices to observe that $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ holds for any measure ν and any region Ω . In $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$, the only condition we need on r is that r(0) = 0. For $(c) \Rightarrow (d)$ and $(d) \Rightarrow (e)$, nothing actually is needed a priori.

(ii) If we define the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, associated to Ω ,

$$M_{\Omega}f(x) = \sup_{(y,t)\in\Omega_x} rac{1}{|B(y,t)|} \int_{B(y,t)} |f(z)| dz,$$

then (if Ω satisfies some mild conditions) $M_{\Omega}f \approx N_{\Omega}(|f|)$, and we thus obtain a characterization of the boundedness of M_{Ω} (see [NS] and [SS]).

(iii) As a consequence of the first remark and Proposition 2.5, it is easy to extend the characterization given in [NS], to atomic measures:

Corollary 2.8. Let ν be a positive, atomic and finite measure, and let Ω be an approach region satisfying the cone condition. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then, the operator $N_{\Omega}: L^p_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded if and only if $|\Omega(t)| \leq Ct^n$, for all t > 0.

3. Example

The following construction shows that there exists an approach region under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6, larger than those considered in [NRS], for which the maximal operator is bounded (and hence, there exists almost everywhere convergence of the harmonic extension of functions in the corresponding potential space). We use the same ideas as in the example of a non-nontangential region in [NS]. Given r as in the theorem, choose an increasing, C^1 and convex function $\varphi:[0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, with $\varphi(0)=\varphi'(0)=0, \ \varphi(1)<1$ and so that $t\geq \varphi(r(t))$ and $t/r(\varphi(t)) \longrightarrow \infty$ as $t\longrightarrow 0$. Define the sequences $t_1=\varphi(1),\ldots,t_k=\varphi(t_{k-1})$, and $|y_k|=t_{k-1}$, with $|y_1|=1$. Finally, set

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{k} \Gamma_r(y_k, t_k).$$

Then Ω satisfies the r condition, $\Gamma_r \subset \Omega$, for every $\alpha > 0$, $\Omega \not\subset \Gamma_{\alpha r}$ and $|\Omega(t)| \leq Cr(t)^n$, for all t > 0.

REFERENCES

- [AC] M. Andersson and H. Carlsson, Boundary convergence in non-nontangential and nonadmissible approach regions, Math. Scand. 70 (1992), 293-301. MR 93j:31008
- [CS] M.J. Carro and J. Soria, Tent spaces over general approach regions and pointwise estimates, Pacific J. Math. 163 (1994), 217 –235. MR 95e:42012
- [Li] J.E. Littlewood, On a theorem of Fatou, J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1927), 172 -176.
- [NRS] A. Nagel, W. Rudin and J. Shapiro, Tangential boundary behavior of functions in Dirichlettype spaces, Ann. Math. 116 (1982), 331-360. MR 84a:31002
- [NS] A. Nagel and E. Stein, On certain maximal functions and approach regions, Adv. Math. 54 (1984), 83-106. MR 86a:42026
- [SS] A. Sánchez-Colomer and J. Soria, Weighted norm inequalities for general maximal operators and approach regions, Math. Nachr. 172 (1995), 249-260. MR 96e:42023
- [St] E. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970. MR 44:7280

DEPARTAMENTO MATEMÀTICA APLICADA I ANÀLISI, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, 08071 BARCELONA, SPAIN

E-mail address: raposo@cerber.mat.ub.es

E-mail address: soria@cerber.mat.ub.es